(\_|

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	OCIOINA.
3	ORIGINAL
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	TUESDAY, JANUARY 13, 2009
17	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
18	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR License No. 5527
25	
	Page 1

1	ATTENDEES	
2	RAB Members:	
3	Doug Kern, Facilitator	
4	Mark Youngkin (Absent) Eileen Fanelli	
5	Brian Ullensvang Bob Boggs	
6	Agnes Farres Peter O'Hara	
7	Jan Blum Julie Cheever	
	Julian Hultgren	
8	Gloria Gee Sara Segal	
9	Sam Berman Jan Monaghan	
10	John Budroe Michelle Passero	
11	Edward Callanan	
12	Barbara Newton Toni Kramer	
13	Kevin Whilden Special Guest:	
14	Terri Thomas	
15		
16	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of	
17	the Meeting, and on January 13, 2009, at the Officer's	
18	Club, Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California,	
19	before me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of	
20	California, there commenced a RAB meeting under the	
21	provisions of the Presidio Trust.	
22	000	
23		
24		
25		
		Page 2

1	٠	AGENDA		
2			Page	
3	1)	Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern	4	
4	2)	Agenda Discussion and Approval	4	
5	3)	Announcements and Old Business	4	
6		A. RAP3 - Construction Update	4	
7		B. Summit Meeting rescheduled	7	
8	4)	Committee Business		
9		Next Planning committee meeting January 27th	12	
10	5)	Reports & Discussions		
11		A. RAP4 - LF 8 & 10 Ongoing Discussion	15	
12	6)	Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs		
13		A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC -	32	
14		B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB -	26	
15	7)	New Business		
16		A. RAP5 - Sites, Planning Activities & Schedule	42	
17		B. New Committee Meeting location	55	
18	8)	Review of Action Items	55	
19	9)	Agenda Items for Upcoming Meetings	57	
20	10	)Adjournment	57	
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
				Page 3

25

1 FACILITATOR KERN: Happy New Year, everyone. Welcome to the Presidio Restoration Advisory Board meeting 2 for January 2009. I hope everybody is well and not 3 catching colds in these nice warm days out there. 5 Does everyone have an agenda? Are there any 6 comments or additions, suggestions? 7 Moving on to item number 3, then, announcements and old business. 8 Are there any announcements? 9 10 Moving right along, we have to go to item 3A. 11 Are there any last little bits of RAP3 to 12 talk about? MS. FANELLI: The field work is all 13 14 completed, and as far as I know, they're doing punch list 15 items, if they're even doing those. 16 I believe almost all of the material that's 17 supposed to be out is out at this point. 18 The only last item was the RAP including 19 grabbing water samples at different sites. That happened. 20 I don't think we have the data back yet. 21 We'll likely talk about that at some project 22 status meeting in the future. 23 Other than the field work, then we are moving 24 ahead with completing the construction -- documenting the

construction, and our plan will be to ask for closure on

- 1 all of the sites that do not require any additional
- 2 groundwater monitoring.
- I believe there's only one site, and that's
- 4 the Nike site where there's ongoing groundwater monitoring,
- 5 and that was all the protocol or the plan.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Any questions on RAP3?
- 7 Sam.
- MR. BERMAN: Was the water sample that you
- 9 talked about at the Nike facility?
- MS. FANELLI: No. There were some grab
- 11 samples, and Brian, you can help me get them right. One
- 12 was at the building where the PCB transformers were stored.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: 680.
- MS. FANELLI: One was at the cold storage
- 15 area. And can you help me with the third? I think there
- 16 was one at 1167 oddly enough. Yeah. 1167.
- MR. BERMAN: Were these already analyzed?
- MS. FANELLI: They were just collected.
- 19 They're grab hydropunches, so they're not regular wells,
- 20 and they have been collected. I don't have any of the data
- 21 results back yet.
- MR. BERMAN: Thank you.
- FACILITATOR KERN: I may be a little bit
- 24 remiss. We have another -- a guest or perhaps a regular
- 25 attendee. I'm not sure. We should introduce ourselves --

1	most of you know Terri, but it might be good to introduce
2	ourselves.
3	I'm Doug.
4	MR. O'HARA: I'm Peter O'Hara.
5	MS. THOMAS: I'm Terri Thomas. I'm in
6	charge of the Natural Resources Management Program for the
7	Presidio.
8	MR. BERMAN: Sam Berman.
9	MR. WHILDEN: Hi, Terri. Kevin Whilden.
10	MS. PASSERO: Michelle Passero.
11	MS. GEE: Gloria Gee.
12	MS. MONAGHAN: Jan Monaghan.
13	MS. KRAMER: Toni Kramer.
14	MS. SEGAL: Sara Segal.
15	MS. BLUM: Jan Blum.
16	MS. NEWTON: Barbara Newton.
17	MR. HULTGREN: Julian Hultgren.
18	MS. FARRES: Agnes Farres with the Water
19	Board.
20	MR. ULLENSVANG: Brian Ullensvang, Park
21	Service.
22	FACILITATOR KERN: And that's
23	MS. CHEEVER: Julie Cheever.
24	FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Thanks for
25	that. Welcome to the meeting.
	Page 6

Item number 3B, the rescheduling of the 1 Summit meeting. Just want to check in on dates for when 2 that might be rescheduled. I think that's --3 MS. FANELLI: I believe it's been sent out, and if you didn't get that notice, then that's an issue 5 where I need to go check the e-mail. 6 FACILITATOR KERN: I may have gotten it. 7 MS. FANELLI: It's been rescheduled for February 10. It's been one month since the last one, and I 9 forget where it is. I think it's here at the -- right 10 11 where we're sitting now. 12 MR. HULTGREN: I have a question. FACILITATOR KERN: Julian, please. 13 14 MR. HULTGREN: Why was it rescheduled I 15 quess is my question? MS. FANELLI: It was rescheduled --16 originally -- this was my understanding from talking with 17 DTSC, and conversations that I had with DTSC and Agnes on 18 the phone and Brian -- that the original meeting had been 19 scheduled to follow the close of the public comment period. 20 The original public comment period was 21 closing on December 23rd. 22 Comment period got extended, so it got 23 extended to the 17th, and so we were in a public comment 24 period, and it seemed prudent to take that meeting and move 25

- 1 it then beyond the public comment period. That was the
- 2 primary reason.
- FACILITATOR KERN: All right.
- MR. BERMAN: Doug, do we have any work to do
- 5 on the agenda of that meeting?
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. It seems like
- 7 we'll be able to check in, then, on the agenda.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Yeah. The agenda has not been
- 9 drafted or re-sent out for that meeting, and I imagine that
- 10 we will do that as we normally do. We share an agenda, we
- 11 work through it and we try to get it out to attendees at
- 12 least the Thursday before the Tuesday meeting.
- So we have until that first week in February
- 14 to work through that, generally.
- MR. WHILDEN: What's the purpose of the
- 16 meeting? Can you fill me in?
- 17 MS. FANELLI: These meetings were ongoing
- 18 before I arrived here at the Trust. I think I arrived for
- 19 maybe the third or fourth, or it had been going on for a
- 20 while.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Maybe. I think June 2006
- 22 is when we had our first one. They've kind of been
- 23 quarterly since then.
- MS. FANELLI: And so I would defer to Doug
- 25 and Brian to describe why they were originally established

- and set up.

  My perception as to how they have been is to

  inform senior management to make sure that resources are

  available from all the different agencies to act and to

  move remediation over and to vet any concerns as a group.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. I would say that
- 7 RAP3 had been languishing. There were issues around the
- 8 budget.
- 9 Through discussions that the RAB initially
- 10 had with the Trust, we sent a letter regarding the budget
- 11 and several things with Craig Cooper at the time, Craig
- 12 Middleton, Jeff Deis and out of that meeting came the idea
- 13 for a Summit meeting where all the parties and senior
- 14 management would get together.
- The RAB actually crafted a resolution that
- 16 promoted the idea of scheduling such a Summit meeting, and
- 17 there was quite a bit of preliminary work prior to that
- 18 first meeting.
- 19 So it was about a six-month effort from
- 20 meeting originally with the Trust, working with all the
- 21 parties, getting everybody talking about what should we
- 22 even talk about, because there were a lot of issues, and
- 23 then bringing all the parties together in June of 2006, and
- 24 that meeting, because of all the work that was done, it
- 25 came off pretty well, and it was immediately decided to

- 1 have another one in three months.
- 2 Basically there was a lot of progress made on
- 3 the schedule, moving things up, and that's my recollection.
- Brian, did you have anything?
- MR. ULLENSVANG: No. That's about it.
- 6 MR. WHILDEN: So the RAB has helped start
- 7 that initial process in the beginning.
- 8 Do you think the RAB still has a role in the
- 9 future Summit meeting?
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: I believe the RAB -- and
- 11 at those initial meetings, we were helpful in facilitating,
- 12 getting all the parties together.
- We may provide a different role down the
- 14 road, but I think we can provide early public input at
- 15 these meetings.
- 16 Yeah, I would say an ongoing role for the RAB
- 17 at these meetings.
- MR. BERMAN: I think there -- there have
- 19 been minutes of these meetings, and I do believe they're on
- 20 the Trust website.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: That's right.
- MR. BERMAN: So you could look at those and
- 23 they're pretty good minutes, as I remember.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. Genevieve from the
- 25 Trust and --

```
1
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Laura.
 2
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- Laura Katz from the
 3
     Park Service typically draft those minutes.
 4
                   They typically send them out for everybody's
 5
     comment and they send them out to the larger group.
 6
                   MR. WHILDEN:
                                 I think I'm more concerned
 7
     about the agenda for this meeting that's going forward.
 8
                   How important is the RAB's input on getting
     the RAB's input so the meeting's effective, would you say?
 9
10
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Well, I think we're going
11
     to make a stab at it coming up here pretty soon. The best
12
     way that we can have an impact on the agenda particularly
     is if there are issues that the entire group sees would be
13
     important to be on that agenda, and my feeling is those
14
15
     would generally be acceptable to be on the agenda,
16
     typically.
17
                   MS. FANELLI: My -- my personal feeling is
18
     that the agendas have all been collaborative efforts up to
19
     this point in time, and I don't see why there would be a
20
     change in that.
21
                   The last RAB meeting -- and Sara attended
22
     that with Doug, and it was -- primarily addressed a lot of
23
     the concerns or it was designed to vet issues around the
24
     cemetery, which were clearly discussed in our minutes.
25
                   So my sense is that the RAB has all
```

1 participated. 2 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, February 10th is out there, and we'll have an opportunity to discuss it. It 3 will be an e-mail and at our next --4 MR. WHILDEN: Right. 5 FACILITATOR KERN: -- committee meeting. 7 Any other thoughts on that item? Committee business. We had no committee 8 meeting in December. It makes it quick. I hope everybody 9 had a great holiday season while you were missing the non-10 11 scheduled meeting. 12 MS. FANELLI: Can I make a request or offer? 13 I have in the interim since the last planning meeting looked at changing the alarm system on building 67. 14 I was successful in doing that so that. 15 We could accommodate the planning meeting at 16 building 67, and I would like to request that we hold it 17 there. Only because it makes it a lot easier on me 18 19 personally. 20 We could hold it downstairs around the table 21 and there's whiteboards and there's actually our library and information there, so it does make schlepping materials 22 to the extent that there are materials that we have that 23 24 you might want to review -- it makes them handy. So I put that out there if you would consider 25 Page 12

- 1 moving it to building 67. We don't have alarm issues
- 2 anymore in that building.
- 3 MS. CHEEVER: Could you explain where
- 4 building 67 is?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Building 67 is walkable from
- 6 here. It's right off the YMCA. It's on Martinez Street,
- 7 and there's parking right next to the building, as well.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: Sort of directly across
- 9 and uphill from the Lover's Lane Bridge, just to the north
- 10 of that. We all know where that is.
- MS. FANELLI: We have a coffee maker and a
- 12 refrigerator. We have other amenities, you know, in the
- 13 building.
- 14 So I request that you guys consider that.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: Do you want to get back
- 16 to me or decide tonight?
- 17 MS. SEGAL: Yes. Decide tonight.
- MS. KRAMER: Let's decide.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Let me put it this way:
- 20 Does anybody have any strong objections to moving where the
- 21 meeting's going to be? It's only been that we've gone
- 22 there for the last fifteen years. You'll have to reprogram
- 23 yourself strongly to show up there, bang on the door, throw
- 24 rocks at the window.
- MS. FANELLI: We'll unlock the bottom door.

- 1 It also gives me a higher level of comfort, because the
- 2 building is secure. When we're inside, it's secured. We
- 3 can secure it, so people can't wander in, whereas we don't
- 4 have that luxury at 1750.
- 5 I'm always concerned about intruders. We're
- 6 on the second floor and the building is virtually unlocked.
- 7 Here the door they would come in is the
- 8 door -- the area where we'll be sitting in. So it will be
- 9 fine from that standpoint.
- MR. BERMAN: Is building 67 the building
- 11 where somebody came in and swiped the computer a couple
- 12 years ago?
- MS. FANELLI: The building has always been
- 14 very secure. The building next to ours is the Telecon
- 15 Building. Our building is very secure.
- 16 It took me a while through working through
- 17 the system to modify the alarm, so it clicks on later on
- 18 those days where it would click on later so we wouldn't
- 19 have to deal with that issue.
- 20 It has motion sensors and the whole nine
- 21 yards. That's why I haven't proposed using it before.
- MR. BERMAN: Well, I would propose if
- 23 there's no objection that you send out an announcement to
- 24 everybody with a little map on it indicating where building
- 25 67 is. So they'll know and need to be reminded.

- 1 It adds power to the message that it's not over in
- 2 1750; there's a map included.
- MS. FANELLI: And truthfully if you're
- 4 unhappy and it doesn't work out, we can reverse, but if you
- 5 would give it a try.
- FACILITATOR KERN: There's one notable -- at
- 7 least one notable person who's not here, Mark, who runs the
- 8 meetings. So let's all try to make sure he knows where it
- 9 is.
- MR. BERMAN: Tell him there's a full service
- 11 bar in 67.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Then without
- objection, our planning meeting will be at building 67.
- MS. FANELLI: Thank you.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Moving on to
- 16 item number 5, RAP4, landfill 8 and 10.
- Is there anything we haven't said? Any --
- 18 any news from your side of the --
- MS. FANELLI: Not in terms of the RAP.
- 20 Since -- we're still in the public comment period.
- We've received some comments, some that may
- 22 not be RAP oriented. They were tree oriented, and I know
- Julie heard some of those comments last night since we were
- 24 both at a Public Health Services sort of neighborhood
- 25 meeting that happens on a regular basis, and as you know,

- 1 we've removed several trees before bird nesting season, and
- 2 we have received a significant number of comments on that.
- I don't consider them RAP comments because we
- 4 removed the trees per the Vegetation Management Plan which
- 5 was processed through NEPA a long time ago during a
- 6 separate process.
- 7 So we -- we haven't made any changes, because
- 8 we're waiting for the -- obviously all the comments to come
- 9 in, and then the burden will be on us in the next couple of
- 10 weeks to respond to those comments.
- We are still making slow -- slower progress
- 12 than maybe we had hoped, but not inappropriate on some of
- 13 the design issues. We've been looking at the basic design.
- I know Doug has received what we call our
- 15 sixty percent document, things that we're trying to deal
- 16 with are the -- from a design and implementation
- 17 standpoint, there's four main things that we've been
- 18 working on. Not that have been vetted or reviewed by
- 19 stakeholders yet completely.
- One is the Remedial Action Workplan which has
- 21 not been reviewed or issued to stakeholders to review.
- 22 We do have design plans to specs, but they're focusing
- 23 mainly on basic rating.
- We are not yet flushed out to include a lot
- of the vegetation issues, although internally the Trust is

```
working with the Park Service on several of those issues
 1
     for landfill 8, discussing them so that they can be
 2
 3
     reflected in design documents.
                    A document that has not been issued for
     review yet, either is what we call the engineering report,
 5
     and it's basically all the calculations and engineering
 6
 7
     analysis that support the plans and specifications, and in
     this case, the main issue is the -- the seismic stability
 8
 9
     grading.
10
                   So these would be the engineered stamped
     calculations, et cetera, that support those design changes.
11
12
                   So plans one, specs at the other, the
     engineer's design basis and then the work plan. They're
13
     sort of started, but they're not flushed out already for
14
15
     prime time, and they likely won't be until the close of the
     comment period, which is appropriate until we respond to
16
17
     comments.
18
                   So that's all I have unless there's specific
19
     questions.
20
                   MS. CHEEVER: As I mentioned to Eileen as we
21
     were walking out, there's plans to put 2,000 willows on the
     slope of landfill 10, which sounds very nice, but that's
22
23
     not in these documents yet, is it?
24
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  No.
25
                   MS. CHEEVER:
                                 Where will that be? Is that a
```

little bit out of our area? 1 MS. FANELLI: The revegetation plan will be 2 in the plans and specifications. 3 MS. CHEEVER: I see. 4 MS. FANELLI: And how they're implemented will be -- it's not a construction element. It will be 6 reflected in the work plan, and I said I was going to say 7 originally Lew Stringer had identified up to 2,000 willows 8 in this one particular area of landfill 10, and there's 9 also thousands of other plants that are actually being 10 propagated that are scheduled for planting, too. 11 The detail of how and where they get planted 12 is not worked out yet, and so you don't have that detail. 13 When we have it, of course, we present it --14 15 happy to present it to the RAB at the appropriate time. FACILITATOR KERN: I have sort of an ongoing 16 concern. I don't know if you have the answer. It's one of 17 the ones I know that we talked about when we first started 18 19 this process sometime back was the idea of the slope kind of being opened to the environment that is kind of 20 currently raised, and this sediment transport to Lobos 21 Creek, are there any thoughts about how that's going to be 22 prevented? 23 24 MS. FANELLI: Erosion control is a major 25 element, and actually there's been a lot of discussion

- 1 again between the design engineer, which is a company
- 2 called H.T. Harvey & Associates, working directly with the
- 3 natural resource people, both the Park Services and ours,
- 4 as to different erosion materials and fabrics given how
- 5 they work based on how they plan to plant the slope, what
- 6 type of plants.
- They've also been talking about irrigation,
- 8 that the plan does include temporary irrigation to try to
- 9 jumpstart the plants.
- 10 So they've been talking about what that
- 11 irrigation looks like. It depends on how they plant the
- 12 slope, but they've been making internal progress on that.
- And I think when we get through some of those
- 14 basic issues and make sure that the technical experts have
- worked through it, then there's -- then there's a framework
- 16 or a plan to present that we know is going to work or at
- 17 least has the blessings at the staff level that they think
- 18 it's going to work, and then they can continue to work
- 19 through the details in the particular areas.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: I just -- I thought I
- 21 would bring it up, because I don't know if you're aware
- 22 that there are at least three projects within the
- 23 remediation program in the past that have been completed
- 24 and then we got a big rain and it made a major erosional
- 25 washout.

```
1
                    It happened at fill site 6-A. You might
 2
     check into what happened about that.
 3
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                   Mm-hmm.
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        There were -- there were
 5
     issues at Baker Beach 1, you know, the big landslide issue,
 6
     and then most recently, I -- I only had the opportunity to
     know this because when my students got invited out to the
 7
 8
     Nike swale to help replant that and there's a big erosional
     feature there that's -- that happened in a relatively light
 9
10
     rain.
11
                   So I just wanted to bring those to your
12
     attention because it caused some major issues.
13
                                  I'm a little aware with 6-A
                   MS. FANELLI:
     and Baker Beach 1. We've been monitoring Nike swale, so
14
15
     I'll look into that and see what's going on. But that's
16
     new information.
17
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Okay.
18
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Erosion's causing a problem.
19
     It's a key issue. The design documents and how we plan
20
     erosion, and an additional key issue is just dust control
21
     in general and managing soil and piles during the
     construction and during the vegetation clearance.
22
23
                   So we're working pretty hard up-front to
24
     internally make sure -- we feel that we've got a plan
25
     that's going to work -- and then share that plan and modify
                                                                Page 20
```

```
1
     as necessary.
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
 2
                                       Jan.
                   MS. BLUM: I can't remember. What month
 3
     does construction -- what month will construction be
 4
     completed on landfill 10?
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: All the construction, we're
 6
     trying to get a drop dead -- everything's buttoned up by
 7
     October 15th, 2009, and then we'll be working with Natural
 8
     Resources on the planting.
 9
                   Now, because I know that they like to
10
     have -- at least my experience they like to have at least
11
     one dose of rain. They like a little bit of moisture when
12
     they plant.
13
                   So there's a little bit of flexibility in
14
     that timing, but we would really -- I would like to be
15
     buttoned up and out of the site by October 15th. Meaning
16
     the plan is in and all the emotion features are there.
17
                   But I want to defer to the experts on soil
18
     conditioning, if we can -- if we're not getting that from
19
     mother nature, how do we mimic that with water or hoses or
20
21
     whatever we have to do.
22
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 Doug?
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Sam.
23
                   MR. BERMAN: Was the erosion in 6-A on new
24
25
     soil that was added or --
```

FACILITATOR KERN: There was a lot of soil 1 put across the top of the whole site to, you know, produce 2 a new grade, and there was kind of a little mini swale that 3 was leading down to the creek from kind of around the side 4 of the redwood trees, and that eroded out to a pretty nice 5 6 little feature. 7 MR. BERMAN: So when soil is put on top like that, it's not compacted at all? It's just dumped? 8 FACILITATOR KERN: I'm sure there was some 9 compaction. There was just a really big rain, and that was 10 a gully and it just -- it was primarily kind of a sandy 11 12 soil, too, that was put down. MR. ULLENSVANG: It was compacted. 13 14 MR. BERMAN: Pardon? MR. ULLENSVANG: It was compacted. 15 16 completion report has all the compaction data, but it 17 eroded. I think when they do compaction, 18 MR. BOGGS: just to add a little engineering, there's different levels 19 20 of compaction. 21 Like he said, it's in the report. I don't remember what the exact levels were. I did see there was 22 23 considerable erosion, but I know they don't want to compact the top as tightly, because if you compact it too tight, it 24 doesn't support vegetation. 25

```
1
                   So your undersupport layer like for a road,
     they compact very tightly, but here they wanted less of a
 2
     standard so they can support the habitat.
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 So you think that will just go
 5
     away when the vegetation begins to be more mature?
 6
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  This is an issue that we are
 7
     working on with the geotechnical engineers and with the
     restoration ecologists because we're trying to find that
 8
 9
     appropriate balance between where you compact, if you have
     to compact, and then how you get the soil placed and
10
11
     anchored.
12
                   Most of the soil we place would be anchored
13
     if we place it on a steep slope with some type of fabric or
14
     erosion control fabric or netting, and we're working
15
     through those issues now.
16
                   MR. BERMAN: So 6-A didn't have any
17
     anchoring, then?
18
                                  I don't think it did.
                   MS. FANELLI:
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        I don't think it did.
20
                   MS. FANELLI: Our design criteria right
21
     now -- and I think it's reflected in the RAP -- is that if
22
     we're working on steeper than a two and a half to one
23
     slope, that we would do an -- anchor that soil in some form
24
     or fashion.
25
                   There's a lot of different anchoring
```

- 1 material, and so that's what they're working through is
- 2 what would be the appropriate thing to place on that slope.
- FACILITATOR KERN: It might be worth just a
- 4 visit out of to that Nike swale.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: I will visit it. We're going
- 6 out tomorrow.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: Any other thoughts on
- 8 RAP4?
- 9 MR. BERMAN: I must say October 15th looks
- 10 great if we meet that. It really looks like a nice
- 11 accomplishment, and I'm speaking I think for many people
- 12 here, we'll all be clapping our hands for October 15th if
- 13 we make it.
- MS. FANELLI: Thank you.
- I won't lie to you. I'm a little worried
- 16 about schedule right now. We're working through it and I'm
- 17 asking the engineers to work backwards with that end date
- in mind to see what we need to do, and we have some
- 19 flexibility in how we phase construction, but I'm not too
- 20 worried that we're not going to make it.
- There's no panic at this point, but we need
- 22 to get all of our other front end issues, our design issues
- and our options out to bid.
- We're working on the schedule now, and I
- 25 should be able to give you a better report on schedule at

```
the next meeting, which actually happens to be right after
the summer.
```

- FACILITATOR KERN: I note that the comment
- 4 period ends this Saturday.
- 5 How will that be handled exactly? Does that
- 6 mean next Monday or that Saturday or how do you want to
- 7 deal with it being on a Saturday?
- 8 MR. BOGGS: Generally my agency is
- 9 postmarked by that date. There are some post offices that
- 10 are open on Saturdays.
- 11 Generally speaking, if there's additional
- 12 comments a day or two later, we don't turn them down. It's
- in the interest of the project and the interest of
- 14 everything.
- If it comes in a week later, probably not,
- 16 but we don't immediately just say, "Okay. If you didn't
- 17 get it to the post office for some reason on Saturday, your
- 18 comment's not valid."
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay. Thanks, Bob.
- 20 Moving on --
- MR. BERMAN: Just one thing about the
- 22 comments.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah.
- MR. BERMAN: Have there been any comments so
- 25 far that you've looked at that might cause a conniption?

```
1
                   MS. FANELLI: You know, I think it's just
 2
     premature for me to respond, to be perfectly honest. I'm
     not actually collecting all of them. I get them. The ones
 3
     I get, I forward on.
 4
 5
                   Genevieve and Richard from DTSC has been
     collecting them right now, and when we get them all,
 6
     we'll -- it's just premature. We'll be looking at them.
 7
 8
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Item number 6, any
 9
     comments from Bob or Agnes about things going on for you?
10
                   MS. FARRES: Well, we're continuing to make
11
     progress with the petroleum program, and I've generally
12
     issue one or two closure letters a month since last August,
13
     and I issued another closure letter today for sixteen more
14
     tanks.
             So --
15
                   MS. FANELLI: We were very happy to receive
16
     it. Thank you.
17
                   MS. FARRES: You're welcome. There's many
18
     more to come.
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Peter.
20
                                I'm just following up on our
                   MR. O'HARA:
21
     last meeting. Bob had brought up the -- a comment about
22
     Mountain Lake and where that was going, and at that
23
     particular time, I believe you said that there was dialogue
24
    between the parties, and I'm wondering if that dialogue
25
     continues and if there is any resolution on the horizon.
```

```
1
                                 Actually, Eileen's probably in a
                    MR. BOGGS:
 2
     better position to answer some of that than I am.
 3
                    My contact what I mentioned at the last
     meeting had to do with Caltrans coming to my office on a
 4
 5
     couple of occasions with their consultants discussing
     different issues on their side on Mountain Lake.
 6
 7
                    Part of that from my understanding is they
 8
     would do a letter or response to the Trust and the
 9
     Department of Justice regarding something.
10
                   So they were actively looking at information,
     et cetera to come back. I think -- they're almost to the
11
12
     point of having money on the table. They're big dollars
13
     and they're nowhere anywhere close to each other at this
14
     point what Caltrans wants to pay versus what's being asked
15
     for.
16
                   So it was a lot of issues around that.
17
                   So all I know -- and again, it's from what
     I've picked up in discussions with Caltrans -- is that they
18
     will do a letter -- to prepare a letter in response to the
19
     Trust and the Department of the Army, and I'm not sure who
20
21
     all the parties were to the letter, but that was the
22
     purpose of their meeting.
23
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  I can add that indeed there
24
     have been ongoing settlement discussions and letters have
25
     been exchanged. I cannot disclose any content of the
```

- 1 letters.
- I can tell you that the tolling agreement
- 3 with Caltrans ends on the 30th and there has been no
- 4 progress or motion to change that tolling agreement.
- 5 So if there is not a settlement, then there's
- 6 likely going to be a filing, but we're very close to the
- 7 end of the tolling period. So if there's not progress,
- 8 then it looks like there's going to be a filing.
- 9 The Trust is -- has filed against Zurich on
- 10 Mountain Lake, and so those -- again, I can't disclose the
- 11 content of that discussion, but we are in an active lawsuit
- 12 at this point with Zurich.
- 13 FACILITATOR KERN: Jan.
- MS. BLUM: Can you explain what a tolling
- 15 agreement is?
- MS. FANELLI: I think of tolling agreement
- 17 as a stay. It's just an agreement to stop the clock and to
- 18 talk.
- MS. BLUM: Okay.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: So that you preserve your
- 21 statutory deadlines to file or sue, and the discussions
- 22 during the tolling period are held in confidence and
- 23 they're not allowed to be dredged up, I guess, when you go
- 24 into your lawsuit. You kind of start from a clean slate to
- 25 the extent you can.

```
1
                    FACILITATOR KERN: I have a question maybe
     clarifying for a comment that we might include in our RAP4
 2
 3
     comments with respect to the Mountain Lake overflow pipe.
 4
                    Can you tell us, without disclosing -- which
     I'm sure you won't, but can you tell us whether that's
 5
 6
     connected to the RAP particularly or --
 7
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                   It's an element -- it's an
     element of the lawsuit most definitely, and it's part of
 8
     the discussion.
 9
10
                   The RAP indicates maintenance repairs to the
11
     pipeline, and so that's included in the RAP, but the -- the
12
     details of that right now are also included in the
13
     discussions with Caltrans.
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Okay.
                                               Jan.
15
                   MS. BLUM: This has been such a long
     process, but I seem to recollect that when you were talking
16
17
     about how much it would cost to clean up Mountain Lake, we
18
     didn't really know. There was a range.
19
                   So without revealing any secrets, how in the
20
     world would you ask Zurich for cleanup money? I mean, how
21
     do you skate around that?
22
                   If you don't really know what the plan is,
23
     how do you know how much money to ask for?
24
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  We have a good --
25
                   MS. BLUM: Or is that a secret?
```

- MS. FANELLI: We have a good idea from
- 2 engineering estimates, conservative estimates on what's the
- 3 higher end.
- It's a risk analysis, basically. We use our
- 5 engineering capability to get our best handle on what the
- 6 costs might be. We estimate what the potential risks might
- 7 be and all of those go into the settlement discussions.
- 8 MS. BLUM: As a tactic, would you ask for
- 9 maybe 25 or 35 percent or even fifty percent more than you
- 10 think it's going to cost in lieu of rising costs? Is that
- 11 part of the negotiation process?
- MS. FANELLI: You know, I have to tell you
- 13 that Caltrans has a lot more engineers on staff than the
- 14 Trust has in terms of doing engineering cost estimates,
- 15 since they're a transportation agency.
- So I can't really reveal the strategy, and
- 17 we're in a tolling agreement still. So, I mean, we have
- 18 talked numbers. We're -- we are not in alignment, as Bob
- 19 alluded to at this point.
- MS. BLUM: I'll ask my what-if questions as
- 21 we go down the road.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Sam.
- MR. BERMAN: Is there any sense for Zurich
- 24 to be a participant in the lawsuit? In a sense, they stand
- 25 possibly to lose on this, and this was almost a point where

- 1 Zurich could be an ally of a sort.
- 2 So it's a curious thought that came to me
- 3 when you're actually talking about Zurich's involved in
- 4 this -- involvement in this.
- 5 So I'm just wondering, could they be a
- 6 partner?
- 7 MS. FANELLI: That's not how it is right
- 8 now. We have two different contracts. We have an
- 9 insurance policy, a contract with Zurich. We have other
- 10 agreements with Caltrans, and so basically we're talking
- 11 about our agreements with each other, and they don't really
- 12 interact in terms of there is no agreement between Caltrans
- 13 and Zurich. There is no relationship there.
- MR. BERMAN: Well, there's no relationship,
- 15 but the outcome of the lawsuit could affect Zurich.
- MS. NEWTON: But why would Zurich take any
- 17 action until any claims made and won by the Presidio were
- 18 won? They have no reason to do anything until they become
- 19 responsible.
- MR. BERMAN: In this new year, logic may not
- 21 prevail.
- MS. PASSERO: I was thinking it might be a
- 23 collateral interest in some way.
- MS. NEWTON: I would think so.
- MR. BOGGS: It could be insurance companies

25

```
fighting on both fronts, because truth is, the more the
 1
 2
     Trust is able to extract from Caltrans as part of this
     lawsuit, the less that the insurance company would be
 3
     liable for on the other hand.
 4
 5
                   MR. BERMAN: Yeah. That's the reason why
     they might want to participate if it was to their
 6
 7
     advantage, you know. But --
 8
                                     Well, very good. Well, I
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
     guess at the end of this month, you'll know something more.
 9
10
                   MS. FANELLI: Oh, I can at least tell you
     we've filed with Caltrans.
11
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       I guess that would
13
     actually become quite public information at that point.
14
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  You know, I'm not an attorney
15
     and I don't imagine during a lawsuit a lot of it is.
16
     would be all privileged information.
17
                   Even a lot of the technical discussion might
18
     be privileged depending on how it goes, but I'm sure
     they'll tell me what is public information and what is not.
19
20
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Bob, did you have
21
     anything from your shop?
22
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                Um --
23
                   FACILITATOR KERN: You still have a job?
24
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                I still have a job. I will
```

Page 32

likely be going on furlough two days a moment. There's no

- 1 guarantee I'll get a paycheck in two months. I might get
- 2 an IOU, but -- yeah. It's all politics that I'm not even
- 3 remotely worried about.
- 4 My agency as a whole is generally considered
- 5 pretty safe at this point in time because there's taxes on
- 6 hazardous waste generation and disposal; there's permitting
- 7 fees. There's what we call VCAs, voluntary cleanup
- 8 agreements.
- 9 My time's paid for by the Trust. Other sites
- 10 that are cleaned up, the responsible party's required to
- 11 pay for engineering oversight.
- So generally my agency's almost an end zero
- on the state economy, so they don't really look towards us
- 14 when they need to make cuts.
- That being said, our agency's going --
- 16 undergoing drastic changes and there's lots of people that
- are very upset about it, but the agency is going from a
- 18 much more traditional pyramid type structure to a much
- 19 flatter team structure.
- In fact, it's holding up a couple things
- 21 right now because things are having to go through team
- 22 review. Basically they've created a Peninsula team,
- 23 Hunters Point, Presidio, a couple other sites. A couple
- 24 private sites, basically sites they expect to show progress
- 25 and show success as being part of the teams.

- 1 So basically they've said okay. Here's your 2 opportunity to be on this team, so then in the process of 3 that, they said well, the Presidio will be on the team 4 whether you want to be on the team or not, so they've decided which sites will be on the team, and then everybody can go with their sites or not. 7 I'm planning on staying with the Presidio. So the -- a number of these things are kind 8 9 of getting some review. 10 There's a few additional things that are kind of coming up on the back burner. Fill site 6-A as you 11 12 brought up, there's some things to finish up there. 13 We're working on some issues within my agency 14 having to do with the land use of what we call the LUCMRR. 15 Issues with Mountain Lake. 16 I can talk to you about what I know because 17 I'm part of a public agency. I haven't been part of a 18 tolling agreement or anything like that. 19 A lot of the information I don't know because
- 20 it isn't shared with me, as well, but I do know Caltrans is
- 21 looking at -- they requested actually if it were possible
- 22 for my agency to essentially assign responsibility in this
- 23 case, which we've declined. We can't and we don't do that
- 24 on a legal basis.
- We're more likely under CERCLA law to name

- 1 all the parties and then the parties need to work out their
- 2 own responsibility. We don't name someone solely
- 3 responsible. That's basically what they call a deep pocket
- 4 law.
- 5 And also pursuant to that, there -- you know,
- 6 they're looking to us to decide how responsibilities for
- 7 different portions of the contamination at Mountain Lake
- 8 might be divvied up, and again, that's not really something
- 9 my agency does or that sort of thing.
- 10 So that's probably why we're not part of the
- 11 whole legal process, as well, but I have made my files
- 12 available to them and they have talked about them.
- They've also looked at whether they could do
- 14 the cleanup under our purview, kind of like they've done at
- Doyle Drive, completely separate from the Trust, which has
- 16 other difficulties, as well.
- 17 They also proposed an alternative cleanup
- 18 method than what's being proposed in the cost method.
- 19 We're not proposing it, but saying what if we wanted to
- 20 basically dewater the lake and then basically excavate the
- 21 sediments.
- It's not a live lake at this point.
- 23 Dredging's a very dirty, difficult ugly water business to
- 24 deal with, especially at something like Mountain Lake.
- 25 So again, it's something that -- if that

- 1 alternative were put through a feasibility process, of
- 2 course we'd want to have it consider all the pluses and
- 3 minuses.
- 4 So it left them with a lot of information.
- 5 They've obviously got people thinking about what could be
- 6 done there, thinking about what portion is their
- 7 responsibility and what is not and then now hopefully the
- 8 lawyers can work out really where that level is and what
- 9 the best way of working that out.
- I guess the other -- only other thing is yes,
- 11 we're getting comments in on the RAP. We're also looking
- 12 at the sixty percent design and looking at the construction
- 13 completion report for the Baker Beach sites.
- 14 For the Baker Beach sites, we've already told
- 15 verbally to the Trust that we're not going to sign off on
- 16 the construction complete until after the rainy season to
- 17 see how the landslide holds up after one year.
- And that's about it from my side.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, this Mountain Lake
- 20 thing has been a huge issue for us for many years, so I
- 21 want to express our appreciation for the work that all of
- 22 you are doing on behalf of that resource to get that
- 23 cleaned up. We appreciate it very much, and I wish you
- 24 luck.
- Julian.

```
1
                   MR. HULTGREN:
                                    I have a question for Bob.
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
 2
                                        Please.
 3
                   MR. HULTGREN:
                                    Let's see now.
     understand it, there are negotiations between the Trust and
 4
 5
     the -- and Caltrans.
                                 Mm-hmm.
 6
                   MR. BOGGS:
 7
                                   My question really is:
                   MR. HULTGREN:
     there's a settlement there or if it has to go to litigation
 8
 9
     and there's a judgment that does not satisfy our full
10
     claim, do we then have a right to look to Zurich?
11
                                 That part of the legality I'm
                   MR. BOGGS:
12
     not sure about and I'm not qualified to really even say.
13
                   MR. HULTGREN:
                                    Okay.
14
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                Since it sounds like they have a
15
     lawsuit against Zurich, that is potentially the case, and
16
     you might know more and might not be able to disclose
17
     anymore, but I know very little about that as far as --
     again, my jurisdiction stops at making sure the lake gets
18
19
     clean.
20
                   Who pays for it and how they share it is not
21
     as much of a concern as is it clean enough.
22
                   MR. HULTGREN:
                                   The reason -- the real reason
23
     I was asking, I think you said that Zurich is not a party
24
     to the negotiations or whatever.
25
                                I -- I think Eileen said that.
                   MR. BOGGS:
```

```
1
                                   Not with Caltrans.
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                                       They are
 2
     not, no.
               Zurich does not talk to Caltrans.
 3
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                What I --
                   MR. HULTGREN: They talk to the Trust.
 4
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: Oh, yeah. Zurich talks to the
     Trust.
 6
 7
                   MR. HULTGREN:
                                   If there is any settlement of
 8
     significance, it has to be approved by Zurich?
 9
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  No, it does not. Not
10
     necessarily.
11
                   MR. HULTGREN: Can't they come back and say,
12
     "You gave it away for nothing and we don't have a
     liability"?
13
14
                   MS. FANELLI: No.
15
                   MR. HULTGREN:
                                  No?
16
                   MS. FANELLI: But I'm not the legal counsel
17
     and I don't opine on those kinds of details in our
     policies, but we have very good attorneys working on it, so
18
19
     it's -- they're balancing all of those competing concerns
20
     and risks to the extent that they exist.
21
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 That's why I thought that there
22
     was some advantage for Zurich to be a party to the lawsuit
23
     so that they would be in there before they belch.
24
                   MR. HULTGREN:
                                   I suspect that there's some
25
     arrangement that -- between the attorneys with Zurich,
```

perhaps, that they will be -- somehow want to go sign off 1 on any kind of solution, but I don't know. 2 I just think the attorneys must be aware of 3 something like that, must be thinking about it. 4 FACILITATOR KERN: Gloria. 5 6 Since Eileen mentioned about the 7 attorneys, is it -- can you tell us like how are they compensate -- are they outside attorneys? 8 MS. FANELLI: The Trust. The Trust has 9 in-house counsel. 10 11 MS. GEE: Okay. 12 MS. FANELLI: And then we are represented by the Department of Justice when we file suit as a federal 13 14 agency. I don't know who Zurich's counsel is. 15 16 have in-house counsel and I don't know actually if they 17 have any outside counsel working on this. 18 MS. GEE: Even the in-house counsel, I 19 mean --20 MS. FANELLI: They're Trust salaried 21 employees. They wouldn't have to incur 22 MS. GEE: 23 additional costs that have to be passed around somewhere or 24 -- I was just wondering.

Page 39

MS. FANELLI: The attorney's costs to the

- 1 Trust are not affecting the Army budget at all, if that's
- 2 the question. They are not.
- 3 MS. GEE: Thank you.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: John.
- 5 MR. BUDROE: Just out of curiosity, is it
- 6 public knowledge yet where the Trust is suing Zurich? If a
- 7 lawsuit has been filed, that's public record to some
- 8 degree.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I don't have a copy of the
- 10 complaint, to be honest here. It's seven pages long. It
- 11 has to do with our contractual agreement and differences in
- 12 interpretation of their responsibilities per the insurance
- 13 agreement that we have signed with them. That's the basis
- 14 of the disagreement.
- MR. BUDROE: Is that something that can be
- 16 brought back to a future meeting? Because that's kind of
- 17 an important piece of information for the RAB to know
- 18 what --
- MS. FANELLI: Well --
- MR. BUDROE: Where exactly -- where Zurich
- 21 is contractually.
- 22 MS. FANELLI: I can't bring you the
- 23 complaint. I'm sure that that's privileged information.
- MR. BUDROE: If it's been filed with Federal
- 25 Court?

- 1 MS. FANELLI: It's been filed through our
- 2 Department of Justice. I can ask and see what I can tell
- 3 you, but I doubt I can bring you the complaint.
- 4 But I can tell you that it's over their
- 5 contractual obligations to pay our claims and read through
- 6 the lines.
- 7 If I'm suing them about their contractual
- 8 agreement, they're not obviously telling me they're going
- 9 to pay my claim, so we have a disagreement about the
- 10 claim --
- MR. BUDROE: Right.
- MS. FANELLI: -- at Mountain Lake.
- MR. BUDROE: Where I'm going to with that,
- 14 this is a document that -- I could go down to Federal
- 15 District Court and get a copy of this myself, so there
- 16 wouldn't be any reason why the Trust couldn't --
- MS. FANELLI: If that's the case, then I
- 18 would imagine it's public information. I'll have to check.
- 19 I'll ask Andrea what can be disclosed and what can't.
- 20 MS. PASSERO: Just one clarifying question.
- 21 The issue with Zurich, though, is bigger than Mountain
- 22 Lake? It's much broader.
- 23 MS. FANELLI: It encompasses Mountain Lake
- 24 and one other site, small site, but it's an interpretation
- 25 of the contract, yeah.

MR. BERMAN: You remember we tried to get 1 the details of the agreement through the -- through the 2 Freedom of Information Act and we tried and struggled for 3 quite a long time and failed to do that and we lost a RAB member who got very ticked off about it. 6 MS. PASSERO: I remember that. 7 MS. BLUM: Oh, yeah. FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Let us move 8 9 Thank you for those comments. on. 10 Eileen, do you have under new business anything you can tell us or might share with us about RAP5? 11 Sure. RAP5, we are in the 12 MS. FANELLI: planning stages. We have an internal stakeholder review 13 14 draft, which you have a copy of, of the work plan for fill 15 site 1, landfill 2 area. 16 Our plan -- so maybe I should back up. You remember RAP5 is the two Tennessee Hollow sites and 17 includes several Baker Beach coastal sites. Baker Beach 18 19 1A, Merchant Road, which we're not sure is a site yet, but we're going to work through on the work plan, Baker Beach 2 20 and battery frontal slopes. 21 22 Did I get them all right? 23 MR. ULLENSVANG: Mm-hmm. 24 MS. FANELLI: The work plan is out for internal review and then we're going to finalize it and 25

of that debris.

- 1 submit it for DTSC for approval for fill sites 1 and 2. 2 We have gone to our internal process to 3 get -- do some vegetation clearing to allow us to do an investigation, and that investigation in a nutshell is to 4 do some trenching on a grid pattern across the area of fill site 1 and landfill 2 to determine the -- get a better 7 handle on the actual volume of debris and to collect samples to characterize that material with the presumptive 8 9 remedy at this point of clean closure for those sites. 10 We hope to do that veg clearance next month and at the same time do our field investigation. 11 12 So we're going to be talking about we need to set some dates, but we're hopefully going to talk about the 13 14 work plan as a team. 15 You had some -- we had some schedule 16 limitations, so I need to talk to the group -- the stakeholder group and find out a new time next week 17 18 hopefully to talk about that work plan in particular. 19 So that's where we are there. 20 Now on the Baker Beach sites, we're still 21 working on developing a veg -- there the issue of the old 22 roofing material if you remember on top of the batteries, 23 and we do want to do some visual observation of the extent
- I think historically -- and Brian, you can

- 1 add to this or modify it if I don't get it right, but when
- 2 we did veg clearances is when a lot of this roofing
- 3 material that has the PAHs in it was determined, was
- 4 discovered, and so it was sort of a surprise.
- 5 Some of it was even discovered on 2-A. As
- 6 you did more veg clearance you saw some more debris. Not
- 7 necessarily roofing material, but it's hard to see what's
- 8 actually there with the veg.
- 9 So we've been working on some systemic plan
- 10 to remove some vegetation to do some observation, and we
- 11 don't want to remove too much vegetation, but we want to
- 12 remove enough so that we can get an idea of where the
- 13 roofing material is, and then from that, we would develop
- 14 an actual sampling plan to characterize the limits of the
- 15 waste, the extent of it, where the edges are and how deep
- 16 it is, et cetera.
- 17 And so that work plan would come after we do
- 18 the veg clearance. The veg clearance -- we're hoping to
- 19 get it obviously done before March 1st so we have minimal
- 20 or no impact on bird nesting season.
- That's all I have on that.
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: On the Merchant Road
- 23 site, is that the old Merchant Road alignment that's been
- 24 changed? For some reason, I'm not sure what Merchant Road.
- 25 MR. ULLENSVANG: It's the fill area between

Merchant Road area that was not realigned and Bowman Road 1 2 also called Covered Way. It's a dirt trail immediately east of the 3 battery area. 4 5 MS. FANELLI: It includes sort of a larger 7 area, too, where some of the -- the drawing tested sort of a big circle and it includes some of the current parking 8 9 area. 10 MR. ULLENSVANG: The parking lot is much of 11 it. 12 MS. FANELLI: The bridge offices, as well. 13 MR. ULLENSVANG: The temporary bridge office that the bridge uses for temporary. Several years ago, the 14 15 Trust did an initial series of investigation trenches in 16 that area. FACILITATOR KERN: So Eileen mentioned that 17 there is a report out that we're looking at where they're 18 19 going to investigate fill site 1 and landfill 2. 20 There be a similar investigation for these 21 other --22 MS. FANELLI: Yes. 23 FACILITATOR KERN: -- sites? 24 MS. FANELLI: We'll begin that once we do 25 some field observation once we get the extent of the source

```
1 material, the roofing material.
```

- I should add that when we do these trenches,
- 3 we're trying to be very proactive. We're going to have
- 4 archaeological oversight so that we can actually have a
- 5 good handle on whether any of this material is of
- 6 significance and so we can plan on how it will be handled
- 7 during the cleanup, and we also have a commitment from the
- 8 Army to do some oversight to help determine the probability
- 9 of unexploded ordinates so that we can again plan a little
- 10 bit more proactively when they're in the mass excavation
- 11 situation.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: Can you give us just a
- 13 general idea -- you mentioned the vegetation clearance --
- 14 how far you want to go given you don't really know how far
- 15 you want to go? It's kind of a chicken and egg thing
- 16 activity.
- MS. FANELLI: I think actually they planned
- 18 it fairly well. I did not bring the map, but it went to
- 19 our end square process, but it showed exactly where they're
- 20 going to clear.
- I know my staff, Genevieve and Ryan have
- 22 walked the Steve area with Peter and Mark. They've looked
- 23 at avoiding any sensitive habitats. We're not removing any
- 24 trees.
- We're going to field fit our work as best as

- 1 we possibly can.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: Do you know if they based
- 3 that on previous samples?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Oh, I'm sorry. The grid was
- 5 laid out based on a review of historical information. So
- 6 we kind of went back to square one and pulled all of the
- 7 data and historical maps that we could find that -- that
- 8 describe what might have been dumped where, and fill site 1
- 9 in particular, there looks like -- we know from old test
- 10 pits.
- I think you were present for right behind the
- 12 springs and it looks like it might be the reservoir for
- 13 that dam structure was the natural hole for the debris.
- But the debris doesn't seem to extend as far
- 15 to the east as we might have thought. There might be some
- 16 fill, but there isn't as much debris.
- So we want to really confirm that and make
- 18 sure we have depth.
- 19 Landfill 2 we looked at some historical Army
- 20 maps that showed actual dumping. It actually showed what
- 21 they identified as a borrow area.
- Usually borrow areas are where you're taking
- 23 material to use as daily cover if you're doing a landfill.
- We're not quite sure what that area is or
- 25 what it was backfilled with. But we want to look at that

- area, as well, to make sure there isn't any debris or garbage in it.
- We looked at area maps that included
- 4 topographic and aerial photos, if that makes sense.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: Just one other -- I
- 6 appreciate that. I was actually -- I was actually meaning
- 7 the Baker Beach sites --
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Oh.
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: -- where they're going to
- 10 clear that vegetation.
- I was just wondering if that was based on
- 12 just walking down the slope or previous data points that
- 13 we've already collected.
- MS. FANELLI: It's a combination of all of
- 15 those. It's where we know that there's material, where we
- 16 know that the original source of the material was likely
- 17 placed, which these bunkers were in on historical earth
- 18 works.
- We're really looking to clear an area where
- 20 we don't have a lot of analytical area to see if there's
- 21 roofing material and source material there.
- We are not presuming if it's not there that
- 23 there's an absence of contamination. We might sample it a
- 24 little bit differently.
- 25 If we see roofing material, we'll assume that

```
we have a problem, and we'll look at depths to focus our
 1
     efforts to find out if it's in the depth or just the
 2
 3
     surface.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I know I'm talking about
 4
 5
     this in front of everybody, but I'm just thinking out loud
 6
     that let's say in the extreme case, you cleared a whole
 7
     bunch of vegetation and there wasn't any contamination
 8
     there.
 9
                   Would that be a big impact or is this an area
10
11
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  That would be great to know,
12
     because at this point, we don't know what volume of
13
     material we're dealing with to do a feasibility study, and
14
     we don't know where it's located.
15
                   So if we were going to remove material, it
16
     would be good to know what we're removing.
                                                 Is it a surface
17
     issue, is it a depth and surface issue and how deep and
18
     where is it relative to the receptors? Is it associated
19
     with historical earthworks, which might have a different
20
     set of issues in terms of remediation or an open trail
21
     area.
22
                   That's what we're trying to get handled.
23
                                     I think the answer to
                   MR. ULLENSVANG:
24
     Doug's question is that it could have a significant impact.
25
                   If we cleared a large area that turned out
```

- 1 not to have any contamination, it could have a significant
- 2 impact.
- MS. FANELLI: We're sensitive working
- 4 through it so that we don't clear things and create an
- 5 erosion problem.
- FACILITATOR KERN: That's what I was getting
- 7 at.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: I'm sorry.
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: I'm not being clear.
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. That's exactly the issue
- 11 we're looking at. We're trying not to create an erosion
- 12 issue so we want to do enough clearing so that we can have
- 13 confidence, but not create a problem.
- MR. BOGGS: We do have a lot of information
- 15 from past investigations, so even just the cleanup work at
- 16 1A, when they were putting the trails project through there
- and did the clearing for that, we found a lot more piles,
- 18 source areas for this debris.
- So that kind of led us to believe yes, we
- 20 have to do additional work, because there was piles of this
- 21 source material that we never knew existed.
- 22 The other thing that we had done -- I guess
- 23 it was two years ago now -- is by the bunkers that are
- 24 covered with this asphalted material, we actually did a
- 25 little sampling where we stepped out one foot and then a

- 1 couple feet further and a few feet further and then also
- 2 looked at different depths.
- 3 So we actually found out that the stuff does
- 4 migrate a little bit, but not a lot. It's what you'd
- 5 expect from the material of what it is, a tarry material.
- It eroded a little bit, but only a foot to
- 7 two feet deep right by the things, and as we got a little
- 8 bit away, two or three feet away from the bunkers, the
- 9 contamination was down near cleanup levels.
- 10 So we kind of expect a similar thing where we
- 11 expect piles of this asphaltic material on the cliff there.
- 12 It will probably be above it and a little bit
- 13 below it from the rain, but probably not too extensive.
- So we have that information and we just have
- 15 that additional information that there were additional
- 16 piles of this stuff placed at areas further down the hill,
- and I think a visual investigation very detailed is really
- 18 what's going to be needed here.
- And then, you know, we'll also look at the
- 20 broader issue of a broader contamination area, but based on
- 21 past information, it's probably pretty local. It's
- 22 probably in those areas of the asphaltic material.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Do you have any scheduled
- 24 milestones for RAP5?
- MS. FANELLI: We are still trying to adhere

- 1 to our quarterly schedule. We -- the schedule doesn't have
- 2 a lot of detail like work plans coming out now, but it does
- 3 have us completing all of our field investigation
- 4 activities basically through this winter and spring, so
- 5 that we can have an F/S and supplemental RI report and the
- 6 draft RAP completed in summertime frame.
- 7 And then we would follow up, you know, a
- 8 little bit earlier than what we've been doing with RAP4, so
- 9 that RAP period would be in the late summer, early fall and
- 10 do the design documents so that we can construct and
- 11 implement remediation in 2010.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: Jan.
- MS. BLUM: I know that we've just come off
- 14 this GGNRA big year for endangered species throughout the
- 15 national park, and so it's raised a lot of consciousness of
- 16 other things beyond birds.
- 17 We want to know the breeding habits of other
- 18 animals on the Presidio, finding out do the restoration
- 19 activities disturb breeding circles.
- If we're working on multiple sites at the
- 21 same time, that takes away a lot of habitat, and whether
- 22 that's good or bad.
- I know we're really conscientious about the
- 24 birds, but I want to know about the other animals.
- MS. THOMAS: On landfill 5, that was kind of

- 1 our first try, and it was almost like a parking lot, and
- 2 all we did was birds. By the time we got to fill site 6,
- 3 we had a complete baseline data program that was set up by
- 4 some folks at Berkeley and we did do creatures before and
- 5 creatures after and we actually had been monitoring those
- 6 ever since, and the graph we have might be very interesting
- 7 to this committee of how -- how Andy has mainly been
- 8 monitoring the wildlife species through time. It's
- 9 very interesting. Before we did the remediation, there
- 10 were breeding birds. There's always more species than you
- 11 think there are going to be.
- 12 Before we did the Mountain Lake restoration,
- 13 I was amazed as how much birds there were. We mainly did
- 14 birds, but the amount of breeding birds that we have now is
- 15 really gone up, and we have also seen fish coming up the
- 16 creek and we document that and, you know, macro-
- invertebrates that come up.
- Actually, Doug was part of that monitoring
- 19 program with Berkeley, too.
- So I think that we did a really good job on
- 21 fill site 6 and hopefully we'll be able to continue that
- 22 type of level into other -- the sensitive habitats that
- 23 were created.
- MS. BLUM: Because the landfill 1 is such a
- 25 wonderful sort of dune, natural dune material.

- 1 Is there any special or endangered species
- 2 that is indigenous to that particular area? Is that
- 3 lyssengia at all?
- 4 MS. THOMAS: We don't have lyssengia there
- 5 now. The things that are there have actually been pretty
- 6 amazing to me that they survived that long, because there's
- 7 so much recreational use.
- 8 It's like this great big huge sandbox people
- 9 really like. But there have been the really nice dune,
- 10 colorful dune species that bloom in the spring, and we have
- 11 actually taken some of those species into the forest.
- 12 And I don't know if you recall when the first
- 13 forest was reforested near Julius Kahn, but there were dune
- 14 annuals that we seeded in there the first couple of years
- 15 that have bloomed really beautiful, and they were based
- 16 near those plant compositions near Julius Kahn.
- MS. BLUM: It sounds like things are
- 18 improving habitat. Maybe there's a short-term disturbance,
- 19 but the long-term is positive.
- MS. THOMAS: Well, that has been the case so
- 21 far. I can honestly say that that has been the case so
- 22 far, that these have improved it.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Any other questions on
- 24 the RAP5?
- Thanks very much.

```
1 MR. BERMAN: Will we get an update on the 2 sampling of when it's finished?
```

- MS. FANELLI: Oh, certainly. We'll get
- 4 photos. We'll be back in the field and I'll be back with a
- 5 monthly Power Point slides.
- 6 So when we're doing field work, we'll bring
- 7 you pictures and show you what's going on.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Do we have a financial
- 9 report?
- MS. FANELLI: Next month. Let me hedge
- 11 that. My quarter ends and I have to have my reports out by
- 12 February 15th. It's been a very hectic start to the new
- 13 year. We have a lot of things going.
- I will do my best to have the financial
- 15 report in February, but it may not be till March by the
- 16 time I get it all out. Sometime I'm pressed to meet that
- 17 April 15th date.
- Definitely in March if I don't have it next
- 19 month.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Good. Action items. I
- 21 have -- we have a new location for our meeting, planning
- 22 meeting, building 67. I need to send out a map,
- 23 announcement and let Mark know since he's not here and he
- 24 runs the meeting.
- I picked up on a couple things Bob mentioned,

```
1 the fill site 6-A issues which I'm not sure what those
```

- 2 might be, but I'm curious and the LUCMRR. That's the land
- 3 use control master report, reference report, two R's at the
- 4 end.
- 5 So I guess we'll find out what those are.
- 6 Financial report.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: Give me March, and I'll
- 8 surprise you if I can do it next month.
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: March. Very good.
- 10 Any other action items?
- We have a comment letter that we'll get
- 12 sometime soon for you to look at and sign on to if you
- 13 wish, and you also have the option, of course, to sign on
- 14 to that and write your own comment letter, as well, if you
- 15 wish.
- 16 Sam.
- MR. BERMAN: I think on the action items,
- 18 there's the agenda for the upcoming Summit meeting.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Summit meeting agenda.
- 20 That should be at our next planning committee.
- MR. BERMAN: Yeah.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. I would just
- 23 like to thank all of you for starting another year of your
- 24 great support of the cleanup of the Presidio. It's a lot
- 25 of hours.

```
It's very much appreciated by me and I'm sure
 1
 2
     the rest of the staff. I think you have great input. You
     definitely keep me in line. That's much appreciated.
 3
                   Are there any other comments for the good of
 4
 5
     the order? Without objection -- yes.
 6
                   MS. SEGAL: The agenda for the next regular
 7
     meeting will be the same day as the Summit meeting so we'll
 8
     hear about that.
 9
                   FACILITATOR KERN: So we'll definitely hear
     about the Summit meeting and how the agenda worked out.
10
11
                   Without objection, meeting adjourned.
12
                   (The meeting concluded at 8:25 PM).
13
                               ---000---
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )
3	·
4	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
5	discussion in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time
6	and place therein stated; that the foregoing is a full, true and complete record of said matter.
7	matter.
8	I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the mount
9	the parties in the foregoing meeting and
10	named, or in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said action.
11	Salu Accion.
12	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have
14	hereunto set my hand this
15	23day of Janua Ry
16	2009/
17	
18	MARK I. BRICKMAN CSR 5527
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
L 0	
l 1	
L 2	
L 3	
L 4	
l 5	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
l 6	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2009
L 7	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
l 8	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
L 9	
2 0	
2 1	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR License No. 5527
2 5	2. 2323

1 ATTENDEES

# pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt RAB Members: 2 Doug Kern, Facilitator Mark Youngkin Eileen Fanelli Brian Ullensvang 4 Bob Boggs Agnes Farres 5 Jan Blum Sam Berman 6 Jan Monaghan Gloria Gee John Budroe Edward Callanan 9 John Chester Barbara Newton Toni Kramer Kevin Whilden 10 11 12 ---000---13 14 15 BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of 16 the Meeting, and on February 10, 2009, at the Officer's 17 Club, Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California, before me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of 18 19 California, there commenced a RAB meeting under the 20 provisions of the Presidio Trust. 21 ---000---22 23 2 4 25

1		AGENDA	
2			Page
3	1)	Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern	4
4	2)	Agenda Discussion and Approval	4
		Page 2	

		pres 2 - 10 - 09. t x t	
5	3)	Announcements and Old Business	4
6	4)	Committee Business & Reports	
7		A. Planning Committee Report - Mark Youngkin	6
8	5)	Reports & Discussions	
9		A. Landfill 10 Design Update	9
10		B. Summit Meeting Report	16
11		C. RAP4 Response to Comments	16
1 2	6)	Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs	
13		A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC - None	
1 4		B. Agnes Farres, california RWQCB - None	
15	7)	New Business	5 0
16	8)	Review of Action Items	5 0
17	9)	Agenda Items for Upcoming Meetings	5 0
18	10	Adjournment	5 1
19			
2 0			
2 1			
2 2			
2 3			
2 4			
2 5			

1	FACILITATOR KERN: Welcome, everyone to the
2	Presidio Restoration Advisory Board meeting for February
3	2009. Happy inauguration for those that are inclined in
4	that way.
5	Welcome to the meeting, and does everyone
6	have an agenda? Are there any changes or additions,
7	deletions? Any announcements or old business?

```
pres2-10-09.txt
RMAN: I don't see it on the agenda,
                   MR. BERMAN
 8
 9
     but Mark did send around a very interesting e-mail. He had
10
     it ABOUT the infamous Zurich insurance policy.
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
11
                                        Indeed.
12
                   MR. BERMAN: And after our struggles, I did
     find that information good to inform the members to get
13
14
     that information. We find it's simply released as part of
15
     the case between us and Zurich.
16
                   It's kind of puzzling why we couldn't get the
17
     information from them before, but I don't know if anyone
18
     has any comments, but I thought it would be nice if
     everyone agreed that Mark could forward his e-mail to Dave
19
20
     Sutter who spent so much effort in trying to get that just
21
     so that if he still has an interest, he might read it.
22
                   I doubt whether that -- I mean, the e-mail
23
     says it's supposed to be still somewhat confidential, but
24
     Dave -- I was here when Dave struggled for nearly six
```

months, and I think Doug must have made innumerable phone

5

calls to Washington to get those documents released. 1 2 I found it sort of a bit of a development. FACILITATOR KERN: Well, my recommendation 3 is that we -- we review those documents and use them with 4 discretion, understanding the Trust is in their mediation. 5 6 I think it will help us understand if we study them. 7 That's my recommendation is that we review 8 them and I think the circumstances for them coming out in litigation is probably not a good thing for anybody, so we 9 10 certainly don't want to risk anything the Trust has

2.5

```
pres2-10-09.txt
```

- 11 underway in their processes.
- So I would certainly keep them, you know,
- 13 under advisement. I think that's the intent of the message
- 14 regarding -- not that they're confidential. They're
- 15 clearly public documents now, but that we use them with
- 16 discretion.
- 17 With regard to Dave, he certainly was
- 18 passionate about this subject and believed strongly that it
- 19 would help us to understand it.
- I certainly haven't had any contact with him
- 21 since he left the RAB, so I don't know if he would actually
- 22 enjoy it, but we could make contact with him.
- 23 Anyway --
- MR. YOUNGKIN: He certainly would have an
- 25 interest. He never responded before when we sent him the

- 1 commendation.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: Right.
- 3 MR. YOUNGKIN: I expected him to respond,
- 4 but --
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: Any other announcements?
- To the committee business, planning committee
- 7 report.
- 8 MR. YOUNGKIN: We had our committee meeting
- 9 on January -- January 27th, that's right. We have a new
- 10 meeting place in case anyone wasn't there, building 67, the
- 11 mediation program building and conference room downstairs.
- 12 We will not be meeting in building 1760 again. It has been
- 13 a long time.

```
pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Fifteen years or so.
15
     It's hard to imagine -- I didn't really want to go back
16
     there, but it's such a habit.
17
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
                                  So at the committee meeting,
18
     we had a discussion of fill site 6A and the closure request
     submitted for that. We talked a little bit about the
19
     groundwater monitoring that's done.
20
21
                   There's still some sampling issues that
22
     remain to be worked out on that, but those seem to be minor
23
     issues.
                   We had a discussion of the LUCMRR. I wish I
24
25
     could say what that acronym means.
                                                                7
                   MR. BOGGS: Land Use Convenant Reference
 1
 2
     Report.
                   MS. FANELLI: Land Use Control.
 3
 4
                   MS. BLUM:
                              What?
 5
                   MR. BOGGS: Land Use Control Master
 6
     Reference Report.
 7
                   MS. FANELLI: We call it LUCMRR.
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: That's the document that
 8
 9
     keeps track of contamination that will remain in the ground
10
     for perpetuity, whatever the planning document. If they're
11
     going to put a trench somewhere.
                   So that document is under consideration and
12
13
     review by DTSC and they're trying to finish that up.
14
                   Spent quite a bit of time on the Summit
     meeting agenda and looked through those issues.
15
16
                   March is our next quarterly status report
                              Page 6
```

```
pres 2-10-09.txt
with the Presidio Trust, and then we also talked about the
filing of the lawsuit with Zurich on Mountain Lake.
That's basically it. So our next meeting is
```

the 14th of this month in building 67. See everybody

21 there.

20

- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: Questions.
- MS. BLUM: With regard to the quarterly
- 24 financial reports, you were kind enough to send me a disk
- of the last one, but the numbers are so small -- I mean,

- 1 I'd have to -- the printing is so large.
- 2 Is there any way that you could print
- 3 strategic sheets for those that are interested in actually
- 4 a readout or printout rather than submitting on disk? My
- 5 PC is just not big enough to be able to read it.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: I sent electronic PDFs to the
- 7 RAB and I can bring a hard copy to the meeting if that will
- 8 work.
- 9 MS. BLUM: How many pages -- I can't
- 10 remember. How many pages was the last one?
- MS. FANELLI: Several pages, because it has
- 12 several tables and the schedule attached to it. I'd say
- 13 all total, it's 25 pages.
- MS. BLUM: That's a lot of pages.
- MR. BERMAN: Get a magnifier.
- MS. BLUM: Yeah, but it's just so hard to
- 17 read. Okay. I'll work it out.
- MR. BERMAN: There's software. You blow it
- 19 up like a big magnifying glass.

```
pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt
20
                   MS. BLUM:
                              I do know how to blow it up.
21
     It's not very successful.
22
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
                                   Buv a new PC.
23
                   FACILITATOR KERN: There's a good
24
     recommendation.
                   MS. BLUM:
2.5
                               Thank you.
                                                                9
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: There'll be a meeting
 1
 2
     afterwards to help lan with her report and her computer.
 3
                   MS. BLUM:
                               And get a new PC.
 4
                   Thank vou, Mark.
 5
                   Going on to item number 5. Our first item is
 6
     landfill 10 design update. So members of the RAB can
     understand kind of where we are in the process.
 7
 8
                   You've probably heard the term "sixty percent
 9
     design," and we can give you an update of what that means
10
     and what's left to go with -- with the design at landfill
11
     10.
12
                   Would you -- those of you that are -- I can
13
     start if that -- that would be good.
                   All right. So my understanding of the design
14
15
     process is that you have -- in the Remedial Action Plan,
16
     there are general descriptions of what the remedy is such
     as a cover or excavation, and it may not get into the plans
17
     of how much is dug out or where it is exactly, although
18
19
     sometimes there are quite a bit -- there's quite a bit of
20
     information.
                   There's a lot more detail in the design
21
22
     process. So with landfill 10, the design includes the top
```

```
pres2-10-09.txt
```

- 23 deck, all of the detailing of the parking lot, the various
- 24 drainage systems, and because it's kind of connected with
- 25 the development at Public Health Service Hospital, there

- 1 are -- there's a lot of overlap in that design process.
- 2 So there's elements that really aren't much
- 3 to do with remediation. They're more in the development,
- 4 but they need to be done simultaneously.
- 5 So we've seen drawings. I think Brian, Bob
- 6 have been given -- and I've been given larger drawings that
- 7 we could review. They have -- sometimes it's more general
- 8 concepts.
- 9 We're going to have an overlook here, and
- 10 there's a drawing of it. Those things at the sixty percent
- 11 level tend to have more changes that happen. It's kind of
- 12 like put this out there and more information comes in.
- 13 Things need to be moved around.
- 14 My understanding of landfill 10 design, it's
- 15 a little bit still in flux given that we're -- there's
- 16 still discussion about landfill 10.
- 17 That's an introduction. Any of you want to
- 18 add to that?
- 19 MS. FANELLI: There's -- I would say that on
- 20 the sixty percent review, we have comments back, and our
- 21 next step will be to do what we call ninety percents.
- 22 Close to final, but there's still modifications that need
- 23 to be done.
- We are trying to get those done as soon as we
- 25 can, and the issue that's being discussed with those

```
drawings, response -- is related to the final RAP
 1
     acceptance, which is how do we interpret or how do we
 2
 3
     describe the supplemental soil that is going to be placed
 4
     or will be placed on the soil.
 5
                   So I can tell you that from the sixty
 6
     percent, we do have a base grading plan, if you will. We
 7
     have staple full configuration, and now we're talking about
 8
     some of the additional items that will go on top of that,
     where we put soil, how we put soil, and mostly the plan
 9
10
     details that will go into that, as well.
11
                   And those are still being discussed and will
12
     likely -- we will fall behind schedule. We will not issue
13
     the ninety percents as we had planned in the next week or
     so until we kind of finalize those discussions, but it is
14
     my sincere hope those discussions are indeed finalized in
15
16
     the next week or so because there will be serious schedule
17
     ramifications if we go beyond that.
18
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
19
                   MR. BERMAN: Who is the contractor?
20
                   MS. FANELLI: The designer is SES
21
     Engineering. There is no contractor selected yet.
22
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 So have you had interactions
     with them previous to this landfill 10?
23
```

12

with them on other designs.

MS. FANELLI: SES? No. I have not worked

24

2.5

# pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt

1		MR.	BOGGS:	l've w	vorked wi	th their with
2	Mo, their lead	e n g	ineer fi	fteen y	ears ago	for a period of
3	about five yea	rs.	l actua	lly hel	ped trai	n him to do
4	feasibility st	udie	S .			
5		MS.	NEWTON:	Di dn'	t we mee	t him?
6		MS.	FANELLI:	Yeah	ı. He's	been here.
7		MR.	BOGGS:	He's a	known e	ntity in my realm,
8	and the man he	wor	ks with,	Tariq	is a str	uctural engineer,
9	seismic struct	ure	engi neer	has ha	d experi	ence with him and
10	has respect fo	r hi	m. It's	a good	l firm.	
11		MS.	FANELLI:	l'm	very ple	ased with the work
1 2	that they've o	o n e	so far o	n our s	tructura	l design.
13		MR.	BOGGS:	l thin	ık they'v	e kind of
1 4	c h a r a c t e r i z e d	it w	ell. We	have s	till som	ne issues to work
15	out regarding	the	slope.			
16		l'm	not cert	ain whe	ther our	next step is able
17	to go to ninet	уре	rcent fr	om wher	e we're	at, because ninety
18	percent usuall	y is	kind of	like t	he final	with the tiny
19	touches. We r	night	not be	there y	et. It	might take a
2 0	little tweakir	g be	tween ni	nety ju	ıst becau	se there's still
2 1	things to be v	vorke	dout.			
2 2		We d	o have t	he base	grading	plan that's
2 3	already been v	vorke	dout, s	o I thi	nk that'	s pretty well. It
2 4	develops the s	lope	. It de	velops	the seis	mic safety, that
2 5	sort of thing.					

- It's just the topping and how that works out 1 2 is going to take some work. 3
  - MR. BERMAN: Can you remind me again if Page 11

#### pres2-10-09.txt

there's anything -- after you put in the topping soil. 4 5 whether there's going to be some kind of cover on that? 6 MS. FANELLI: Erosion control? 7 MR. BERMAN: Yeah. 8 MS. FANELLI: There's definitely several 9 different erosion control features considered and still 10 under consideration, including fabrics and on the slope, anchoring on the slope, the kind of material that's placed 11 12 there as well as drainage features at the top, and there 13 might be some type of area not decided if it's temporary, 14 long-term or permanent. Some type of structure, as well. 1.5 All of those limits are still being 16 finalized. 17 I can tell you that definitely fabric on the 18 slope is a given. 19 MR. BERMAN: Is there going to be any 20 lighting at all? 21 MS. FANELLI: There will be lighting in the 22 parking lot, yes, and the lighting is being designed by the 2.3 Trust planning and their consultant, GNC, which is working

14

This set of documents is a little bit
different. It has a whole bunch of utility type work,
electrical work and other elements that you don't normally
see in a restoration document we've done so far.

FACILITATOR KERN: I was at another meeting
today where there happened to be a discussion that was

with our consultant, SES, and they are included in our

24

2 5

plans and specs.

#### pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt

- 7 related to this. Somebody mentioned the Public Health
- 8 Service Hospital project. There's a whole dark skies
- 9 initiative going on for people that are really considering
- 10 the lands end area and other areas in the park to be the
- 11 most dark sky sanctuaries for star viewing and things of
- 12 that nature, and they recommended that if it was still
- 13 possible, to consider, you know, screening and sort of
- 14 downward pointing lights.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: In our comments on the
- 16 sixty percent, we requested that the lighting be dark sky
- 17 compliant, and there are different types of fixtures that
- 18 are proposed in the design we saw.
- One is clearly dark sky compliant. The other
- 20 one the Trust says what it is, and we think that it may be
- 21 a name that's listed on the dark sky, but their contingent
- 22 is dark sky.
- MS. FANELLI: That is our policy. So there
- 24 is no conflict there.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: We also ask that the light

- 1 not flow beyond the parking lot.
- 2 MR. BERMAN: So there's actually been a
- 3 tentative lighting design with placement --
- 4 MR. ULLENSVANG: The sixty percent has a
- 5 lighting design.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: It's more than tentative.
- 7 There is a lighting design. It is more than tentative.
- 8 MR. BERMAN: The placement of the post and
- 9 things like that and supports for that lighting have all Page 13

### pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt

- 10 been worked out?
- MS. FANELLI: Pretty much. They might
- 12 change still. Because it's sixty percent.
- That is not a remediation led issue, so we
- don't have any comments on that. That's actually part of
- 15 the Trust planning on the fixtures. But they are included.
- MR. BERMAN: One of the more difficult
- 17 things to undo after it's done.
- MS. FANELLI: I'm sure that it is.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, there may be
- 20 more -- yes, please.
- MS. MONAGHAN: I thought we were going to
- 22 see the plans tonight.
- 23 Is it possible to bring them to the committee
- 24 meeting?
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: It is. Of course it is.

- 1 yes. We have -- I have a copy. I didn't realize. I
- 2 should have brought mine. My mistake.
- 3 I'll make a note of that.
- 4 MS. MONAGHAN: Can I ask one more? Are we
- 5 going to have to do this as a public bid or is there a
- 6 pre-selected group of contractors that are --
- 7 MS. FANELLI: We will bid it. When I say
- 8 "bid it," it won't be necessarily bid to the whole world
- 9 advertised. It will be through our qualified vendor list.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Yeah.
- MS. FANELLI: But we will get competitive
- 12 bids.

# 2 10 00 144

	pr es 2 - 10 - 09. t x t
13	MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
14	FACILITATOR KERN: We may have more
15	questions may come up on the landfill design, 10 design as
16	we go.
17	Items 5B and C are sort of combined, so we
18	might talk about those at the same time or they might be
19	separate.
2 0	The Summit Meeting report. There were
21	several of us here that were at the meeting, Mark and Jan
2 2	and myself, Brian and Bob and Eileen, and I ask Jan if she
2 3	would say from her perspective what happened today and then
2 4	we can hear from others.
2 5	MS. MONAGHAN: Then you can fill in.
	17
	1
1	I had three things I thought we'd talk about,
2	and one was the I didn't know about was the closing
3	process for RAP3 and what that means to actually get all
4	the documentation in place and have the DTSC sign documents
5	when the project is closed.
6	That's on schedule for March presentation,
7	and the second thing I've heard today that I didn't know
8	about is that Caltrans is trying to move up the Doyle Drive
9	project because they think they're going to get some of the

So -- and then the bulk of the day was talked 12 -- we talked about RAP4 and the discussion about review 13 14 comments, and I just thought I would read the slide.

stimulus money, and so that was kind of surprising. I was

We received eight oral comments and 23 Page 15 15

10 11

surprised.

- 16 letters from the public, and they were all grouped around
- 17 different topics of tree removal, landfill 10 remedy,
- 18 landfill 8 remedy, site investigations, soil disposal, cost
- 19 and construction impacts, and the construction impacts I
- 20 think mostly came from neighborhood groups and the
- 21 neighbors to the jobsite.
- Those are the things, and landfill 10 seems
- 23 like there's still a lot of construction issues that
- 24 haven't been worked out, and landfill 8 seems like it's
- 25 pretty well wrapped, I'd say.

- 1 It's going to have a soil cover and it pretty
- 2 much the way that we've been talking about that project is
- 3 going to be finished.
- 4 Anybody agree with that?
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: I agree with how you've
- 6 put that. I'm not sure I agree with the remedy, but that
- 7 is -- that is moving ahead in that direction. I hold out
- 8 hope some day to eventually remove it somehow.
- 9 MS. NEWTON: Were the construction concerns
- 10 of the community related to remedial action issues or were
- 11 they lighting and --
- MS. MONAGHAN: There was tree removal
- 13 questions, and then I think people were concerned about
- 14 what the slope is going to look like, people that have the
- 15 houses across the street.
- MS. NEWTON: Aesthetically?
- 17 MS. MONAGHAN: I think so. Trucks and noise
- and construction, you know. They don't want the trucks in Page 16

- 19 their neighborhood.
- 20 MR. BOGGS: There was also -- to categorize
- 21 some of the comments, there was comments about the remedies
- for both landfills 10 and 8, that they generally preferred
- 23 like the removal alternative.
- There was comments about the groundwater from
- 25 the two landfills and concerns that hadn't been a hundred

- 1 percent impressed with those landfills.
- 2 There was some issues regarding concern --
- 3 again -- erosion, I mean, on landfill 10 which also again
- 4 parallels with the remedy selection, and I'm sure I'm
- 5 missing a group of two.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: The majority. They really
- 7 clumped around those sort of five topics.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: So my understanding is
- 9 that there will be a document published with written
- 10 responses to these comments and the comments were grouped,
- 11 as | an said, and then there's like a response to all
- 12 similar comments.
- And that should be coming out --
- MS. FANELLI: It will come out when I think
- 15 we get the RAP, the RAP language.
- MR. BOGGS: It comes out together.
- MS. FANELLI: I don't think there's a lot of
- 18 issue with the responses that have been drafted so far.
- 19 It's more how do we clarify any of those items in response
- 20 to the -- to the comments in the actual document.
- So we're talking about some of that Page 17

- 22 clarification language, and then when DTSC is -- is
- 23 satisfied, then they will be issued.
- I should add that the comments -- and
- 25 Genevieve didn't make it clear today. In addition to

- 1 summarizing the comments, our current plan is to attach
- 2 everybody's comments.
- 3 So all the comment letters from -- several
- 4 from you all will be attached to the actual document so
- 5 that people will be able to see their actual comments as
- 6 well as the summary.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: There was a large
- 8 discussion today around landfill 10. Most of the -- it's
- 9 kind of paralleled what we've done over the last year, ten
- 10 months or so. A lot of discussion around the slope area.
- 11 So there will be more discussion over the
- 12 next few days, short time period.
- 13 | I -- | think there's a lot of room still for
- 14 fine negotiations and people to reach agreement. I think
- people were still flexible, so I'm hopeful that everyone
- 16 will come together and come to a decision on landfill 10.
- 17 I'm confident that something will happen soon
- 18 on that. It pretty much has to.
- Brian, Bob, any -- any other questions,
- 20 Agnes? Mark.
- 21 MR. YOUNGKIN: I took a few notes here, too.
- 22 Let's see. RAP3 construction was completed in December
- 23 2008. All of the landfill sites are finished, I quess.
- 24 Construction completion report is in preparation, due in Page 18

25 March 2008, and that sort of dovetails with the LUCMRR

- 1 process because some of these sites require LUCMRR.
- MS. FANELLI: I can't remember. The RAP3s
- 3 have language control associated with it. The land -- the
- 4 LUCMRR document was actually prepared in 2004. It was very
- 5 timely.
- 6 Ryan Miya came in to help with the lead-
- 7 based paint hassles taken that on, and so we're actually
- 8 quite pleased that it's actually actively being reviewed
- 9 now.
- 10 It helps Bob get his job finalized, helps us,
- 11 and we had a very good meeting with DTSC on it, the
- 12 National Park Service.
- There isn't any area of disagreement on that
- 14 document. It's really more just getting it formatted
- 15 right, and I'm pretty confident as long as we don't lose
- 16 Ryan Miya or he drops it, following up. As long as we have
- 17 some help there, I think we should get it put together in
- 18 the next several months.
- 19 MR. CHESTER: What is the MAR part of the
- 20 acronym?
- 21 MS. FANELLI: Land Use Control Master
- 22 Reference Report. I don't know who made up that name.
- 23 Maybe Brian or Bob knows.
- MR. BOGGS: I think it came from --
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Made up.

MR. BOGGS: Previously. It just kind of 1 2 came out that way. For other federal facilities, we kind 3 of use it for like closing bases, the base master plan. 4 They basically have the facility plan that 5 carries ongoing controls that they have to maintain for 6 contaminated property that would include requirements of the transfers, you know. 7 8 It basically ensures ongoing stewardship 9 where any contamination is left in place. So federal facilities, it's a little bit of a 10 11 mechanism than for a private site. We can attach a deed 12 for a private site. There's not a deed for a federal 13 facility. It's referring to a slightly different 14 mechanism. 15 MR. YOUNGKIN: It came out that the Park 16 Service has its own system for land use controls. 17 MR. ULLENSVANG: We use it as part of our 18 general management plan. We use it for federal facilities 19 as a master plan, and I'm sure most of you are familiar 20 with our general master plan project. 21 If not, I'm happy to fill you in and refer 22 you to our Website. We're going through that part now. 23 MR. YOUNGKIN: You're working with DTSC to 24 sort of finalize that? 25 MR. ULLENSVANG: Correct. We may be

23

different than the Trust was doing it.

```
pres2-10-09.txt
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
 2
                                   But there are no Area 8 sites
 3
     that require it?
 4
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: There are no Area 8 sites
 5
     in RAP3.
 6
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
                                  And then there was discussion
     of the 227 USTs discovered that remain on the Presidio.
 7
 8
     actually 227 underground storage tanks that came up in
 9
     literature research somehow that have never been located or
10
11
                   MS. FANELLI: Well, sometimes there -- I
12
     can't really talk to the genesis exactly since I wasn't
     here when it was pulled together, but this stems back to
13
     the Army period and when it was transferred over.
14
15
                   Oftentimes they are duplicate tanks and
16
     oftentimes there's a footnote problem translating.
17
                   There's things in the original research I
18
     believe done by EKI working with the Army came up with is
19
     this a tank or is this not a tank?
20
                   As opposed to making a decision at that
21
     point, it kind of got clumped into a list, and so that's
     part of the research that we have to do to determine if
22
23
     it's there.
24
                   For example, we might know that we have two
```

tanks at a building, and on this list might be tanks 3 and

24

25

1 4. So we're not sure if they're tanks 3 and 4 or just a

2 duplicate counting of the first two tanks and there's a

3 certain amount of paperwork and other work just to cross

4 your T's and dot your I's just to make sure they're not

- 5 there.
- 6 MR. CHESTER: Is there consideration for
- 7 field work in identification --
- 8 MS. FANELLI: There has been some field work
- 9 done in the past on that, as well.
- MR. CHESTER: And in the future? It's still
- 11 an option?
- MS. FANELLI: If there's evidence that it's
- 13 really there, then we would do some additional work to
- 14 document whether it's there or not.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: And there's a contingency
- 16 plan if you hit a tank while you're doing construction or
- 17 something.
- 18 MS. FANELLI: Right. If we run across
- 19 things that are in the way of construction, we handle them.
- 20 Usually the Army's very good in handling
- 21 those types of issues, tanks that are identified, because
- they maintain some responsibility for that.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: We also talked about building
- 24 207/231. Because of the stimulus money, Caltrans would
- 25 like to speed that up by two years. So there's some

2.5

- 1 pressure now to finalize the plans and come up with -- the
- 2 plans are being submitted and edited. They're trying to
- 3 come up with the final plan of 207/231.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: We're trying to figure out how
- 5 to actually do it given all the other work in the area. We
- 6 know what we have committed to doing in the cap for that
- 7 site and the implementation work plan, but our conundrum is

```
pres2-10-09.txt
that there's a lot of other activities going on in the area
at the same time.

And so we're going to need to coordinate with
those activities, and it's been difficult -- unlike the
Public Health Service Hospital where we all tend to work
```

- 13 with the same organization, we can -- coordinating with
- 14 Caltrans is a little bit more difficult, in part, because
- 15 up till a few months ago, their environmental document
- 16 wasn't approved or certified and it is now.
- So they actually have a project now whereas
- 18 they didn't have one before.
- MS. BLUM: What are some of the other
- 20 projects that are going on in that area?
- MS. FANELLI: That's the primary one, but
- 22 because it's in the historical area, they have some other
- 23 related work that they're doing.
- 24 For example, utility relocates in the area
- 25 that they're going to be starting sooner, and there's some

- 1 additional buildings that they're going to be dismantling
- 2 and relocating or supporting as part of that project.
- 3 There's historical buildings.
- 4 MS. BLUM: I know it was under consideration
- 5 at some point for the potential expansion of Crissy Marsh.
- 6 I was just wondering if that was part of it.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: That's the end land use. That
- 8 is the ultimate land use. That is also a consideration.
- 9 It's not -- it may be a construction consideration given
- 10 where the funding is for that.

#### pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt That is something else that we did talk about 11 12 this morning, talked about work with Caltrans and sort of 13 the vision for the expansion of the marsh that needs to be 14 all overlaid and coordinated. 15 MR. YOUNGKIN: And then the rest of the 16 committee was talking about the comments on the next part. FACILITATOR KERN: 17 There was --18 MR. YOUNGKIN: And I'll pass this around if it's okav. It's the handout from the 17th. 19 20 FACILITATOR KERN: Sure. I can pass one the 21 other direction. There was -- in addition to the -- the 22 23 comments that we talked about, the response to landfill 8

24

25

13

For landfill 10, there's a connection to

27

and 10, there were some additional investigations proposed.

Lobos Creek, so there's going to be some investigation of 1 2 the -- of that creek area and groundwater surrounding landfill 10. That's my understanding of it. 3 At landfill 8, there's proposed to be an 4 additional well installed to cover the control of 5 6 groundwater on the southwest side where there wasn't control downgradient. 7 8 So that is a -- that's a good result from my 9 point of view. 10 MR. WHILDEN: Where is that going to be 11 installed? FACILITATOR KERN: Well, if you can picture 12

landfill 8 -- and it's in these documents as it comes

```
pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt
     around -- they're sort of the blob and then this little
14
15
     panhandle off to the side.
16
                   Over in the southwest part, there's a lack of
17
     well control, so it would be in that area yet to be
18
     determined. That's where I think it would go.
                   MS. FANELLI: It's about that area, but an
19
     exact location has not been selected. It will be after the
20
21
     cap has been constructed, and it will be installed once
22
     that final construction is done, and included in the post
23
     monitoring program for that plan based upon what kind of
24
     data.
2.5
                   MR. WHILDEN: I'm glad to hear that.
                                                               28
                   Any reason why you wouldn't decide before
 1
 2
     construction? Is there issues of groundwater? Wouldn't it
     make sense to do that first?
 3
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: I don't think so. We have no
 5
     evidence of any groundwater impacts from either of the
     landfills.
 6
                   MR. WHILDEN: But --
 7
 8
                   MS. FANELLI: I suppose that's a difference
 9
     of opinion. I wouldn't want to put it in beforehand, and
10
     it would be in the way. It would be subject to getting
11
     damaqed.
                   There's a lot of work to do there and then we
12
13
     could get it installed.
14
                   It could be simultaneous depending on how we
```

destroy the wells that we have to destroy before we do the

bid it out, but like -- normally what we like to do is

- 17 construction.
- 18 MS. CHEEVER: What remedy is there for well
- 19 design?
- 20 MR. BOGGS: That's part of the whole
- 21 feasibility process. There is a large amount of data --
- 22 it's not that we don't have wells at landfill 8. We have
- 23 several wells at landfill 8 that have been monitored for
- 24 years. There's a lot of evidence that shows it's most
- 25 likely not contaminated.

- Doug did through his diligence find an I that
- 2 needed to be dotted. We have wells over at
- 3 gradient -- graded area 9 and didn't find water.
- 4 So we don't suspect that there's even a lot
- 5 of water that will be going there.
- 6 It doesn't mean that it can be channelized
- 7 flow, fractured flow, those kinds of things. The
- 8 likelihood of the major contamination going that way is
- 9 quite low, but it's a T that needs to be crossed, and the
- 10 Trust is crossing the T.
- So that's kind of I think a good result of
- 12 the whole process is they are going through that.
- There is recourse basically if we do -- this
- 14 is a kind that has kind of been the condition all the way
- 15 along. Same with landfill 10.
- There's probably more to do with landfill 10
- 17 groundwater. It's closer to Lobos Creek, but similarly
- 18 rather than hold up the remedy for the landfill, because we
- 19 know it's a very, very complex hydrology around that whole

```
pres2-10-09.txt
basin, but to put in soil remedy doesn't put -- prevent us
from putting in extraction wells or injection systems or
whatever is needed to address what contamination may be
there.

There's a lot of evidence that shows there's
not major contamination of groundwater.
```

3.0

```
Doug pointed out in part of his comments
 1
 2
     mercury levels in one of the wells. Not close to drinking
 3
     water standards, but we do exceed freshwater standards for
 4
     surface water.
 5
                   Well, this is groundwater, but it could
 6
     become surface water at Lobos Creek. Still got a ways to
     get there. We've got wells in between from -- at Lobos
 7
     Creek that don't show mercury, but it's enough of a concern
 8
 9
     that we will follow up on that, and that's part of what's
10
     been agreed to is --
11
                   MS. FANELLI: The Lobos Creek investigation.
12
     There's a whole basin there that will be evaluated as part
13
     of that.
14
                   MR. WHILDEN: I'm glad to hear about Lobos
15
     Creek.
16
                   MR. BOGGS: So we're not anywhere close to
17
     exceeding the drinking water standard in that one well and
18
     knowing that we have clean wells before that.
19
                   We know it exceeds the surface water
20
     standards, but we're not at a high risk of exposure at this
     point. We still have a lot of time even if it is a
21
22
     problem. My hydrogeologists don't think it's a major
```

- 23 concern, but it's a good idea to dot our I's, and thanks to
- 24 Doug's comments, we'll be doing that for a couple issues to
- 25 be brought up.

3.1

- 1 MR. WHILDEN: Great.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: Other -- yes, Sam.
- 3 MR. BERMAN: In reviewing the comments, were
- 4 there other submitted comments that had expressed similar
- 5 concern as to the RAB eight?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: They were virtually all on the
- 7 same topic, to be honest with you. They all asked about
- 8 landfill 8 removal. They talk a little bit about the
- 9 cemetery and they talked about erosion and cover and
- 10 landfill 10, but for the most part, they were very similar.
- 11 There were a few -- the trees in
- 12 particular -- some of the trees like please don't take out
- 13 the trees. There were a few do you really need to grade
- 14 the slope. They asked about the seismic question.
- But they were -- they were pretty similar.
- 16 We have copies of them.
- 17 MR. BOGGS: The only thing that was unique
- 18 to a couple comments was a couple neighbors asked about
- 19 sand in their backwards.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: One of the neighbors asked
- 21 about surface water flow from the Public Health Service
- 22 Hospital area particularly into backyards and things like
- 23 that. One particular neighbor.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: So my -- my view is the
- 25 process is moving forward. There are some actual responses

```
to our comments and some of the specific technical comments
     that are actually -- there's some work that will be done,
 2
 3
     and that is appreciated, and we hope to be involved in the
 4
     -- excuse me. In that plan.
 5
                   I'm particularly personally interested in --
 6
     in the whole protection of Lobos Creek, so something that I
 7
     mentioned today for consideration is my hope that the
     investigation of Lobos Creek can be moved up so we have
 8
 9
     time to actually do that prior to the whole insurance
10
     policy thing, and I think that will be considered, so we'll
11
     see.
12
                   Other questions that you might have about the
13
     process today?
14
                   MR. BERMAN: In spite of all the comments
15
     being -- suggesting that the remedy be changed to closure,
16
     there seems at the present time to have little effect on
17
     the plan itself.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Well, another way to
18
     answer that question is I don't know of anybody -- at least
19
     that wasn't mentioned that came out and said we like the
2.0
     cover at landfill 8 compared to removing it.
21
22
                   My -- my understanding is that it was the
23
     choice of the trust to cover up, and within regulatory
     standards, that was permitted.
2 4
25
                   So public comments were submitted and they
```

- 1 were -- I'm not sure I've actually read the exact -- or
- 2 heard what the exact response to please remove the
- 3 contamination at landfill 8 is, so I don't know.
- 4 It's effectively no, we're going to cover it,
- 5 but I don't know what the exact wording is. I haven't seen
- 6 it.
- 7 MR. BOGGS: I've seen it. In -- in just a
- 8 couple sentences, it basically summarizes that we consider
- 9 the alternative proposed the preferred alternative to be
- 10 protective of human health and the environment, but having
- 11 the same cover, we reduce the human health risks to
- 12 acceptable standards, and by having that cover, it provides
- an ecological standard that's also protective of the
- 14 ecological and special status species in the area, and
- actually the proposed cover supports expansion of
- 16 endangered species in the area.
- 17 So on those criteria, we consider that
- 18 alternative is protective of those things. It's acceptable
- 19 to our agency.
- 20 MS. BLUM: I'd like to ask a really basic
- 21 question. Do we monitor -- something like that in an
- 22 ecologically sensitive area behind the Public Health
- 23 Hospital where a lot of people will be using that for
- 24 recreation, there will be a lot -- in my opinion, a lot of
- 25 disturbance from a lot of different angles in that

- 1 particular area.
- 2 Does the remediation department and/or the
- 3 Trust monitor the cap over time, and if so, what do you do Page 30

- 4 and how long do you do it?
  5 MS. FANELLI:
- 5 MS. FANELLI: There will be an operation and
- 6 maintenance plan. It will be to maintain the cover in
- 7 adequate thickness and extent in perpetuity.
- 8 MS. BLUM: How frequently does somebody look
- 9 at that? Will someone look at the area?
- MS. FANELLI: At least it will be looked at
- 11 on an annual basis and we'll be reporting on it on a five-
- 12 year basis. It will be monitored on a regular basis.
- 13 If it restores a native habitat, it won't
- 14 really have trails through it on a regular basis.
- MS. BLUM: You never know what your luck is.
- MS. FANELLI: People might do that, but
- 17 obviously it's not encouraged. It's not a playing field.
- 18 The idea would be to protect the species in the habitat
- 19 that's being constructed, and so there will be monitoring.
- 20 MS. BLUM: So unless we have a huge wind
- 21 storm or some unnatural disturbance, once a year would be
- 22 the monitoring pattern?
- MS. FANELLI: It would be -- it could be
- 24 more frequently. There would be a formal sort of process
- 25 that I think would happen on a land use basis.

- Our land use document, which requires an
- 2 annual review, and to the extent that it was necessary
- 3 based on our experience, we could be inspecting on a more
- 4 frequent basis.
- 5 MS. BLUM: Did you say that only lasts five
- 6 years?

- 7 MS. FANELLI: No. It lasts in perpetuity.
- 8 There's a five-year report that's done.
- 9 MS. BLUM: Oh.
- 10 MS. FANELLI: There's another report for
- 11 CERCLA for all sites where there are remaining waste
- 12 materials.
- MR. BOGGS: That document, that five-year
- 14 review is actually what generated us taking these out at
- 15 landfill 10.
- There was actually a report of decision back
- 17 in 1995 or '93 that said monitoring and no further action.
- 18 and so through this five-year review, it was determined
- 19 that that remedy wasn't -- was no longer adequate.
- So as you pointed out, regulatory required a
- 21 formal what's called a five-year review report which will
- 22 be done every five years in perpetuity.
- For a landfill that has a cover, there's an
- 24 operation and maintenance plan.
- 25 Those require more up-front. They're going

- 1 to have to check it more frequently, but five years, ten
- 2 years down the line, those things become stable. As the
- 3 habitat becomes stable, you don't have to look at it as
- 4 frequently when you're just trying to get things developed
- 5 and situated.
- 6 MS. BLUM: But we have an opportunity to
- 7 actually see the physical cover. I've never seen one,
- 8 before it's covered with sand.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: See the landfill itself? Page 32

- MS. BLUM: At landfill 8. I would just like to see the cover that we're going to put over that before
- 12 we cover it with sand and plant on it.
- MR. BOGGS: The sand is the cover.
- MS. BLUM: Oh, the sand is the cover?
- 15 Great. Landfill 10 I'm thinking of. You're going to use
- 16 fabric there.
- Aren't you using some fabric on 10?
- 18 MS. FANELLI: There's erosion control
- 19 fabric. That's the standard fabric to adhere any soils of
- 20 supplemental materials that we put on it. That's the
- 21 primary erosion control fabric. There is no other separate
- 22 bio barrier at this point.
- MS. BLUM: That's the stuff you're going to
- 24 anchor.
- MS. FANELLI: Soils we're going to anchor.

- 1 MS. BLUM: What do you use to anchor the
- 2 soil with?
- MS. FANELLI: It could be something as
- 4 simple as a fabric. It depends on the geotechnical fabric
- 5 that we're going to put.
- 6 Things want to go down with gravity. So
- 7 depending on what we finally decide is an appropriate way
- 8 to cover areas that would be covered, then we will design
- 9 that anchoring system to address that.
- 10 We have some constraints. One is that we
- 11 don't like to use non-natural materials in area A. So
- those are some of the issues that we're still discussing.

  Page 33

- pres 2 10 09. txt But for the most part, we're hoping that we 13 14 can use standard juke type fabrics and natural fabrics to 1.5 anchor soil while plants are establishing themselves, and 16 then once the vegetation establishes itself, it tends to 17 hold the soil in place fairly well. 18 MS. BLUM: Mm- h mm. 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Any other thoughts from 20 those that were there around RAP4 comments? 21 MR. BERMAN: Were there any comments from 22 the senior managers that were present in responses to the 23 public comments? Did they voice anything at all? 24 FACILITATOR KERN: Yes. Yes. I would say 2.5 so. I can recall one related to what we were just talking 38 about, and Murphy asked Brian O'Neill kind of the same 1 2 question that Julie asked.
  - 3 If you find something in that well and you
  - 4 have lessingia, an endangered plant all over landfill 8 and
  - 5 we hypothetically have to remove the landfill, will that be
  - 6 a problem?
  - 7 And discussion went on for a while, but
  - 8 effectively, I think it -- I think he said it wouldn't be a
- 9 problem.
- 10 Let's see. What other kind of things?
- 11 It's hard for me to want to characterize or
- 12 say what other people said. There was certainly discussion
- around issues around landfill 10, the slope, and I think
- 14 there -- that -- a way to summarize that hopefully fairly
- 15 is that it was proposed that we meet again, the entire Page 34

- 16 group, within a week to try to resolve some of those
- 17 things.
- 18 Would that be --
- 19 MS. FANELLI: I think so. We're trying to
- 20 just make sure we all are agreeable when we use the words
- 21 that we use so that we can get that reflected in the
- 22 revised RAP that we hope will be then approved.
- And so I do have as an action item to let
- 24 everybody kind of coming take it on some of the things that
- 25 we talked about, and then I'm going to start calling and

- 1 trying to set something up for next week.
- 2 MS. NEWTON: And you'll do that before all
- 3 these official responses to made to the comments?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Yes. They would all go out
- 5 the same time as we got those issues worked out.
- 6 MR. BOGGS: There probably will not be much
- 7 change to the responses to comments. If any, very, very
- 8 minor changes, but we won't release it until the RAP's
- 9 final and attached as part of the RAP.
- Although we're making it a separate document
- 11 to send to every one of the commenters, so that each
- 12 commenter gets a response, that will be finalized at the
- 13 same time as the RAP.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Brian, can you think of
- any other comments between senior management?
- MR. ULLENSVANG: No, I can't. I think that
- 17 covers -- there may be some minor things.
- MR. BOGGS: Yeah. My supervisor had a lot Page 35

- 19 of comments and questions and a lot of interaction with
- 20 everybody, but it's hard to say that he had too many
- 21 specific comments as much as just interacting surrounding
- 22 issues.
- 23 MR. CHESTER: I had a question on the
- 24 landfill 10 cover, kind of what lan was talking about. It
- 25 seems to me in the laying of this fabric, the bluffs and

- 1 other area, there's been fabric laid.
- 2 Is that similar?
- 3 MS. FANELLI: Similar. Very similar.
- 4 MR. CHESTER: And have those sites -- are
- 5 they holding the soil? The places that it's already been
- 6 applied. They're not covering landfills, but the places
- 7 that this cover's been applied at the Presidio, have people
- 8 been able to determine that --
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I would say the answer to that
- 10 is yes.
- 11 MR. CHESTER: Plants are thriving? It seems
- 12 that that might be a good place to look.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: We use the juke fabric in
- 14 the park a lot where there might be erosion to help
- 15 stabilize, because you can plant through it. It's really
- 16 essentially a -- it is a juke woven fabric, so it's
- 17 fairly -- it's very biodegradable.
- 18 MR. CHESTER: I quess when I've seen it, I'm
- 19 not sure it look like it's doing what it's supposed to do
- 20 or not. You see the fabric.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: And it does help hold back Page 36

22 certain soil.

MS. FANELLI: There's lots of different

24 designs, too. Some are tighter, tighter meshed than

others, and so we're hopefully going to select the

41

appropriate -- that's why we have a specialist. The 1 2 appropriate type of fabric to support our end goals. 3 MR. BOGGS: I think grading is important. Even with that fabric, if you've got that parking lot 4 already in a one area, you're going to create those. 5 6 They're aware of that. They've already 7 started looking at that in design. The question that came 8 up in the sixty percent design is they had this block way that comes out of the lookout. Where does that drain? 9 10 That's already a matter of the source drain. 11 It's a combination of those things, and they're actually 12 looking at things you can do with the slope depending on 13 where we resolve the issues, putting in slope basins if 14 needed or not needed. 15 And so it is a good thing that -- they are 16 looking at it very closely because it's -- especially if 17 we're going to leave exposed slopes, that erosion -- even though through testing will show it to be clean before we 1.8 19 allow it to remain as an exposed landfill slope, by having 2.0 that erosion, you could potentially erode stuff that hasn't 21 been characterized well. 22 So they've done a good job in that regard just simply because of those concerns, and those are 2.3

concerns that Doug brought up, as well.

Page 37

```
1
     take extra steps of because of where we're at.
 2
                   MR. CHESTER: Sometimes you see that. You
 3
     see matted up fabric.
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: Sometimes it's not well done.
 5
                   MR. CHESTER: Not maintained.
 6
                   MS. NEWTON: I've seen the slopes and the
 7
     planting when I come through the Arquello gate and it seems
 8
     to have held pretty well.
                   MS. FANELLI: It's a well used and well
 9
10
     thought out technology.
11
                   FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Moving ahead,
12
     unless there are any other thoughts, I hope that everyone
13
     here realizes how significant and important your comments
14
     were in the process and much appreciated, so I think they
15
     were heavily considered by everyone, and I'm very
16
     appreciative of your participation.
17
                   Item number 6, regulatory agency. Bob or
18
     Agnes, do you have any other things?
19
                   MR. BOGGS: I think I've already provided my
20
     input.
                   MS. FARRES: No, nothing.
21
22
                   MR. BERMAN: Is there any intention of -- of
23
     downsizing DTSC in any way as part of the budget condition?
24
                   MR. BOGGS: They don't look at us because of
2.5
     the budgetary concerns because we weigh very, very little
```

```
1 on the general fund.
```

- 2 However, there's talk of layoffs, but we
- 3 don't know how them impact us.
- 4 Speaking to something that came up regarding
- 5 Ryan Miya, because our unit is -- agency's changing its
- 6 management structure, he's taken on being the team leader
- 7 for the San Francisco/Peninsula team, and he may be ending
- 8 up at Hunters Point, as well.
- 9 If he ends up doing that, he most likely
- won't have any time for us, which is really unfortunate.
- MS. FANELLI: He's very helpful.
- MR. BOGGS: He's one of the brighter people
- 13 that we have available. The fact that we have somebody as
- 14 capable as him was a real coups, and the person that I have
- 15 available is probably not much better than every person at
- 16 this table.
- MS. BLUM: That good.
- MR. BOGGS: Well, you all know far more
- 19 about this site than -- he doesn't know anything about the
- 20 site, and I don't mean to badmouth a co-worker at all.
- 21 [ust that he's -- I think this is an
- 22 important site and deserves a very strong technical
- 23 professional people to do the work here.
- We've been very fortunate like with Ron and
- 25 people that I'm able to recruit and oversee parts of this

```
pres2-10-09.txt
     here, and unfortunately because he's good, he may not be
 2
 3
     available.
 4
                   MR. BERMAN: Was that sort of thing
     discussed today at all?
 5
 6
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                No, and I probably shouldn't
     have said anything. It's still pretty tentative. It
 7
 8
     hasn't been decided yet.
 9
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  What was discussed -- I can
10
     put words into Dan's mouth -- there is a new team
11
     arrangement at DTSC. The whole intent on DTSC's part is to
12
     provide support to get us through.
                   I'm sure Bob -- he's always looking out for
13
14
     our best interest to get the best people he can to get
15
     working on our project.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: There was -- at our last
16
     committee meeting, as Mark mentioned, we spent a lot of
17
18
     time developing RAB input to the agenda, which I concluded
19
     most of it near the day before, I asked her if she wouldn't
20
     comment on the cash flow situation, which she did today.
21
                   Would you be able to talk about that briefly?
22
                   MS. FANELLI: Sure. I can give you some
23
     more information next month when we do the quarterly
24
     report. I do look at cash flow on a quarterly basis, as
25
     well.
```

For the last question, I have completed
through December 30th, but we were predicting that we would
meet our hundred million deductible under the Zurich
policy. At the same time as we basically kind of ran out

- 5 of Army funds.
- 6 That's good. We don't want to run out of
- 7 Army funds before we hit our hundred million. So that is
- 8 something that I manage, too, as you probably will become
- 9 aware if you'd like to read the Zurich policy, and I hope
- 10 you enjoy that lovely reading.
- 11 We -- our only -- not everything we spend is
- 12 allowable under the policy. There's several things that
- are not allowable, and so I try to make sure that when I'm
- 14 doing work, that I do the work that counts into that
- 15 allowable category, and that means that I'm working
- 16 basically on known or enumerated sites, not on unknown
- 17 sites.
- So sites that have been identified since the
- 19 policy came into effect, I actually try not to work on till
- 20 the end, because what that does is it takes resources, and
- 21 if I'm not able to recover those either through the Army or
- 22 through the other instrument that we have, then it just
- 23 takes money away from getting to the deductible.
- So that's one strategy to postpone those
- 25 things -- not postpone them. Everything is scheduled to

- 1 get done before each policy ends, but I've put them
- 2 into -- I don't prioritize them.
- 3 The other category that is not recoverable is
- 4 much of my department's labor. So the Trust has been very
- 5 good at providing funding out of its general fund for some
- 6 of my labor to free up Army dollars for actually allowable
- 7 costs.

```
pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt
 8
                   So I actually try to limit and make sure that
 9
     I manage the budget well.
10
                   Those are two of the primary ways that I have
     tried to manage those costs.
11
12
                   The other element of the cash flow that's
     critical is making sure that we have enough money to get to
13
     the hundred million deductible so that there is -- there's
14
15
     a threshold I have to meet. It's not any cost of the known
16
     sites. It has to be necessary for remediation and it has
17
     to be reasonable, and so the closer we get to that, the
18
     more that is questioned, and so I spend a fair amount of
19
     time trying to make sure that we can always justify our
20
     costs, that they are necessary and they are reasonable to
21
     make sure that they're allowed.
22
                   So at this point, we don't see a problem, but
23
     I watch it very closely and I'm doing everything I can to
24
     get to the hundred million deductible before we run out of
25
     any Army funds, because I would like to have a little
                                                               47
 1
     cushion at that point, and also that gives us some
```

2 operating money. 3 So that is something that I'm continuing to 4 work on. 5 FACILITATOR KERN: And you have sort of a tentative projected time --6 7 MS. FANELLI: Third quarter FY '11. Third 8 quarter FY '11. 9 FACILITATOR KERN: So we're working on 10 spending the money. It doesn't appear that that is going

```
pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt
11
     to be a problem, the spending money.
12
                   Thank you.
13
                   MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Is there any new
15
     business?
                   MR. BUDROE: Ouestion on the Trust board
16
17
     makeup.
18
                   FACILITATOR KERN: If we can answer.
19
                   MR. BUDROE: My understanding is that the
20
     chairman's position is -- the designee, the Secretary of
21
     the Interior is up.
22
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I don't think the
23
     chairman is necessarily the secretary.
24
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: It happens to be.
2.5
                   FACILITATOR KERN: It happens to be.
                                                              48
 1
                   MR. BUDROE: But that's who is the -- the
 2
     chair is the Interior secretary designee, so --
 3
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Right.
                   MR. BUDROE: -- whether that's going to --
 4
 5
```

MS. BLUM: I think he still has a couple more years to go. I don't think he's termed out. 6 7 MR. BUDROE: Well, that may be a serve at 8 the pleasure of the president's position and not a term 9 position. 10 Technically speaking, the Interior secretary serves on the Presidio Trust board. 11 12 FACILITATOR KERN: Right. 13 MR. BUDROE: The Interior secretary or his Page 43

```
pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt
     designee, but that's not a termed position, as I understand
14
15
     it. That's a position that if there's a change in Interior
16
     secretary, that person needs to be replaced with somebody
17
18
                   I don't know if that came up at the Summit
19
     me e t i n q.
20
                   FACILITATOR KERN: It didn't come up, no.
21
     There were plenty of things to talk about, but we did --
22
     and we didn't even talk about Park Service director
23
     appointment which people had asked for at the committee
24
     meeting, but I did learn at another meeting today that the
25
     only person is the secretary level person.
                                                               49
                   MS. BLUM:
                               Salazar.
 1
 2
                   FACILITATOR KERN: And he doesn't have a
 3
     deputy or an assistant deputy. Basically none of the top
     staff have been selected at Interior or the Park Service,
 4
 5
     as I understand.
 6
                   So -- but those are important questions.
 7
     don't know the answer.
 8
                   lan.
 9
                   MS. BLUM: Actually, it's old business.
10
     understanding was that the -- I'm going to call him a
11
     vintner for lack of a more accurate term, who is going to
12
     remediate -- I think 937 down near Crissy Field has pulled
13
     out.
14
                   Has there been any more action on that?
                   MS. FANELLI: I don't think he's pulled out.
15
16
                   MS. BLUM: Is he still viable?
```

Page 44

```
pres 2 - 10 - 09. txt
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                 The board voted to not let him
17
18
     use the building.
19
                   MS. BLUM: Oh, goodness.
20
                   MS. FANELLI: I don't have any answers.
21
     That site right now is in limbo, and it is one that I can't
22
     tell you what our strategy is going to be.
                   It is part of a claim as an unknown site, so
23
24
     if you feel that we're going to continue with that claim,
25
     I don't know.
                                                              50
                   I'm in no rush to move on it unless there is
 1
     another person to rehab the building. If we decide not to
 2
 3
     renew that claim and it is considered an enumerated site.
     There's some questions strategy-wise about that site.
 4
 5
                   MS. BLUM: Okay.
 6
                   MS. FANELLI: And hopefully they'll be
 7
     answered in the next year or so.
 8
                   MS. BLUM: That answered my question.
 9
                   MS. FANELLI: I have delayed action on that
10
     site.
11
                   MS. BLUM: That's kind of my question,
     what's going on with the remediation.
12
13
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Other new business? Any
     thoughts coming to mind?
14
                   Action items. I have bring the design
15
16
     drawings to the next meeting.
17
                   Looking out for agenda items, we'll be
     reporting on the results of the discussions, I'm sure, over
18
```

the next few days.

2 0	pres2-10-09.txt There is also planning beginning underway fo	r
2 1	RAP5 and was discussed today very briefly for RAP6 possible	у
2 2	accelerating those investigations, so I'm sure those will	
2 3	be upcoming.	
2 4	Before we close, I'd just like to thank our	
2 5	agency representatives for the hard work that they're	
	5	1
1	doing, trying to get to resolution on this decision	
2	document.	
3	It's been a long day for them and now a long	
4	evening, so we appreciate their time out here and their	
5	work on the behalf of the Presidio.	
6	And then thanks to all of you for coming out	
7	I know that we don't have a resolution for you on	
8	everything yet, but people are working hard and considering	g
9	your comments. So thank you very much for being here	-
10	tonight.	
11	Without objection, meeting adjourned.	
12	(The meeting concluded at 8:19 PM).	
13	0 0 0	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
2 0		
2 1		

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	)
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	)
3 4 5 6	discussion in the foregoing	ed, hereby certify that the general matthe time hat the foregoing is a full,
7		that I am not of counsel or
8 9	attorney for either or any	of the parties in the foregoing
10		or in any way interested in
11	the outcome of the cause na	amed in said action.
12		
13		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
14		hereunto set my hand this
15		day of,
16		2009.
17		
18		Mark I. Brickman CSR No. 552
19		
2 0		
21		
2 2		
2 3		
2 4		
25		

1 PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING	
2	
3	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
16 TUESDAY, MARCH 10, 2009	
17 OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50	
18 PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR License No. 5527	
25	
Pa	ige 1

1	ATTENDEES
2	RAB Members:
3	Doug Kern, Facilitator Mark Youngkin (Absent)
4	Eileen Fanelli Brian Ullensvang
5	Agnes Farres
6	Peter O'Hara Gloria Gee
7	Julian Hultgren Sara Segal
8	Sam Berman Jan Monaghan
9	John Chester Barbara Newton
10	Toni Kramer
11	
12	00
13	
14	
15	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
16	the Meeting, and on March 10, 2009, at the Officer's Club,
17	Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California, before
18	me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of California,
19	there commenced a RAB meeting under the provisions of the
20	Presidio Trust.
21	00
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2

1	AGENDA		
2		Page	
3	1) Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern	4	
4	2) Agenda Discussion and Approval	4	
5	3) Announcements and Old Business	4	
6	4) Committee Business & Reports		
7	Planning Committee Report -	4	
8	5) Reports & Discussions		
9	Quarterly Status & Financial Report		
10	- Eileen Fanelli	11	
11	6) Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs		
12	A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC (Absent)		
13	B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB -	69	
14	7) New Business		
15	RAP5 Sites - Vegetation Removal	69	
16	8) Review of Action Items	70	
17	9) Agenda Items for Upcoming March 24th Meeting -	None	
18	10) Adjournment	73	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			Page 3

1	FACILITATOR KERN: I'd like to welcome
2	everyone tonight to our Restoration Advisory Board meeting
3	for March 2009. Next month, April is our sort of
4	anniversary month when we started back in 1994, so we'll be
5	beginning our 16th year. Amazing. Completing the 15th
6	year, starting the 16th year.
7	Does everyone have an agenda? Are there any
8	changes, additions? Any announcements or old business.
9	You know, one of our members, Jan is
10	recovering from foot surgery and that's why she's not here.
11	I think another one of our members, Mark is out of town
12	today. Those are the two I know about.
13	There's been a lot of sickness, I think,
14	so
15	MS. MONAGHAN: Toni Kramer's working, so
16	FACILITATOR KERN: The Planning Committee
17	report. I know Mark's not going to rush in now and give
18	it, so maybe those of you that were there can help me.
19	We met at the remediation offices a couple
20	weeks ago and the discussion centered around the RAP4, what
21	had happened since we last met, and what was explained to
22	us principally was that landfill 10 would have a two foot
23	cover on it.
24	That was the major difference, change in the
25	remedy, and landfill 8 was remaining as the sand cover.

```
1
                   We also I think dipped a little bit into the
     lawsuit that was out there, talked a little bit about that,
 2
 3
     and I think both of those suits have been sent to everybody
 4
     via e-mail.
 5
                   Some of our RAB members have been doing good
     detective work. We appreciate that very much.
 6
 7
                   Anything else from that meeting?
                                 I think in a discussion about
 8
                   MR. BERMAN:
 9
     the -- the lawsuits and now the availability of the -- of
10
     the insurance policy, there was a discussion about the
11
     possibility of renewal --
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       That's right.
13
                   MR. BERMAN: -- which appeared in -- in the
14
     insurance documents that -- that Mark was able to get for
15
     us.
16
                   And -- and I -- my quick reading was I didn't
17
     know which of the policies the renewal actually applied to,
     but I think it was -- I think that what it said was that
18
19
     the Trust could request a renewal within like thirty days
20
     of exploration, something like that, and I -- that's the
21
     first that I'd ever heard anything like that and it's never
22
     been discussed in any of our -- I mean, the insurance
23
     policy's been around for ten years, and I never knew
24
     anything like that, and I guess we left it in Eileen's
25
     court to find out whether there was any significance to
```

```
1 that at all, because -- I mean, it's intriguing because
```

- 2 we're up against a deadline, and the whole question is if a
- 3 renewal is, in fact -- at all something that can be done --
- 4 and it's not clear from the reading of the policy whether
- 5 renewal continues at prorated rates or it's -- or it's a
- 6 renewal set by Zurich for a hundred million dollars for a
- 7 new policy for one month. They could be totally arbitrary
- 8 in their fee.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I actually don't have an
- 10 answer for you. I talked about it a little bit with
- 11 Andrea, and our recollection is that we could renew, but
- 12 there is the set agreed upon fee for that, but I can't give
- 13 you a definitive answer.
- We just had -- I haven't had time to get the
- 15 actual line quotation for you in the last couple weeks.
- But I was thinking about it before I came
- 17 here because I knew you were going to ask me that, and I
- 18 should have had an answer, but I don't. But we'll get you
- 19 one.
- I'm just going to shut these down while we're
- 21 talking.
- FACILITATOR KERN: So we've got an action
- 23 item to check on the insurance policy renewal.
- MS. FANELLI: Um.
- MR. O'HARA: Would you expand on the

- 1 subject? We're talking about the hundred million dollar
- 2 policy and it is coming up against a termination date?
- FACILITATOR KERN: May 2014, it expires, and
- 4 I think it's like May 31st or May 15th, some -- one of
- 5 those dates, and one of the members read -- Sam, I guess,
- 6 or Mark. I think they both saw that there was a
- 7 possibility to purchase an extension to a hundred million
- 8 dollar policy. So it would go some time period beyond May
- 9 2014.
- MR. O'HARA: So you're just talking about an
- 11 extension of time --
- MS. NEWTON: Right.
- 13 FACILITATOR KERN: -- to file claims?
- MR. O'HARA: Is there a drop dead date after
- 15 which you could not do that?
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: Apparently thirty days is
- 17 what was in the policy.
- MR. O'HARA: So thirty --
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: After the expiration
- 20 date.
- MR. O'HARA: So this is really not an issue
- that comes up until 2014?
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Right.
- 24 MR. BERMAN: It's not an issue if all the
- 25 terms of the renewal are completely spelled out, but if the

```
1 terms of renewal are vague, you'd certainly want to be
```

- 2 looking to clarify that before the thirty days.
- MR. O'HARA: No, no, Sam. I understand
- 4 that. What I was concerned about just from the fact that
- 5 there was discussion on it is that there might be a -- a
- 6 requirement that you serve notice that you intend to extent
- 7 five years before the termination. That's what my concern
- 8 was.
- 9 MS. NEWTON: Like now?
- MR. O'HARA: Like now, yes.
- MR. BERMAN: Well, someone knowledgeable --
- 12 I mean, there must be somebody in the Trust that really
- 13 knows the policy well, takes it and puts it under their
- 14 pillow every night, memorizes it and knows what's in it.
- MS. FANELLI: I don't think there is such a
- 16 thing of a renewal that you have to tell them in advance.
- 17 That one clause talks about renewal.
- What it really means -- your understanding is
- 19 there is no preset fee for renewal.
- We could ask for a renewal, but likely they
- 21 would ask us for a huge policy fee for that endeavor.
- So I -- it is an action item that I will get
- 23 back to you on.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: Anything else from that
- 25 meeting a couple weeks ago?

MR. O'HARA: One further clarification. 1 lawsuits that you were talking about, which lawsuits are 2 those? 3 FACILITATOR KERN: The latter one is the 4 Trust lawsuit against Caltrans. 5 6 MR. O'HARA: For non-payment. 7 FACILITATOR KERN: And it's already escaping me the previous one. 8 It's against Zurich. 9 MS. CHEEVER: FACILITATOR KERN: Zurich, yeah. 10 MS. CHEEVER: For certain claims. 11 12 MR. BERMAN: Yeah. 13 FACILITATOR KERN: Okav. 14 MR. BERMAN: And of course because there's a lawsuit with Zurich, they'll give us very favorable terms. 15 16 FACILITATOR KERN: Right. Jan. 17 MS. MONAGHAN: We were talking about the design documents for landfill 8 and 10. I'm sorry. I 18 19 couldn't come to the last committee meeting, but will we be 20 able to talk about that in the next one? 21 MS. NEWTON: Were they at the last committee 22 meeting? FACILITATOR KERN: Well, I did not bring 23 24 them, but there happened to be a set in the room. 25 MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.

```
1
                   MS. FANELLI: We flipped through the sixty
     percents. But we just flipped through the drawings --
 3
                   MS. MONAGHAN:
                                   Yeah.
                   MS. FANELLI: -- of the remediation
 5
     elements.
 6
                   MS. MONAGHAN: Okay. So then the ninety
 7
     percents, that's going to be in the next month or
 8
     something?
 9
                   MS. FANELLI: Hopefully sooner than a month.
     We're working on it. I can give you an update on that.
10
                                   I'll wait. That's fine.
11
                   MS. MONAGHAN:
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                     Could we -- should we
13
     technically put that for two weeks from tonight? Do you
     expect it within that time?
14
15
                                  Committee meeting?
                   MS. FANELLI:
16
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. Planning Committee
    meeting.
17
18
                   MS. FANELLI: We could.
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Potentially.
2.0
                                  They should be done by then.
                   MS. FANELLI:
     I think if you'd like a presentation, I'd rather wait for
21
22
     the group if it's a formal presentation so I could have the
23
    designer available. But we could talk about it.
24
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                      Okay. We'll put
25
     tentative. To be determined.
```

```
1
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                  I think there was one other
 2
     item, which was concerns of neighbors about truck movement.
     That was discussed, and I think Eileen sort of told us that
 3
     very -- there was a lot of respect for the neighbors'
 4
 5
     concern.
 6
                   There was a very good plan for dealing with
     that. Whatever the outcry was, it seemed it was well taken
 7
     care of. But apparently there were complaints, and I think
 8
     that the truck routes were changed as a result of that.
 9
1.0
                   MS. CHEEVER: Well, to clarify, it was the
     truck routes for what might be called the construction
11
     traffic, which was not related to the remediation, right?
12
13
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 Right.
14
                   MS. CHEEVER:
                                  Because the documents for the
15
     remediation basically saw the trucks go via the toll plaza
16
     and on either state or federal highways.
17
                   The problem was what I call the construction
18
     traffic related to Public Health Service Hospital was not a
19
     state route. I think the Trust announced then that it was
20
     going to change.
21
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 Right.
22
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Any other questions or
23
     comments? All right.
24
                   Let's move on to item 5, the --
25
                   MS. FANELLI: I will -- you know, again, I
```

- 1 apologize. I actually had asked Denise -- so I'm not
- 2 trying to really blame Denise -- to make sure one, I could
- 3 log on to that computer, and she said I could, and I
- 4 couldn't, and this isn't working.
- 5 There's only about fifteen slides. A couple
- 6 of them are pictures. I will try to get a PDF of this so
- 7 that it's not too big -- because the pictures are very
- 8 large -- and e-mail to you. You'll see them as a PDF file.
- 9 Unless somebody brought in a laptop.
- MR. CHESTER: No, I didn't. I have a new
- 11 I-phone, but it doesn't quite do it.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: We'll network our I-
- 13 phones.
- MS. FANELLI: I'll make special
- 15 arrangements, and if we do do design, and then I'll have
- 16 Lowell come and bring the computer with the larger drawings
- on it so that we can make it work.
- 18 What did I have in here? I was going to
- 19 cover -- I didn't bring a printout. Not only the financial
- 20 report, but I was going to talk about the status of -- I
- 21 didn't have much to say on RAP3. So it's really RAP4, RAP5
- 22 and RAP6, and I was going to start with the highlights, the
- 23 milestones for last quarter.
- And those are listed in this document. So
- 25 this got e-mailed to you, I understand. This is the

- 1 quarterly report, and I prepare it quarterly, and it has a
- 2 very relatively brief ten-page summary of the program
- 3 status, and then it has a series of tables that have key
- 4 communications back and forth between the regulatory
- 5 agencies on the different programs.
- It includes the set of financial data tables,
- 7 which I generally summarize on the screen here, and it also
- 8 includes an update of the schedule.
- 9 The milestones for last quarter I think are
- 10 fairly significant, and I'll just run through them.
- We completed basically construction in
- 12 December on RAP3. So we are out of the field completely on
- 13 RAP3. All of the materials are gone.
- 14 The sites are restored. There's no punchlist
- 15 items left anymore, and we are working on the construction
- 16 completion report, which will also include a request for
- 17 closure for virtually all the sites that don't include
- 18 groundwater monitoring, and the only sites that does is
- 19 Nike, Nike swale so we have wells installed.
- So our goal is to get that report to DTSC by
- 21 the end of this month, if we can. So that report is in
- 22 progress.
- As you know, we completed last quarter the
- 24 final feasibility study and the Draft RAP and went through
- 25 that entire process at our public review on December 2nd,

- 1 that hearing, and I'll report on the status.
- Obviously I'm sure you're aware, but the RAP
- 3 got signed on February 27th and a comments response
- 4 document was issued, and I have copies on disk for anybody
- 5 who would like. They're all copied if you didn't get one
- 6 in the mail.
- 7 I believe they sent one to the RAB in
- 8 general, and so I brought extra copies because -- because
- 9 it goes to all the signatories.
- 10 So Mark signed for the RAB, and I brought
- 11 copies for everybody else if you want -- want them.
- We also submitted in December the
- 13 construction completion reports for Baker Beach 1 and 2A
- 14 and asked for closure of site 2A based on confirmation
- 15 samples. There's no ongoing groundwater monitoring
- 16 required at 2A.
- We submitted the closure request and
- 18 construction completion report for commissary PX, and
- 19 that's with Agnes now.
- We received several tank closures from Agnes
- 21 for tanks in our priority group 2 and 4, and I'm talking
- 22 about the period October through December. We've received
- 23 more since then, so we're working -- we're doing very well
- 24 on our tanks.
- And DTSC approved our lead based paint in

- 1 soil investigation work plan, which was another milestone.
- 2 So it was actually quite a very busy quarter for us, and we
- 3 made a lot of progress on all of our programs.
- 4 Okay. I have extra copies. I brought a
- 5 few -- there's a couple of copies of these two if anybody
- 6 wants them. You can take them.
- 7 I know Jan usually asked, so I had her in
- 8 mind when I brought it. She's not here, but let me go
- 9 through the cost summaries.
- 10 We have the crude cost through December 31st
- of about 88 million dollars. Our estimate at completion is
- 12 very close to what it was the end of fiscal year '08, and
- 13 that is 156 million dollars.
- It fluctuates a little bit, primarily based
- 15 on Trust funded labor. We revise that number per some
- 16 audit findings. The Trust records and the remediation
- 17 records are audited on an a annual basis by outside KPMG
- 18 and they had a finding -- it's almost administrative in my
- 19 mind.
- We had escalated labor, and they required us
- 21 to escalate that factor. So that's the only change in our
- 22 estimated cost at completion. We haven't changed our
- 23 project cost, but he'll talk about that in a little bit.
- In terms of overall program costs, we
- 25 also -- they're a little bit higher dollar value to the --

- 1 to the Trust or the gap that we are looking on our
- 2 insurance policies to fill, and that's because we reduced
- 3 the amount of interest that we have on the remaining funds
- 4 to reflect market condition, so that was another sort of
- 5 administrative adjustment in the plan.
- 6 All right. So we spent 87. We're still
- 7 projecting 156 million. Of that, the allowable cost to the
- 8 Zurich policy are approximately 64 million dollars.
- 9 MS. NEWTON: Of the 156 --
- MS. FANELLI: Of the 87.
- MS. NEWTON: Of the 87 balance?
- 12 MS. FANELLI: That's correct. The majority
- 13 of the cost incurred allowable to date. Those unallowable
- 14 costs reflect the original insurance policies, the fee for
- 15 those, and Trust labor on enumerated sites that are covered
- in the RSL policy, the disallowed costs and other certain
- 17 costs that we have are disallowed.
- 18 MR. BERMAN: So the difference between the
- 19 88 and 63 is fifteen million, and is that mostly Trust
- 20 labor?
- 21 MS. FANELLI: No. It's mainly -- it's a
- 22 large chunk of Trust labor, but it's actually the insurance
- 23 policies themselves originally. We're looking at seven
- 24 million dollars for those, for the costs.
- MR. BERMAN: Oh, okay.

- 1 FACILITATOR KERN: I think you're right,
- 2 Sam. It's 88 and 64. That's 24.
- 3 MR. BERMAN: Right. Take off seven for
- 4 that, and so you have about --
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Right. Also five million for
- 6 those costs are for unknown sites. So five to six million
- 7 dollars are spent on on the RSL policy costs. So you have
- 8 to factor that in, as well.
- 9 And I should add that to date, we have
- 10 recovered about 4.9 million dollars in claims to the Army
- 11 and to Zurich for unknown. That's cash in, unknown
- 12 contamination.
- Our claims out are a few million dollars
- 14 higher to that, and we're working through that payment with
- 15 them.
- MR. BERMAN: Can you give one more number?
- 17 If you take the hundred million plus the interest, plus the
- 18 -- whatever incoming cash came in through the back door,
- 19 what's the -- the -- the difference between the projected
- 20 cost and the total expected income?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. I have that as a slide.
- 22 I have that as a slide, too.
- The -- the difference, if you take what our
- 24 estimate at completion is and we subtract out the offsets,
- 25 which would be the interest that we've earned and we

- 1 anticipate earning, cash from claims and Trust provided
- 2 labor, which is -- from now to completion is about 3.2
- 3 million dollars.
- 4 The net cost that we anticipate to be covered
- 5 by the insurance policy are about 28 million dollars
- 6 difference.
- 7 Does that make sense?
- MS. NEWTON: 28 out of the --
- 9 MS. CHEEVER: 156 is not paid for.
- MS. NEWTON: Something difference or is that
- 11 --
- MS. FANELLI: Out of the 156 million.
- MS. NEWTON: Good.
- 14 MR. BERMAN: So between interest -- I'm
- 15 trying to figure out. There's interest. There's cash in.
- 16 There's a hundred million. There's interest on that out to
- 17 the 2014. There's cash in and then is that difference then
- 18 27 million?
- MS. FANELLI: Right. You have to also add
- 20 in offsets. So the other offset is cash in for unknown
- 21 sites. 156 million is our complete cost assuming
- 22 completion of all the enumerated sites, known sites and the
- 23 unknown sites.
- 24 Then we have some offsets, and we -- and
- 25 the -- that 28 million that I gave you as an offset is

- 1 somewhat conservative, because it's only looking at the
- 2 interest, most of which we've already received. We're
- 3 projecting very little interest between now and the end of
- 4 the program.
- 5 Actual cash in on claims, which is about that
- 6 4.9 million and Trust labor, which is about 3.2 million,
- 7 and when you take those offsets off of the costs, then
- 8 we're left with about 28 million dollars, and you'll see
- 9 that in the slide when I PDF it to you, and that's the cost
- 10 that the Trust position is is -- would be recoverable under
- our two policies, so long as we're reasonable and necessary
- 12 for the remediation.
- MR. BERMAN: But they have to be spent;
- 14 right? The 28 million has to be spent out in order to
- 15 recover it?
- MS. FANELLI: Right, but it doesn't all have
- 17 to be spent before we get recovery. For example, on the
- 18 unknown sites, we've already received recovery based on the
- 19 claims.
- On the RSL policy, enumerated sites, we need
- 21 to have actually completed the work by May of 2014, so the
- 22 costs need to be in, and how we do that when Zurich is
- 23 actually providing the cost -- the coverage for that, we're
- 24 working with Zurich on that process. It's not set, but
- 25 we've just begun discussions with them on that.

```
1
                   On the unknown claims, we get reimbursed not
 2
     right when we make the claim. We go through a little bit
 3
     of process, but we have been recovering funds as we've been
 4
     going along. So those are reflected in there.
                   Those claims only have to be made by 2014.
     So we have a little bit of coverage. So it's more of a
 6
 7
     claims made. We don't have to have actually spent the
     money on unknown sites, and that's good.
 8
 9
                   MS. SEGAL:
                                I have a question about the
10
     insurance, though. You started out with 99 million.
11
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Yes.
12
                   MS. SEGAL: And so even if those -- you said
     87 million accrued, but 64 is allowable. So why -- is
13
14
     there any interest anticipated in the next five years on
15
     the --
16
                   MS. FANELLI: We --
17
                   MS. SEGAL: -- 12 million, 13 million
18
     dollars?
19
                   MS. FANELLI: We're talking half a percent.
20
                   MS. NEWTON: We aren't getting anything at
21
     all.
22
                   MS. FANELLI: Right now I think it's less
23
    than a percent.
24
                   MS. SEGAL: On twelve million dollars.
25
                   MS. FANELLI: Right. And in a couple of
```

- 1 years, it goes back up to two percent. But we have earned
- 2 approximately 19 million in interest.
- MS. SEGAL: At one time, it was pretty good
- 4 interest. All of us are feeling that. Thank you.
- 5 MR. BERMAN: Is there any --
- 6 MS. FANELLI: We also billed Zurich for
- 7 allowable costs that don't necessarily come out of the Army
- 8 funds, and -- and those would include work that, say, our
- 9 crews do to replace a concrete pad that had to be removed,
- 10 because we had to get it under limit, or some of our
- 11 planting costs, restoration costs that our natural
- 12 resources group might have spent, we will invoice it.
- So that is another way that we try to
- 14 leverage the -- the cash flow.
- So I had a slide on cash flow, because I know
- 16 that's been coming up, as well.
- 17 MR. O'HARA: Are you realizing any savings
- in your contracting costs by virtue of the downturn in the
- 19 economy?
- 20 MS. FANELLI: I believe we will on the
- 21 construction bids. We don't see it as much on the
- 22 consulting costs, because they're basically sort of
- 23 hourly -- their costs are based on raw salary times a
- 24 multiplier.
- So I have not seen anybody's salary in our

- 1 consulting firms go down. Their multipliers, they may be
- 2 willing to take a little less markup in terms of profit,
- 3 but a lot of times the chunk of the multiplier is based on
- 4 kind of a fixed overhead, so that would be a slow change.
- 5 They would have to change offices or do something else to
- 6 change that one.
- 7 But I believe we will see some improvement or
- 8 good prices when they go out to bid. For example, on
- 9 landfill 10 and 8. We're hoping for some good bid prices
- 10 there.
- MR. O'HARA: Is that factored into the 156
- 12 million --
- MS. FANELLI: No.
- MR. O'HARA: -- or is that a work in
- 15 progress?
- MS. FANELLI: The 156 million is comprised
- 17 of a variety of numbers.
- MR. O'HARA: Sure.
- MS. FANELLI: Some are actual costs, so
- 20 they're a hundred percent certainty. Some for landfill 10,
- 21 we have a good design, a relatively robust design in the
- 22 engineer's estimate.
- So I put them in the sixty percent category
- 24 of costs. I got that much confidence.
- Other sites that we haven't begun with,

1 particularly a lot of the unknown sites, the miscellaneous

Emerick & Finch

- 2 sites, it's kind of vague. I put that at thirty or lower
- 3 percent confidence in those numbers until we get a little
- 4 bit better sense of what the actual scope of work is.
- Now, I like to estimate high. I think I've
- 6 said it before in other meetings that, you know, if any of
- 7 you at work, you always like to go in and give back money.
- 8 You don't want to go back and ask for more money.
- 9 We try to estimate conservatively and
- 10 understand that and have some cushion, if you will, because
- 11 when we do our estimates and when we put our numbers on
- 12 paper, they go out to the public as part of the Trust
- 13 annual report, so we want to be very -- relatively
- 14 confident of them and we don't want to be changing that
- 15 number every year, because it's a liability number and it
- 16 causes concern and unrest, basically.
- 17 So we have -- this wasn't a detail part of
- 18 this presentation, but we have been scrubbing our numbers,
- 19 and I have gotten through, I would say, eighty percent of
- 20 the drivers in terms of the costs and I'm coming up with an
- 21 estimate to complete that's a tad lower than the 156
- 22 million, but I still have three or four outstanding sites
- 23 that I haven't done estimates for.
- One is 207/231, which at this point is more
- 25 than what we've estimated.

- I think our groundwater monitoring cost 1 budget is high. I think that's going to actually come 2 3 So that will represent offset or savings. So there's a few additional sites that we're 4 working through, and the plan is for us to continue to look 5 at those as the year goes through, and then when we make a 6 recommendation to the board management to change the 7 budgets that we actually get published, we do it once a 8 9 year. 10 So all of that backup and reasoning would then be reviewed by our auditors at this point, KPMG, as it 11 12 comes through again. 13 We have a whole process that's in place for now we have to build those budgets and who signs off on 14 them, what they're based on, and that's a new procedure 15 since I've been here to help work on it to be more 16 17 systematic in our budgeting. 18 So right now our -- we are not showing a billing problem with the 156 number. Although we're 19 shifting the numbers all over the place. 20
- 21 The RAP4 sites are going to be a little bit
- 22 less; the others a little bit more. There's balance. A
- 23 lot of adjustments internally, but we're not moving off of
- 24 that 156 number too far in either direction.
- MR. O'HARA: Thank you.

```
1
                                 A couple of small questions.
                   MR. BERMAN:
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                 Mm-hmm.
 3
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 Is there any thought about
     whether the shortfall -- could some of that shortfall come
 4
     from the stimulus package?
 5
 6
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  I believe that the Trust -- I
 7
     don't have a good answer for you. I know the Trust
 8
     submitted for stimulus money and I know that I provided
 9
     some money -- some cost estimates for shovel ready
10
     projects.
11
                   That was one of the criteria, which would
12
     really be the RAP4 projects.
13
                   I'll be honest. I'm not holding my breath.
     I haven't heard that that was a priority, that the Trust
14
15
     might be looking for stimulus at this point.
16
                                 I have two other small
                   MR. BERMAN:
17
     questions.
                Does 156 include the cost of Mountain Lake?
18
                   MS. FANELLI: Yes, it does.
19
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 Okay. And finally, what is
20
     your intuition as to how much of the 28 million shortfall
21
     will actually be delivered by Zurich?
22
                   MS. FANELLI: I feel that the majority of it
     would, and the burden for us is to be very strategic and
23
     smart with how we proceed, and I do spend a lot of time
24
     working with our financial folks and with our legal folks
25
```

- 1 to make sure that we have a solid plan going forward, and
- 2 then I keep close relationship with Zurich. Even
- 3 though we are in a lawsuit. We do meet with them
- 4 quarterly. The lawsuit's really on Mountain Lake, as you
- 5 know, and we meet quarterly on everything else and we
- 6 review our costs and we answer their questions, and we
- 7 haven't had a big problem to date in terms of them
- 8 accepting costs that have been incurred, and we want to
- 9 keep it that way and we want to keep that relationship
- 10 tight as we go forward.
- 11 So there isn't an exposure or risk of a large
- 12 lag when we've spent a large chunk of money, but they
- 13 haven't reviewed those costs and all of a sudden they say,
- 14 "You spent that money, but we're not pleased with how you
- 15 spent it."
- So we're trying to minimize that by keeping a
- 17 look on costs.
- 18 MR. BERMAN: So it turns out on a seven
- 19 million dollars policy, you end up collecting 28 million
- 20 dollars. Even if you do a present value calculation on
- 21 that, it's already a good deal.
- MS. FANELLI: We've already made a good
- 23 return on our real policy. The real policy costs \$700,000.
- 24 We've received much more than that on Baker Beach 2A. The
- 25 Trust is working those policies and trying to make sure

- 1 that we get all of our value out of them.
- I had a slide on cash flow. We are
- 3 predicting still that we will use our Army funds plus any
- 4 interest that we've earned very close in time to when we
- 5 run out of -- to when we reach the hundred million dollars
- 6 deductible, and that is in third quarter fiscal year 2011.
- 7 It's very close, and so I am embarking now on
- 8 detailed analysis and what if analysis to look at that
- 9 actual meeting point in time and understanding -- what I
- 10 want to do is I want to move the Army funds down a quarter
- 11 and move the RSL hundred million earlier in time so that I
- 12 have some kind of cushion.
- What cushion do I need? My gut tells me I
- 14 would like to have a three to five million cushion. Am I
- 15 going to get it? I don't know, but we're working on that.
- 16 We spend approximately a million to two
- 17 million a month. Because we're always actively doing work.
- 18 That three to five million dollar cushion doesn't buy me
- 19 much. At most, it buys me a quarter of time through the
- 20 larger sites. But I'm still trying to look at that to get
- 21 that down.
- 22 So I had a slide on that.
- 23 MS. SEGAL: Is there any -- has the
- 24 Department of Defense come up with any moneys above the
- 25 initial 99 or does it always come from Zurich?

25

```
1
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  No, there is.
                                                  The 4.9 million
     that we've received to date I say is half and half between
 2
 3
     the Army and Zurich.
 4
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Julie, question?
 5
                   MS. CHEEVER: Oh. Sam has made me have
 6
     another question.
 7
                   When you meet with Zurich quarterly, it
     sounds very commendable. Are they essentially approving
 8
 9
     all the costs you go over so far?
10
                   MS. FANELLI: Yes.
                                        They actually come
11
     quarterly and review our detailed books. We prepare detail
12
     books. We lay out all of the invoices for the last quarter
13
     and they go through each and every one.
14
                   MS: CHEEVER:
                                 Does that mean they've
     essentially said so far the 88 million spent so far is
15
16
     agreeable?
17
                   MS. FANELLI: Pretty much. There's some
18
     that are in dispute, but not a large percentage at all.
                                                              I
19
     would say in the few hundred thousand dollar range, not in
     the million dollar range.
20
21
                   MS. CHEEVER: Well, that sounds very good in
22
     going into 14.
23
                   MS. FANELLI: I'm pleased with that.
                                                          It's a
24
     good position to be in.
```

Page 28

MR. BERMAN: It's not 88. It's only 64

- 1 that's allowable.
- MS. FANELLI: Only 64.
- I had an update on the RAP4, if you'd like a
- 4 copy. We are working on the ninety percent designs. I had
- 5 the internal goal of having the ninety percent documents
- 6 ready this coming Friday.
- 7 I'm not sure if we're going to meet that, so
- 8 I definitely don't want to promise it.
- 9 We probably will have the design pieces
- 10 fairly well done and the technical specs. We may not have
- 11 the front end as in the place where we want them.
- 12 And so I probably -- I'll make a decision
- 13 based on what I see about issuing the design pieces,
- 14 because those are usually the more interesting pieces.
- The up-front pieces are the contracting
- 16 pieces, which are usually internal Trust business, anyway.
- 17 We don't usually send them out.
- 18 So I'm hoping to send documents out -- if not
- 19 Friday, over the weekend. They will be available, the
- 20 technical pieces.
- We would like to do that, have a couple of
- 22 weeks of review of those and then a final kind of workshop
- 23 that comes toward the end of a two-week period, and then
- 24 from there, we would finalize and create a construction
- 25 sense as quickly as we could and get the construction

- 1 drawings then on the street for bid.
- We're a month at least away from our original
- 3 schedule, but I am still optimistic that we can be
- 4 substantially complete with construction by October of this
- 5 year.
- In part because we've made -- in going to
- 7 cover remedy, it sort of changes some of our obligations
- 8 and dynamics and decision-making in the process. So that
- 9 makes up sometime. So we're able to get done sooner.
- MR. BERMAN: A question. Sorry to interrupt
- 11 you, but if I don't get the question out, I won't remember
- 12 it.
- When you come to the clip in 2014, will RAP5
- 14 be complete?
- MS. FANELLI: It should be. The
- 16 construction for RAP5 is 2010.
- 17 So RAP4's moving along, and our next hurdle
- 18 is just to get construction opened, and so we're doing
- 19 everything we can to make sure that that happens, in part
- 20 because it is tied to our obligation to Forest City, and
- 21 that's one reason why we fast-tracked it, and we want to
- 22 get that work completed.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Is it the case that for
- 24 RAP5, not all the RAP5 sites you would do by 2010?
- MS. FANELLI: That's correct.

```
1
                    FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Some are out a ways.
  2
                    MS. FANELLI:
                                   Some are always out a few
  3
              If you look at the construction schedule, we had
      Baker Beach 2 and Battery frontal slopes always scheduled
  4
      for 2012.
 5
  6
                    Let me jump to RAP5 unless there's some other
     questions on RAP4. We could do it the next RAP meeting
 7
     presentation on the design documents, and that will be a
 8
     good time because either we'll be -- we'll definitely be
 9
10
     past the ninety percent and we might even be closer to a
11
     hundred percent and we can share some of those drawings if
12
     you like; also happy to review the status and elements of
     it at the planning meeting, but I only want to call Mo out
13
14
     really once to be able to answer questions. So it's better
15
     to do that at the full RAP meeting.
16
                   MS. NEWTON:
                                  I agree with that. Has he come
17
     since the first meeting?
18
                   MS. FANELLI: Yep. He's come a couple
19
            He hasn't done --
     times.
20
                   MS. NEWTON:
                                 We did the walk-through.
                                                            He
21
     was with us when we walked through the space.
22
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Right
23
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 Just following on Doug's
     question, the 2011, there still could be possibly a couple
24
25
     RAP5 sites that could not be --
```

- MS. FANELLI: It included all those sites.
- 2 Fill site 1, landfill 2 and the spring which doesn't have a
- 3 lot of active remediation, but it's the groundwater
- 4 district for those two sites.
- 5 We had originally any remaining planning for
- 6 Baker Beach two. We had Battery frontal slopes, Merchant
- 7 Road fill and Baker Beach 1A.
- In many ways, I think where we're evolving to
- 9 is two RAPs issued at the same time. One RAP for the
- 10 Tennessee Hollow sites, fill site 1 and landfill 2, and
- 11 then another one issued for 1a, and to the extent Merchant
- 12 Road becomes a site, Merchant Road.
- The reason for doing that, limiting it is
- 14 twofold. One of them gets back to that whole cash flow
- 15 issue that we talked about in Zurich.
- Battery frontal slopes are an unknown site.
- 17 Merchant Road is, as well, and any dollars that I spend on
- 18 that take away from dollars that would go toward the
- 19 hundred million RSL policy, and those two sites in
- 20 particular are disputed right now by the Army as being
- 21 additional sites as well as by Zurich. There's no
- 22 acceptance that they're additional sites.
- And so it's very risky for me to spend
- 24 limited dollars on those sites without knowing that I can
- 25 recover those costs.

1 Part of the reason for moving on the -- on those sites were trail work, and we've been working with 2 3 the Conservancy and the Trust planning group that does some 4 of the trails and fund raising, and they are still planning to do a fair amount of trail work and build the Golden Gate 5 Bridge -- is that how they call it -- overlook. That is by 6 7 1A is on enumerated site. So the risk is there not significant. 8 9 Merchant Road still poses a risk, but we're 10 working with DTSC and the Park Service to try to figure out 11 how to handle that in the most efficient way so that we don't have a lot of risk of a lot of dollars at that site 12 13 that are not recoverable. So we're looking at how we deal 14 with some of those issues. 15 In terms of the actual work, we have done 16 supplemental work plan for fill site 1, landfill 2. 17 They've been out there this week actually doing their test pitting, and I didn't get to go out in the field today to 18 19 take a look at it, but I've heard that they haven't started 20 test pitting at fill site 1, but at landfill 2, they have 21 been test pitting. 22 They've found on the edges basically and it's 23 fairly shallow, good to know. There's certainly debris 24 there, but it seems to be more limited on the edges than 25 some of our drawings, and they've been collecting samples.

- We've had out there while they've been doing
- 2 that work good support from the Army in terms of UXO.
- 3 We've had a guy named Terry Gleason who's from Sacramento
- 4 who's been standing there observing, and we've requested
- 5 that because it was an Army dump site and we felt there was
- 6 a high potential for unexploded ordinance.
- And we've also had historical oversight, an
- 8 archeologist from Sonoma State who's working under the
- 9 guidance of the park archeologist.
- 10 At our next meeting, too, we'll have a
- 11 good -- we can probably give you some good pictures of the
- 12 work that they're doing there.
- 13 On -- yeah.
- MR. O'HARA: Could you expand on exactly
- 15 what archaeological artifacts you might expect to uncover?
- MS. FANELLI: There's two different kinds.
- 17 One is that the dump had what it looks like a fair amount
- 18 of material from the old Letterman Hospital.
- So I have to admit ignorance in terms of how
- 20 you describe the bases of the significance, but they're
- 21 interested in things like talk about the particular period
- 22 of time for that earlier hospital.
- That has concentration of furniture, bottles
- 24 or whatever they used, things of interest.
- The other archaeological issue is that there

```
1 are old waterway plumes or dams from pre-Army days, I think
```

- 2 even earlier from the settlements, and so we want to make
- 3 sure that when we are excavating that we don't damage
- 4 historical earthwork in that area that might be buried by
- 5 debris.
- 6 MR. O'HARA: Thank you.
- 7 MR. ULLENSVANG: You mentioned that you were
- 8 now proposing the RAP5 be put into two RAPs. Can you
- 9 describe how that is an advantage?
- MS. FANELLI: The reason I would break it
- into two is because they are kind of fundamentally
- 12 different. We've looked at the incremental costs for
- 13 having two RAPs going on at the same time and they're not
- 14 that different for the advertising and holding a meeting
- 15 here. We're not talking about tens of thousands of dollars
- 16 of what it costs to do that.
- 17 It keeps the reports, the documents
- 18 themselves simpler, cleaner, focused on individual topics.
- So the idea was for one not to hold up the
- 20 other, right? So if we were behind on one one site and we
- 21 had four ready to go, we're not kind of waiting. We could
- 22 actually advertise and get the RAP on the street for the
- 23 ones that are done.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: So that would increase our
- 25 costs.

- 1 MS. FANELLI: I guess that's what I was 2 saying. I looked at the costs of that and they're not
- 3 significant. Our mailing costs for RAP4 were only a
- 4 thousand or so dollars. \$1,500.
- 5 They weren't -- they were worth the time
- 6 because they were recoverable under Zurich. Zurich doesn't
- 7 care if we do it in one document or five documents, really,
- 8 and if we continue to make progress on them, they will
- 9 accept it.
- 10 And they are kind of two different areas.
- 11 There are similarities between 1 and 2 that are different
- 12 from the beach sites. Putting the beach sites together
- 13 makes more sense.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Won't the stakeholders have
- an opportunity to talk about that strategy?
- MS. FANELLI: I suppose we sure can.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Thank you.
- MS. FANELLI: We can talk about it when we
- 19 do our budget status meeting.
- MS. NEWTON: So the beach sites are 1A
- 21 and --
- MS. FANELLI: Merchant Road. Where we are
- 23 with that is I just drafted today and sent up via the -- we
- 24 have a plan to do vegetation removal, and we got a little
- 25 bit behind because we need to have some additional

- 1 agreements between the Park Service and the Trust.
- 2 And so I just drafted and got that last
- 3 agreement up through my chain today. It's going to go over
- 4 to Park Service to review and comment on it, and I'm hoping
- 5 that we get that agreement in place.
- And that agreement is to provide similar
- 7 services to what we have on fill site 1 and 2, except in
- 8 the Baker Beach sites, it would be biologists and natural
- 9 resource specialists to make sure that our veg clearance
- 10 wasn't impacting any special status species or things like
- 11 that.
- So we're hoping to get that through in the
- 13 next couple of weeks, and then as soon as the test pitting
- 14 is done at fill site 1 and 2, that crew will go back and
- 15 then do the vegetation clearance depending on any other
- 16 bird surveys that we need to do that.
- When we get that veg clearance one, the goal
- 18 is to do visual observation of contamination of source
- 19 material, which is asphaltic stuff. We'll do a sampling
- 20 plan based on that observation, and then go back out and
- 21 take our samples to get our final information that we need,
- 22 we hope for doing a feasibility study, characterizing the
- 23 nature and extent of the waste, doing a feasibility study
- 24 and then ultimately getting into a RAP.
- We're still planning to complete both of

- 1 these in this year so that we're in construction next year
- 2 on both of those two sets of sites.
- 3 That is still a schedule. That has not
- 4 changed.
- 5 The other thing that we are doing on RAP6
- 6 is -- I guess we're feeling pretty good about our
- 7 accomplishments and getting our second wind. We're going
- 8 to try to accelerate some other sites.
- 9 Contractually, I don't know how -- we have
- 10 what we call qualified vendor lists. We issue out request
- 11 for qualifications and we get a pool of vendors and then
- 12 we'll negotiate individually contracts with those vendors.
- The last time we did that was a while ago,
- 14 2004. We're updating that vendor list in the next month by
- 15 sending out a request for qualifications from the variety
- of areas that we work in, and then we have every intention
- 17 of trying to jumpstart some of the RAP6 sites in
- 18 particular, landfill E, knowing that we need sometime for
- 19 discussion on that, and we want to get going.
- And likely we'll also jumpstart 6B and
- 21 Battery Hill Wagner. Those again we'll probably do two
- 22 RAPs. They're kind of different.
- We might do a RAP6A and a RAP6B, because
- 24 they're just kind of different animals. So to keep them a
- 25 little bit separate.

So that's our plan to get that started, and 1 part of the reason for doing that is to make sure that we 2 get that hundred million spent on enumerated sites and we 3 do it quickly and efficiently, and then -- those are high-4 risk sites, large dollar cost sites, particularly landfill 5 Ε. 6 So we want to make sure that's taken care of 7 when -- when we're in the driver's seat and not have a 8 problem with Zurich. 9 And then I had these two nice pictures of the 10 11 veggie areas from 16th Avenue looking out the slope of landfill 10, and if you're out there, we've completed all 12 13 the vegetation. It was completed before March 1st, and what 14 we've been doing is just moving the cut shrubs basically up 15 the slope, but our plan is -- if you've been out there, 16 there's a corner that's covered with erosion control 17 fabric. 18 19 We're going to cover all of it as an insurance in case we get a bad storm. In case it gets dry, 20 windy, and forest aesthetics for a certain extent, and 21 we'll be out there to do the real work. 22 So I had a couple of that. Oh, well. I'll 23 24 get them to you in PDF. 25 MR. BERMAN: Do you have a CD with you?

MS. FANELLI: No, I don't. I just have 2 these CDs that have the comment response documents on it. I'll make this as a PDF file. Those photos 3 are several megabytes each. If I make a PDF. 4 5 probably get Rick to shrink it down and I'll e-mail it to Doug and he can send it. 6 7 I'm trying to think if there was anything 8 else that I was going to cover. MR. BERMAN: What's the -- if you take a 9 10 RAP6 and say consider dividing it into two, and then you'll try to get into the RAP6 before the cliff is reached in 11 12 2011, and what is your sort of expected completion date? For 20 -- for that RAP? 13 MS. FANELLI: 14 MR. BERMAN: Yeah. 15 MS. FANELLI: Well, the schedule is in here, and so far what -- I can actually explain this to you. 16 17 When you get this next quarter, there is a 18 baseline schedule, and we do add tasks periodically and change them. 19 So you can't really compare this to a 20 baseline, but it does tell you where we are in time. 21 22 That's this dark line, and if something actually is started or actually ended and got completed, when you see the due 23 dates, the date lines, there'll be an A next to it because 24 25 it's an actual.

- 1 For example, every time we get a closure
- 2 letter from Agnes, it's in here and it's a milestone. You
- 3 can see how we're tally tracking.
- 4 So this is kind of a powerful tool even
- 5 though these tasks are rolled up. I make sure that we're
- 6 not pushing this beyond.
- 7 To answer your question which I didn't
- 8 answer, the construction season for 2011 goes from calendar
- 9 dates March through October of calendar year 2011, and so
- 10 that's when we would hopefully be through construction of
- 11 those sites.
- MR. BERMAN: Of the RAP6?
- MS. FANELLI: Correct.
- MR. BERMAN: That's really -- it looks
- 15 optimistic from the past history, but it will be great if
- 16 you can do it.
- MS. FANELLI: Well, I feel good about the
- 18 milestones that we've made so far.
- MR. BERMAN: Yeah.
- MS. FANELLI: And having a plan and sticking
- 21 with the schedule, committing to it helps. There's always
- 22 unforeseeable things that come up, and there's always
- 23 efficiencies that we're looking at.
- So I see, for example, breaking sites into
- 25 mini RAPs as being smaller RAPs, if you will, sometimes

- 1 being more efficient, because sometimes when a document
- 2 gets large, it's harder to carry it through and it's easier
- 3 to design one site than to design three sites sometimes.
- 4 There is always sort of that breaking point
- 5 and it's hard to know where it is, and if I have multiple
- 6 folks working on it, as long as I can keep control in my
- 7 shop, I actually can move a little bit faster.
- 8 That's another argument -- if you have two
- 9 sites in a RAP instead of four sites, then it's easier for
- 10 me to have two designers for two sites than one designer
- 11 trying to bring four sites through.
- 12 I just have to figure out --
- MS. NEWTON: Does that increase the costs to
- 14 a point --
- MS. FANELLI: It doesn't, really. I've
- 16 looked at this. I think sometimes the larger projects
- 17 bring in more inefficiencies. The advertising costs, the
- 18 cost for us to hold a public meeting were really minor for
- 19 us.
- MS. NEWTON: Well, they certainly have to
- 21 bring in inefficiencies in terms of red tape. You have to
- 22 go through that many more people to get things approved and
- 23 so many more sets of eyes to look at things if they're
- 24 totally different subjects involved in it.
- So I can see that. I just -- your costs --

- 1 it would only be your costs, the Trust cost that would
- 2 increase.
- 3 MS. FANELLI: One of the strategies I'm
- 4 pursuing is trying to -- is to take work that we would do
- 5 and put it in a context where it would be allowable under
- 6 the Zurich policy.
- 7 So I am trying to offload some of my guys, to
- 8 be perfectly honest with you, in an efficient way where
- 9 we're not losing control of the quality of the work, but
- 10 we're able to still efficiently get it completed and get
- 11 those costs allowed under the Zurich policy.
- Mo is an example of that, sort of an early
- 13 trial. He's certainly a contractor, but he works so
- 14 closely with us that he's actually in our office two or
- 15 three days a week, and -- and acts as an extension of our
- 16 staff in trying to facilitate getting things submitted and
- 17 coordinated.
- 18 So I always appreciate feedback on these
- 19 things, if you do read it -- it's hopefully carefully clear
- 20 in terms of where we are, but it is brief, so -- and it
- 21 does talk about schedule drivers and schedule delays and
- 22 those types of things, and it is only ten pages.
- So I'm happy if you have any thoughts or
- 24 feedback on it.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: I have a few questions

25

```
about it. I'm trying to reconcile some of the -- the early
 1
 2
     RAPs.
 3
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Mm-hmm.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: RAP1, 2, 3. There's a
 4
     large kind of place holder which I understand for building
 5
 6
     937, which was in the original RAP --
 7
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Mm-hmm.
 8
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- I quess because we're
     still looking to do that site.
10
                   MS. FANELLI: Right.
11
                   FACILITATOR KERN: So there's some money --
12
                   MS. FANELLI: Are you on table 3?
13
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah.
                                              Table 3, page 5.
14
     I'm sorry I didn't make copies for everybody.
15
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  There's three extra sets here
16
     if anybody wants to grab one. You can take a look at it.
17
                   That is one of the sites where I have
     scrubbed the costs down, but I think they're going to come
18
19
     down, even given current circumstances. Those
20
     circumstances being that that remedial work is done by a
21
     developer as part of their reconstruction.
22
                   Even though, I believe that we'll bring that
     in.
23
24
                                      Okay. That's for the
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
```

Page 44

Crissy Field action plan. So there's still money there to

- 1 be spent which I see.
- If we move down to Remedial Action Plan 1,
- 3 there's the site 5 and landfill 4, and there seems to be
- 4 about a million dollars left in that column for estimate to
- 5 complete.
- MS. FANELLI: Those are going to come down,
- 7 as well. As a matter of fact, it wasn't last quarter.
- 8 It's in this year, but we have submitted -- actually second
- 9 quarter, fiscal year '09. We have submitted for closure on
- 10 fill site 5 to the Regional Board.
- So pending that that is well received, we
- 12 have zero cost at that point for fill site 5.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Was that distributed?
- MS. FANELLI: It was distributed. There's a
- 15 letter.
- 16 MR. ULLENSVANG: I haven't seen it.
- MS. FANELLI: It was maybe a week ago. You
- 18 should already have it.
- Do you not have your copy, Doug?
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: I don't recall that
- 21 particular item.
- I've received the responsiveness summary
- 23 recently. I got the fill site 1, landfill 2 --
- MS. FANELLI: Work plan.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: -- work plan.

- 1 MR. ULLENSVANG: Agnes didn't get it,
- 2 either. And that was with DTSC, I would think.
- MS. FANELLI: It did go to DTSC. I saw the
- 4 e-mail, so I will double-check. It went out in hard copy,
- 5 as well. So I would think that you would have hard copies.
- You're all telling me no, you haven't gotten
- 7 it, so I will double-check.
- But we submitted -- if we haven't submitted
- 9 for closure, I've seen the draft and it's ready to go out
- 10 for closure on fill site 5.
- 11 FACILITATOR KERN: It would be part of your
- 12 process then when you have closure at a site, that that
- 13 number gets adjusted?
- MS. FANELLI: No. My plan -- I have
- 15 been -- I've sort of brought it up before, but didn't
- 16 explain it very well.
- I am systemically going through all of these
- 18 sites now, and I think I've gone through 85 percent of them
- 19 and made adjustments. So I've adjusted fill site 5,
- 20 landfill 2. I've made adjustments internal on 937. I've
- 21 made adjustments to landfill 8, 10 and 9, and I've made
- 22 adjustments to fill site 2, and all of that rebalancing has
- 23 moved money around, but kept our total to 156.
- I think I'm lower than that at this point,
- 25 but there's those sites like 207/231 and the groundwater

```
1 monitoring that I haven't done adjustments on yet that are
```

- 2 going to still flip it.
- 3 But my expectation is that I won't be
- 4 excessively above that or excessively below that 156 when
- 5 all of those numbers are resorted.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: So to try to clarify,
- 7 you're scrubbing the numbers, but it's not going into this
- 8 until you have scrubbed the whole set?
- 9 MS. FANELLI: That is correct.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: I see. Okav.
- MS. FANELLI: And the reason that it's not
- 12 going into this is the Trust Finance Group is very
- 13 sensitive, obviously, to numbers that we publish because
- 14 they're audited and they're public numbers.
- So I make recommendations. I go through my
- 16 analysis with staff. I make my recommendations to our
- 17 CFO's office.
- Once they approve budget changes, then
- 19 they'll actually be made in our financial accounting number
- 20 at Oracle. All of these numbers come out of Oracle.
- 21 So once they're changed in Oracle,
- 22 everybody's bought off and signed off on it them. I
- 23 anticipate us doing that not more than once a year, and I
- 24 anticipate us doing that in the third quarter because our
- 25 auditors come in the fourth quarter.

```
They would do all of those reports of those
changes to our board of directors, get it in the books and
```

- 3 then it will be audited by the office, as well.
- 4 MR. BERMAN: But, for example, the number
- 5 for fill site 5 in table 3, there's not going to be any
- 6 further adjustments on that?
- 7 MS. FANELLI: In this one?
- MR. BERMAN: Yes.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: Yes, there would be. The
- 10 number in here is high. It shows an older number. When,
- 11 in fact, I thought we had submitted the fill site 5 closure
- 12 letter.
- I'll double-check on that, why you guys don't
- 14 have a copy of it or if we haven't sent it, but that has
- 15 been basically done. And so we won't be spending any more
- 16 dollars on that.
- MR. BERMAN: Is the number in table 3
- 18 inaccurate?
- MS. FANELLI: The costs to date are
- 20 accurate. The budget number, the estimate to complete is
- 21 not.
- MR. BERMAN: Yeah. That's quite a
- 23 difference between the two.
- MS. FANELLI: It is, and that was one thing
- 25 that I'd actually been working on since mid summer and it

- 1 became a much larger task than I ever thought it would be,
- 2 in part because it was important -- and I didn't realize
- 3 early on -- that these really need to be vetted through a
- 4 process, through our finance office and be auditable by a
- 5 third party auditor.
- 6 So we did a couple of things. One, we
- 7 created an internal process to do this. So that exists and
- 8 is in place now, and then we're working that and we're
- 9 getting all that documentation pulled together.
- 10 So it starts at the staff level. They do
- 11 their review. I review that work, and when I'm
- 12 comfortable, I sign off on it. I take it up my chain, and
- 13 when the CFO is comfortable, then we make that change.
- But you can see that it wouldn't do well to
- 15 just change certain sites, that we either drive that
- 16 overall light green on the way down or way up. Only to
- 17 flip it every quarter.
- 18 That would cause undue stress, you know, for
- 19 no reason. It's better for us within an internal year to
- 20 do our estimates and then get them right and get involved.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, I'm just clarifying
- 22 this. You mentioned that we were clear for -- up through
- 23 RAP3 cost through December '08, and so I'm just trying to
- 24 reconcile some of the numbers.
- If I look again at Remedial Action Plan 2, I

```
1 guess the third line down, there's kind of a RAP FS6, Baker
```

- 2 Beach, DA3 and 4 and there's kind of like canceling.
- Is that just closing out an account?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: It is. Part of what is in
- 5 here, these actually reflect the project numbers in our
- 6 Oracle system, and sometimes a project like that, I don't
- 7 know when that was opened or why, but it accumulated costs
- 8 and then they realized this isn't right. We need to move
- 9 them to a new number.
- 10 You'll see that cancellation to bring it to
- 11 zero to close that project, and those went to their
- 12 appropriate project. It was either 6A or Baker Beach 3 and
- 13 4, but they were reallocated.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Moving on to page 6,
- 15 I'm -- I notice that for building 669 where we had the
- 16 unexpected ash and a lot of extra cost, there's the current
- 17 forecast and the cumulative to date is higher, and the
- 18 estimate to complete is a negative.
- MS. FANELLI: Right.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: And I began to see that
- 21 in a few places. I'm wondering if there isn't a better way
- 22 to adjust that.
- MS. FANELLI: Right. There could be a
- 24 better way. What that reflects is that the actual costs
- 25 are higher than the budget, basically.

```
1
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Right. But it doesn't
 2
     sound right, that the estimate to complete is --
 3
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Negative.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- negative.
 4
 5
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: You still have more work in
     that; right?
 6
 7
                   MS. FANELLI: I've shared with you the work
 8
     in progress, those estimates, and that one is going to be
     double, but overall, we're going to come in under the
10
     construction estimate for the RAP3 main installation sites
     by -- I hope close to a million dollars. That's where
11
12
     we're estimating right now.
13
                   But that site and a couple of others are
     higher than the estimates. So that adjustment, they have
14
15
     been adjusted, as well. We've already gone through. We
     just haven't presented the change.
16
17
                   MS. NEWTON:
                                Which site is higher?
18
                   MS. FANELLI: 669. We have a current budget
     of 740. In actuality, it's more like 1.5 million by the
19
20
     time we're done.
21
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: Does it mean there's still
22
     some of the costs that haven't been billed?
23
                   MS. FANELLI: Yep. There's a big lag
24
     often -- not so much in construction, although sometimes in
25
     construction, but the costs that go into here are not just
```

```
1 specific dates. It's June matrix and analytical costs.
```

- 2 So we have costs. The consulting costs in
- 3 general, I don't know how they do it, but they can lag a
- 4 good two, three months behind the periods that they
- 5 actually invoice you for.
- MR. BERMAN: So where do you see in this --
- 7 sorry.
- FACILITATOR KERN: No problem. Go ahead.
- 9 MR. BERMAN: If you look at table 3, where
- 10 do I see the difference between the actual costs for -- the
- 11 actual estimated cost for 669 and what the proposed budget
- 12 was? I don't see that anywhere in that table.
- MS. FANELLI: You don't see the new proposed
- 14 budget. All you see is the budget that's in our Oracle
- 15 system.
- MR. BERMAN: It means that there's just a
- 17 time lag here.
- MS. FANELLI: Well, we haven't changed the
- 19 Oracle budget. We will change the Oracle budget.
- MR. BERMAN: Yeah.
- MS. FANELLI: I'm not sure if it would be
- 22 second quarter or third quarter. My guess is it will be
- 23 third quarter when we actually make that change.
- MR. BERMAN: So to what extent -- in view of
- 25 -- is that just an exception? Because in a certain sense,

```
1 you look at this and -- at table 3 and you wonder what do
```

- 2 these numbers really mean if there's a bunch of things that
- 3 are fifty percent off in there.
- 4 So --
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Right. I understand the Trust
- 6 figures, so that's why I'm systematically going through
- 7 this on a site by site basis, because there are clearly
- 8 sites that are overbudgeted and there's clearly sites that
- 9 are underbudgeted, and we have been trying to fix that
- 10 systemically as we go through this before we present a new
- 11 budget.
- 12 The reason that we're trying to do that is
- 13 that we don't publish numbers. We don't want to put
- 14 numbers in front of our board that vary by these huge
- 15 amounts.
- If I only -- if I only adjusted fill site 1
- or landfill 2 or if you bring down all the costs because of
- 18 landfill 10 and landfill 8 from the budgets, but I know
- 19 that fill site 1 and fill site 2 are completely offset, but
- 20 if I don't have those costs as confirmed, I'm at risk at
- 21 saying all my costs is 156, now it's 145, going back to
- 22 156.
- I'm trying to avoid that kind of flip-
- 24 flopping by trying to get our arms around it.
- It's taking longer than I thought it would

- 1 take. These numbers have been audited. Auditors know the
- 2 actuals. They've seen our work in progress. They audit
- 3 not just what we publish, but they audit our process.
- 4 So the process that we've implemented has
- 5 been looked at, and I'm happy to say that we got no
- 6 comments on our process. That means we were doing what we
- 7 were told we were doing. That was the good thing.
- 8 MR. BERMAN: And so to a certain extent, the
- 9 only numbers that really mean anything in this table 3 are
- 10 really the -- the costs paid out to this day.
- The rest of those numbers could be
- 12 fluctuating all over the place?
- MS. FANELLI: It could be fluctuating, but
- 14 what I'm telling you is -- what I'm finding is that we are
- 15 not that far off with that 156.
- MR. BERMAN: When you put it all together,
- 17 but if you look at any individual item here, it could be
- 18 fifty percent off.
- MS. FANELLI: Yes, but there's not many of
- 20 those. 669 is one exception. But the majority of them are
- 21 not like that. 207/231 is one that is.
- Go ahead.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Let me keep plowing
- 24 through this. It's not unlike Sam's, but what I'm trying
- 25 to get at is sort of the magnitude.

```
1
                    So I get to the subtotal for RAP3 and it
 2
     shows five million or so estimate to complete.
 3
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                   Mm-hmm.
 4
                    FACILITATOR KERN: And you mentioned there's
     another 800 K or so that's not in there for --
 5
 6
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                  669.
 7
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- 669, so-called four
     million, and I have another further up in RAP1 and 2.
 8
 9
                   So I'm just trying to make broad brush
     strokes here. That's kind of like six million that we may
10
11
     not need to spend.
12
                   Am I on sort of the right track there?
13
                   MS. FANELLI: For which sites?
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Well, if you look at the
14
1.5
     RAP1, there's a million that we're not going to spend
16
     there. That's in this estimate to complete, and there's
     700,000 -- I've rounded it up to a million.
17
18
                   MS. FANELLI: I think I have an easier way
19
     of getting there.
20
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Okav.
21
                   MS. FANELLI: The only sites that I haven't
22
     vetted yet. Groundwater monitoring, which I think is a few
23
     million high in terms of the budget.
24
                   207/231, which if we go with the current
25
     plan, is about two and a half, three million too low from
```

- 1 the budget. It's going to be higher.
- 2 937 has not been changed and I believe that
- 3 that one has a half million extra dollars in it, and
- 4 Mountain Lake is one of those kind of unknown ones.
- 5 We have a budget in here close to six
- 6 million, five and change, but I'm not sure what it actually
- 7 will be.
- 8 So those are the main drivers. I also have
- 9 the miscellaneous sites. I don't have a way in the short-
- 10 term to get my arms around that.
- There's a plugged number in there for those,
- 12 but those are the main sites that I don't have cost
- 13 estimates.
- So if you take those out of the equation,
- 15 I've removed numbers from everywhere and I'm still coming
- 16 up at close to 156. Just a little bit shy, maybe three or
- 17 four hundred thousand shy of that. That's because costs
- 18 have changed anywhere.
- 19 That's including the regulatory agency costs.
- 20 I've had to greatly increase the budget to DTSC and the
- 21 Regional Board. Because they were underbudget.
- Part of that is we didn't have a schedule
- 23 that was our end date, and I just take the date of
- oversight, which is reasonable. No one's saying it's yet
- 25 not. I had to extend it for a certain amount of time.

- 1 So they've changed really virtually
- 2 everywhere, and that's how they balance out. The sites
- 3 that I named, 207/231, which is two to three million over,
- 4 and if the groundwater monitoring is a million too high,
- 5 that offsets some of that.
- We're still I'm hoping in the ballpark of the
- 7 156, and a lot will depend -- these are estimated based on
- 8 my level of confidence.
- 9 If it's a on a site like RAP1, 2 or 3, I can
- 10 put a ninety percent number on it. I'm pretty confident
- 11 it's not going to change.
- If it's a RAP4, I can put a sixty or better
- on it because I've got good solid construction estimates.
- 14 If it's out beyond that, I have much less certainty because
- 15 we're not there. I don't know.
- We're also trying to build in contingencies.
- 17 Almost all of the projects that are from today forward have
- 18 a certain amount of contingency built into it.
- We carry a few million dollars' worth of
- 20 contingency that's above and beyond the construction
- 21 estimate, and that's normal, and one thing I'm looking at
- 22 doing is maybe putting the contingency line off the program
- 23 to the extent I'm allowed to do it.
- If you start seeing statements, before I give
- 25 back the existing liability, I'm able to hold it for a

- 1 while in a reserve line based on -- on -- on real risks,
- 2 articulated risk before we give it back and say, "Oh, our
- 3 liability is not 156; it's 150." Only the next year
- 4 saying, "Crap, it's actually 156 again." So that's where
- 5 we're trying to go with this.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: No, I totally support all
- 7 those ideas, and I -- I will risk of the wrath of everybody
- 8 here, but I don't think it would be a risk to say that we
- 9 greatly appreciate your understanding of this material and
- 10 your familiarity with it.
- We had also many years of this where we had
- 12 one piece of paper. Somebody put it on a view screen for
- ten seconds and took it off. That was the whole program.
- 14 So we've come a long ways.
- I did go back to the last information that I
- 16 could find was quarter three from '07. I -- and I'm
- 17 noticing from that period, some of the big sites like fill
- 18 site 1, landfill 2, landfill E, they've actually come down,
- 19 at least in this report, in terms of projected cost, which
- 20 was a surprise. I would have thoughts that they would have
- 21 gone up.
- 22 So I'm noticing --
- MR. BERMAN: But Doug, that's because the
- 24 recommended -- the RAP doesn't include clean closure.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: No. It's -- the

- quarter -- the 2007 estimate was ten million and change and 1 it's gone down some 223,000. 2 3 But that that has nothing to do with remedy 4 change. That's just a reduction for some reason. MR. BERMAN: Okay. 5 MS. FANELLI: We're talking about third 6 7 quarter '07? FACILITATOR KERN: Yes. 8 9 MS. FANELLI: I can tell you that the budget I inherited was fourth quarter '07, and that was an audited 10 budget, and that's the budget that I've been working off 11 12 of. I believe it hasn't changed significantly 13 14 since then. The only change that would have occurred would have been actuals or Baker Beach 2A and Baker Beach 1, 15 which did change, but it was in that period, my predecessor 16 towards that last bit of '07 where the budget increased 35 17 to forty million dollars in a very short time. 18 So I can't comment on third quarter '07. I 19
- 20 don't think it was actually even audited, but the fourth
- 21 quarter was, the end of the year, and that's the budget
- 22 that I work off of, and I have the basis for the numbers,
- 23 good or bad, in my books for those -- for those project
- 24 costs, and then we are now updating them.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: That's all appreciated.

- 1 Part of the point that I'm trying to make is that we're
- 2 very familiar with -- for some reason, call it just an
- 3 oddity or a quirk for me, but I get these numbers stuck in
- 4 my head and I remember them from year to year, and so I can
- 5 kind of track this pretty easily.
- We appreciate when it's really up-to-date.
- 7 So I'm really looking forward to when we see a report, that
- 8 it actually is pretty much reflective of the reality.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I won't issue it formal, but I
- 10 can -- I'll share you my worksheet at the planning meeting
- 11 and you can see how that process looks.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: That will be terrific.
- MS. FANELLI: It's the exact same table with
- 14 a new number. That's a file folder in the drawer with some
- 15 backup for the new number, and this is just some brief
- 16 comments as to our confidence in that number. I
- 17 actually put a confidence on it, and I sort them by
- 18 confidence number. So I'll give you an idea of how we're
- 19 doing that. We have comfort level, but at this point I
- 20 can't say when they'll be formally changed in our Oracle
- 21 system.
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: Just a couple of minor
- 23 things and I'll let everybody shoot their arrows at me for
- 24 taking up so much time.
- Down to page 9, some items that kind of got

- 1 my attention that's starting to cost a lot is the cost
- 2 tracking services.
- 3
  I'm not sure what that is, but it's getting
- 4 up there to three-quarters of a million or so.
- 5 Environmental data management system. I checked my
- 6 previous knowledge on that and that was -- that number is
- 7 definitely going up.
- It's now close to 1.1 million, but I don't
- 9 even really know what that is. I'm sure it's a reasonable
- 10 thing.
- If you look down at remediation
- 12 administration supplies on page 10, it is now like 1.1
- 13 million. That's about 700,000 above the last projection
- 14 for the full project that I have. It was like 410,000.
- 15 So --
- MS. FANELLI: That probably was unrealistic
- 17 in the last estimate.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: I'm just wondering -- you
- 19 know, it could be, but I'm noticing --
- MS. FANELLI: Supplies for us. It might be.
- 21 The justification for those numbers, though, is what we're
- 22 trying to pull up. The number before might have been
- 23 completely unrealistic.
- Those kinds of costs that are in there are
- 25 our copier, our paper, our pencils, the supplies that we

```
use, the RAB snacks that come to meetings, which are
  2
      nothing.
  3
                    They're small dollars, but it collects a lot
     of those types of charges, and if you look at that cost of
  4
 5
     the entire program and time frame, I think we're doing
     pretty darn good in terms of our overhead.
 6
                    FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       I'm not being critical.
 8
                   MS. FANELLI: But there is a basis for it.
 9
                    FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Right. I also notice
     that there's -- I think there is a RAB support line which
10
11
     is different.
                   MS. FANELLI: That might be where the snacks
12
13
     go. I don't know.
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN: This remediation
     administration supplies, I just want to draw your attention
15
     to it because you also have another line that's remediation
16
17
     office supplies --
18
                   MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- which is --
20
                   MS. FANELLI: Much lower.
21
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                     -- much more reasonable.
22
                   It's something you might want to check since
     it went up almost 700,000 over a year.
23
24
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  I do want to check.
25
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       The projected cost.
                                                               Page 62
```

- 200 plus thousand has been spent. Fully 900,000 additional dollars have been placed into that category. That's like
- 3 almost a million.
- 4 So it's -- you know, we can kind of help with
- 5 this, too, by just pointing out I wonder what that is.
- 6 And then I think you mentioned finally
- 7 the --
- 8 MR. BERMAN: Doug, just on that one
- 9 point --
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah.
- 11 MR. BERMAN: -- there's no definition of
- 12 what supplies -- office supplies are listed separately, but
- 13 supplies could mean something in terms of materials where
- 14 people go out in the field.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: It could be. One thing
- 16 that struck me that it could be -- I was trying to imagine
- 17 what it could be. It could be related to the conveyor
- 18 belts --
- MR. BERMAN: Yeah.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: -- that we purchased for
- 21 the Baker Beach sites, but didn't include in the cost.
- MS. NEWTON: I doubt that.
- FACILITATOR KERN: I don't know. I simply
- 24 don't know.
- MS. NEWTON: I think it would have to be

- 1 used by the administration. I don't think the
- 2 administration was using the conveyor belt.
- MS. FANELLI: We have all the basis of all
- 4 the costs.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: So the final couple of
- 6 items on this are the -- these remediation department
- 7 administration projections, and there are these KPMG notes.
- 8 Maybe we can talk about those.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: What page are you on?
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: It's the very last page.
- 11 10, 11, the one item.
- MS. FANELLI: Right. So I mentioned that
- our labor costs are projected to program to completion, and
- 14 they included an escalation factor of three percent per
- 15 year.
- 16 So the auditors indicated that's
- 17 inappropriate accounting. You're not allowed to escalate.
- 18 We ended up removing that escalation back. Even though
- 19 it's real, but take if that's auditing, that's what the
- 20 auditors say to do. So that's one of the adjustments.
- MR. BERMAN: And you paid them for that?
- MS. FANELLI: We pay them for that, among
- 23 other things. So that's the escalation that was removed
- 24 from there.
- It was removed in two places. It was removed

```
1 from the labor that's currently included and budgeted as
```

- 2 being paid out of Army funds, and it was removed from the
- 3 Trust component, the trust funded labor piece. So that's
- 4 what those notes imply.
- It wasn't a significant amount, as you can
- 6 see, but that was the escalation.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: I did look through the
- 8 schedule, as well, and I just -- this is just a separate
- 9 note that I raised the issue of Lobos Creek and perhaps
- 10 accelerating that, being the drinking water supply, and I
- 11 note that it's -- you know, it's out there in your schedule
- 12 --
- MS. FANELLI: It still is. You're right.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: -- at, you know --
- 15 beginning the investigation in 2011 and the construction in
- 16 12. Actually, 13.
- MS. FANELLI: 13.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. So just my own
- 19 work in Lobos Creek, I think it may be more complicated
- 20 than we want it to be. There's a lot happening there, so
- 21 it's just another plug for moving.
- Thanks for bearing with my comments.
- 23 Anybody else have any thoughts on all of
- 24 this? Appreciate you taking my questions.
- MS. SEGAL: Well, the only thing you

- 1 mentioned about a stimulus package, you might request a
- 2 small amount, and the article that Jan sent us from SF Gate
- 3 on Mountain Lake, that assumes that Supervisor Alioto-Pier
- 4 was going to be asking for I think two million dollars.
- 5 I'm not sure.
- It was sent to everybody, so --
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: I didn't notice the
- 8 amount.
- 9 MS. SEGAL: I thought the article did have
- 10 an amount, and I think it was two million. It wasn't very
- 11 much from what they're projecting.
- 12 There was an interesting response if anyone
- 13 read it. Your point of view from the Park Service. The
- 14 response to the article, because the article talked about
- 15 feeding ducks and dogs.
- Of course that always -- someone says
- 17 obviously they don't know about National Park rules because
- 18 you're not supposed to feed the wildlife and the dogs are
- 19 supposed to be on a leash. That was in Jan's recent one.
- 20 But the stimulus thing was what I wanted to
- 21 mention. I don't know where it's going to go.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Right. All this work
- 23 that people are putting in for Mountain Lake, we're hopeful
- 24 that it will eventually mean -- it's been years now that
- 25 we've been plugging away and making this happen. The Trust

- 1 was definitely being proactive.
- I read through the document today and there's
- 3 like five separate areas of trying to collect funds. So
- 4 we'll see how that goes.
- 5 Do you have any update on what the timing of
- 6 that is? Is it just out there or is there a scheduled
- 7 hearing or any of that; do you know?
- 8 MS. FANELLI: I don't. I don't even think
- 9 they've been in front of a judge yet.
- MS. CHEEVER: Mountain Lake funding.
- MS. CHEEVER: There was a mediation session
- 12 to schedule for March 13th, and then after that, there
- 13 would be a status conference, which is the first step I
- 14 think on May 5th, but this was all postponed once and it
- 15 could well be postponed again.
- MS. FANELLI: Which one are you talking
- 17 about?
- MS. CHEEVER: I think I'm talking about --
- 19 wait. Actually, that's the Zurich lawsuit. So everything
- 20 I said goes to Zurich lawsuit.
- The Mountain Lake lawsuit, the government --
- 22 sorry about that. Okay. Everything applies to the Zurich
- 23 lawsuit.
- The Mountain Lake lawsuit, the government has
- 25 requested to have it assigned to the same judge as a

related case, and the decision on that hasn't been made 1 2 yet, and once that decision is made, then initial hearings or status conference will be done. 3 4 FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you. MS. SEGAL: By the government, you mean the Trust? 6 7 MS. CHEEVER: The Justice Department lawyers who are representing the Trust. 8 9 MR. HULTGREN: What is the related case; do 10 you know? 11 Zurich. MR. BERMAN: 12 MR. HULTGREN: Is it Zurich? 13 MS. CHEEVER: And Mountain Lake. 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Any other items on the 15 financial report and related RAP questions? 16 MR. BERMAN: Just a personal comment. 17 think this is a very difficult task that I have in doing this accurate financial reporting, the part where 18 19 everything is spent and the receipts are in the drawer, so 20 to speak, that one can do with a bit of time and vigilance, but projecting out is pretty difficult. 21 22 So even though some of the questions may be 23 pointed, we know it's a difficult task to do, and I hope 24 you don't take it as a criticism, but more --25 MS. FANELLI: Not at all.

24

25

week.

```
1
                   MR. BERMAN: -- as an attempt to try to
 2
     understand what's going on.
 3
                                  Sure. Thank you, Sam.
                   MS. FANELLI:
 4
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Item number 6, anything
 5
     from Bob.
               Agnes?
                   MS. FARRES:
                                 No.
 7
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you.
                   New business. We have down here RAP5 sites,
 9
     vegetation removal. I think you talked a little bit about
10
     that, and the Park Service, you guys are discussing all the
11
     necessary items.
12
                   I guess what this means is the Battery
13
     frontal slopes vegetation removal.
14
                   MS. FANELLI: Mainly 1A. The area between 1
15
     and heading up past the last battery and towards the
16
     bridge.
17
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: The maps have to be
18
     redrawn.
19
                   MS. FANELLI: I don't know if they'll be
20
              It will be the same for that area.
                                                   Just the
21
     southern sites.
22
                  MR. ULLENSVANG: You had Battery frontal
23
    closed between 1 and 1A, and that is what you showed last
```

Page 69

MS. FANELLI: One of the things we're

- 1 struggling with is where does 1A end and Battery frontal
- 2 begin.
- We're looking at everything sort of north of
- 4 1 is 1A, if you will. Because it is kind of contiguous.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: All right. I will assume
- 6 that means everybody is working that out.
- Action items that I have. We're going to try
- 8 to check on the insurance policy renewal question that Sam
- 9 has raised.
- 10 There has been a question raised about has
- 11 the Trust actually -- I know you don't know, but submitted
- 12 anything on behalf of the remediation department in terms
- 13 of stimulus program funding.
- We know that there may be some amount
- 15 submitted by Alioto-Pier on Mountain Lake.
- 16 For our Planning Committee, we have
- 17 tentatively an update on the ninety to maybe a hundred
- 18 percent design. A couple weeks from now, we'll see where
- 19 that is, and at the next full RAB meeting, perhaps Mo will
- 20 be here to discuss what has been worked out in terms of the
- 21 landfill 10/landfill 8 design work.
- 22 Presumably graded area nine, as well.
- MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: Are there any other
- 25 thoughts?

```
1
                   MR. O'HARA: I'm just reflecting on that
 2
     article, and my recollection what the article in the
 3
     newspaper said is that Alioto-Pier was discussing a two
 4
     million dollar cost for retrofitting the way that the water
 5
     was evacuated from the highway.
 6
                   FACILITATOR KERN: More of a diversion.
 7
                   MR. O'HARA:
                                 The diversion from the highway
 8
     because that was a -- an action item, if you would, for
 9
     Caltrans, and then there was the cost of the actual cleanup
10
     itself, but there was no dollar amount that was attached to
11
     that.
12
                   What I believe the two million dollar number
13
     was for the diversion, the water diversion, and the -- the
     upgrading of the connector pipe.
14
15
                   I think that that's -- if I recall what the
16
     article said. So that was the two million dollars, and
17
     then there was no value attached to the actual dredging of
18
     the lake.
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Okay. That makes sense
2.0
     in about the value as I understand it, it's about that
21
     amount.
22
                   MR. O'HARA:
                                 That eight million dollar
23
    number, is that for the dredging or is that for the entire
24
    project?
25
                   MS. NEWTON: I thought that was for the
```

24

25

for the good of the order?

```
1
     entire thing.
 2
                   MS. FANELLI: I have to admit. I stopped
 3
     paying attention for a second. I was thinking about the
     light on the projector.
 4
 5
                   I know that the stormwater issues are between
 6
     the two and three number for correcting that. The lake
 7
     sediments, the number in our budget is the five and a half,
     six million dollar number.
 8
                   MR. O'HARA: So it would be eight million
 9
10
     dollars total.
11
                   MS. FANELLI: But that five million number
12
     is not refined at all.
13
                   MR. O'HARA: It's a plugged number.
14
                   MS. FANELLI: Exactly. It based on
15
     something, but it may not be as robust as it needs to be.
16
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       And there would be one
17
    other small action item. If you could forward your
18
    presentation --
19
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Right.
20
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                     -- to us.
21
                   MS. FANELLI: I apologize for that. I'm
22
    very irritated about that.
23
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Are there any other items
```

Page 72

Thanks very much, everyone for coming out

```
tonight. Appreciate your participation.
 1
 2
                     Without objection, meeting adjourned.
                     (The meeting concluded at 8:48 PM).
 3
 4
                                 ---000---
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                      Page 73
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )
3	·
4	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
5	discussion in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time
6	and place therein stated; that the foregoing is a full, true and complete record of said matter.
7	
8	I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for
9	of any of the parties in the foregoing meeting and caption
	named, or in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in
10	said action.
11	
12	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have
14	hereunto set my hand this
15	25day of March
16	2009/
17	
18	MARK I. BRICKMAN CSR 5827
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
L 0	
l 1	
L 2	
L 3	
L 4	
l 5	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
l 6	TUESDAY, APRIL 14, 2009
L 7	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
L 8	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
L 9	
2 0	
2 1	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR
2 5	License No. 5527

2

1 ATTENDEES

```
presmt q 4 - 14 - 09. txt
 2
     RAB Members:
     Doug Kern, Facilitator
Mark Youngkin
     Eileen Fanelli
Brian Ullensvang
 4
 5
     Bob Boggs
     Agnes Färres
 6
     Péter O'Hara
     Gloria Gee
     Jan Blum
Sara Segal
     Sam Berman
     Jan Monaghan
 9
     Íohn Budroe
     Édward Callanan
10
     Barbara Newton
     Toni Kramer
11
     In attendance:
12
     Mo Bazaugani
13
14
                                 ---000---
15
16
                    BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
     the Meeting, and on April 14, 2009, at the Officer's Club,
17
18
     Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California, before
     me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of California,
19
20
     there commenced a RAB meeting under the provisions of the
21
     Presidio Trust.
22
                                 ---000---
23
24
25
                                                                      3
 1
                                  AGENDA
 2
                                                              Page
 3
     1) Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern
     2) Agenda Discussion and Approval
                                                                  4
```

Page 2

5	3)	presmtg4-14-09.txt Announcements and Old Business	4
6	4)	Committee Business & Reports	4
7	5)	Reports & Discussions	8
8	6)	Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs	
9		A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC -	7 3
10		B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB -	7 4
11	7)	New Business	7 5
12	8)	Review of Action Items	7 5
13	9)	Agenda Items for Upcoming Committee/RAB Meeting	77
14	10)	Adjournment	7 8
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
2 0			
2 1			
2 2			
2 3			
2 4			
2 5			

FACILITATOR KERN: I'd like to welcome

everyone tonight to the Presidio Restoration Advisory Board

Meeting for April 2009.

I'd like to welcome to Presidio Trust, the

National Park Service, the regulators, Community

Restoration Advisory Board members and any members of the

public that are here tonight.

```
\begin{array}{c} presmt\,g\,4-1\,4-0\,9.\,t\,x\,t\\ For\ the\ agenda\ tonight,\ item\ number\ 5,\ we \end{array}
 8
 9
     have -- what we had planned to do was a -- is a design
     update for the landfill 8, 10 and 9 areas.
10
11
                    That should have actually made it on to the
12
     agenda, but we were a bit remiss not getting it on there.
13
     That's the agenda for tonight to be on number 5.
14
                    Are there any other additions or changes?
15
                    Very good. Any announcements, new business?
     Community business.
16
17
                    We had an extremely well attended meeting at
     the end of March, and we went into quite a bit of
18
19
     discussion around several topics, the multitudes that were
20
     gathered there.
21
                    I'm just joking, but to let everybody know,
22
     everybody decided to not come to a meeting at the same time
23
     last committee meeting, and so just to let everybody know,
24
     Eileen and Brian and I were there, and then Samarrived,
2.5
     and we waited a few minutes and then we began the meeting,
     and so we had our meeting for the four of us.
 1
 2
                    That has never happened in like fifteen
     years. That was kind of an aberration, I'm not sure. I
 3
     want to let you know if you all don't come at the same
 4
     time, it does have an effect.
 5
                    All right. We did talk a lot about what
 6
 7
     we're going to talk about tonight.
 8
                    Sam, did you have any updates from that
 9
     meeting?
10
                    MR. BERMAN: Well, I had the privilege of
```

Page 4

```
12
                   MS. NEWTON:
                                You had the whole floor.
13
                   MR. BERMAN: -- and nobody left, so --
14
                   MS. FANELLI: We came close.
15
                   MR. BERMAN: It was a very interesting
16
     meeting, and I guess we talked a lot about the -- the
17
     seismic questions concerning 8, 9 and 10, and we also
18
     discussed the -- the '06 earthquake and the -- the event
     that was going to be allowed for in the seismic work toward
19
20
     9 -- I quess 8 and 10 was going to be commensurate with the
21
     '06 quake, which was like a twelve inch movement,
22
     horizontal movement of some twelve inches. So we discussed
23
     that.
24
                   And then we went into a little bit of concern
2.5
     about the -- about the way the cover was going to be put on
                                                                6
 1
     -- on the landfill in order to make sure that it was
 2
     seismically stable, and we had a whole bunch of questions
 3
     that nobody could answer, and they were passed on --
     presumably Mo will answer them.
 4
                   MS. NEWTON: This was the cover on 10?
 5
 6
                   MR. BERMAN: This was the cover on 10, and
 7
     we thought about in an earthquake, what's going to keep the
 8
     cover from slipping, and we imagined all kinds of things
     like grooming it, rebar sticking up and holding the cover
 9
10
     in place, but nobody knew exactly what it was going to be.
11
                   And so I guess we'll hear from Mo tonight on
     those specifics.
12
13
                   And then there was a heated discussion
```

Page 5

presmtg4-14-09.txt having a number of people to interrogate at will --

```
presmtg4-14-09.txt
     actually about the revegetation plan, and it seemed to be a
14
15
     conflict between what the Park Service thought should go
16
     there and what the Trust thought should go there, and that
     was actually quite interesting, because I've never seen
17
18
     such an open controversy at a public meeting.
19
                   But Brian is not here tonight.
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: I'm here.
20
21
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       He's here
22
                   MR. BERMAN: He's hiding.
23
                   So I hope there's some clarification on that,
24
     because that was kind of a surprise to see that there was
25
     some still ongoing discussion as to what the -- what the
                                                                7
     vegetation would be like.
 1
 2
                   And then finally we discussed 1 and 2 and
 3
     some additional trenching that's going to be done for 1 and
     2, and presumably you'll hear about it tonight or -- or
 4
 5
     eventually there'll be some discussion about that
     trenching, and I guess it all had to do with really
 6
     determining what the boundaries are.
 7
 8
                   And as you know, the boundaries are important
 9
     because they determine the volume, and the volume
10
     determines the expense, and so the hipbone is connected to
11
     the tailbone, et cetera, so that's about what we covered,
     and I thank the Park Service and the Presidio Trust for
12
13
     entertaining all my questions, even though they didn't
14
     answer them.
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       That's true. It was
15
16
     quite an experience. Sam had the floor.
```

```
presmtg4-14-09.txt
I can add a little bit to the fill site 1,
17
     landfill 2. I think there is going -- there have been a
18
19
     number of trenches defining the extent of it, but I think
     there are total depths in a couple of places. So that's
20
21
     still to come in those investigations.
22
                   Any questions for Sam while we have him on
     the hot seat?
23
24
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 Yes, and Doug had a four inch
     thick document that he passed over to me and said, "Would
25
                                                                 8
     you read this?" hoping to keep me guiet, but I didn't read
 1
     it, and I don't know if he brought it tonight, but it was
 2
 3
     the ninety percent engineering plan, and I quess if we've
     gone beyond that tonight, Mo will tell us.
 4
 5
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Very good. If there's
 6
     not any other further committee business from last week or
 7
     three weeks ago now, I guess we'll turn it over to the guys
     to talk about the designing plans, the current state of
 8
 9
     them.
10
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Mo and I are going to go
11
     through that together, but before we get started, I wanted
12
     to go over old business.
                   You asked me two meetings in a row about the
13
14
     insurance policy extension, and I finally got around to
     nailing our environmental attorneys' feet down and
15
16
     confirmed that the real policy which deals with the unknown
     contamination does have a provision at the end of the term.
17
                   We can extend the policy for a three-year
18
19
     period -- that's the maximum extension -- at 25 percent of
```

- 20 the premium.
- 21 I know you're going to ask me what the
- 22 premium was. I don't have the actual number in my head. I
- believe it was on the order of 7, 800,000.
- The decision on the Trust, which we'll get
- 25 towards the end, whether or not we want to extend the

- 1 policy on that dollar amount, which will be somewhere on
- 2 the order of a quarter million dollars for the three-year
- 3 extension.
- 4 So that's something that obviously we'd be
- 5 looking at as we go forward.
- 6 Our unknown sites, as you know, are going to
- 7 be addressed at the end of the remediation schedule. So
- 8 that need and the tradeoffs of the costs, the risks will
- 9 become a lot clearer, I would imagine, the next several
- 10 years.
- 11 The other policy, the RSL policy does not
- 12 have an automatic extension based in it at all.
- 13 MR. BERMAN: So is the extension that's
- 14 permitted have to be mutually agreed or is it unilateral on
- 15 the part of the Trust? They can just say they want the
- 16 extension?
- 17 MS. FANELLI: I think the Trust can say they
- 18 want the extension on the real policy, but not -- we don't
- 19 have the same benefit on the RSL. So that was one thing
- 20 that I know was on my action item list.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you.
- MS. FANELLI: You're welcome.

2 4	the going over the amount over the hundred million, and the
2 5	RSL is for unknown?
	10
	10
1	MS. FANELLI: No. The reverse. The real is
2	for the unknown contamination, of which we've already
3	issued claims and received payments, primarily because of
4	Baker Beach 2A above and beyond the cost of the policy.
5	So that policy right now has more than paid
6	for itself, obviously.
7	FACILITATOR KERN: So that would mean for
8	the I'm just a little bit confused. For the things we
9	know about, we still have to get all that done by the 2014
10	deadline?
11	MS. FANELLI: That is correct. Actually all
12	costs have to be extended. The real is slightly different.
13	A claim has to be made by the May 2014 date, and then we
1 4	have provision for the extension.
15	The RSL, the costs need to be incurred by the
16	2014 date.
17	FACILITATOR KERN: So if we were to do an
18	investigation and find unknown sites and it was a big one,
19	then it would make a lot of sense to get the extension, but
2 0	that is not really going to apply to the
2 1	MS. FANELLI: Correct.
2 2	FACILITATOR KERN: others?
2 3	MS. FANELLI: Correct.
2 4	FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you.
25	MS. FANELLI: You're welcome.
	Page 9

presmtg4-14-09.txt FACILITATOR KERN: So again for the real is

All righty. So here we go. On this

1

```
presentation, we've modified it a little bit from what we
 2
 3
     did last -- I believe it was September or October 2008. We
     had a short presentation that was more on the conceptual
 4
 5
     design at that point.
 6
                   This presentation will -- go ahead. We'll go
 7
     to the agenda side.
 8
                   We wanted to kind of review the -- I'm not
 9
     going to go into the environmental process, because we're
10
     all intimately familiar with that.
11
                   The remedy elements, quick review on that,
12
     and then an overview of the design and construction
     elements, and at this point, I'll turn it over -- when we
13
14
     get to this in e-mail, I'll turn it over to Mo to walk you
15
     through it.
16
                   I will come back to talk about the
17
     restoration and respond to Sam -- some of Sam's comments
     that he made about our planning meeting session, and then
18
     finish up with the status and our schedule to complete.
19
20
                   All right. So you're all familiar with where
     everything is. Landfill 10, and we're showing PHSH fill,
21
22
     the eastern extension, if you will, or append damage to
     landfill 10.
23
                   Landfill 8 and its current outline, which is
24
2.5
     graded area 9, is the big sand pile there on the top of the
```

1 screen. 2 Okay. A summary of everything that we went 3 through. As you know, in February of 2009, we finalized the RAP and the CEQA documents, so they are the basis of 4 our design moving forward, and for graded area 9, the 5 6 decision document was done earlier previously in lune of 7 2007, and we saved the remedial construction so that we could enjoy the efficiencies of doing all three sites with 8 9 each other at the same time since they're close. 10 Okay. So the landfill 8 elements. going to be removing the existing tennis court and asphalt 11 12 parking area. That material will be ground up and 13 beneficially reused as aggregate base for landfill 10 14 paving. 15 When we do that and when we're done with that, we're going to institute a land use control, but 16 17 we're going to install a minimum three foot thick dune sand 18 cover that will ultimately be vegetated with dune scrub and 19 dune annual habitat, seeded by Trust Natural Resources 20 folks. Although Terry's not here -- and I'm not sure 21 if she's going to arrive today, but she can describe that 22 there's also additional work that our natural resources 23 24 folks are going to do towards the north of landfill 8 to

13

So that work is being coordinated, although I
don't have the details to talk about that project in

particular.

expand some of the habitat coming off of the Nike swale.

2.5

4		And th	en we ar	regoing to	do ongoing
5	groundwater mo	nitori	ng. The	ere is an ado	ditional well that
6	we will be ins	tallin	g to the	e southwest (	of landfill 8.
7		Okay.	Landfil	II 10. We'r	e going to be
8	grading the sl	ope, a	nd the p	purpose of t	he grading is
9	again is th	e seis	mic issu	ue that Sam	described, and we
10	talked about i	t at o	ne of th	he RAB meeti	ngs I think in
11	detail base	d on t	he excel	llent review	of the seismic work
1 2	that Doug did,	looki	ng all t	those calcula	ations.
13		So the	design	for earthqua	ake was indeed the
1 4	San Andreas ea	rthqua	ke of 19	906, and our	stable slope
15	configuration	is 1.7	5 to 1 s	slope.	
16		That m	aterial	will be pret	ferentially cut from
1 7	the face of la	ndfill	10, whi	ich is basica	ally material now at
18	the angle of r	epose.	It wil	II be consoli	idated on the top
19	deck.				
2 0		Any la	rge conc	crete or ine	rt building rubble
2 1	material like	that t	hat can	be ground,	similar to the
2 2	asphalt and co	ncrete	curbs,	will be gro	und so that we can
2 3	compact it and	conso	lidate i	it and reuse	it actually at
2 4	world base.				
2 5		There	will be	some placem	ent of engineered

14

fill on the slope to get to the design grades. Then a two
foot soil cover will be placed on the slope.

In addition, we're going to be importing some

4 additional fill to the top deck to reach our final design

5 grade for the parking lot, and then that will also be

6 covered with either the new parking lot or two foot of Page 12

- 7 clean fill and then vegetated.
- 8 MS. BLUM: Eileen, what will be the source
- 9 of the additional fill?
- MS. FANELLI: The additional earth will
- 11 probably be existing earth piles that -- piles that are on
- 12 the Presidio. There's existing soil piles at Nike site,
- 13 for example, that have just grown over the years.
- 14 We've done some sampling and testing of the
- 15 engineering properties it meets our general fill
- 16 requirements.
- MS. BLUM: Wouldn't you use other auxiliary
- 18 seed banks from other areas?
- 19 MS. FANELLI: This wouldn't be material that
- 20 would be up on the top that will grow. This is general
- 21 material under the asphalt.
- That's a very good question and comment.
- 23 This would not be a design for cover soil. It will be
- 24 general fill that would have cover soil placed on top of
- 25 it.

- 1 But I wanted to be clear, too, that we are
- 2 looking to see if we have quality -- good enough quality
- 3 soil on the top deck that we could also use for landscaping
- 4 soil.
- 5 We do have stockpiles at the Presidio that we
- 6 use for landscaping, and we're -- some of those soils are
- 7 right now, like in the dust bowl and some other areas, and
- 8 we're doing some testing on those to see if they would be
- 9 suitable in use for landscaped areas in recreational area Page 13

- 10 B.
- MS. BLUM: Is that something that Natural
- 12 Resources gets involved with?
- MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm. They do.
- 14 They actually have been very much involved in
- 15 the revegetation plan, which has been primarily driven by
- 16 the team that's working on the PHSH development, and that
- 17 will be planning, it will be Chandler McCoy's group and
- 18 Michael Lam who did work with Terry and Terry's group, Mark
- 19 Frey on that vegetation plan for area B landscape.
- 20 It does incorporate -- we'll see it. It does
- 21 incorporate a fair amount of native plants, as well, in
- 22 area B.
- We would have land use controls issued,
- because we're covering the waste and leaving it in place,
- 25 and then we would also do ongoing groundwater monitoring as

- 1 we need to.
- Okay. On graded area 9, there is still some
- 3 limited trenching that we need to do on the edges to
- 4 confirm the extent of that fill area, and we would do that
- 5 as we are moving sand off.
- 6 We want to try -- right now it has a sand
- 7 pile on it. We want to get some of that off on to 8 to
- 8 give us a little more flexibility moving material around
- 9 the site to get to the edges and expose it.
- 10 We would do our trenching to confirm the
- 11 limits of the fill, which involves some sampling of the
- debris itself, and -- and then ultimately we would agree on Page 14

- 13 those edges and we would place the remaining sand at graded
- 14 area 9 at a minimum three foot cover, and you'll see the
- 15 grading plans. Mo will describe them.
- There's always a minimum, but there's
- 17 actually quite a bit of topography to it. As a dune
- 18 habitat to be restored and replanted as a dune scrub, dune
- 19 annual habitat.
- 20 Okay.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: So --
- MR. BERMAN: Is there a moment for a
- 23 question?
- MS. FANELLI: Sure.
- MR. BERMAN: Both 9 and 10 have land use

- 1 controls.
- 2 Can you say just a little bit more about what
- 3 those are going to be?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: The land use controls -- yes.
- 5 Actually, all three sites will have land use controls.
- 6 Graded area 9 won't really have a control, because there is
- 7 not a limit -- documented human health risk. There's an
- 8 ecological risk.
- 9 It will basically have a land use
- 10 notification, so what's the difference between a
- 11 notification and a control?
- The land use controls will identify in our
- 13 system, the Trust system and the Park Service's systems
- 14 that they establish for landfill 10 that the site has
- debris fill on it, and it will impose any requirements that Page 15

- we would have for workers working underneath the cap.
- So, for example, a utility line goes through
- 18 PHSH fill or utility line goes through -- there aren't, to
- 19 my knowledge, any utility lines under landfill 8, and there
- 20 are under PHSH fill and the parking lot under landfill 10.
- 21 So if we had to have our workers go out there
- 22 and do any work, then we would document the process that
- 23 they would have to go through with this land use control
- 24 and any protective measures that they would have to take,
- 25 and any requirements to remove -- excess requirements to

- 1 remove the landfill and properly handle it as part of their
- 2 work.
- 3 It will also indicate hey, there's a landfill
- 4 here, and you need to limit the use of that area to the
- 5 recreational land use.
- The notification would simply be hey, there's
- 7 debris fill here. There's no known health risks, but
- 8 here's some precautions for how to handle that material;
- 9 again, not to spread it around, but to be protective and
- 10 keep the cover maintained for the ecological benefits that
- 11 it provides, and I don't know, Bob, if you want to add to
- 12 that at all.
- MR. BOGGS: Yeah. One of the biggest
- 14 differences between a notification and control is for them
- to lift a control; i.e. to make use of the property for
- 16 unlimited uses, they need my agency's approval.
- For land use identification, if they want to
- refurbish that property, they can do it on their own free Page 16

- 19 will, because at this point, they're not exceeding a
- 20 regulatory human health standard.
- 21 So the notification helps if they want to
- 22 refurbish the land into an ecological habitat, and so it
- 23 serves that good purpose, but really the land use control
- 24 is also then documented with our agency, and we follow up
- 25 with inspections and it's part of the ongoing stewardship.

- 1 MR. BERMAN: So if you have a notification
- 2 like in 10, then does that have restricted use?
- MR. BOGGS: It can be a land use control.
- 4 because it's a landfill material and there's potential
- 5 health risk there. So they just can't decide to up and
- 6 build a day care center there.
- 7 In graded area 9, it's just -- it's
- 8 ecological, and they can decide to build a healthcare
- 9 center or anything there and not require my agency.
- 10 MR. BERMAN: Right, but when it comes to the
- 11 controls in 10, for example, then presumably it's --
- 12 there's -- as it is planned now, there would be a
- 13 restriction on what the area could be used for?
- MR. BOGGS: Mm-h mm.
- MR. BERMAN: And I was just wondering
- 16 whether that prevented anything from being built on it at
- 17 all.
- 18 MR. BOGGS: No. There's actually a
- 19 procedure that they can go through to lift the restriction.
- 20 It would have to be evaluated what they were
- 21 proposing to put there, just like they're doing this design Page 17

- 22 now to put a parking lot on top. A parking lot is
- 23 considered an appropriate use for that area, so we're
- 24 easily going to approve that.
- MR. BERMAN: Right. It's well-known that

- 1 following a parking lot is usually building of some sort.
- 2 So, I mean, could it be like an office building of some
- 3 kind?
- 4 MR. BAZAUGANI: Sam, I believe the parking
- 5 lot -- sorry. It's built -- the parking lot is built per
- 6 the agreement that the Trust has with the City for the
- 7 building that's next door.
- 8 MR. BERMAN: Right.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I guess to answer your
- 10 question, Sam, if we decided to put a building on that
- 11 parking lot -- so build townhomes there -- we would have to
- 12 go back to DTSC and get permission for that type of
- 13 activity. Because there is a land use restriction on that
- 14 area for recreational type uses.
- 15 MR. BERMAN: Yeah. I understand that, but
- 16 the question is: Is it -- is the level -- the level of
- 17 toxicity that remains in place is not such that it would
- 18 prevent having some kind of building there. I mean --
- MR. BOGGS: It generally would. It's not
- 20 out of the question. They would have to jump through many
- 21 hoops in order to put buildings there.
- They could not put residents there, end of
- 23 story. We don't meet residential cleanup levels.
- 24 Industrial worker cleanup levels, I haven't Page 18

25 compared those levels.

21

1 The other thing that can be done and is 2 sometimes done at these places is they can put in engineering controls so that the building can be safely 3 occupied. 4 5 So potentially it can be done if they jump 6 through a lot of hoops to assure that the building would be safe, but it's not an easily done or likely thing. 7 8 MS. FANELLI: The future land use for that area is not to add any buildings, as you know. The Trust, 9 10 it manages its -- I quess its building square footage 11 pretty tightly because of our enabling act, if you will. 12 MR. BERMAN: Right. But we're considering 13 not in the next few years. This is a -- this is sort of 14 the in perpetuity solution. 15 MS. FANELLI: Right. MR. BERMAN: So fifty years from now, maybe 16 17 there'll be some pressure to build townhome residences 18 there. 19 MS. FANELLI: And we would have to go back 20 to DTSC or the successor agency or whatever that structure would look like, but you're absolutely correct. Our 21 22 requirements to manage the landfill is in perpetuity and to 2.3 maintain the cover on that material. 24 MR. BOGGS: That's part of the purpose of 2.5 that land use control is really to do that so that it's a

1 recorded documented item. 2 In federal property, it's different, because 3 there's not a deed. Normally my agency would actually attach this land use control on to the deed. 4 MR. BERMAN: Thank you. 5 6 MR. O'HARA: Where would you record that 7 document? There is no deed -- no deed restriction, so 8 where is that -- where is that document recorded? 9 MR. BOGGS: That's a document that actually we just -- right. It's called a Land Use Control Master 10 11 Reference Report. So it's agreed to -- attorneys are 12 involved in this, and so I'm trying to paraphrase what the 13 attorneys -- how they're working out the legal framework 14 for this -- the RAP, which is signed by the Trust 15 management and DTSC management, serves as the legal 16 mechanism for the language that's in this land use controls 17 master reference report, so that makes it legally 18 enforceable through our agency. 19 Then how that is used as far as the Trust in 20 their review process for projects, I think Eileen described 21 that a little bit. So that people are alerted. 22 There's certain things they can do, like put 23 in utilities in a restricted manner without needing our 24 approval. There's certain things they can't do without our

23

25

1

approval.

```
presmtg4-14-09.txt releases the legal mechanism for the land use control.
 2
 3
                   MS. NEWTON: Is it on file with the state?
 4
     I think that's what you're asking. In fifty years, if
     somebody wanted to do something, how would we know where
 5
 6
     all these records --
 7
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  They are on file with the
 8
     state, and the land use control document is approved by
9
     DTSC as a regular requirement under the consent agreement,
10
     and then we have a process that's in place, and the Park
11
     Service will have something similar.
                   It will look a little bit different, because
12
13
     they're structured a little bit differently, but we will
14
     have equivalent documents that are part of our normal
15
     policy and procedures, and we've already set that up.
                   So, for example, on some of the sites where
16
     we've done cleanup, but there's still control, we have the
17
18
     master document, what we're calling the addenda, which are
19
     the detailed controls of that particular site, and it is
20
     defined by metes and bounds, if you will, we've put in
21
     the -- what's the right word? Not metes and bounds.
                   You put in coordinates of the area that is
22
23
     actually subject to the land use control, and then we have
24
     our structured so that it's actually accessible through a
     geographical information system, so we can go out and see
25
```

- 1 them, pull out the documents that pertain to it.
- 2 So it's a fairly robust process.
- 3 MR. O'HARA: One other question, and that is
- 4 you put a cap on these -- on these areas, and is there a

```
presmtg4-14-09.txt protocol that is established for breaching that cap for
5
 6
     whatever reason, utility installation, et cetera, et
 7
     cetera? And is that something that DTSC has to review and
 8
     approve, or how does that work?
9
                   MS. FANELLI: There are protocols, and
10
     within this land use master document, we have a couple of
11
     processes.
12
                   You can't just go and dig by a utility in the
13
                They have to go through a process that actually
14
     forces -- right now our group, to review their request in
15
     the context of are there any land use controls on the site,
     or if it's in an area that we haven't remediated yet, we
16
17
     also look at that and then put our requirements on how we
18
     have to handle the material.
19
                   Most of the time, if they're doing something
20
     that's going to generate excess material and it's stuff we
21
     don't want, we ask them to take it off-site, for example,
22
     so that we can ultimately get things like clean corridors
23
     for utility workers to go in and fix pipes or whatever they
24
     have to do.
                   Does that make sense?
2.5
                                                                25
                   MR. O'HARA: Absolutely.
 1
 2
                   I was just wondering what sort of utilities
 3
     are there under this -- these landfills that you are
     proposing to cap.
 4
 5
                   MR. BAZAUGANI:
                                     Well --
 6
                   MS. FANELLI: All of them.
```

MR. BAZAUGANI: There's a fiber optic line

```
 pres\,mt\,g\,4-\,1\,4-\,0\,9.\,t\,x\,t \\ t\,h\,at\,\,r\,u\,n\,s\,\,u\,n\,d\,e\,r\,n\,e\,a\,t\,h\,\,l\,a\,n\,d\,f\,i\,l\,l\,\,1\,0\,. \quad T\,h\,e\,r\,e^{\prime}\,s\,\,t\,h\,e\,\,--\,\,t\,h\,e\,\,s\,a\,m\,e \\
 8
 9
      line runs underneath landfill -- underneath graded area 9.
10
                      MS. FANELLI: There's storm water lines in
11
      the developed areas.
12
                      MR. BAZAUGANI:
                                          Right.
13
                      MS. FANELLI: It really varies from site to
14
      site.
15
                      At the public service hospital, because of
      those buildings, we had those running out of buildings.
16
17
      Mainly they're on-street right-of-ways, and we do have
18
      those maps.
                      Most of the time, we don't have to go in and
19
20
      do anything. As you can see, we're reconstructing storm
21
      drains in the vicinity of landfill 10. Now those will be
22
      in a new location.
23
                      MR. O'HARA: That was sort of what I was
24
      hinting at is fiber optic lines could be rerouted.
2.5
      major utility installations, it would seem to me to avoid
                                                                         26
 1
      having to go under the caps.
 2
                      It would be simpler to reroute them at this
```

3 time, would it not?

4 MS. FANELLI: We do that when we can.

5 If there's a new utility background

6 project -- and for example, the Trust is -- one of the

7 projects that our work is associated with, so we have been

8 working closely with them to make sure that their work puts

9 the utilities away from waste where possible and creates

10 clean corridors.

```
presmtg4-14-09.txt
MR. O'HARA: Thank vou
                                Thank vou.
11
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN: | an, did you have a
13
     question?
14
                   MS. BLUM:
                               Eileen, first of all, I'm not
15
     sure this is an accurate statement, but it seems to me that
     the remediation will go away after 2014 or thereafter.
16
17
                   Who will be the gatekeeper? That's guestion
18
     number one. I have a question about graded area 10 versus
19
     9.
20
                   MS. FANELLI: The first question.
                                                        We won't
21
     really go away. We will change our function, but the Trust
     is fully aware that there's a legacy to maintain.
22
23
                   MS. BLUM:
                               Mm- h mm.
24
                   MS. FANELLI: And a compliance function.
25
     we will not be doing active remediation the way we're doing
                                                               27
 1
     it now, but there will be a need to have the function --
 2
     if, for example, unknown sites are found in the future, or
 3
     a stray tank is found in the future, which happens more
     regularly still than we like to -- like to have happen.
 4
                                                               We
     run across tanks that we didn't think were there.
 5
 6
                   So there will always be some group. It will
 7
     not be the same size. It may not have the same makeup,
 8
     disciplines of individuals.
 9
                   MS. BLUM: Okay. Then the second question
10
     is: You mentioned there were going to be three feet of
11
     soil on top of graded area 9, but we're only going to cover
     a slope on landfill 10 with two feet.
12
13
                   So could you explain why a flat area's going
```

```
presmt q 4 - 14 - 09. txt
14
     to get more cover than a --
15
                                  The three feet was based on
                   MS. FANELLI:
16
     the ecological numbers. Landfill 10's primary health and
17
     safety issues are human health by the benzopyrene, which is
18
     different than graded area 9, which I believe is mainly a
19
     handful of metals above ecological numbers, and our -- our
20
     operating procedures for most of the eco is that three foot
21
     cover, because that's what the worms burrow in that the
22
     robins eat that then the receptor might catch. So that's
23
     the primary difference.
24
                   MS. BLUM:
                               The graded area of landfill 10
     relative to Lobos Creek, it seems to me that that area
25
```

28

```
would be more at risk for human health than graded area 9,
 1
 2
     and it still has a lesser cover.
                   MS. FANELLI: It's -- it's not lesser in
 3
 4
     terms of exposure or protection of the -- of the human
 5
     recipient.
                   MR. BERMAN: Doesn't 9 have a much -- a
 6
     lower level of COC?
 7
                   MS. FANELLI: It does not have human health
 8
 9
     risks. It imposes eco risks, and the problem that we can
     generally control people on a cover is two foot thick, but
10
11
     we can't control the worm that may be burrowing or the
12
     critters.
13
                   That's why there's a three foot thick cover
14
     there. It's really primarily for the ecological receptors.
                   MS. BLUM: It doesn't make sense to me, but
15
```

16

thank you.

```
presmtg4-14-09.txt
MS. FANELLI: This slide here just
17
     summarizes the material balances, and, you know, I could
18
19
     hand it over to Mo here just to let you know how we're
     using the sand in graded area 9.
20
21
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: So there's about 45,000 --
22
     these numbers are approximate. Some plus or minus, you
     know, 500 or a thousand yards, but you can see it doesn't
23
24
     add up. We may be a hundred yards area at graded area 9.
25
                   So 45,000 yards at graded area 9. About
                                                               29
     24,000 is going to landfill 8 to create the three foot
 1
     cover plus the dune shape covers that are designed for this
 2
 3
     site so that the native habitat and lyssingia grow in the
     landfill 8 area.
 4
 5
                   About 3,100 cubic yards will go to landfill
 6
     10. A lot of that is going to go along the toe, and some
 7
     of that is going to go on the parking north of the trail
 8
     area.
 9
                   About 18,000 yards will go into graded area
10
     9. That will be shaped into a dune shape, which I'll show
11
     vou later on.
12
                   On landfill 10, there is a -- the parking lot
     right now has a grade of about seven to eight percent, and
13
14
     we want to change the grade of the parking lot to be at
15
     five -- five or six percent.
16
                   So right now the grade of the parking lot is
17
     like this, and we're going to change the grade to a less
     steep parking lot, and that means importing some material
18
19
     on the south side of the parking lot so that the slope is
```

- 20 reduced.
- 21 And we're going to bring in about -- whether
- 22 we're -- whether we're doing the cutting of the slope to
- 23 meet seismic requirements, we're going to generate about
- 24 5,000 cubic yards of till material extra, and so that 5,000
- 25 cubic yards, we're going to bring it up to the top deck

- 1 area, and we're going to take out the large chunks of
- 2 concrete and crush it and put it back in there.
- Then there is about a -- going back to the
- 4 top area again, we're going to bring in about 7,000 cubic
- 5 yards and fill of base rock. Some of it is going to come
- 6 from graded area 9.
- 7 As Eileen mentioned --
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Nike. sorry.
- 9 MR. BAZAUGANI: Sorry. From landfill 9 and
- 10 Nike. Landfill 8, we're removing the asphalt and the base
- 11 rock there at landfill 8, and so we're going to crush that
- 12 and bring that over to landfill 10 for -- for fill
- 13 material.
- Then we're going to bring in about 7,000
- 15 cubic yards of soil to put a two foot cover over the slope.
- 16 That's clean soil we're going to import from an outside
- 17 source, and that means all the chemical requirements of
- 18 soils that meet the standards of area 8.
- And then on top of that, there's about 3,700
- 20 cubic yards of soil that we're going to use on the top deck
- 21 as agricultural -- sorry. Yes. Agricultural fill soil,
- 22 and then the soil on top of the BMPs so that the vegetation

- 23 can grow.
- That's a two foot cover over the area in the
- 25 parking lot area, around the parking that is not -- that

- 1 doesn't have asphalt.
- 2 So all -- all of -- all of landfill 10 is
- 3 going to get covered by either two feet of soil or asphalt.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Not two feet of asphalt.
- 5 MR. BAZAUGANI: Not two feet of asphalt, no.
- 6 MR. BERMAN: What's BMP?
- 7 MR. BAZAUGANI: That's storm water best
- 8 management practices. I'll get into that.
- 9 MS. BLUM: So that's 16,700 feet of soil, of
- 10 which only 3,000 is in a sand pile, if my figures are
- 11 correct.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: No. no.
- MS. BLUM: Let's see. Landfill 10, seven
- 14 plus seven, and 3,700, those last three numbers, plus the
- 15 graded area 9.
- MS. FANELLI: No. The 14,000 plus the 3,700
- 17 is not coming from graded area 9.
- MS. BLUM: Okay. But it looks like, if my
- 19 math is correct, it's 14 -- 17,700 plus 3,100 is coming
- 20 from the --
- 21 MS. FANELLI: Is coming from the site.
- MS. NEWTON: Coming to the site.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: Yes.
- MS. BLUM: So 13,700 feet of fill is going
- 25 to be added into landfill 10.

```
MR. BAZAUGANI: Yes.
 1
 2
                   MS. FANELLI: That's correct.
 3
                   MS. BLUM:
                            On the slope on the top deck.
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: Two foot on the slope, so it's
 5
     actually -- two foot from the existing slope. So the toe
 6
     will actually extend because it's at a diagonal four foot
 7
     further out, and the top deck on the southern area is going
 8
     to be raised about ten feet from its current grade.
 9
                   So that's -- a significant amount of general
10
     fill is going to be coming.
11
                   MS. BLUM:
                             And where will the storm water,
12
     the BMPs, what will they drain into? Will they drain into
13
     the sewer system?
14
                   MS. FANELLI: PUC.
15
                   MS. BLUM: PUC.
16
                   MS. FANELLI: And we have the detail on
17
     that.
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: So we had landfill 8, and
18
     we've added a trail, and this is the fence line right
19
     adjacent to the trail and the trail, and the trail --
20
     there's an existing trail that's here. That trail is going
21
22
     to get buried underneath the sand.
23
                   MS. FANELLI: Abandoned.
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: Abandoned, buried. And --
24
2.5
     so there's going to be a fence line that's going to be put
```

- 1 here so that people do not encroach on to the dune sand
- 2 that's here, and this is the lyssingia habitat that we've
- 3 identified based on last years's survey, and we've met with
- 4 the Park Natural Resources Group, and right prior to start
- 5 of the field work.
- 6 We're going to do another survey and this
- 7 area may change a little bit based on their findings, and
- 8 the contractor knows that.
- 9 MR. O'HARA: Hold on. What will the fence
- 10 look like?
- MR. BAZAUGANI: It's a -- yeah. It's a
- 12 cable.
- MS. FANELLI: A cable.
- MR. O'HARA: Close to cable like Crissy
- 15 Field?
- MS. FANELLI: I believe so. It's whatever
- 17 the Trust standard. I'll be honest. I think it's just
- 18 post and cable, though. It's not a chain link.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Not six feet high.
- MR. O'HARA: With razor wire on top of it.
- MS. FANELLI: It's really just to mark the
- 22 trail and disencourage people from walking across the
- 23 dunes.
- 24 MR. BAZAUGANI: And you can see the shape of
- 25 the dunes here. This is actually more than three feet.

- 1 The minimum of use here along the perimeter is actually a
- 2 three foot minimum, and these areas in these dune shapes so
- 3 that native habitat can grow in that area, and the Trust Page 30

- 4 engineers are going to come and help the growth of the
- 5 natural resources in this area, things like lyssingia and
- 6 promote their growth in this areas.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: So Jan, to answer one of your
- 8 earlier comments or extend it, that dune design was
- 9 developed in conjunction with Terry Thomas' natural
- 10 resources to provide the best habitat with prevailing winds
- 11 for lyssingia plant.
- The idea would be those top pieces have the
- 13 ability or the blowouts or the instability that lyssingia
- 14 needs while we maintain our minimum cover in the area.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: The other thing I want to
- 16 add about this design is to protect this lyssingia habitat
- 17 here, what we ended up doing is we have to dig up the soil
- 18 that surrounds the lyssingia habitat and take it and place
- 19 it somewhere in this area and then cover it with sand, and
- 20 then bring in three feet of fill and place it here, three
- 21 feet of dune sand in this area.
- 22 It's about -- about 1,500 yards of fill is
- 23 going to get dug up from this area and placed here.
- MS. FANELLI: All that grading is ongoing.
- We have also required two monitors were we're having our

- 1 natural resources person kind of watching the lyssingia
- 2 area, and to the north as you know are some of the wetlands
- 3 associated with the Nike swale, and they'll be working with
- 4 us to fence that area off and put any restrictions, work
- 5 with the contractor to make sure we don't have any
- 6 disturbance there, and also have a cultural resources Page 31

- 7 monitor full-time while we're doing the grading, as well,
- 8 because of the potential for -- of the cemetery for human
- 9 remains.
- We're hoping that of course we don't see
- 11 anything, but we're just covering our bases by having both
- 12 types of monitors present during the grading activities.
- MS. BLUM: Can you just highlight the Marine
- 14 cemetery on that drawing?
- MS. FANELLI: That's about right. Mo's got
- 16 it there. You might come down a little bit further to the
- 17 south there.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: Right there.
- MS. FANELLI: Right there.
- 20 MR. BAZAUGANI: This actually -- I joke
- 21 about it. This actually looks like a shoe when you look at
- 22 it. This is where the toes are and this is where the heel
- 23 is.
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: I'm sure you've figured

- 1 all this out, but if you need more soil down at 10, why
- 2 would you perhaps move that 1,500 down to 10 if you need to
- 3 import additional fill?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: I'm sorry. I'm not sure where
- 5 you're talking about.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: Mo was saying you're going
- 7 to excavate 1,500 yards and move it somewhere on to 8, I
- 8 don't know, spread it out, but you could use it down at 10.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: Actually, we're not taking any Page 32

- 10 waste material from 8 to 10, so the waste itself stays, and
- 11 that's basically to be conservatively compliant with
- 12 regulations in terms of moving potentially hazardous
- 13 material from site to site.
- We are going to move the inert stuff, which
- 15 is the asphalt ag base that we come up with off of the
- 16 landfill. So we won't bring any waste fill from 8 to 10.
- 17 So we'll just kind of grade it across the top
- 18 as best we can.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: And survey it and then put
- 20 three feet minimum over it.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: I think what was in the
- 22 back of my mind was perhaps if you have wind and things
- 23 blowing these dunes around, that maybe not to build a sub-
- 24 structure of waste to be sort of a base of a dune, because
- 25 the wind kind of comes in and blows the top of that sand

- 1 away, then you have exposed waste, but I guess you're not
- 2 going to do that.
- MS. FANELLI: No.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: You're going to spread it
- 5 out.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: Correct.
- 7 MR. BAZAUGANI: And -- yeah. And design for
- 8 the dunes are very conservative, very conservative.
- 9 There's going to be more than three feet of sand over the
- 10 footprint of that, because we anticipate that.
- 11 MS. FANELLI: There won't be -- even if you
- talk to Terry in detail, they're not -- they're not -- Page 33

- they're not going to be traveling like in Death valley,
- 14 those kinds of dunes.
- 15 What they're trying to create is little
- 16 blowout areas where the annuals can take hold, but we don't
- 17 expect it to be a coastal dune because it's not -- this is
- 18 my understanding. It's not going to have that same dynamic
- 19 like the beach.
- 20 MR. BAZAUGANI: So this is the landfill 10
- 21 final grading plan, and so the dune sand that we talked
- 22 about from graded area 9 is -- to kind of orient you, this
- 23 is the south side.
- This is the base or the slope and the public
- 25 health hospital, is right about here. Graded area 9 is

- 1 here.
- The area that we're placing dune sand on is a
- 3 small strip on the north side of this area, and then this
- 4 area here is going to get dune sand placed, and that's
- 5 where the 3,000 plus cubic yards. The majority of it is
- 6 going to go in this area.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: And the two foot cover is
- 8 really from there down. You don't see the -- you're seeing
- 9 final contour times here where the sand is. Two foot of
- 10 cover comes down and the sand comes up against it.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: Actually, what I was saying
- 12 is the contour of the two foot actually extends -- the two
- 13 foot cover actually extends beyond the limit of the dune
- 14 sand here. It's the angle of repose. That's the final
- 15 grading plans for landfill 10.

16 MS. FANELLI: The center overlook is at this 17 point to be constructed. The northern overlook was 18 removed, and I don't believe it's planned to be 19 constructed, and the southern overlook is likely not to be 2.0 constructed at this time, either, 21 This is not a remediation issue. I quess 22 what I should point out is -- we've talked about it. Is 2.3 that the remediation plan are now being coordinated for 24 some of the trails and the parking lot and work associated

with the Public Health Services Hospital, and we've just

39

- 1 recently learned, I think today or yesterday, that the
- 2 southern overlook will likely not be constructed at this
- 3 time.

2.5

- 4 It's basically just a flat kind of platform
- 5 with a bench, anyway, so we might actually give them a pad
- 6 and they can come back in the future, but it's not a -- the
- 7 only structure really into is the slope for an overlook
- 8 will be the central overlook there.
- 9 MS. BLUM: Eileen, since this area abuts one
- 10 of the deadest areas of the Presidio in terms of forest,
- 11 the old cypress grove there is dying, does Peter Erlich get
- 12 a look at these plans in terms of what he might be planning
- to do in terms of denuding more trees -- there's so many
- 14 dead trees there. That area could be subject to huge winds
- 15 in the future.
- I don't think they're replanting it, and I
- 17 think it's designated as a potential lyssingia recovery
- 18 zone.

- How does the wind sweep and the sand and all
- 20 that -- my real question is: Does forestry and restoration
- 21 plan all mesh up with this area being appropriately
- 22 treated?
- 23 I know this is very late in asking this
- 24 question.
- MS. FANELLI: I would answer yes. It's not

- 1 a forestry area. So the corner is native.
- 2 MS. BLUM: The corner is, yes. Dune sand.
- MS. FANELLI: I'm not sure exactly where
- 4 you're speaking of.
- 5 MS. BLUM: The dead cypress trees all along
- 6 that hill and along that whole area down to Lincoln.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: Up where the light is now?
- 8 MS. BLUM: Yes.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I suppose Brian might be able
- 10 to talk about those plans for that little strip of existing
- 11 trees. Because part of it is special zone.
- 12 MR. ULLENSVANG: It's mostly in the special
- management zone. If you recall from the BMP, the decision
- 14 to clear that or not was postponed.
- MS. BLUM: Right.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: We are talking about
- 17 removing some of the trees as part of this project to
- 18 enhance the lyssingia habitat near landfills, but that
- 19 is -- that stand of trees is in Park Service land.
- 20 MS. BLUM: I didn't realize that.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Right now we don't have Page 36

- 22 specific plans to do one way or another, clear or not clear
- 23 the large stand of trees.
- MS. BLUM: Well, I know that they've done
- 25 some wind studies, and the tree reforestation has taken

- 1 into account how fast they can deforest and then replant
- 2 without wreaking havoc on the remaining forest and so on.
- I just bring that up. It's the first time I've
- 4 seen this drawing, and I think it occurred to me without
- 5 knowing where all the stand was going to go that that could
- 6 be a real problem down the road.
- 7 I'm sure everyone's going to take it into
- 8 consideration --
- 9 MR. ULLENSVANG: As you know, that stand of
- 10 trees is very difficult to determine what is to be done.
- 11 It a lyssingia recovery zone, you're correct.
- 12 MS. BLUM: With all that fill around there,
- 13 it just seems like that would be even more problematic.
- 14 MR. ULLENSVANG: When the Trust did their
- 15 clearing of the slope, they did remove trees within ten
- 16 feet of the base of the landfill.
- 17 So the very edge of that stand did have some
- 18 trees removed, and we're talking about removing a small
- 19 number of additional trees out in that area where the
- 20 lyssingia could grow.
- 21 MS. FANELLI: It may not be of interest, but
- 22 Peter is doing a tree study on PHSH fill, and he's
- 23 recommending trees to be pruned because they represent a
- hazard to the folks directly under that or to the adjacent Page 37

25 houses on 15 and 14, and that information is shared at the

- 1 quarterly Public Health Services Hospital meetings that we
- 2 hold.
- 3 MR. BAZAUGANI: So this design has a couple
- 4 of trails that actually come along here and they go to this
- 5 area. The trail extends actually matches up with here.
- 6 And then these are -- I'll show you that in
- 7 the next couple of figures. That's where the BMPs are
- 8 placed, the storm drains, actually. They go through this
- 9 area and the whole parking lot drains here.
- And these areas are here, where you don't see
- 11 trails and parking is the vegetated area. That's where
- 12 there will be cover.
- This is graded area 9, and again you can see
- 14 the contour of the dune sand being placed over graded area
- 15 9.
- Again, this figure is very approximate
- 17 because still we don't have the final edges of the graded
- 18 area 9 defined.
- As Eileen mentioned, we're going to do some
- 20 additional investigation along the edges, do some trenching
- 21 along the edges here. Define the edges and then revise our
- 22 design so that the contractor can place the dune sand over.
- 23 Transportation is making -- enhancing the
- 24 contractor and the trucks will not be able to enter or exit
- via 14th and 15th Avenue, 25th Avenue, Arguello Boulevard

- 1 or Presidio Boulevard gates, and only -- all the trucks
- 2 needing to go north on Battery Caulfield, west on
- 3 Washington, north on Lincoln and then go over the Golden
- 4 Gate Bridge, and then on that, get on either 19th Avenue or
- 5 on 101.
- 6 And then the visitor, pedestrian, bicycle
- 7 traffic will be rounded around construction zones with
- 8 fencing, signage and flagmen, and then there will be no
- 9 long-term road closures.
- There will be flagmen, because there will be
- 11 a lot of trucks moving dirt and soil from -- from landfill
- 12 8 and graded area 9 to landfill 10 or from graded area 9
- 13 across Battery Caulfield to landfill 8.
- So there will be quite a lot of traffic and
- 15 signalmen, flag people.
- This is the haul route map. Again, this is
- 17 the work area, and what I've described earlier, the trucks
- 18 will go this way and then they will get on 101 either going
- 19 north or going this way and go down south.
- 20 We're going to make sure that no trucks -- no
- 21 transportation trucks come through this area.
- MS. FANELLI: We've never used residential
- 23 gates, so basically we want people using residential gates.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: This is a picture of the
- 25 temporary parking area. This is to the west of landfill 8,

```
presmt g4 - 14 - 09. txt
     of the construction activities taking place at PHSH
 2
 3
     Hospital, the trucks need parking.
 4
                   So once we start working on the landfill 10
     area, that parking lot is going to get closed. So those
 5
 6
     people that are parking there, we have to provide
 7
     additional parking for them.
                             What is this near?
 8
                   MS. BLUM:
 9
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: I'll show you that in the
10
     next figure.
11
                   So this is landfill -- this is landfill 8.
12
     This is graded area 9, and this is the area between graded
     area 9 and landfill 8, and this is Battery Caulfield.
13
14
                   MS. FANELLI: So it's behind those little
15
     small buildings. I forget the numbers. Brian, you're
16
     always good with the numbers.
17
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: I don't know.
18
                   MS. BLUM: The biological buildings.
19
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Basically, we're going to put
20
     temporary parking there, and this is because at Public
21
     Health Service Hospital, there's multiple work going on.
     Forest Cities is currently doing construction.
22
23
                   We have our utility work that's ongoing
24
     there, and we're also doing renovations to building 1808
25
     and remediation will be happening.
```

So it's actually a highly congested area and it's going to be actually a very intense construction season and we're trying to all get along, and because of union workers under plant at Forest City, there are

```
presmt q 4 - 14 - 09. txt
     agreements in their contracts for different trades about
 5
     how they are provided parking, and it is down to how many
 6
 7
     feet they have to walk from their vehicle to their site.
                   So we are forced to -- this is not for
 8
 9
     remediation people. This is because we're forcing other
     workers off of landfill 10, and they're going to have to --
10
11
     one of the first things they're going to do is create this
12
     temporary construction.
                   As you can see, we're going to have to give
13
14
     up a portion of landfill 8, and we've got the sequence so
15
     that we can do that, provide them the parking.
16
                   When this parking's ready they give up
17
     landfill 10 and our contractor goes and does landfill 10.
18
     We give landfill 10 back. These guys all move out of there
19
     and we can finish our work.
20
                   That's part of the sequencing. Our guys will
21
     have to fend for themselves, but we're also providing lay-
22
     down at the Nike site.
23
                   So equipment laydown will have been up the
     hill for plant, which is Forest City's operation, and their
24
     workers will be parking here, and our guys will squeeze in
2.5
                                                               46
 1
     between wherever they can.
 2
                   MR. O'HARA: How much grading do you have to
 3
     do?
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: Very little grading. We're
 5
     simply going to put in some gravel, and our wood chips.
     That area's graded area. We're going to put in some
 6
```

additional base for the cars, and we're going to have to  ${\sf Page} \ \, {\sf 41}$ 

```
presmt q 4 - 14 - 09. t x t
     put in a little gravel there. And our contract includes
 8
 9
     removing that after we're done.
10
                   MR. O'HARA: No hard services?
                   MS. FANELLI: No.
11
12
                   MS. NEWTON: Is building 1808 in there
13
     somewhere? Where is building 1808?
14
                   MS. FANELLI: It's just east, southeast of
15
     1801. So if you come in 14th, the building that's in front
     of you. It's being renovated for small offices.
16
17
                   MS. BLUM: Eileen, what time will the
18
     workers leave the premise, all of them? What will be the
     latest hour?
19
20
                   MS. FANELLI: Our working hours, I believe,
21
     right now are 8:00 to 6:00.
22
                   MS. BLUM: 6:00.
23
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: That's our working hours.
24
     The working is 7:00 to --
2.5
                   MS. FANELLI: The plan that is slightly
                                                              47
     different hours, but I believe they have prohibitions
 1
 2
     starting up noisy equipment before 8:00 AM.
 3
                   MS. BLUM: Okay. That is a very active
 4
     wildlife area, as you know, too. They're not going to plan
```

6 this equipment, are they, up on the Nike Swale?

5

7 MS. FANELLI: Not on Nike Swale. Nothing

on building any night lighting or anything to protect all

- 8 will be on the Nike Swale. The Nike site, no.
- 9 I can't promise you that there wouldn't be
- 10 night lighting. I can't imagine that it would happen,

```
 pres\,mt\,g\,4-\,1\,4-\,0\,9.\,t\,x\,t \\ because the plan right now is if they do work nights, 
11
12
     they're working interior to the building.
13
                   MS. BLUM: I was thinking to protect
14
     equipment, that kind of night lighting that they do.
15
                   MS. FANELLI: I don't believe so. The Nike
     site is fairly secure. It's fenced. The gate can be
16
17
     locked at night.
18
                   MS. BLUM:
                                Not to press the point. That is
19
     a dark sky here, and it should remain that way, and I'd
20
     like some assurance that that will happen.
21
                   MS. FANELLI: If we have to do night work at
     that point, I believe we'd have to go back to our end
22
23
     square process. That is our equivalent of National
     Historic Preservation Act review.
24
25
                   So that's -- any proposal to do that would be
                                                                48
 1
     reviewed in that light, a NEPA light, and restrictions to
 2
     protect wildlife would be provided for.
 3
                   Actually, after this -- Terry's again not
     here, but I can give you some plans of what we're doing up
 4
 5
     at Nike that's not part of this project, but would be of
 6
     interest to you in terms of the wildlife corridor.
 7
                   Okav.
 8
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: These are the storm water
     BMPs at landfill 10, and again, this is the slope of
 9
10
     landfill 10. Sorry, I keep on turning you around.
11
                   So when I was here last time, I think there
     were a couple of questions about how are you going to make
12
13
     sure that the -- the draining. How is the parking lot
```

```
presmt q 4 - 14 - 09. txt
14
     draining and is the water -- what are you doing with the
15
     water?
16
                   The way the parking lot is draining, you can
     see the contour lines. So the water travels with the
17
18
     contour lines. The water is going to go away from this
19
     slope and it's going to go towards the east, and again
     these are the -- these are the -- I don't want to call them
20
     bioswales, but these are the BMPs.
21
22
                   MS. FANELLI: But they're the storm drain
23
     system.
24
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: And so there's curves here
25
     that have perforated that -- that actually have cuts in
                                                               49
     them that allows the water to infiltrate, and when it
 1
     infiltrates, it goes into this area of soil that's actually
 2
 3
     soil for the shrubs, and then this is gravel and then it
     enters into the perforated storm drainpipe.
 4
 5
                   And the storm drainpipe actually goes along
 6
     here and goes along the footprint of these BMPs, and it's
     lined. There's a -- you can see there's a sixteen inch
 7
 8
     membrane and then there's a -- a couple of geotechs on
 9
     either side of the membrane to make sure that the membrane
10
     doesn't tear.
                   And so the whole -- the whole storm drain or
11
12
     bioswale is actually lined to make sure that none of the
13
     water leaves this area.
14
                   So this -- so this system captures all the
     storm drain from the parking lot. As you can see from
15
16
     here, it drains to this area.
```

```
presmtg4-14-09.txt
From here, it drains to this area and so
17
18
     forth, and we also capture the storm water coming from
19
     Battery Caulfield, and then we also capture the storm drain
20
     in this area, and they contact to here and then they go
21
     here.
22
                   From here, they go on to further east to --
23
                   MS. FANELLI: To 14th area, and then they
24
     connect to the City storm drain systems there.
25
                   So it's actually quite a robust system and it
                                                               50
     will prevent not only infiltration. We'll actually see
 1
     decreases in infiltration of what we have today, because
 2
 3
     the system today is broken and fractured and settled and it
     infiltrates into the landfill, but it will keep surface
 4
 5
     runoff off the slope.
                   It's designed to only have incident
 6
 7
     precipitation on the slope go down the slope.
 8
                   MR. HULTGREN: Question. Do you have
     assurance from the City that their storm drain -- their
 9
10
     drainage system can handle this water?
11
                   MS. FANELLI: I won't say we have assurance
12
     that it can handle it. We have permission from the City,
     because this was always part of their catchment area to put
13
14
     it into their system. So the City knows it's coming.
15
                   Now I can't speak to their capacity issues.
16
     Everybody knows PUC has some issues.
17
                   MR. HULTGREN: Because this is -- I don't
     think these are -- these include storm drain piping in that
18
19
     area.
```

```
20
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Where it connects to.
21
                   MR. HULTGREN: I'm not sure, but I'm pretty
22
     sure not, because the system -- the improvements were
     farther downstream, and where it entered that tunnel to go
23
24
     out to the beach, and I don't -- this has always been a
2.5
     problem along Lake Street where there's a low spot, and it
                                                              51
     doesn't flood so much as smell bad, but it could flood if
 1
 2
     there's an excess of water.
 3
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  The City is aware. You've got
 4
     some good points, Julian, but I can't respond to them.
 5
                   MR. HULTGREN: It's a requirement of
 6
     obviation.
 7
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Our system is quite robust and
 8
     it provides for a large storm event.
 9
                                  What about -- let's call it
                   MR. HULTGREN:
10
     at this time outfall from the new development. That will
11
     also go into the City system, I suppose.
12
                   MS. FANELLI: The new development?
                   MR. HULTGREN: Forest City.
13
                   MS. FANELLI:
14
                                 Yes. The whole area -- ves.
15
     The whole area drains to the City's sanitary and storm
16
     water system.
                   MR. HULTGREN:
                                   Mm- h mm.
17
                   MR. O'HARA: There would be nothing
18
19
     different with the new development than there was with the
20
     Public Health Hospital.
21
                   MS. FANELLI: No. It always went. Their
22
     sanitary water always went to the City system.
```

```
runoff from the parking -- the existing -- catch basins and
2.5
     disbursal?
                                                              5 2
 1
                   MS. FANELLI: Some of it went to the City
 2
     system. Some of it disbursed on land. Some of it went to
 3
     the overflow pipeline from Mountain Lake. So that's being
     taken out and being directed to the City system.
 4
 5
                   MR. O'HARA: Is the -- is the parking
 6
     capacity greater, the same or lesser than it was?
 7
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  That's a good guestion. And
 8
     the City is -- and I'm speaking now for the planning side
 9
     of the house, so I want to make sure I get this right. I
     might need to get back to you.
10
11
                   The City knows we're putting into the system
12
     their requirements. They did give us limitations and they
13
     did ask us to try to use the best management practice and
14
     divert if we could storm water runoff.
                   We've met their requirements. I believe that
15
16
     the runoff is coming. It's not any greater than what the
17
     storm drain has gone to them, because that was part of the
18
     requirements.
19
                   MR. O'HARA: And --
                   MS. FANELLI: And I don't have the numbers,
20
     but our planning group does, and it's part of Overcamper's
21
22
     work. We could get those numbers.
23
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: Actually, the footprint of
     this parking lot here is smaller than the previous -- than
24
25
     the current parking lot, first of all.
```

presmtg4-14-09.txt MR. O'HARA: Then how about the rainwater

```
1
                   In the -- the design, they are draining the
 2
     storm water from the roof drains of the building.
 3
                   MR. O'HARA: Mm-hmm.
 4
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: They're actually letting
     that infiltrate in a couple areas.
 5
 6
                   MS. FANELLI: Not in the fill area.
 7
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: Not in the fill areas. Way,
     way to the east of our -- of land. So they're letting
 8
 9
     that -- so that's not hitting the City storm water.
10
                   MR. O'HARA: Okav.
11
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: So there's going to be a
12
     deduction overall based on what I showed you here and then
13
     s o me.
14
                   MR. O'HARA: I would think just because of
     the size of the project, the reduced size of the project,
15
16
     that they would have a lot less domestic wastewater, and
17
     that because they're reducing the size of the structure,
     there would be less rainwater runoff coming from the
18
19
     surfaces.
                   MS. FANELLI: They went through a whole
20
21
     calculation because the building had some kind type of lead
22
     circulation associated with it.
23
                   So they had to go through that whole
     calculation on storm water treatment, and we can get you --
24
2.5
     I can get you somebody that can speak about it a lot more
```

- 1 intelligently than we can. That information is available.
- 2 MR. O'HARA: I just wonder -- this is really
- 3 aside from the point -- whether they were going to make any
- 4 kind of provision for capturing some of that rainwater
- 5 runoff to use for vegetation.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: They might be in the planters
- 7 that are going around the building. They very well might
- 8 be.
- 9 MR. O'HARA: Okay.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: John.
- MR. BUDROE: You mentioned that some of
- 12 this -- before this project went in, some of this runoff to
- 13 go to Mountain Lake.
- 14 MS. FANELLI: No. The Mountain Lake
- 15 overflow pipeline.
- MR. BUDROE: Okay. Which ends up --
- 17 MS. FANELLI: Which ends up into the City's
- 18 sanitary sewer right now, anyway, or exits --
- 19 MR. BOGGS: It exits Mountain Lake. So that
- 20 Mountain Lake doesn't overflow. It's the pipe that
- 21 overflows the level.
- 22 MS. FANELLI: Thank you. I didn't say that
- 23 right.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: So that's the storm water
- 25 BMPs. About fencing and staging, we talked about where the

- 1 contractors -- where the parking will be, and they're
- 2 allowing them to use this area, which is east side of
- 3 landfill 8 for staging area, and the contractor parking. Page 49

# presmtg4-14-09.txt

4	The same thing for here and the same thing
5	for the dumping of landfill 10. For staging, and the
6	fencing, we're going to fence the entire perimeter of
7	landfill 10.
8	For landfill 8, we just thought it's much
9	better, much more prudent to just limit, because there's
10	going to be parking here.
11	There's going to be a lot of activities
12	taking place here. We're going to put a fence all along
13	the east side of Battery Caulfield so prevent people from
14	working here.
15	We're also going to put a fence here. So
16	
10	that people don't use this area here because there's going
17	that people don't use this area here because there's going to be a lot of construction activities.
17	to be a lot of construction activities.
17 18	to be a lot of construction activities.  Graded area 9 is going to be fenced off, and the
17 18 19	to be a lot of construction activities.  Graded area 9 is going to be fenced off, and the trails are going to get rerouted so that people that want
17 18 19 20	to be a lot of construction activities.  Graded area 9 is going to be fenced off, and the trails are going to get rerouted so that people that want to use the trail here can do so, and then the people that
17 18 19 20 21	to be a lot of construction activities.  Graded area 9 is going to be fenced off, and the trails are going to get rerouted so that people that want to use the trail here can do so, and then the people that use the trail here have to come down and pretty much go on
17 18 19 20 21 22	to be a lot of construction activities.  Graded area 9 is going to be fenced off, and the trails are going to get rerouted so that people that want to use the trail here can do so, and then the people that use the trail here have to come down and pretty much go on the road and then go this way.

1	MR. BAZAUGANI: Yes. It will remain open.
2	MS. FANELLI: Although it may not be a very
3	pleasant street to be on with all the traffic we're going
4	to have on it.
5	MR. HULTGREN: No, but so many people go
6	through that, and if that was not open, they'd go going Page 50

- 7 around on -- on 25th.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Also, my understanding is that
- 9 street will be closed, and that's part of the Public Health
- 10 Service Hospital.
- MR. HULTGREN: Ultimately.
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. I think that 14th Avenue
- 13 gate remains open, and that timing is not in my purview, so
- 14 I don't understand when that exactly happens.
- MR. BAZAUGANI: There's going to be a storm
- 16 water information plan. We're going to require the
- 17 contractor to use. It there will be temporary erosion
- 18 controls, wattles, matting, blankets, stockpile covers,
- 19 stockpiles will be covered to prevent erosion, and then
- 20 long-term erosion controls on landfill 10 is -- includes
- 21 established vegetation and then bench midslope and then
- 22 sand berms placed along.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Can you describe the bench
- 24 midslope? That's in area A and we've never seen that.
- MS. FANELLI: I think the grading has a

- 1 small bench. I think -- not like a micro, but sort of like
- 2 a micro bench.
- 3 MR. ULLENSVANG: It's not in the cross-
- 4 section that I've seen.
- 5 MR. BAZAUGANI: I'll take a look at it,
- 6 Brian, and get back to you. Bench midslopes.
- 7 MR. ULLENSVANG: There's nothing mentioned
- 8 about drainage from those benches.
- 9 MS. SEGAL: Can you explain what a bench is? Page 51

- MS. FANELLI: I thought we had a break to
- 11 slow things down for any incident vegetation.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: You had a berm at the
- 13 bottom. We're also questioning why we need that.
- MS. FANELLI: We'll get to it here. I want
- to respond to Sam's earlier comments, and I'm sure Brian
- 16 will provide some comment, too, that we are working on that
- 17 revegetation, and to a certain extent, some of the erosion
- 18 control features are related to the plans and how it occurs
- 19 on the slope.
- 20 MS. SEGAL: Could you explain -- what when
- 21 you say bench midslope, you're not talking about a sitting
- 22 bench?
- MS. FANELLI: No. Just a flatter part of
- 24 the slope.
- MS. SEGAL: I just have a visual here.

- 1 MS. FANELLI: Not a people bench.
- MS. SEGAL: Thank you.
- 3 MS. FANELLI: This is just a picture that
- 4 was taken a while ago when we had done the tree removal and
- 5 we had done most of the veg clearance and we were going --
- 6 you can see in the far northern area to put some fabric
- 7 down, and this was taken -- I think Ryan Sielbach took this
- 8 from 16th Street looking up.
- 9 So it is a very different view than what it
- 10 used to be.
- There it is with the erosion control -- more
- of the erosion control fabric. Now the entire slope has Page 52

- fabric on it, and we did that because we knew there would 13 14 be a period of time when we did the vegetation clearance 15 till we actually did the mass grading, the big excavation. 16 So we'll have this covered. 17 This is 8. You've probably seen it. Seen 18 part of the parking or tennis court there, and the
- 19 vegetation basically removed in anticipation of our 2.0 grading.
- 21 So to speak about revegetation, obviously 22 landfill 10 slopes's going to have the soil cover, and the 23 goal of both the Trust and the Park Service is to have 24 these slope planted with native coastal scrub vegetation, 25 and we are also providing sand to extend the lyssingia

59

1 habitat.

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2 There will be native plants at the crest of 3 the slope and into the design of the area B parking lot. The concept is to try to appropriately meld or transition 4 5 from a native habitat to a landscape zone, so there's 6 actually a fair amount of native plants in the area B 7 landscape area.

The Trust and the Park Service had been working very hard -- and I think hopefully Brian would agree that we've made some very good progress of coming up with a way to get the slope planted in a robust manner with native vegetation after remediation goes through and completes the remediation elements, and the Park Service has been good organizing volunteers and coming up with plans to help support dense planting of those plants. Page 53

- Those plants would be provided from the 16 17 Trust, from the nursery, and as many of you are probably 18 aware are being propagated. That was initiated many months ago, and that 19 2.0 we're working to document that vegetation design for both 21 submittals to -- Department of Toxic Substances Control and 22 to the US Fish and Wildlife Service, and I think we're 23 pretty close on the plan. 24 I think we're hoping for the next week or two, we will have a good plan in place. 2.5 60 1 I don't know if Brian wants to add.
  - 2 MR. ULLENSVANG: I think we're still
- 3 interested in the same plan that we've been interested in
- 4 in quite sometime, the coastal scrub. We have not changed
- 5 that.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: What we're trying to do is get
- those plants planted, basically, get those plants in the 7
- 8 ground. So that's what we're working on.
- The top deck as you describe, we would have 9
- some coastal scrub coming in and blending with non-natives. 10
- 11 if you will, or landscape design elements, but the plan up
- 12 there is interesting, and I wish Chandler was here to
- 13 describe it.
- But it is a low irrigation. It's not lawn. 14
- 15 There is lawn elements right adjacent to building 1801, but
- 16 then there's more of a -- of a native grass or grass area
- that would not be irrigated that would be allowed to brown 17
- in the summertime. So we'll see how that goes. 18

Page 54

And then on 8 and 9, obviously we're going to create this dune habitat and Trust Natural Resources folks in those area B are preparing to plant with appropriate dune scrub and annuals.

This is just -- gives you kind of a picture of the landscape plan, and the -- all of the green areas are more of where the natives would be going, and then it

- 1 blends into a landscape type feature.
- 2 MS. BLUM: Mo, would you show me where
- 3 Battery Caulfield Road is on that drawing, please?
- 4 MR. BAZAUGANI: (Indicating).
- 5 MS. BLUM: And where does it go? How far
- 6 down or where does it go after that?
- 7 MR. BAZAUGANI: This is 15th Avenue.
- 8 MS. BLUM: Thank you.
- 9 MR. BAZAUGANI: Sure.
- 10 MS. BLUM: And did you say that Battery
- 11 Caulfield was going to be closed after Public Health is set
- 12 up and running?
- MS. FANELLI: I believe that there will be
- 14 restrictions on who can pass and who cannot pass.
- MS. BLUM: Thank you.
- How would we find out that? I know it's been
- 17 under discussion for years.
- MS. FANELLI: Yes, and I think it's part --
- 19 I'm not sure if it's part of the environmental document for
- 20 Public Health Service Hospital or not, but if you actually
- 21 go forward on slides.

- Can I get you that and I'll show you where --23 I think one of the best places to get information is the
- 24 quarterly public health services project meetings that we
- 25 have with the neighbors, and Diana Parker organizes those.

- 1 I now attend them along with all of the other
- 2 projects that are happening at the Public Health Service
- 3 Hospital District.
- 4 MS. BLUM: She's the contact?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: She's the Trust contact person
- 6 for those meetings, correct.
- 7 This is just sort of a picture of the
- 8 existing parking lot. It gives you an idea. This
- 9 obviously has vegetation before we took it all out.
- The blue line is -- that shows you -- that's
- 11 approximately where the new parking lot will be. So you
- 12 can see it is smaller, and there's the schematic drawing of
- 13 it.
- 14 This is an older drawing, because you can see
- 15 we've made some changes to the parking lot. It's now
- 16 straight, but it was a modest change.
- 17 So public outreach. The way the Trust is
- 18 continuing to communicate with the public is that there are
- 19 biweekly Public Health Service Hospital E-newsletters, and
- 20 Diana Parker -- I think there's a way on our web page, and
- 21 I don't have a link.
- MS. BLUM: I can look it up.
- MS. FANELLI: You can sign up for it and get
- 24 these e-mails. It will have some on remediation, the 1808 Page 56

25 building and the other buildings.

63

1 There are quarterly meetings. They tend to be held at the Golden Gate Club, and I believe the next one 2 is in May sometime, and Diana Parker organizes those, and 3 4 we meet with the broader neighborhood group. 5 And then of course we'll keep you posted on 6 where we are here at these monthly meetings. 7 In terms of design status, this kind of tells you who we're coordinating with. We talked about all that. 8 9 We have completed the ninety percent reviews 10 and we've done -- we've sent them out and we've obtained 11 comments. 12 The Trust is now in the process of responding to the various stakeholders, including DTSC and the Park 13 14 Service to create a set of hundred percent documents. 15 At the same time, we'll be finalizing the Remedial Action Workplan, the design basis report. The 16 17 design report is their calculations to support their 18 pavements and all the other design elements. It's something that DTSC is interested in and reviews. 19 20 And the quality assurance, quality control 21 plan that goes with the construction documents, and all 22 those were issued with the ninety percent, so we are 23 completing them all simultaneously, and we're hoping to 24 have the hundred percents finalized shortly. 25 FACILITATOR KERN: Eileen, I didn't make

- 1 written comments, but I made a few verbal comments, and one
- 2 I just wanted to touch on briefly was the -- that sort of
- 3 sand area down at the base --
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: -- that we had a chance
- 6 to walk out to.
- 7 Has that been -- I don't know if I need to
- 8 write a specific comment about that.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: No. Actually, Bob wrote a
- 10 specific comment about it.
- 11 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay.
- MS. FANELLI: So we are addressing it. I
- 13 have not gone very far, but I have notified utilities to
- 14 enlist some of their help in understanding in looking at
- 15 it.

- So we will be developing a plan to try to
- 17 assess that in short order.
- 18 And what Doug is describing -- Doug, you can
- 19 correct me or add detail -- is that there is an area close
- 20 to the toe of landfill 10 that looks like it might be
- 21 associated with the Mountain Lake overflow pipeline that
- 22 could either be an area where the pipe is cracked or
- 23 there's a buried manhole, but there's evidence, what looks
- 24 like the pipe might have been surcharged, and then some
- 25 water might have discharged at the ground surface.

```
\label{eq:presmtg4-14-09.txt} presmtg4-14-09.txt \\ is and then map it and make sure we're real comfortable
 2
 3
     with its location relative to the remedial capped elements.
                    FACILITATOR KERN:
 4
                                        The reason that it was
 5
     important was it was right near the base of where that two
 6
     feet of soil would come down, and if it's broken something
 7
     underneath there, it would just, you know, come right
 8
     through the cap and potentially disrupt it.
 9
                    So --
10
                    MS. FANELLI:
                                   We'll comment on that.
11
                    FACILITATOR KERN:
                                         Okav.
12
                    MS. FANELLI: And I think that's the end of
     what we have.
13
14
                    This was just some drawings that came from
15
     Chandler, and they -- his landscape designer, and it just
16
     kind of gives you -- it's just a schematic of what their
17
     sense is.
                    And this is interesting because it's the
18
19
     middle overlook. So it's a very simple design, if you
20
     will. It's sort of a -- like a little cut into the slope,
21
     and you can see that this is already flipped.
22
                    FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah.
23
                    MS. FANELLI: If you got your sense of
24
     orientation, but the design of the actual -- the pathways's
25
     flipped, but the actual overlook is still pretty much that
                                                                 66
 1
     kind of an element. So relatively simple.
 2
                    And that's what we have.
 3
                    MR. O'HARA: Thank you.
 4
                    FACILITATOR KERN: We had some discussions
```

Page 59

```
presmtg4-14-09.txt in one meeting that I attended about that northern
 5
 6
     lyssingia area, and there was discussion about other
 7
     agencies getting involved.
 8
                   Is that progressing?
 9
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Northern Lyssingia. On 8, to
10
     10 to 14?
11
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        On 10, sorry.
12
                   MS. FANELLI: As I mentioned, this veg plan,
13
     we're not only sharing it with DTSC, but with Fish and
14
     Wildlife Service.
15
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Okav. Well, I'm really
16
     pleased to hear about the revegetation of the slope. I
     think that's something that's really important and that the
17
18
     agencies are making progress is something that I've really
19
     asked for, too. So I'm optimistic hearing that.
20
                   What is kind of your schedule, then, given
21
     all this trying to tie up loose ends? Can you talk about
22
     when this thing might actually go out?
23
                   MS. FANELLI: Actually, we're hoping that it
24
     goes out for bid this week. We're going to issue bid
2.5
     documents based on the ninety percent design, and that is
```

```
something that the Trust has done with other buildings.

I have to be honest. I'm not usual -- that's

not my normal background. I normally go out on hundred

percent, but the Trust has done this, and one of the

reasons that we're doing it is that we're -- we are in our

critical path and we are losing time to get the work

completed.
```

```
presmt q 4 - 14 - 09. txt
                   So we are hoping to finalize the design
8
9
     drawings as we -- as we are procuring a contractor.
10
                   As you know, we are a government agency, but
     we were not held to the same low bid public contracting
11
12
     regs that other public agencies are.
13
                   So we can negotiate and select a contractor
14
     based on best value to the Trust.
15
                   So that that gives us the flexibility
16
     basically to go out early, and the reason it works is that
17
     we tell the contractors they're bidding on ninety percent
1.8
     documents.
                   It will not be allowed to start until we give
19
     them a hundred percent drawings, and obviously they would
20
21
     not be allowed to start until we had all of the approvals
22
     in place.
23
                   But we're hoping to -- once we have the
24
     approvals, we could go.
2.5
                   We would give them the hundred percent
                                                                68
     drawings, and then the onus would be on them to point out
1
```

2 any substantive changes that might affect cost. 3 At that point, we have options. We can negotiate a cost change, if we agree with them if it's a 4 5 substantive change, or we can go to the second bidder and 6 negotiate. 7 So we have actually a lot of flexibility in 8 how we proceed with that. And we know, for example, at this point we're 9 10 not asking them to bid on revegetation issues associated

- 11 with the slope.
- So we -- we would have to change order that,
- 13 anyway, once we actually have our final design and we know
- 14 exactly what elements were we're installing where.
- So that's the schedule, and the dates in the
- 16 contract are this: They need to return landfill 10 to us
- as a covered parking area by September 30th, 2009.
- They need to be substantially complete with
- 19 the rest of the grading work by October 17th, and then
- 20 we've asked them to complete all of the subsequent
- 21 plantings -- because there's plantings in area B and
- 22 there's potentially some plantings, as well -- obviously in
- 23 area A, by the end of the calendar year.
- 24 So waiting until after the rain to get -- the
- 25 initial rains to get plants in, those initial plants

- 1 installed.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: When do you think things
- 3 will start to happen on landfill 10?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Our schedule, we're hoping --
- 5 on landfill 10?
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, I'm just
- 7 thinking --
- 8 MS. FANELLI: On the project in general?
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: Just additional movement
- 10 like all those staging and plans that you were talking
- 11 about earlier.
- MS. FANELLI: We're looking at a notice to
- 13 proceed, which would mean that we've awarded the contract,

```
we've gotten all of our approvals and they've submitted all
14
15
     of their submittals, so realistically, we're looking at the
16
     end of Mav.
17
                   So the real earth work would likely begin the
18
     1st of | une.
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       So that's pretty
20
     important for the public outreach portion coming up in
21
     about a month and a half.
22
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Definitely, and the E-
23
     newsletter does document all of these, all these documents.
24
     I update the remediation section of that newsletter every
25
     two weeks, and I put in new estimates of dates and times.
```

```
1
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Any other questions?
 2
                   MR. O'HARA: Can I switch gears? Anything
 3
     on Mountain Lake?
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: Mountain Lake? Other than
 5
     that we are still -- have our two live lawsuits ongoing,
     there's no developments that I could -- could report.
 6
 7
                                 Because it involves the State
                   MR. O'HARA:
 8
     of California as well as the Trust, is there any movement
 9
     to try and get stimulus funds to fund the project?
10
                   MS. FANELLI: The Trust is not recipient of
11
     any stimulus funds for any project, and my understanding is
12
     the reasons for that are more political now.
13
                   To the extent Caltrans is seeking stimulus
14
     funds, I'm sure that they have, and my understanding is
     that they are looking for stimulus funds for Doyle Drive in
15
16
     particular.
```

```
presmt q 4 - 14 - 09. txt
                   I'm not sure if they would have stimulus
17
18
     funds that will extend and support Mountain Lake.
19
                   At this point, I'm not sure that they even
20
     agree to what their responsibility on Mountain Lake is, but
21
     that's been the subject of the lawsuit.
22
                   MR O'HARA:
                                 Thanks
23
                   MS. FANELLI: So the Trust is --
24
                   MR. O'HARA: The spirit of cooperation seems
25
     to have tanked bigtime. Hasn't it? Okay. Thank you.
                                                              71
 1
                   MS. FANELLI: You're welcome.
 2
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Other questions on
 3
     this --
 4
                   MR. BERMAN: I quess -- it must have just
 5
     passed over me, but how -- how do you keep the top fill on
 6
     10 from moving during a quake?
 7
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: The top fill?
 8
                   MS. FANELLI: The two foot of soil on the
     slope. Maybe the slope preparation.
 9
10
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: Actually, the design report
     goes into a lot of -- a lot of detail document, documenting
11
12
     as to how that's going to be done, and there's two or three
13
     parts to it.
                   The big part of it specifying the right type
14
     of soil that has a little bit of clay in it so that it has
15
16
     a more sticky property, so that it sticks better to each
     other and it sticks better to the surface.
17
                   The part of it is in also preparing the
18
19
     surface where you're putting this soil on top, and part of
```

```
creates these kind of small indentations in the soil. and
23
24
     then once you apply the soil, then it creates a better
2.5
     sticking surface for the soil that you're applying to the
                                                              72
 1
     top.
 2
                   And the way you're placing the soil is very
 3
     important, as well, and the way you're loading it. The way
     you want to roll it, you want to roll it as if your slope
 4
     is like this. You don't want to roll it along the slope.
 5
 6
                   You want to roll it going up and down the
     slope, because if you roll it this way, you're not rolling
 7
     it perpendicular. Your weight is not going perpendicular
 8
 9
     to the slope. You're actually putting more weight on one
     side or the other, and then you put it in lifts.
10
11
                   So all of that is very important. That's why
     doing adequate construction quality oversight of the
12
13
     contractor is very important.
                   And then, you know, our geotech engineer has
14
     done a lot of calculations, and DTSC, they've overseen the
15
     calculations, and in general they're in agreement with
16
17
     this.
18
                   MR. BERMAN: I wasn't doubting that. I just
19
     was trying to understand the principle. So basically
20
     what's underneath soil preparation that has grooves.
                   MR. BAZAUGANI:
21
                                    Right.
22
                   MR. BERMAN:
                                 And there's some compression
                             Page 65
```

 $\label{eq:presmtg4-14-09.txt} presmtg4-14-09.txt \\ what we're going to be doing is we're going to be -- as you$ 

are grading the surface and preparing it, you kind of do it

with equipment that actually has knobs in it so that it

20

```
presmt q 4 - 14 - 09. txt
     during the fill, and that's what locks it in.
23
2 4
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: That's what locks it in.
25
     You roll it over with compactor.
                                                              73
 1
                   MR. BERMAN: It's grooved and compacted.
 2
                   MS. NEWTON: And sticky.
 3
                   MR. BAZAUGANI:
                                    And cohesive.
                   MS. FANELLI: Cohesive.
 4
 5
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: Yes. It's got to have a
 6
     little bit of clay in it so that it actually is more
 7
     sticky, because your slope is 1.75 to 1, so --
 8
                   MR. BERMAN:
                               Thank you.
 9
                   MR. BAZAUGANI: Sure.
10
                   FACILITATOR KERN: In addition, Eileen, I
11
     want to specifically thank Mo for coming out tonight to
12
     answer all these questions for us. Thanks very much.
13
                   Any other questions?
14
                   Let's move on, then, to item number 6.
     Anything from our regulators, Bob?
15
16
                   MR. BOGGS: Not at this point. I've just
     been working on the design, getting that through as fast as
17
18
     we can, and still a few issues to get covered before, but I
     think we're getting there.
19
20
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I noted a report that I
     got in the last couple days was the indoor air sampling
21
22
     over at 933. I don't know if you've seen that. It came in
23
     recently.
24
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: I think we just got it
25
     ourselves.
```

1		FACI	LITA	ΓOR	ΚE	RN:	1	hat	wi	П	b	e i	mp	ort	an	t
2	probably to di	s c u s	s at	S 0 I	me	me e t	ing	j th	at	we	'r	e (	g o i	n g	t o	
3	have.															
4		Agne	es.													
5		MS.	FARRI	ES:		The	tar	ıks	ar	e k	e e	ı i c	n g	me	b u	sу.
6	My goal is to	e v a l	uate	a n	is	s u e	0 f	wat	e r	a t	0	1 C (	e .	Вι	ı t	111
7	keep you updat	ed.														
8		FACI	LITA	ΓOR	ΚE	RN:	١	/ery	g	o d						
9		MS.	FANEI	LLI:	:	We	арр	rec	iat	e	t h	) S (	e c	los	ur	es.
10		MR.	BOGG	5:	I	was	go	ing	ı tı	o s	ау	W	e a	ctı	ıal	Ιу
11	have a confere	n c e	call	wit	t h	our	civ	ıi I	e n	gin	e e	,	Мо	a r	ı d	the
12	Trust tomorrow	aft	erno	on t	t o	work	( 0 1	ıt s	o m	9 0	f	: h @	e d	e s i	g n	
13	permits.															
14		I th	ought	: 1	h a	d fo	rwa	rde	d 1	he	m 1	0	уо	u c	) n	the
15	e-mail, as wel	Ι.	If no	ot,	I	can	for	war	d 1	he	m.					
16		MS.	FANE	LLI:	:	l k	eli	e v e	y (	o u	di (	d.	I	b e	i le	e v e
17	Brian and Doug	bot	h got	a	C 0	рус	) f t	h e	l e	t e	r.					
18		So t	he pl	a n	is	for	tł	ne n	i n	et y	р	ero	e n	t,	a s	we
19	talked about,	actu	ıally,	al	t o	ur I	ast	d e	si	g n	me	e t i	n g	t h	nat	
2 0	we're going to	g e t	1	worl	k t	hrou	ı g h	e v e	ryl	b o c	y ' :	6 (	o m	ıme r	ıt s	a n d
21	then come back	t o g	jet hei	r a s	s a	gro	up	and	l g	t	hr	o u ç	g h	the	e m	with
2 2	anything outst	andi	ng.	So	we	're	sti	П	wo I	ki	n g	1 0	n t	hat	: р	lan.
2 3		I ha	ven't	S (	e t	t hat	: me	eti	n g	у е	t,	bι	ιt	l'r	n	
2 4	anticipating i	t wi	II b	e tl	h e	latt	er	par	t	o f	n e	κt	we	e k	o r	
2 5	maybe the week	aft	er wl	n e n	we	sit	do	wn	a s	a	gr	o u p	o a	fte	e r	we

- 1 work with Park Service's concerns and some of the last
- 2 issues there, make sure we understand Bob's comments and
- 3 questions and any other comments that we have.
- 4 MR. BOGGS: And your issue is the key issue
- 5 that needs to be addressed. That's in relationship to the
- 6 actual landfill and can we engineer around it. Do we have
- 7 to fix the pipe, what needs to be done to just even know
- 8 what options we have.
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Is there any
- 10 new business?
- So action items. It seems like one that's
- 12 kind of implicit is for everyone here -- and I'll just stop
- 13 for a moment and thank everyone for coming out tonight. It
- 14 did cross my mind a little bit whether I needed to send out
- 15 just an extra little, you know, attendance notice given our
- 16 committee meeting, which was Sam, but I really appreciate
- 17 everybody being here tonight for the main reason is that
- 18 we're -- you know, we're going to have construction
- 19 starting in June.
- So people need to start hearing that, that
- 21 there's going to be more activity. There's a lot of
- 22 closures. That usually gets people's attention, when
- fences go up and they're used to walking through places.
- The Trust is likely to get a lot of phone
- 25 calls if there are not signs and people are talking about

- 1 it and all that good stuff, and one of the things that has
- 2 happened previously, there have been dedicated people out
- 3 on the sides at where these trail closures are and talking Page 68

- 4 to people, so another possibility. 5 So that's a big action item for everybody is 6 to let your groups know that these things are coming up. 7 Anything else, action items that people have? 8 MS. BLUM: Well, I think that is a question, 9 though. Will there be representatives on-site to keep 10 people off the places they shouldn't be visiting and to 11 direct them on to trails that are available and that kind 12 of thing? 13 Which I think all the docents we've had on 14 trials have been in area A. 1.5 MS. FANELLI: Right. Right now we're not 16
- planning on any specific outreach like that. What we've done before is we have our construction inspectors or others that are working on our behalf provide guidance if people inappropriately come into a fenced area, but our work areas are at that point pretty fenced off and detours will be provided.
- 22 If we have a need, then we would provide 23 them, but at this point, our plan is to kind of wait and 24 see far.

We did that with the tree removal. We had

- 1 our field inspector basically providing input to folks.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: With respect to agenda
- 3 item number 9, future agenda items, we need to do a little
- 4 bit better in getting the detail into this. I know this
- 5 was a pretty generic agenda. So my apologies on that.
- Are there any other items before we close? Page 69

7 MS. FANELLI: We should have more news on 8 some of the RAP5 sites in our next meeting. I'll check with Genevieve, because we are in the planning stages 9 there, and our hope would be that we are looking at a RAP 10 for the majority if not all of those sites in the fall. So 11 12 that's where we're at. 13 MS. SEGAL: So are there agenda items for 14 the committee meeting in two weeks specifically? 15 FACILITATOR KERN: Possibly we would get a 16 review of anything in RAP5? 17 We can certainly review that. MS. FANELLI: 18 I can see what we have available at that point. We should have something. I'm not sure if we'll have -- you 19 20 mentioned that we did test pits and we need to go back and 21 drill, and we're doing the drilling basically to get total 22 depths. 23 I don't know if we'll have that information 24 at that point. We might. 25 FACILITATOR KERN: It might be good to show

- 1 RAB members what we have done, just some of the details of 2 the investigation at a committee meeting.
- MS. FANELLI: We can do that. Basically I
- 4 can bring it back.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay. Anything else?
- 6 Thanks again to everybody for coming out. Appreciate it.
- 7 Without objection, meeting adjourned.
- 8 (The meeting adjourned at 8:54 PM).
- 9 --- 0 0 0 --- Page 7 0

```
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                               79
 1
     STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 2
     COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 3
               I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
     discussion in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time
 5
     and place therein stated; that the foregoing is a full,
 6
     true and complete record of said matter.
 7
               I further certify that I am not of counsel or
 8
```

attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing

meeting and caption named, or in any way interested in

the outcome of the cause named in said action.

13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
14	hereunto set my hand this
15	day of,
16	2009.
17	
18	Mark I. Brickman CSR No. 5527
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
2 5	

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	
3	ODIOINAL
4	ORIGINAL
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	TUESDAY, MAY 12, 2009
17	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
18	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR License No. 5527
25	Disclise No. 3327
	Page 1

1	ATTENDEES
2	RAB Members:
3	Doug Kern, Facilitator
4	Mark Youngkin Eileen Fanelli
5	Brian Ullensvang Bob Boggs
6	Agnes Farres Peter O'Hara
7	Julian Hultgren Jan Blum
8	Jan Monaghan John Budroe
9	Edward Callanan John Chester
	Toni Kramer
10	Also Present:
11	Terri Thomas
12	
13	000
14	
15	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
16	the Meeting, and on May 12, 2009, at the Officer's Club,
17	Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California, before
18	me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of California,
19	there commenced a RAB meeting under the provisions of the
20	Presidio Trust.
21	00
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2

Emerick & Finch

1	AGENDA	_	
2		Page	
3	1) Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern	4	
4	2) Agenda Discussion and Approval	4	
5	3) Announcements and Old Business	4	:
6	4) Committee Business & Reports		
7	A. Planning Committee Report - Mark Youngkin	4	
8	5) Reports & Discussions		3
9	A. LF8/10 Construction Design Update	5	
10	B. RAP5A Data Gap Investigation Update	18	
11	6) Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs		
12	A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC -	39	
13	B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB -	38	
14	7) New Business		
15	A. Summit Meeting Agenda	43	
16	8) Review of Action Items	48	
17	9) Agenda Items for Upcoming Meetings	49	
18	10) Adjournment	50	
19			
20			
21			:
22			
23			
24			
25			
			Page 3

```
1
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Welcome, everyone.
                                                             Thank
 2
     you for coming out. Welcome to the Presidio Restoration
 3
     Advisory Board meeting for May 2009, and we were just
     saying last month we began our sixteenth season or year of
 4
 5
     meeting about Presidio cleanup.
 6
                   Does everyone have an agenda? Are there any
 7
     changes or modifications, recommendations?
                   Seeing none, moving on. Are there any
 8
     announcements or old business?
 9
10
                   Mark, will you tell us --
11
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
                                    Sure.
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- about the committee
13
     meeting?
14
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: We had our last committee
     meeting on April 28th. We had our meeting in building 67,
15
16
     in case anybody doesn't know.
17
                   We talked a little bit about landfill 10
18
     design, ninety percent construction; talked about the
19
     vegetation being cleared, the sand boil; talked quite a bit
20
     about the soil cover. We talked about the bids that are
21
     out, ten firms selected.
22
                   Then we moved on to the 5A sites, the data
     investigation that's underway. We talked about landfill 2,
2.3
24
     the work that's being done. I guess we'll talk about that
25
     some more tonight.
```

- Fill site 1 field investigation is underway,
- 2 too. We talked about that for a little while.
- 3 Let's see. Moving on to some miscellaneous
- 4 things. Fill site 6A, additional soil sampling. The first
- 5 buildings for lead-based paint closure, disturbed area 1A,
- 6 battery frontal slopes roofing debris. We talked about
- 7 that a little bit.
- We came up with some agenda items, and it
- 9 looks like that's about it.
- Our next meeting is the fourth Tuesday of
- 11 this month.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you.
- Any questions for Mark?
- We probably will cover many of the similar
- 15 items to refresh those for everyone.
- Thanks, Mark.
- Moving on for item number 5, landfill 8 and
- 18 10. It seems that we're getting close to starting things
- 19 up here pretty soon. So --
- MS. FANELLI: We're hoping.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: -- we thought we'd check
- 22 in with Eileen and see what the latest was for landfill 8
- 23 and 10.
- MS. FANELLI: So no Power Point pictures
- 25 today. Not much to put into pictures.

- 1 We received bids last week. I'm going to get
- 2 my numbers wrong, but I believe we got seven firms actually
- 3 proposing, six or seven firms. There were a good cluster
- 4 of firms at the low end, so we are evaluating those bids
- 5 now. We don't automatically select low bidder.
- We're hoping to select a low bidder who's
- 7 about a hundred thousand lower than the next, but we are
- 8 evaluating that firm's schedule.
- 9 We have some concerns about potential
- 10 understanding -- not understanding exactly the project, and
- if we can't resolve those in the next couple days, we'll
- 12 probably go to the next highest bidder.
- But -- I don't have the numbers to lay out
- 14 for you, and I will at our next meeting so you'll have a
- 15 good sense of them.
- Because there -- there are some items in the
- 17 bidding you remember that were deferred so that we know
- 18 that the base bid we're evaluating against is going to be
- 19 modified once we give them the hundred percent design
- 20 documents. So we do some of the change orders.
- But I can say that the bids look like they're
- 22 coming in under engineer's estimate by a good chunk. So
- 23 we're hopefully seeing the benefit of a slightly higher
- 24 competitive economy, and we're looking at bids maybe ten
- 25 percent underneath engineer's estimate. So that's a plus.

MR. O'HARA: May I ask a 1 Excuse me. question? 2 3 MS. FANELLI: Sure. MR. O'HARA: In that vein, do you typically 4 get seven companies bidding on a project or is that a high 5 number of bidders or low number of bidders? 6 And the second part of my question is going 7 to be: Are they more competitive? And the answer I gather 8 9 is yes. MS. FANELLI: Yes. I'm going to have to 10 hunt a little bit on the number of bids since this is my 11 actual first construction project with the Trust, but my 12 understanding is we normally get about four, four or five. 13 We got slightly more. We actually cast our 14 net a little broader and we added additional qualified 15 firms to our qualified bidder's list. 16 And our construction in this case is combined 17 with some of the planning work. So that's something unique 18 about this particular project. There's remediation, which 19 is a major element, but then there's also other worksite 20 21 improvements that are part of our plan. So in some ways, this is a joint contract 22 between Trust remediation and the planning group. 23 From an accounting standpoint, 24 MR. O'HARA: 25 are you keeping those segments segregated?

MS. FANELLI: Most definitely. 1 MR. O'HARA: Okay. 2 MS. FANELLI: Most definitely. And we did 3 4 separate engineer estimates for each and combined engineer estimates for each, and they are indeed being kept 5 6 separate. MR. O'HARA: Thank you. 7 MS. FANELLI: With that said, we are hoping 8 9 to have a selected contractor by the end of the week, and then begin our paperwork internally to actually award the 10 11 contract. Our goal was to award on Friday. I doubt 12 we'll make that. It might be the beginning of next week by 13 14 the time we get all the paperwork done. That's where we are there. 15 We have also completed what we consider at 16 this point and hope are the hundred percent remedial action 17 workplan, the design construction drawings, the design 18 19 report and the CQA plan, and those are out for review, and we have also just a few minutes ago completed the technical 20 memo that summarizes the investigations of the sand boil, 21 and that's going to be issued for all the stakeholders to 22 23 review tomorrow, as well. 24 So all of the documents that we need for 25 approval tomorrow are out, and our response to comments

- 1 written response to comments received are also out. So
- 2 that re-review the underway.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Can you describe how you
- 4 get those documents?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: The documents -- the letter
- 6 responses were e-mailed in PDFs to all of the stakeholders,
- 7 and the actual design documents are on our SharePoint site,
- 8 and our plan is to get DTSC to review them.
- 9 This is a paperwork reduction act, if you
- 10 will. We're trying to simplify, because they're basically
- 11 a hundred percents, and if they're acceptable, then we'll
- 12 go into production mode and make multiple copies, because
- 13 all these sets were sent out to all of the stakeholders.
- So the hard copies are available -- excuse
- 15 me. The electronic copies are on the website. How we've
- 16 responded is in the response to comments, and if they're
- 17 acceptable -- DTSC is looking at them now -- then we will
- 18 print them final, or we'll make whatever other additional
- 19 edits that are needed to respond and then send them out.
- 20 MR. YOUNGKIN: Can Sharepoint be accessed
- 21 through the regular website?
- MS. FANELLI: The SharePoint site is not
- 23 open to the general public. It is -- Doug does have access
- 24 to it as does the Park Service, and if there's any
- 25 particular interest for anybody to look at those, we'll

```
1
     make arrangements. You can come by our office to take a
 2
     look.
 3
                   I have just the electronic copy right now,
 4
     but I can open it up on the screen for anybody that wants
 5
     to take a look.
 6
                   So if schedules work out, we are hoping in
     the next week to award the contract. At that point, the
 7
     contractors will beginning submitting their submittals.
 8
 9
                   There's a stormwater pollution prevention
10
     plan, a safety plan, all of their other documents.
11
                   Once those are all approved, then we would
12
     issue -- of course we won't issue any notice to proceed
     until we have regulatory approval to go forward, and we're
13
14
     looking at a schedule that has that notice to proceed being
15
     issued around the beginning of the first week of June.
16
                   We have in our contract given the contractors
17
     some substantial completion dates. Landfill 10 must be
18
     delivered back for use by September 30th, 2009. The rest
19
     of the site work at planned fill 8 and graded area 9 must
20
     be done by October 17th, which is a Friday, and then the
21
     planting that the contractor will be responsible for will
22
     occur -- they have till the end of the year.
23
                   It's somewhat weather dependent. We want to
24
     get moisture and rain, and then at our natural resources
25
     folks' direction, the contractor will begin doing their
```

- 1 planting.
- 2 That said, the Trust and the Park Service has
- 3 agreed on a revegetation plan for the slope. It will be
- 4 planted with native plants, and it will be planted in a
- 5 combination of the Trust planting some of those plants and
- 6 then the Park Service organizing volunteers and staff to
- 7 plant the remainder.
- 8 That change order has not been drafted for
- 9 the contract docs yet, but a lot of the basic elements of
- 10 what the Trust will be doing, the erosion control, et
- 11 cetera is in the base -- base hundred percent documents,
- 12 and I don't know, Brian, if you want to add anything else
- 13 about the revegetation about the landfill.
- 14 MR. ULLENSVANG: No. Because we haven't
- 15 actually seen the detailed veg plan.
- MS. FANELLI: What we're putting together is
- 17 a summary page. Trust, natural resources folks and our
- 18 consultants are working with Lew Stringer and are
- 19 developing sort of written story about the -- with a map
- 20 that basically shows where the maps are going to be, what
- 21 the zones will look like.
- It is very similar to drawings that we showed
- 23 here before that are incorporated in the sixty percent
- 24 review document.
- So before the remedy was modified, we had a

- 1 planting scheme on the slope that shared a riparian
- 2 planting at the base, more scrub in the middle, and that
- 3 plan is -- basically still stands.
- 4 MS. BLUM: When that plan also showed
- 5 landscaping intended for the bluff -- I went to a meeting
- 6 last night in which trees were discussed and there was not
- 7 a lot of clarity about what kind of trees would or would
- 8 not be planted here.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: That's a meeting I was at as
- 10 well, right?
- MS. BLUM: Yeah.
- MS. FANELLI: This was the PHSH quarterly
- 13 community update.
- You mean up on the top of the deck in
- 15 particular?
- MS. BLUM: Well, the parking lot and -- I
- 17 guess I would call it almost the helicopter overlook where
- 18 the landscaping comes to a point.
- MS. FANELLI: The edge will include native
- 20 plants, and they're designed right now to be somewhat
- 21 taller shrubs planted in a pattern that provides some -- it
- 22 doesn't form a wall as we've talked about, but it does
- 23 provide some screening of the parking lot area and sort of
- 24 hopefully makes a nicer transition between the landscape
- 25 portion and the natural portion on the slope.

```
So their's mainly shrubs, and I honestly
 1
     don't have a list of them with me, and I don't -- I'm sure
 2
     Terri, you're probably not familiar with the master list,
 3
 4
     but my understanding is they get as high as ten feet, some
 5
     of them.
                   MS. BLUM: That's it?
 6
                   MS. FANELLI: On the very top.
 7
                   Now, on the very top in the landscaped areas,
 8
     there are trees that are planned. They're primarily the
 9
10
    modern cypress.
                               They mentioned cypress, pine and
11
                   MS. BLUM:
     eucalyptus and oak. That's why I was curious.
12
13
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  There's no eucalyptus in the
14
     design that we are implementing. There is the cypress in
15
     the design, and I believe there may be a coastal oak or
16
     cork oak. I know that was being debated --
17
                   MS. BLUM:
                             Great.
18
                   MS. FANELLI: -- in the top portion in the
19
     landscape, and those are planted in the landscape with sort
20
     of grasses and native plants underneath. Not a lawn-type
21
     setting, and then you go into -- then you go from native
    plants to this sort of hybrid and then into the landscaped
22
23
    portions.
24
                   That's all I have on landfill 8 and 10.
```

Page 13

would have our kickoff meeting I would think if everything

- 1 works out toward the end of the month, first week in June,
- 2 as well for the project.
- 3 FACILITATOR KERN: Can you speak at all
- 4 to -- I know there were some issues with -- with the Park
- 5 Service and the Trust we're working out with endangered
- 6 species of the toe and extra trees coming down.
- 7 Can you talk a little bit about that?
- 8 MS. FANELLI: The Park Service per our
- 9 agreement is going to be releasing some additional trees in
- 10 area A.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Actually, the Trust is
- 12 going to do that. We will help.
- MS. FANELLI: That's right. Actually, the
- 14 natural resources departments are working together. It's
- 15 part of the work, but it's -- it's not in the remediation
- 16 plans and specs. It's happening outside of that.
- 17 FACILITATOR KERN: And my understanding is
- 18 it's because there is some lessingia near the base of the
- 19 landfill and we're trying to improve that habitat or expand
- 20 it.
- MS. FANELLI: It's to improve the lessingia
- 22 habitat. It's to meet the requirements is how we agreed,
- 23 as the two agencies agreed.
- We're meeting the requirements of the
- 25 biological opinion of 2005, amended biological opinion and

```
commitments to the biological assessment.
 1
 2
                   Right, Terri? Is that right?
 3
                   MS. THOMAS:
                                  (Nods head affirmatively).
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        I just thought that was
 4
     something that the public members had an interest in those
 5
     details.
 6
 7
                   So that's all been worked out, I take it,
     between the agencies as to the amount and all of that?
 8
                   MR. ULLENSVANG:
                                     Yeah. I believe there's
 9
     several big trees and two small trees slightly to the west
10
11
     of the landfill in the northern part of the old tree veil.
12
                   So the trees will be removed prior to the
13
     construction project along with a couple trees that have
14
     fallen in that area, and that area can be returned to a
     lessingia habitat.
15
16
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       There was discussion
17
     around taking up the seed bank in advance.
18
                   Is that going to be done?
19
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: Right now, we do not have
20
     funding for that, so we're still working through with that.
21
                   This is in the area where the Trust has
     agreed to put sand as kind of the corner -- spot the corner.
22
2.3
     of the landfill so the slope comes down, and the sand would
24
     extend out into an area that's not landfilled, and one of
25
     the concerns we've raised is that the seed bank in those
```

- 1 areas is not landfill.
- 2 Both the feed bank and the sand qualities
- 3 that are inherent in the local sand, it would be nice to be
- 4 able to preserve that and bring it to the top of the new
- 5 sand.
- 6 MS. THOMAS: Is there any lead in that? Is
- 7 there any --
- MR. ULLENSVANG: No.
- 9 MS. THOMAS: Do we go down deep? How deep
- 10 can you go to capture that?
- MR. ULLENSVANG: It's off the landfill. So
- 12 you could go quite a ways.
- MS. THOMAS: Because on landfill A -- just
- 14 to comment, on landfill A, we are collecting the sand and
- 15 the seed bank, but there's only a little bit that we can
- 16 collect, and we'll be doing that to save it, put it back on
- 17 top with seed.
- 18 MR. ULLENSVANG: This could be deep. This
- 19 could be deeper than it needs to be for the natural issues.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: How is the work done? Is
- 21 it by hand and shovel?
- MS. THOMAS: We're going to hand shovel.
- 23 MR. ULLENSVANG: Landfill 10 will be
- 24 presumably done with heavy equipment. Hand loader, you put
- 25 it aside, put the new sand in and put the side back on top.

```
1
                   FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Well, I take
     it that's -- that doesn't seem like that would be a really
 2
 3
     large expenditure.
 4
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: That's what we're hoping,
     as well, that it wouldn't be much. We're not expecting
 6
     that it would be.
 7
                   FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Questions?
 8
                   MS. BLUM: I have a question about land --
 9
     landfill 8.
10
                   Are you going -- is the Trust going to take
     out the tennis court that exists there?
11
12
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Yes.
13
                   MS. BLUM: Okay. And then I understand that
14
     natural resources is going to do some extra work --
15
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                Yes.
16
                   MS. BLUM: -- in some area near there.
17
                   MS. FANELLI: To the north.
                   MS. THOMAS: North side of the parking lot
18
19
     isn't part of the landfill. The -- the north end of the
20
    parking lot.
21
                   MS. BLUM: That will go toward Battery
22
    Caulfield road or further up toward the golfcourse.
23
                  MS. THOMAS: Where the old parking lot was,
24
    where the compost was, there's the willows to the north,
```

Page 17

the willows that line the parking lot.

```
1
                   MS. BLUM:
                                Okav.
 2
                   MS. THOMAS:
                                  It's basically from the willows
 3
     to the landfill. We're also going to be taking out the
 4
     native soil.
 5
                   MS. BLUM: So the whole area near the tennis
     court, that will all be native plant and natural
 6
 7
     vegetation?
                   MS. FANELLI: The tennis court will be
 9
     covered will sand, so the concrete will be covered up.
     area where Terri's speaking of will be to the north. Near
10
11
     Nike swale and landfill 8, right where the drive which
12
     comes from Battery Caulfield.
13
                   They're going to do work to make these two
14
     landscapes merge and conform properly. So that area
15
     expands.
16
                   MS. BLUM: But the area closest to the
     hospital in the embankment to the back, that's all going to
17
18
     be native plants again?
19
                   MS. FANELLI: Dune scrub.
20
                   MS. THOMAS:
                                 Sand cap.
21
                   MS. BLUM:
                               All right.
22
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Other questions on
2.3
     landfill 8, 10? Very good. Thank you.
24
                   We can move on to item 5B, RAP5A data gap
25
     investigation update.
```

```
1
                   My understanding from our meeting -- our
 2
     committee meeting, RAP5A, for lack of a better designation
 3
     at the moment, would include fill site 1 and landfill 2.
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  These actually go together.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: And so we'll be hearing a
     little bit about what we're doing with that.
 6
                                  Yeah. And I have a picture
 7
                   MS. FANELLI:
     that I can pass around. I didn't make one for everybody.
 8
 9
     We looked at this at the planning meeting. So let me just
10
     pass one so you can kind of share on this side, and then we
11
     have one over here that I'll hold up and point so and we
12
     can pass it around.
13
                   So if you pay attention to the schedule,
14
     which I do, we're deep into now trying to get our final
15
     planning documents prepared for fill sites 1 and landfill
16
     2, and what I've just passed out, they're stamped RAP, but
17
     there are current results of some additional site
18
     investigation activities that we've conducted, primarily to
     confirm limits and characterization of the debris fills at
19
20
     these different sites.
21
                   This is landfill 2. Julia Kahn is down here.
22
     I think that's the edge of the tennis court in the corner
23
     there. Heavily wooded right now, but what you see here is
24
     a -- is in essence a zero debris line.
25
                   There's debris inside of it and there's no
```

- 1 debris encountered in investigations conducted outside of
- 2 it, and it confirms basically our understanding of the
- 3 General Plan layout of the -- the site, and it gives us
- 4 some ideas of the different constituents, contaminants
- 5 we're looking at.
- The shaded area here is an area of deeper
- 7 fill that looks pretty fundamentally different from the
- 8 areas to the west, and --
- 9 MS. MONAGHAN: I'm sorry. Are we looking at
- 10 drawing two or drawing one?
- MS. FANELLI: We are looking at figure two.
- 12 I'm sorry. I started in the back end.
- MS. MONAGHAN: That's all right.
- MS. FANELLI: And this area's fairly
- 15 shallow. This area is fairly deep, and what characterizes
- 16 the deeper fill area is primarily some ash which was
- 17 not -- not anticipated. We knew that we might find that.
- So we're expecting this material here to be
- 19 characterized as fairly nasty stuff once we excavate it and
- 20 haul it away, but the analysis of that is ongoing right
- 21 now.
- There is going to be another field
- 23 investigation. It will begin next week, and basically
- 24 we're going to drill, and the purpose of the drilling is
- 25 primarily to get depths, and then the depths and the

- 1 vertical extents we'll be able to get much better estimates
- 2 of the total volume of material that we're going to have to
- 3 be addressing.
- 4 So that's what's going on and landfill 2.
- 5 Landfill -- or fill site 1 is the same. What
- 6 you see is all of the data points that we have. You see a
- 7 debris line, and that's all that it is at this point, and
- 8 we are going to be doing some additional drilling, as well,
- 9 and you might even see the proposed bore hole locations on
- 10 this figure, although the final work plan I believe is
- 11 going out tomorrow on it, but the plan is to do that
- 12 drilling next week.
- It's primarily to get depths, because it's
- 14 deeper than the backhoe can reach.
- So we're going to be grabbing some samples to
- 16 get depths and then to look at some specific sample points.
- Once that's done, then there will be a full
- 18 data report and data analysis.
- The feasibility study for these sites
- 20 have -- has -- there is a feasibility study for these
- 21 sites, the 2003 EKI. The presumed and endorsed remedy is
- 22 to excavate the waste fill. That is still our operating
- 23 plan, is to remove it.
- So what you'll -- what we're going to do is
- 25 get the data report, any linkage that we need to to update

- 1 feasibility study, cross-reference the feasibility study,
- 2 and I should have the results of that analysis at the June
- 3 meeting to share.
- After we finish that, then we're going to
- 5 move immediately into completing the RAP for the sites, and
- 6 we have a target to have a draft RAP out by the end of July
- 7 with the goal of having a final RAP ready to go after
- 8 public comment in September. Trying to get ahead.
- 9 One of the reasons why we wanted to have a
- 10 final RAP is there are a significant amount of trees that
- 11 are going to be removed at the site, and we would like to
- 12 have an approved RAP to facilitate tree removal.
- And the tree removal is so significant that
- 14 we're actually interfacing already with our public affairs.
- 15 So there will likely be a regular community outreach plan
- 16 information going out about these two sites in mid/early
- 17 summer, because we're talking about a real visual change,
- 18 especially at the landfill 2 sites.
- I'm -- the estimate that I've been told is
- 20 well over 200 trees. So significant.
- 21 And as we get that final RAP pulled together,
- 22 we also are coordinating internally Terri's group, because
- 23 some of these areas are going to be -- particularly fill
- 24 site 1 will restore native habitat and landfill 2 will be
- 25 --

```
MS. THOMAS: It's forest, but a significant
  1
     part of it will be riparian. It's historic forest, but it
  2
     will have some substitute native species in it, as well.
  3
                    MS. FANELLI:
                                   So that's where we are with
 5
     those drawings.
  6
                   MS. MONAGHAN: Can I ask a couple questions
 7
     about this?
 8
                   MS. FANELLI: Sure.
 9
                   MS. MONAGHAN: The dotted line is the
10
     proposed scope of work?
11
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                 No.
                                       If you remember back on
12
     RAP4 when we were talking about PHSH fill, we used lines of
     about ten percent debris. We have filled many places, but
13
14
     we don't always have debris.
15
                   It's just an indication for us, and it's
     historically been used. If there's greater than ten
16
     percent debris or less than ten percent debris.
17
     really what it is, and it conforms a lot to the historic
18
     documents that we have that showed former Army dumps in the
19
     landfill 2 area and the area of the old reservoir behind
20
21
     fill site 1.
22
                   So they -- it tends to confirm our suspicions
     about where waste was placed and for what reason.
23
                   MS. MONAGHAN: So it seems like on figure 1,
24
    there's a lot of contamination that's not in that dotted
25
```

- 1 line.
- Would we just not increase the size of the
- 3 dotted line?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: The dotted line is a debris
- 5 line. So it really has nothing to do with contamination at
- 6 this point.
- 7 So when they do the analysis, that's one
- 8 thing that is going to be happening. They're going to be
- 9 doing their analysis of the human health and the space on
- 10 future land use. So they will propose an excavation line
- 11 as part of our next --
- MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
- MS. FANELLI: -- submittal.
- So I know that there's concern about that
- 15 line.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Mm-hmm.
- MS. FANELLI: What's ten percent debris and
- 18 what's not ten percent debris.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Figure 2 seems to be a bigger
- 20 problem.
- 21 MS. FANELLI: Part of our analysis will be
- 22 looking at the different elements and what we have. In
- 23 some cases, sometimes where we have metals, it is a
- 24 serpentinite signature. I know you've seen that before.
- In other cases, we don't know why it's there,

- 1 but we haven't completed that analysis. So I don't want
- 2 you to think that that line is the limits of excavation at
- 3 this point.
- 4 MS. MONAGHAN: And if we're doing -- taking
- 5 out 200 trees and things, would this be something that
- 6 would -- may be given back to Andy Goldsworthy to do more
- 7 spires or something? Are we going to use those trees? Are
- 8 we going to chip them? What are we going to do with them?
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I'm hoping there might be some
- 10 residual value to make it cost less. I don't know if
- 11 that's true. There's a lot of eucalyptus.
- MS. MONAGHAN: It's a lot of trees.
- MS. FANELLI: I don't think the Trust will
- 14 have much use for the majority of them. Usually we have
- 15 the contractors take them off-site.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah.
- 17 MR. CHESTER: I noticed on some of the data
- 18 boxes are from the 2009 Mactec investigation.
- And what was the impetus for that? Was that
- 20 part of the data gap analysis?
- MS. FANELLI: That was the data gap
- 22 analysis. Exactly.
- Going into this gap as we've talked about
- 24 maybe a year ago now that getting better certainty on the
- 25 volumes, the characterizations so we can have better cost

- 1 estimates going into the program, that's part of the data
- 2 gap that we were doing.
- 3 So we wanted to get enough data to be able to
- 4 have a little better control or certainty on the volume of
- 5 material and how it might classify for disposal once it
- 6 goes off-site.
- 7 MR. CHESTER: Do you foresee any need or is
- 8 that the end of the additional borings for
- 9 characterization? Does this close the gap?
- MS. FANELLI: The next -- the next series,
- 11 which will be about five -- I actually can't remember all
- 12 the numbers, but it's just a couple days' worth of drilling
- 13 primarily to get total depth.
- That will close our investigation, yes. Yes.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: There are a few points I
- 16 think it would be really good to let people know about.
- 17 The committee meeting and this meeting are sort of the
- 18 first meetings that we're getting into more of the details
- 19 that will demonstrate sort of the significant impacts of
- 20 doing these operations.
- In addition to the trees, there are going to
- 22 be a number of things going on. It will be much closer to
- 23 the neighborhood. You know, Julia Kahn right up the way
- 24 there.
- So it's very important for us to be asking a

- 1 lot of questions about those kinds of impacts so we can
- 2 talk about it and get the word out.
- One of the things we started to talk about at
- 4 our committee meeting, for example, was -- I doubt that all
- 5 this is worked out, but just the idea of how the waste
- 6 would be removed from the -- from the site, where it -- how
- 7 it would be hauled out given that it's fairly isolated and
- 8 it's kind of in the way for the roads. It's going to be a
- 9 little bit tricky.
- 10 So I'm sure that we'll get a lot more details
- 11 as we go on about the hauling of the material and the plan
- 12 for that.
- I thought I would also ask -- it's a small
- 14 detail, but the -- it's a major hiking trail, that ecology
- 15 trail that -- Corey Road kind of runs through there and
- 16 just thinking about those impacts.
- A lot of people use that trail, and I'm sure
- 18 it will all be closed off when there's excavations going
- 19 on.
- But just how to handle that, that issue. It
- 21 seems if there's contamination that goes into Corey Road
- 22 for some reason, that's something that we should maybe
- 23 think about what the impacts of that would be since it's
- 24 already kind of cut into a hillside.
- 25 If we end up having to remove part of the

- 1 road for any reason, how we'd rebuild it, what kind of cost
- 2 would happen for that. It could be significant.
- I do know that adjacent to the site many
- 4 years ago was, the serpentine grasslands were restored.
- 5 So are there issues around endangered species
- 6 that we need to know at the site? I'm asking that as kind
- 7 of a question. Could we run into that as an issue?
- 8 Anybody have any idea whether that -- I just
- 9 thought we'd bring it up since we did run into it at 8 and
- 10 10 sort of later in the process.
- If we have any issues, it would be good to
- 12 deal with that sooner than later because it can be -- can
- 13 complicate things.
- 14 MS. THOMAS: There is Presidio in the ditch
- 15 for that area, grassland and we're already talking with
- 16 Genevieve about how to work with that. It's already
- 17 again -- the lessingia is the Fish and Wildlife Service
- 18 biological opinion that addresses that this work will be
- 19 done, and I think we're going to be integrating a lot
- 20 closely to make sure that that's taken care of.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: I just have this sort of
- 22 mild sense of dread that the road is going to be a
- 23 complicating factor given the possibility of contamination
- 24 either being under it or part of the road or something, and
- 25 that will add a lot of complication.

25

```
1
                    Usually it's a haul road, and that will
 2
     happen in a worst case scenario.
 3
                    Let's see. Another issue that comes to mind
     is the -- the historic dam that's probably got a lot of
 4
     filters right behind it at fill site 1.
 5
 6
                   To me, that is -- given the kind of historic
     preservation issues that we've run into in the past and the
 7
     accelerated schedule that you're hoping for, I'm thinking
 8
     of major issues that could come up like somebody saying,
 9
     "Oh, we have to keep those things completely intact and we
10
     want to know all the history about them before you do
11
     anything at the site. We want to see a report about those
12
     dams," and all of a sudden we're into some archeology thing
13
14
     that we lose a year or something.
15
                   MS. FANELLI: As you know, we already have
     had -- been coordinating with them. We had archeology
16
     oversight on all of the test pits on fill site 1 and we
17
     have been talking to them about the structures.
18
                   In terms of schedules, we are moving, but we
19
20
     aren't as compressed as we were on RAP4 sites. So we are
21
     trying to get the RAP completed this calendar year for
     sure. Hopefully actually in October, and then we are
22
     scheduling to start design after that.
23
```

Page 29

before we hit the construction season in 2010. So we're

So we do have some time to get through design

- 1 hoping to have a more -- a less fast-track overlapping pace
- 2 on our activities and definitely one of the reasons for
- 3 that is so that we can address all these issues more timely
- 4 and not on the fly in a more substantive fashion.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: Yes. Well, I think
- 6 that's really wise. I'm just thinking that somebody may
- 7 require sampling of the dam materials to find out the
- 8 nature and extent of the entire dam.
- 9 I'm always thinking worst case scenarios
- 10 having -- having been through most of the worst case
- 11 scenarios that we can imagine, so --
- MS. FANELLI: At this point, the dam is
- 13 slated to stay. It's not part of the remediation.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Sure.
- MS. FANELLI: But what we would work through
- in the design, obviously, is the drainage and all of those
- 17 types of issues that you have to work through to preserve.
- 18 that feature and still be able to do your historic -- not
- 19 historic, but your native plant restoration area, and of
- 20 course we have a recreation area immediately adjacent if
- 21 not overlapping, as well.
- So all of those issues are being coordinated
- 23 early on as we speak internally so we're all moving in the
- 24 same -- the same step.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good.

```
1
                   MR. O'HARA: I'm not aware of the dam or how
     big the ponding area or catch basin is behind it, but I'm
 2
 3
     assuming that it is not a working --
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: It's not working, no.
 5
                   MR. O'HARA: When you do the remediation,
     would you be opening up the dam area or the area behind the
 6
 7
     dam where water would accumulate?
 8
                   MS. FANELLI: Yes. We'll be doing that.
 9
                   MR. O'HARA: And the next question would be:
     If that's the case and it's not the case now, are there
10
11
     seismic issues that you would have to consider with respect
12
     to this dam?
13
                   MS. FANELLI: We wouldn't open it or restore
     it so that it would hold water as it used to. That's some
14
15
     of the drainage issues that we were talking about.
16
                   There could be stabilic issues that we would
    have to look at. I don't anticipate that there would be
17
18
     serious issues because it's not impounding anything, but
19
     you bring up a good point.
20
                   There are a whole series of regulations
21
     that -- with that dam that confound things. There might be
22
     some issues with it, but because it's not holding water, I
23
     doubt that it would have too much significance.
24
                   MR. O'HARA:
                                 Okay. Thank you.
25
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I suppose one other issue
                                                               Page 31
```

- 1 that may come up with the dam is in somehow putting
- 2 basically the pond area behind the dam that was filled in
- 3 with fill site 1, and it's always possible that those
- 4 materials contaminated the material that forms the dam.
- 5 So that could create another wrinkle in the
- 6 whole process is what to do with that, and that could be a
- 7 bad complication.
- 8 So -- anyway, other questions or issues?
- 9 Just trying to get as much of this thinking out on the
- 10 table as far in advance as possible.
- MR. CHESTER: Is there data in the
- 12 impoundment area that has been collected in regard to --
- 13 I'm not visualizing where that dam is right now, but is the
- 14 feeling that there may need to be more sampling in that
- 15 area to --
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: I think the fill site 1
- is pretty well understood. There's been a lot of sampling,
- 18 and even more recently by the Trust.
- I just worry about things like historic
- 20 features that are immediately in contact with those
- 21 materials.
- We experienced -- we've experienced that at
- 23 many sites where contamination actually was encountering a
- 24 historic feature, partially contaminated it, had to be
- 25 removed and that feature restored.

```
1
                   But then before that could happen, people had
 2
     to sample and really understand the nature and extent
     before anything was done, and it just added a lot of
 3
     additional planning.
 4
 5
                   One site that I can think of, this would be
 6
     Baker Beach 1.
                     There were the historic earthworks where
 7
     some of it was contaminated.
 8
                   MR. CHESTER: And this is an earthen dam, I
 9
     imagine.
10
                   MS. FANELLI: That's correct.
11
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Yeah.
                   MR. CHESTER: It's not engineered structure
12
13
     beyond -- it's ancient.
14
                   MS. FANELLI: It's an old engineered dam,
     that's correct.
15
16
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       I think the dam was
     actually breached. It didn't work very well.
17
18
                   MR. ULLENSVANG:
                                     It had been breached.
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       It was breached.
2.0
                   MS. CHEEVER:
                                  Is the dam protected in some
21
     way as a historic feature?
22
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I'm sure it would be.
23
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  It is. And we have been
24
     working closely with our historical resources people.
25
                   At this point, one of the concerns we have is
                                                                Page 33
```

- 1 looking at debris and seeing if any of it is historical,
- 2 and their gut feel -- I don't have this in writing yet --
- 3 most of the debris found was not historical, so they're not
- 4 really concerned with collecting a lot of that material,
- 5 but I have removed contaminated sediments from behind dams
- 6 before, and it is a cost, but the idea is that we will have
- 7 a pretty good handle on that.
- 8 Right now we don't have a lot of evidence or
- 9 any evidence to suggest the dam is in bad shape, because
- 10 it's mainly the debris that's in there.
- If there was ash behind the dam, then I might
- 12 be a little bit more concerned. If there was something
- 13 that really could have worked its way into the earthworks,
- 14 and we would work with our historical people as to what
- 15 their preference was for handling it, whether it was to
- 16 remove it or reconstruct it, cap it, cover it, however.
- Besides the dam, there are water conveyance
- 18 structures that are earthen that are presumably buried that
- 19 there's evidence of.
- So there will be some careful excavating, and
- 21 the grading plans will be seriously coordinated because
- 22 there's a lot of competing interests for the final layout
- 23 and how that's going to work so that -- so that all of the
- 24 goals are achieved at the end.
- MR. CHESTER: Was it an impoundment for

```
drinking water or runoff control or do they know?
 1
 2
                    MS. FANELLI:
                                   I think it was part of the
     Elpolene, the whole original -- I think they were trying to
 3
     capture water. Actually, Brian, you might know more
 4
 5
     information.
 6
                   MR. ULLENSVANG: I've assumed it was water
 7
     supply.
 8
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        I think we've seen
     pictures of cattle, too, like a cattle pond type thing,
 9
10
     historic photos.
11
                   MR. CHESTER:
                                  This might not pertain here,
     but the only encounters of hazardous materials in relation
12
     to old dam sites that I've been familiar with was if it was
13
     used for drinking water and you had algae growing, you'd be
14
15
     spreading a copper based algaecide throughout the water
     column, and that over -- in over years of doing that, it
16
     accumulates in sediments, and whether this was prior to
17
     that question or this red bore impoundment was used in such
18
19
     a manner.
20
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       That's a good --
21
                                It's actually the filling of
                   MR. BOGGS:
22
     that with the debris fill after it was used for water is
23
     what we're concerned with.
24
                   I don't think copper, but it's a good idea
```

Page 35

that if we do see an interface between the filled in

- 1 material, the waste that was filled in there and the native
- 2 soil, that sediment layer would be very good for us to look
- 3 for copper.
- 4 MR. CHESTER: I've seen it exceeding DTSC
- 5 levels in places where it's actively used.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: That's good. Other
- 7 comments on this.
- Well, like I said, it's sort of the initial
- 9 discussion phases and all this will be considered and
- 10 worked out.
- MR. BOGGS: Just to add two bits, I don't
- 12 know how closely people looked at the diagrams. There's
- 13 some blue dots that constitute selenium and cadmium
- 14 exceedences.
- We had a briefing on risk assessment recently
- 16 and it looks like we're loosening the standard for cadmium.
- 17 So those cadmium concerns and those little
- 18 blue dots, which are really minor at this point, they're
- 19 very close to our existing cleanup level are probably going
- 20 to be below cleanup levels.
- So that doesn't really change things, but it
- 22 does make it seem a little bit more consistent on the
- 23 outside where we have some samples that may not actually be
- 24 contaminated.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: Any change in the

```
selenium levels? Bob, any change in the selenium?
 1
                                Not that I heard.
                   MR. BOGGS:
 2
 3
                   Lead, they're talking about making even a
     tighter standard, but none of it's been formalized yet.
 4
 5
     But it's just what's coming down the pipe pretty soon.
                   MR. CHESTER: Is that from a state
    perspective?
 7
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                From our state -- what we call
 9
     heard, health and ecological risk division, and they work a
10
     lot about John's agency here.
11
                               Before they all retire.
                   MR. BUDROE:
12
                              Right. Of which several of our
                   MR. BOGGS:
13
     agency -- four of them to be exact -- have declared they're
14
     retiring soon.
15
                   Luckily at the Presidio, we have a base-wide
16
     cleanup levels document, so ninety percent of the work that
17
     we've used them for has already been accomplished,
18
     so --
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Are there any other --
20
    this is not an agenda item, but I thought I would mention
    while we're here.
21
22
                   Are there any other RAP items, future RAPs
    that you would want to mention?
23
24
                   MS. FANELLI: Next meeting I think is our
25
     quarterly report, and I'll have an update on the schedule
```

- 1 which is being updated now, all of that and the financial
- 2 information. So that might be a better time to give an
- 3 update.
- 4 MR. YOUNGKIN: When would the tree removal
- 5 begin in order to make construction season next year?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: We would want to do it before
- 7 bird nesting, and for the RAP, that's before the beginning
- 8 of the calendar year.
- 9 That's one of the reasons why we would feel a
- 10 little pressure to get the RAP out. We would like to have
- 11 it approved so we can do the tree removal. It will not be
- 12 a one-week effort. It's more than that. We don't want to
- 13 do it in the rain. It's sloppy and difficult.
- 14 MR. YOUNGKIN: It would be November/
- 15 December.
- MS. FANELLI: That's absolutely what we're
- 17 looking at. If there's ways for us to go sooner, but, you
- 18 know what? We don't have the same sort of documents to
- 19 rely upon that we did at landfill 10 where we did some of
- 20 the removal under the other document, but we're looking at
- 21 that to see if there's a good way to sequence it and do it
- 22 in the correct fashion.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Moving on,
- 24 then, to item 6, any comments or updates from our
- 25 regulators? We're happy to have both here tonight.

```
1
                                 Well, I issued two more UST
                   MS. FARRES:
 2
     closure letters today, so I did a little math, and starting
     from last February, we've closed 145 tanks, so -- so I've
 3
     reached a milestone.
 4
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: So you're going on vacation.
                   MS. FARRES: I'm going on vacation. So I
 6
 7
     can rest easy.
 8
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       That's good. Well, we
 9
     appreciate that huge amount of work in getting those
10
                That's a really big -- well, it's a number of
11
     features in the cap of the remediation program, those
     closures. I know a lot of it is just tedious bookkeeping.
12
13
                   MS. FARRES:
                                 Right.
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Making sure that all the
15
     T's are crossed, I's dotted, but it's essential work, so
16
     much appreciated.
17
                   Anything, Bob?
18
                               Well, I just gave you a little
                   MR. BOGGS:
19
     update on cleanup levels.
20
                   We've been working pretty rapidly on the
     design for 8 and 10. We still only have just this one
21
22
     issue with the sand boil.
23
                   The tech memo I guess is going to be out
24
     tomorrow that we're concerned with. That's the only thing
```

Page 39

that I see potentially -- or frankly has the potential

- 1 holding up or getting started or issuing the contract the
- 2 week after next.
- 3 Our seismic engineer on the job is concerned,
- 4 just because it's a hydraulic soil potentially and he just
- 5 wants to make sure that it's done correctly.
- 6 That's really about it from the focus at this
- 7 point.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Do we know the nature of
- 9 the results -- can you talk about the tech memo and what
- 10 it's going to say?
- MS. FANELLI: Well, I have read the draft,
- 12 and it will be issued tomorrow, but in essence the
- 13 conclusions are that it's not an SES Geotechnical Engineers
- 14 opinion related to the pipeline.
- However, there is sand fill related to the
- 16 placement in the area, so they are proposing -- this is
- 17 laid out -- to collect a couple of samples to confirm the
- 18 physical properties of the sand, the sheer strength and
- 19 properties of the sand just to make sure that there is no
- 20 additional shoring or remediation in that -- in that area.
- 21 Recompacting that sand.
- So if they weren't seeing the strengths that
- 23 they wanted, then their proposal would be to recompact in
- 24 that area, excavate and recompact, which is what we
- 25 anticipated -- I anticipated that they were going to be

```
1 recommending.
```

- 2 So it was getting all of that documentation
- 3 written up and figures.
- 4 So as a matter of fact right before the
- 5 meeting, I -- I had an e-mail exchange on my Blackberry and
- 6 so I had -- it may actually be in your boxes tonight. The
- 7 first thing tomorrow morning, you should see that, and
- 8 we'll copy it to everybody at that time. So that's the
- 9 nuts and bolts of it.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Thank you.
- Anything else? Oh, yes, Peter.
- MR. O'HARA: Anything that you can update us
- on as far as Mountain Lake is concerned?
- MS. FANELLI: We have actually issued a
- 15 request for proposal and received three proposals to
- 16 complete the RAP, so I'm kind of going back to schedule,
- 17 and we are pretty close to selecting a firm, and I'll let
- 18 you know that in a couple weeks.
- 19 I'd like to get the paperwork in place so I'm
- 20 not really to make a pre-announcement, and that firm is
- 21 going to take the -- take the current documents and -- and
- 22 create the RAP.
- 23 At that point, we will wait until we see, I
- 24 believe, how the current lawsuits work their way through
- 25 the system.

- But we are endorsed under our Zurich RSL
- 2 policy to complete work associated with Mountain Lake
- 3 through the RAP. So we are relying on that endorsement
- 4 from the insurance company to complete the RAP.
- 5 We think that that will actually facilitate
- 6 resolution of the discussions, because at that point we
- 7 will have confirmed the remedy and what we are doing at the
- 8 site, and there will be less conjecture by the various
- 9 parties as to what is required or not required.
- MR. O'HARA: Part of the overall plan,
- 11 though, is to redirect the road water with runoff away from
- 12 the lake; right?
- MS. FANELLI: That is part of our
- 14 discussions, and I'm not sure at this point how that will
- 15 play itself out in terms of the remediation of the
- 16 sediment.
- We do look at the remediation of the sediment
- 18 separate from issues associated with the overflow pipeline,
- 19 separate from the stormwater issues. There's three topics
- 20 that we're looking at with that.
- Our position would be yes, we would -- we
- 22 believe that they need -- Caltrans needs to address the
- 23 stormwater runoff issues as part of a prior to the
- 24 remediation, or else there's the potential just to
- 25 recontaminate.

```
I was going to say. It's a
 1
                   MR. O'HARA:
 2
     Catch 22.
 3
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                It is.
                   MR. O'HARA: Okav.
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: So it is definitely one of the
 6
     discussion topics, and I'm not envisioning how that will
 7
     play out in terms of the actual RAP at this point.
 8
                   MR. O'HARA: Thank you.
 9
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  You're welcome.
10
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Other questions?
11
                   Item number 7, new business. I asked Mark to
12
     put this on here, Summit meeting agenda, Summit meeting
13
     discussion.
                   We really don't have a Summit meeting
14
15
     calendar, as far as I know, and it seems like the timing
16
     was good to consider getting the various senior management
17
     back to the table before a variety of things have been
18
     concluded. There are new projects out there for
19
     consideration.
20
                   It's my own personal opinion that the Summit
21
    meetings have been helpful, and so I wanted to at least
22
     open this up as a topic to begin discussions about when
23
     such a meeting could happen and what would be on the agenda
     and at least get the pulse of community members from your
24
25
     experiences, at least hearing and reporting on the Summit
```

- 1 meeting, whether you would endorse this or not.
- 2 So that's why it's in the new business
- 3 category. We really didn't have one scheduled.
- 4 So some of the possibilities for agenda items
- 5 would be just looking at the schedule for all -- you know,
- 6 the upcoming decisions.
- 7 My impression is that decisions are going to
- 8 come at a rapid pace and that cooperation between all the
- 9 agencies, particularly we -- there are always surprises
- 10 that come up, and the more communication we have, the
- 11 better for handling the inevitable surprises.
- 12 So particularly schedule would be important,
- 13 and then the next RAP, having a discussion of all the
- 14 issues associated with that.
- 15 It seems like a reasonable timing might be a
- 16 month from now, perhaps in June. I'm putting that out
- 17 there for people's consideration.
- And in order to make sure that works, people
- 19 would need to check in with their management to see if
- 20 their management would be available and willing and
- 21 interested.
- So that is my proposal put out there and
- 23 hopefully people can consider that and perhaps at one of
- 24 our interior -- interior. Status meetings during the day,
- 25 we can check in on that idea.

```
1
                   Any other comments on that idea?
 2
                   MS. BLUM:
                               Well, I generally support having
 3
     another Summit meeting, because I think if I were living in
     one of the neighborhoods surrounding the Presidio in
 4
 5
     August, I think I might be tearing my hair between the
 6
     Public Health Service Hospital and all that.
 7
                   I know you do a lot of treatments with the
 8
     dust flying and the noise and all of that, and then we have
 9
     potentially starting out a new construction area near the
10
     Presidio wall.
11
                   So I think maybe just looking at some of the
12
     mass effects of the neighborhood would be something that
13
     you'd want to talk about.
14
                   I think there will be a lot of activity at
15
     the same time in multiple areas.
16
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Well, to add to that,
17
     it's my understanding that sometime in August, the entire
18
     stretch along Doyle Drive will be --
19
                   MS. BLUM:
                             Oh, that's right.
2.0
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- cleared, and that will
21
     have huge impacts.
22
                   MS. BLUM:
                              Yes.
23
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Visual impacts.
24
                   MS. BLUM: And road closures are going to be
25
```

```
1
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       That's starting up pretty
 2
     soon. So you have Public Health Service Hospital and you
     have Doyle Drive and potentially tree cutting later in the
 3
     year for fill site 1 and landfill 2.
 4
 5
                   There's going to be major impacts that I
 6
     think it would be really good to get people together to be
 7
     thinking about.
 8
                   MS. BLUM:
                             Do you think of neighborhood
 9
     meetings when this type of volume activity would occur?
10
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Yeah.
                                         The remediation group
11
     coordinates with our public affairs department for the most
12
     part and supports them -- keeps them apprised of what's
13
     going on, and then they take the lead in developing our
14
     public outreach program for our work.
15
                   So the answer's yes --
16
                   MS. BLUM:
                               Thank you.
17
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                 -- I quess, but it's not
18
     always initiated at the remediation level. It's
19
     coordinated through public affairs.
20
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Well, as many of you
21
     know, I -- I spend time at the Crissy Field Center. That's
22
     where I do my teaching work, and that's -- that center is
23
     going to be heavily impacted.
24
                   That building will actually become the
25
    offices for Caltrans running the whole Doyle Drive process
```

- 1 out of those -- that space, and it's my understanding
- 2 currently that there'll be temporary buildings put up I
- 3 think on the East Beach area to run the Crissy Field Center
- 4 operations.
- Well, that's just one organization. So --
- 6 and that's happening in August. People are moving out of
- 7 that building in August, and all along the whole Doyle
- 8 Drive area, there's going to be a lot of impact, so there's
- 9 a lot happening this year.
- Any other comments? Yes,
- 11 MS. MONAGHAN: I was thinking about June and
- 12 the reason to have another Summit in June. I like the idea
- of having a Summit at the beginning of 8 and 10, the work
- 14 actually starting.
- And then to talk about RAP5 and the tree
- 16 removals, all the other sensitive things that are going on
- 17 with that.
- So I think those are two important things to
- 19 have a Summit meeting about in June.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: We would be very supportive
- 21 of a Summit in June.
- MS. MONAGHAN: How about you, Bob?
- MR. BOGGS: Not only would we be supportive,
- 24 at the end of the month, I'm going to have a new
- 25 supervisor, and so we're already talking about having her

- come out and get a briefing, get a tour of the sites. 1 2 MS. MONAGHAN: Okav. 3 MR. BOGGS: She's actually getting a tiny, tiny briefing on this Friday. She doesn't actually take 4 5 effect until the end of the month, though. So yes, it would definitely be very good to 6 7 have her come, meet the upper management and have a discussion about the sites, hear the public's concerns here 8 9 just to be involved. So I'm supportive. 10 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, we can do further 11 checking in about that. So action items. It seems like there is an 12 13 implied action item for everybody is to begin to assimilate 14 all of this information about things coming up so you can 15 be personally prepared to talk about it if you need to. 16 that means asking a lot of detailed questions, and perhaps 17 even thinking about materials that you might want to bring 18 to other meetings that we might be able to develop here.
- We have the sampling, I guess, for landfill 2
- 20 is next week, so we can be checking in about that.
- 21 We have the financial information coming up
- 22 for the next meeting? Yes.
- MS. FANELLI: That's the goal.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: Yes. So that would be a
- 25 potential agenda item, as well, and then I think the

```
results of the bids would be a potential agenda item.
 1
 2
                   And then I quess June 1st being a notice to
 3
     proceed. We won't know. That may be for the June RAB
 4
     meeting, as well, if that's all going properly.
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: Right. The financial would be
     for the RAB meeting.
 6
 7
                   FACILITATOR KERN: For the RAB meeting.
 8
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Yeah.
 9
                 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay.
10
                   MS. MONAGHAN: I think -- is it possible
     that we could take some pictures of landfill 1 and fill
11
12
     site 2 for the next RAB meeting so people can start
13
     understanding how the tree situation looks like?
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN: It might be good to know
15
     which areas of trees --
16
                   MS. MONAGHAN:
                                   Mm-hmm.
17
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- would be --
18
                   MS. MONAGHAN: I don't know.
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- proposed to be cut.
20
                   MS. FANELLI: I can see what photos Mactec
21
     and Genevieve took.
22
                   MS. MONAGHAN: They've been taken.
23
                   MS. FANELLI: And if they have those, I will
24
    bring them.
25
                  MS. MONAGHAN: Without having roads on this
```

```
map or pictures, it's kind of hard to --
 1
 2
                   MS. FANELLI: We could certainly -- we could
     probably make -- I don't know if you wanted to take a look
 3
 4
     at the site. I'm sure I could make somebody available to
 5
     kind of give you an idea of the boundaries.
                 FACILITATOR KERN: I quess I'm imagining a
 6
 7
     lot of the trees kind of in the ravine where the fill is,
     there are trees in there.
 8
 9
                   MS. FANELLI: If you -- the landfill is
10
     basically two trials. One that goes to Quarry Road and one
11
     to the suit. If you just go in between those two and the
     area in between, that's the area that we're talking about.
12
13
                   MS. MONAGHAN:
                                 Okay.
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Are there any other items
15
     for discussion for tonight? Any other items for the good
     of the order?
16
17
                   Then without objection, meeting adjourned.
     Thanks, everybody, for coming out tonight.
18
19
                   (The meeting concluded at 8:18 PM).
20
                              ---000---
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CHARL OF CALLBORNIA						
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )						
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )						
3	I, the undersigned, herby certify that the discussion						
4	in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time and place therein						
5	stated; that the foregoing is a full, true and complete record						
6	of said matter.						
7	I further certify that I am not of counsel or						
8	attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing meeting						
9	and caption named, or in any way interested inthe outcome of the						
10	cause named in said action.						
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have						
12	hereunto set my hand this						
13	14th day of Mary,						
14	2008.						
15	2004.						
16	MADIN T. DETORMAN CSE 5527						
17	MARK I. BRICKMAN CSR 5527						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	Р	RESI DI	O RE	STORAT	ION AD	VISORY	BOARD	MEETI NG
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
L 0								
l 1								
l 2								
L 3								
L 4								
l 5		REP	ORTER	'S TRA	NSCRIP	T OF P	ROCEEDI	NGS
l 6				TUESDA	Y, JUN	E 9, 20	0 0 9	
L 7			OFF	I CER'S	CLUB,	BUILDI	NG 50	
L 8		PRI	ESI DI	O, SAN	FRANC	1500, (	CALIFOR	RNIA
L 9								
2 0								
2 1								
2 2								
2 3								
2 4	Reported	b y :	MARK	I. BRI se No.	CKMAN,	CSR, F	RPR	
2 5			_	J C 1101	5521			

1 ATTENDEES

```
pres6-9-09.txt
     RAB Members:
 2
     Doug Kern, Facilitator
Mark Youngkin
     Eileen Fanelli
Terri Thomas
 4
     Bob Boggs
Agnes Farres
 5
 6
     Gloria Gee
     Jan Blum
      an Monaghan
      ulian Hültgren
     John Budroe
 8
     Edward Callanan
     John Chester
Toni Kramer
 9
10
11
12
                                 ---000---
13
14
15
                    BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
16
     the Meeting, and on June 9, 2009, at the Officer's Club,
17
     Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California, before
18
     me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of California,
19
     there commenced a RAB meeting under the provisions of the
20
     Presidio Trust.
21
                                  ---000---
22
23
24
25
                                                                       3
 1
                                   AGENDA
 2
                                                                 Page
     1) Welcome and Introductions-Doug Kern
 3
                                                                    4
     2) Agenda Discussion and Approval
                                                                    4
```

5	3)	pres6-9-09.txt Announcements and Old Business - None	
6	4)	Committee Business & Reports	4
7	5)	Reports & Discussions	
8		A. Quarterly Status/Financial Report-Eileen Fanelli	6
9	6)	Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs	
10		A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC - 5	0
11		B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB - None	
12	7)	New Business	
13		A. June 18 Summit Meeting Agenda 5	4
14	8)	Review of Action Items 5	5
15	9)	Agenda Items for Upcoming Meetings 5	5
16	10)	) Adjournment 5	8
17			
18			
19			
2 0			
2 1			
22			
23			
2 4			
2 5			
			4
1		FACILITATOR KERNI. LA LA LA ARRAGANA LA UNI	
1	121	FACILITATOR KERN: It is ten after. I w	
2		ke to encourage everyone to take their seats and we'l	J
3	beg	gin.	
4		I'd like to welcome everyone to tonight's	
5		eting at the Presidio Trust, the regulators, DTSC, the	9
6		ter Board the Park Service is not here tonight	
7	me n	mbers of the community, and thank you for being here	

- 8 toniaht.
- 9 Are there any additions or changes to the
- 10 agenda?
- 11 Are there any announcements or old business.
- 12 Announcements? No announcements.
- All right. We may have an announcement at
- 14 the end.
- 15 Committee business. Mark is running late.
- 16 Last -- two weeks ago when we had our committee meeting, we
- met at El Polin Spring and we walked sites to landfill 2
- 18 and fill site 1, and made many observations and looked at
- 19 the site.
- So I think those that went on that trip have
- 21 a much better idea of what we're talking about for those
- 22 two sites that are coming up.
- 23 In particular, I think it brought home the
- 24 difficulties with hauling the waste which direction, where
- 25 would trucks go. Those would be significant issues.

- 1 We also discussed the approximate number of
- 2 200 trees that might have to be taken down and we saw where
- 3 those were, and indeed there are a huge number of trees on
- 4 landfill materials. So that's another big issue to make
- 5 sure people know about.
- Those of you that were on that trip, anyone
- 7 else have any thoughts, important things that we covered?
- 8 MS. MONAGHAN: I was just impressed at how
- 9 hard it's going to be. It's going to be tough.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, I think that's

- 11 right.
- 12 | ohn.
- MR. CHESTER: Was someone from the Trust on
- 14 that trip, as well? I was wondering if there was any
- 15 feedback from -- from the Trust plans versus from what --
- 16 the group that went, what they saw in terms of reconciling
- 17 any differences.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: There weren't. It was
- 19 open to agency members, but effectively it was a night off
- 20 for them. So we just walked around and just looked on.
- 21 I'm not sure those plans are really tied down
- 22 yet. So it's good that we got a basic understanding of the
- 23 site.
- We can bring out people if you're interested
- 25 if you didn't make that meeting now that it's getting

- 1 lighter.
- MR. CHESTER: Yeah.
- 3 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. I think one of the
- 4 difficulties particularly is the -- there's a lot of sand
- 5 between landfill 2 and fill site 1.
- 6 If there's any movement or hauling between
- 7 the two, that would be a challenge, how it actually moves
- 8 over that -- that area.
- 9 All right. Seeing no other comments on that,
- 10 we're ready for the quarterly status report.
- MS. FANELLI: Okay. I'm happy to report
- 12 that we have the projector and computer working, so
- 13 hopefully that will continue.

```
pres6-9-09.txt
                   Pretty close to the same format. I want to
14
15
     go over the quarterly report that was issued several weeks
16
     ago. Doug and Mark got electronic copies, and I don't know
     if you sent it out to folks, but I didn't bring any
17
18
     additional copies.
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
19
                                       We did
20
                   MS. FANELLI: All right. So I'm going to go
21
     through the highlights of that, the milestones achieved
22
     last quarter.
23
                   We'll take a quick look at the costs-to-date
24
     and a quick discussion of schedule performance. And then
25
     I'll get into more details on the program status, and that
                                                               7
     will swap into this more recent quarter, and there's a few
 1
 2
     pictures to make it a little bit more interesting, and then
 3
     talk a little bit more about next quarter's activities.
 4
                   Second quarter -- so I really have tried to
 5
     stay true to the quarter. I've only listed things that
 6
     we've achieved through the end of March.
 7
                   As you know, DTSC approved RAP4. That was a
 8
     major milestone, and by the end of the quarter, we had
 9
     completed the Remedial Action Workplan, draft and the
10
     ninety percent construction documents for the Public Health
11
     Services Hospital site. That would be 8, 10 and graded
12
     area 9.
13
                   We also initiated site assessment activities
14
     at fill site 1, landfill 2, what I'm currently calling
```

that quarter for fill site 4, which was a site in RAP1.

RAP5A, and then we actually submitted a closure request in

pres6-9-09.txt On petroleum, we continue to receive tank 17 18 closures in tank group 4. We have since closed out tank 19 group 4, but we have received closure from Agnes in the 20 first quarter, second quarter, and on lead-based paint, it 21 included milestones of approval of two soils that we used in landscape areas for backfill, what we refer to as 22 Denbeste soil and Presidio mix. 23 24 With those two approvals, we're in better

shape now with closures of lead-based paint sites.

2.5

8

1 That first quarter that -- projects with the greatest cost activity. These were invoices received, 2 3 basically -- reflected the closing out of construction on 4 the RAP3 sites, the firing range 633, which was one of the last sites that was cleaned up, 669, which was the large 5 6 incinerator site. 7 You can see there that the cumulative today cost of one million dollars exceeds the current budget, so 8 9 we are doing budget adjustments, and I'll talk a little bit more about that. 10 11 The Nike facility and the Nike swale. Those 12 were the sites that we closed out construction on, and so it makes sense that those are the costs that have come in. 13 14 It also reflects the ramp-up and continued work on landfill 8 and landfill 10, which are the two major 15 16 design pieces that we were working on. 17 This is the summary table that's in the quarterly report. It still reflects our current budgets 18 19 that are in the Trust financial information system, our

```
pres6-9-09.txt
Oracle system, but it -- so you're seeing basically the
20
21
     same estimated costs at completion of about 156 million
22
     dollars.
23
                   Minor adjustments to that number are based on
24
     changes in estimated interest income, which have actually
2.5
     gone -- gone down to virtually nothing.
                                                                 9
                   And we did first quarter, I think, have minor
 1
 2
     adjustments to Trust labor, and that was based on the
 3
     outside auditor's recommendations for how the Trust should
     be maintaining their books, and basically the change was to
 4
 5
     remove escalation from those labor estimates.
                   So this is real dollars in today's dollars of
 6
 7
     labor estimations.
 8
                   We did receive more reimbursed costs. I
 9
     think the last report you saw had 4.9 million,
10
     approximately. These would be from unknown sites from the
11
     Army, and through the real policy, we have 5.4 listed
     there.
12
13
                   The interest income is -- actual is 19.1
     below what we had estimated at 19.2.
14
15
                   So I don't know if there's any general
     questions or comments on that, these numbers at this point,
16
17
     but we can come back to budget.
18
                   This is the snapshot. It's changed slightly.
19
     The remaining costs increased by approximately a hundred
20
     thousand dollars from what we showed last quarter.
                   All the bottom line, that mainly reflects
21
22
     less interest income for the money that the trust has in
```

- 23 the bank.
- 24 I think that completes the -- the budget
- 25 piece. The details on individual project sites are in the

- 1 report.
- I can tell you that we are continuing to work
- 3 on budget reallocations, and my expectations is is that
- 4 those will be posted in fourth quarter for the end of the
- 5 year.
- They will be agreed upon by the Trust
- 7 financial management in third quarter, and once they are
- 8 agreed upon, then I will publish them in a report.
- 9 It doesn't change our bottom line
- 10 significantly, but as we talked about before, there are
- 11 significant changes across different projects. Some
- 12 projects increasing, other projects decreasing.
- For example, we've talked about coming in
- 14 under budget on RAP3 -- on the twelve main installation
- 15 sites. I have to be specific, because if I mention Baker
- 16 Beach 1, my management goes nuts.
- 17 So the twelve main installation sites, we
- 18 believe that conservatively we're going to come in about
- 19 800 to a million dollars under the established Oracle
- 20 budgets.
- We're going to do as well on -- or better on
- 22 the landfill 10, landfill 8, graded area 9 budgets.
- We are projecting increased budgets for fill
- 24 site 1 and landfill 2 at this point in time.
- You'll see in future reports, too, that I

will be assigning degrees of confidence to those numbers,

11

- and degrees of confidence will be based on design

  process -- whether it's a planning number, a design number

  or at completion type number -- and then you'll see
- 5 contingencies associated with those planning numbers where 6 we don't have good solid information and less contingency
- 7 or no contingency as we move through the process.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: On the -- the report, I 9 noticed that there seemed to be a fair amount left in the
- 10 estimate to complete for the RAP3 sites.
- 11 I was wondering if you feel like the RAP3
- 12 sites are pretty much paid for.

- MS. FANELLI: Yeah. The remaining work on
- 14 RAP3 is to do the construction completion report and the
- 15 closure request. Those are in draft, and we're getting
- 16 ready to share that draft with our partner, the Park
- 17 Service, and then we'll be issuing it a few weeks after
- 18 that for general review.
- 19 At this point, because there's only
- 20 groundwater monitoring I believe at the Nike site, we're
- 21 likely going to request closure at all of the sites except
- 22 for the Nike site, and then based on the RAP and the
- 23 groundwater monitoring, we'll be following up with a
- 24 closure request for that and review of that data, and I
- 25 think the data looks pretty good right now, so I don't

- 1 expect that it would be too far after that we'd be
- 2 requesting closure.
- 3 So yes, we do anticipate a savings on that
- 4 site, and that's conservatively 800,000 to a million
- 5 dollars, maybe a little bit more if we're lucky. We'll
- 6 see.
- 7 There are budgets still remaining. You'll
- 8 probably note for some of the RAP2 and RAP1 sites, and
- 9 obviously we feel we're pretty close to completion. We
- 10 have submitted for closure at this point I think on both of
- 11 the RAP1 sites.
- 12 One thing I have to note in here. I was
- 13 reviewing my table of CERCLA sites, and I realized I put
- 14 the RAP2 sites in -- RAP1 sites in RAP2, so that was a
- 15 mistake.
- So that will just shift up. So that will be
- 17 fill site 5 and landfill 4.
- So budgets, for example, at fill site 5,
- 19 landfill 4, there will be budget left in there to close out
- 20 the monitoring wells and a little contingency because we
- 21 don't yet have approval.
- The documents are in for DTSC review, but for
- 23 the most part, our -- we believe that the work has been
- 24 done.
- 25 Okay. So on RAP4, the status is -- we talked

- 1 a little bit more that we had issued construction
- 2 documents. We sent those construction documents to twelve
- 3 firms.

			•	
4	1	We had	seven firms responding. I think we	
5	had about ten d	on the	bid walk. We had seven firms that	
6	actually sent i	n a bi	id, and I used the word "base bid"	
7	there because t	that is	sn't the total cost. There are base	
8	items.			
9	I	f you	remember, we sent out the bid drawin	g s
10	on 95 percent o	drawing	gs to jumpstart this. So there were	
11	items in there	that w	were not bid.	
1 2	7	Γhat wi	ill be estimated using the methodolog	у
13	that's included	d in ou	ur construction documents for the mos	t
14	part, which is	force	account, if you're familiar, where	
15	we'll use the I	abor r	rates and operated equipment and	
16	negotiate how I	ong it	t will take to pay for those items.	
1 7	9	So our	base bids came back anywhere from 2.	1,
18	and I have to b	be hone	est, I'm guessing at the 3.5, but I	
19	think it was pr	retty c	close. It was one of the top bids, a	n d
2 0	there were thre	ee firm	ms clustered around the 2.1, so we fe	Ιt
2 1	it was a good b	bid.		
2 2	١	We did	our internal analysis and tested tho	s e
2 3	numbers, and we	e selec	cted low bidder, which is a firm HSR.	
2 4	I	wrote	e that we awarded the contract	

14

1 and we're just getting final signatures, but they are going

yesterday, and basically we issued them a Notice to Proceed

- 2 and preparing their first set of submittals, and then we
- 3 anticipate a Notice to Proceed field date of June 26th, and
- 4 they will mobilize, and I'll have a schedule in a minute
- 5 here to landfill 8 and begin their work.

25

Now that that work is hopefully substantially Page 12

- 7 behind us, SES is going to begin working furthering the
- 8 construction documents for the CHP range, using the
- 9 existing specs that we have in some -- somewhat similar
- 10 work. It's actually a little bit less collected. It's
- 11 mostly removal and bringing them back.
- So they're going to be working on that soil
- 13 removal and taking it back. We have going to start on that
- 14 so we can get that work done this year. That will be a
- 15 little bit of a frenzy.
- This is a picture of the schedule to show you
- 17 that we have one for the construction. It's actually only
- 18 page 1. It's not page 2 because I couldn't get it all on
- 19 one page, but I circled the key date of September 30th.
- This is when the parking lot 10 will be
- 21 returned so all the substantial work at landfill 10 should
- 22 be done by that date.
- And you can see from this schedule, it is pretty
- 24 aggressive. This was prepared by HSR in conversations with
- 25 the Trust. It is aggressive. There will be a lot of work

- 1 activity out there.
- 2 They do show a substantial or completion date
- 3 of all of the grading work, not the planting by the end of
- 4 October. So a little slippage from the date I was giving
- 5 you October 17th. So we're looking at the end of October.
- They're motivated to meet that date. We're
- 7 motivated to help them meet that date. I do know that HSR
- 8 has other projects that they're doing that have start dates
- 9 at the end of October, November.

10 I'm not sure where it is, so they're motivated to get this work done, as well. 11 12 We do have Notice to Proceed from DTSC for 13 work on 8 and 9 and we are working on buttoning up a couple 14 of last design issues at the toll of landfill 10, and we 15 are actually -- instead of the current -- toll landfill 10, 16 and the other issue is the southernmost portion of the top 17 deck adjacent to the residents on 15th Avenue --18 Mr. Weinstock's house if you're familiar -- where DTSC has 19 asked us -- we had in our plans to do field adjustment of 20 that cover to get the grades, and based on comment from 21 DTSC, we're actually doing the final graded plan for that. So that should be done within the next week 22 23 or so out for everybody to take a look at, and then 24 hopefully we'll get approval in time to move to landfill

10, which if you could read this schedule is the end of

16

1 July.

2.5

2 So really what you're going to see is a whole 3 lot of work happening at landfill 10 in August, and we are certainly going to meet with the local neighbors before 4 5 that happens, and I know that Diana Parker is planning the 6 broader PHSH community meeting, one -- a special one at the 7 end of July, beginning of August time frame just so we can 8 do some additional outreach in addition to the quarterly 9 one, which is scheduled for September.

So this is going to be an active time.

11 We do have on-site a formal construction

management firm, and that is CH2M Hill. We'll have a Page 14

- 13 full-time construction inspector which is -- I didn't ask
- 14 him to come tonight. Maybe I should have, but maybe you'll
- 15 meet him at some point. His name is Bill Griffin. He'll
- 16 be out there everyday, and SES is going to provide design
- 17 services.
- 18 And Ryan Sielbach will be the project
- 19 manager.
- 20 Any questions on that actually before we move
- 21 on if there's -- yes.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Could we get a copy of the
- 23 construction schedule so we can see it?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes, you can.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.

- 1 MS. FANELLI: And this is a preliminary one
- 2 that we put out that we're still reviewing. When we get
- 3 the formal baseline schedule, which could happen in the
- 4 next couple of weeks, I will most definitely issue that.
- 5 MR. BOGGS: At the meeting yesterday, they
- 6 actually noted a couple corrections and changes that need
- 7 to be made already.
- 8 I anticipate we'll get -- so I guess that's
- 9 not a normal -- their formal submittal yet?
- MS. FANELLI: It's -- I'm not considering it
- 11 that.
- MR. BOGGS: Okay.
- MS. FANELLI: This -- you can see in this
- 14 drawing, it doesn't show their logic.
- MS. MONAGHAN: This is boilerplate.
  Page 15

MS. FANELLI: It's actually pretty close. 16 17 It's pretty close to being accurate, but their formal 18 schedule actually includes some of their logic, and it will 19 be updated by them. 2.0 What they'll -- the way this will work, it will look similar to this. It will show tendencies in 21 22 there, and what they'll be giving us during construction is those three-week look-ahead schedules. 2.3 So the detail will be in those look-ahead 24 schedules, and they will be critical, because we are doing 2.5 18 1 a lot of oversight. 2 So Natural Resources folks from the Trust and the Park Service will be doing that work, and they will not 3 only be having Natural Resources oversight, but Cultural 4 5 Resources oversight. So there will be a fair amount of 6 coordination and we'll have it for you. MS. MONAGHAN: Can I ask a question about 7 the bids? It seems like that was a really big spread for a 8 bid, and I wonder about what it was that made you decide 9 that the low bid was going to do it. 10 11 I -- I just --12 MS. FANELLI: The bids actually came in 13 about twenty to 25 percent under engineer's estimate, which 14 wasn't surprising given the current climate and also 15 because I've instructed our engineers to estimate a little 16 conservatively. 17 MS. MONAGHAN: Mm- h mm. MS. FANELLI: And so that wasn't a surprise. 18

- pres6-9-09.txt The reason we felt that the bids at the lower 19 20 end were accurate were because three different independent 21 firms were clustered within about a hundred. \$200.000. So that was evidence that it wasn't an outlier. 22 23 You know, if they were all three million and 24 there was one two, then I would think something was wrong 2.5 with the two. 19 The -- the firms that were at the higher end 1 2 tended to be more design firms that also had construction 3 equipment, so they would tend to be a little bit more 4 expensive, brought in more subs, and we did test their 5 number. Meaning that we looked at scenarios, because we do have alternate prices, for example, for hauling off 6 7 material that might classify as a higher level waste.
  - 8 So when we did our analysis to see how the
  - 9 firms ranked, our low bidders still stayed low bid and
- everybody stayed pretty much where they were. So another 10
- piece of evidence the numbers were pretty good. 11
- So did everybody submit a 12 MS. MONAGHAN:
- 13 schedule at the same time? The other people, did they have
- 14 a longer time frame?
- I was trying to figure out why there was such 1.5
- 16 a big difference.
- They -- a schedule MS. FANELLI: 17 No.
- 18 actually wasn't a requirement.
- 19 MS. MONAGHAN: Oh, okay.
- 20 But surprisingly enough, MS. FANELLI:
- almost everybody did submit a schedule, and our package 21 Page 17

- 22 sets performance dates. So there wasn't a big change in
- 23 schedule because they had to meet performance dates.
- You know, I don't have the bid analysis here
- 25 in front of me.

- 1 MS. MONAGHAN: That's okay.
- MS. FANELLI: What the variability was, but
- 3 certainly the variability was cover material and soil
- 4 provided. That was probably one of the biggest areas where
- 5 there was a range from \$5 a yard delivered from \$20 a yard.
- 6 So when you're talking about 7,000 yards,
- 7 that could be a big range.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Yeah.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: Some of their MOB and de-MOB
- 10 costs, there was some range in that where they put the cost
- 11 of their work, and their disposal costs ranged a fair
- 12 amount. Some people felt they could do it a little cheaper
- 13 and better than others.
- 14 I think one of the reasons why the firm that
- wanted did because they actually can perform better on
- 16 paving. They own a lot of their own paving equipment and
- 17 material. So they weren't sub -- they had fewer sub-
- 18 contractors --
- MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: -- because they could do more
- 21 of the work.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Thanks.
- FACILITATOR KERN: I was wondering if you
- 24 were going to meet with the Weinstocks when you had a Page 18

25 design sort of together and just see how that worked out

- 1 with them? Not that they have any kind of a -- just as a
- 2 courtesy.
- 3 MS. FANELLI: Yeah. We've actually have
- 4 already met with them and we've been doing some of our
- 5 sampling.
- 6 So yeah, we talk to the Weinstocks on a
- 7 fairly regular basis. But we are going to.
- 8 MR. BOGGS: I've asked to meet with them, as
- 9 well, once we get the final design package and the data
- 10 back as far as we get some supplemental sampling and that
- 11 sort of thing so that we can --
- MS. FANELLI: So they know.
- MR. BOGGS: -- brief them with everything
- 14 that we know.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: That's good.
- MS. FANELLI: So moving on to RAP5 sites,
- 17 these are them. These are sort of the three packages, the
- 18 Tennessee Hollow area, landfill 2, fill site 1 and El Polin
- 19 Spring. That's from the water quality discharge.
- The coastal bluffs area, Baker Beach 1A,
- 21 Merchant Road fill site, and coastal bluff area 2, Baker
- 22 Beach 2 and the battery frontal slopes, and the schedule
- 23 that you see here is the construction year, and this is
- 24 what it's always been in the baseline schedule.
- 25 So we haven't changed that construction year

- 1 for any of these sites at this point.
- 2 The one that I -- thing that we did change is
- 3 we were planning to do a fair amount of the final analysis
- 4 and RAP preparation for the Baker Beach 2 and battery
- 5 frontal slopes along with 1A and Merchant Road fill site,
- 6 and we made the decision not to do that and to put that off
- 7 closer in time to the actual construction season.
- 8 Okay. So on status, and I have some pictures
- 9 in the next slide.
- Fill site 1, landfill 2, as you know, we've
- 11 been out in the field and have done some supplemental field
- 12 investigation.
- They have basically been completed at this
- 14 point, and we are into data analysis and preparation --
- 15 which will be put forward in an investigation report and
- 16 the Draft RAP.
- 17 And I'll -- these are draft documents. This
- 18 data has not been validated, but if you're interested, you
- 19 can pass -- there's only a few copies, but it just shows
- 20 you all the new data points for the different sites.
- Our schedule for getting that investigation
- 22 report out is what we're doing currently is a risk analysis
- 23 based on the data, and we're going to use that risk
- 24 analysis relative to future land use to determine what
- 25 material needs to be excavated and what material doesn't

```
pres6-9-09.txt
So we'll be identifying the waste that needs
 2
 3
     to be removed, basically, and that's the information that
     we'll be putting forward in the RAP, acknowledging that
 4
 5
     there will be further design that will happen to integrate
 6
     that removal of waste with future land use, which includes
     most of the natural resources restoration areas and some
 7
 8
     recreation areas and some trails.
 9
                   So the actual -- similar to some of the
10
     elements on landfill 8, there could be -- and I expect that
11
     there will be elements in the landfill, fill site 1,
12
     landfill 2, fill site 1 actual construction documents when
13
     we get there that it may not be remediation based and
14
     wouldn't be a part of the remediation program, but by
15
     coupling that work with the remediation work, it will
16
     further everybody's interest and get it taken care of.
                   In the next couple of weeks, we hope to have
17
18
     that basic analysis done, and at the regular monthly status
19
     meeting -- which you won't be there for because you'll be
20
     in training I think that week -- we'll be able to start
21
     discussing that information and share it with everyone.
22
                   The final report -- because we anticipate the
23
     comments will likely come out really close to the actual
     Draft RAP because we'll be in discussion, and we anticipate
24
     the Draft RAP -- on our schedule, it still shows the end of
25
```

<sup>1</sup> July, maybe early August, but the idea is that we get it

<sup>2</sup> out -- we internally get it where we wanted so that it's

<sup>3</sup> actually issued for public comment towards the end of

August, beginning of September for its thirty-day public

- 5 comment period.
- 6 And on Baker Beach and Merchant Road, we did
- 7 do our vegetation clearings and our inspection to look for
- 8 the extent of the roofing material that's the cause of our
- 9 concern, and I have a figure to show you on that.
- 10 We are working on supplemental field
- 11 investigation work plan that's really primarily a sampling
- 12 plan to confirm where the contamination is and isn't. So
- that we can then do the feasibility study and identify the
- 14 alternatives to take care of that contamination and get to
- 15 a RAP.
- And then Baker Beach 2 and battery frontal
- 17 slopes. Probably not in the next couple of months, but we
- 18 are working on getting a consultant on board to begin to
- 19 push that RAP forward.
- 20 Okay. This is just a picture of landfill 2.
- 21 This was one of the test pits. If you look at the drawing
- 22 and you have good enough eyes with a magnifying glass, you
- 23 might find test kit 200, and this was it starting to get
- 24 excavated.
- This was at landfill 1, one of the test pits,

- 1 and then most recently what we did is go back with a drill
- 2 rig, and so this so we could primarily determine depth and
- 3 sample the material under the debris fill. So we could
- 4 confirm volumes and quantities, and that was pretty
- 5 successfully completed, as well.
- 6 This is really hot off the press, and Brian
- 7 is not here, but we're getting ready to share this with

## pres6-9-09.txt Brian and the Park Service first to share the actual

9 sampling plan, but this is a map prepared by MacTech, and

10 it shows the results of the debris, and what you're seeing

is the sort of the dark blue out on the -- so I turned this 11

12 a little funky, so west is up so I could get it on one page

13 without having it mess up the title format.

14 That's the material that was previously

mapped in 2006, and what we did is clear vegetation going 15

16 back up toward the batteries to see if we could identify if

17 there was any of the roofing debris there, and what we

18 found is where there's vellow highlighted, so that's sort

19 of Battery Marcus Miller to the south, and there is heavy

20 debris there greater than ten percent on the ground

21 surface, the roofing material.

8

22 Where it's green, it's a little more spotty,

23 between one and ten percent of debris. Where it's light

24 blue, which tends to be towards the northern end, it's even

2.5 spottier, fewer, and we think that that's where we're going

26

1 to focus our sampling to make sure we can identify an end

2 or an upper limit to the pHs associated with that material,

and where it's red, we found no debris. 3

So we're taking this map and then adding 4

5 sampling locations to get kind of a better confirmation of

the northern end. 6

7

We do know that we have the southern end

8 fairly well-defined, because that's by 2 -- by 1, by Baker

Beach 1. So we're working with that. 9

10 MR. BOGGS: Now what about areas in between

```
like around the red where there's essentially none, and
11
12
     then what about in between that and the light blue, which
13
     is less than one percent?
14
                   MS. FANELLI: You know, they did additional
15
     investig -- I believe they investigated the whole area. So
     I think that that -- I can't answer your question probably
16
17
     satisfactorily, because honestly, Genevieve gave this to me
     right before we came.
18
                   Their task was to look at the entire extent.
19
     So I'm hoping what that means is there isn't a lot of
20
21
     material there.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: This seems like an odd
22
23
     thing to map, that really teeny red stripe of none.
24
                   MS. FANELLI: It might be that that's where
     they did their vegetation clearance. So based on that,
2.5
                                                              27
     they feel that with the light blue closer to the battery
 2
     where they had, you know, less than one percent, and then
 3
     none later, that the problem isn't really there, and that's
 4
     where we're going to focus their sampling.
                   Where they had the green, the presumption is
 5
 6
     that that's continuing, and that's where they did their
     clearance.
 7
 8
                   So we're going to be doing some additional
 9
     sampling.
10
                   The schedule is still to do this remediation
11
     next construction season, but what will happen is you'll
```

So this might be another RAP that has a

see the RAP coming out a little shifted in time.

pres6-9-09.txt longer duration period, because it's going to be closer to 14 15 the end of the calendar year or the beginning of next year, 16 after the new year. 17 So we might be a little compressed there, but 18 I anticipate you're going to be getting the RAP of fill 19 site 1, landfill 2 and El Polin Springs and this one will 20 be coming basically right behind it, as close in time as we 21 can get it. 22 Okay. That's where we're doing active field 23 work. 24 In addition on landfill E, we are procuring 25 an engineering firm and working with them to develop a 28 scope of work to kick that off. Their initial work will be 1 2 to complete the RAP for that site. 3 I anticipate very little field work 4 associated with that, but there will be some field work because it's going to include the underlying firing range, 5 6 Bernard Avenue firing range. There's probably some limited 7 sampling associated with that site. 8 And then the only other sampling I can really 9 anticipate is anything that they need for design. So there 10 may be geotechnical or other engineering properties that 11 they need to do, to collect in order to confirm design 12 criteria. 13 6B and Battery Howe Wagner will be right 14 behind landfill E. They will likely be joined together, and we are probably going to -- basically at this point on 15

landfill E, we're working off of our qualified vendor list

```
pres6-9-09.txt
     and getting some proposals. We're going to do the same for
17
18
     6B and Battery Howe Wagner.
19
                   So those contracts should be let by the end
     of July. That's our plan, and they would be finishing up
20
21
     any of that initial design information that they need in
     this summer and fall, and then completing documents that
22
     they need to complete for those sites in 2010 for a
23
24
     construction in 2011.
25
                   What I'm hoping with this site of sites is
                                                               29
     that we're on a more relaxed schedule even though it's
 1
     still probably aggressive over two years, but it's not as
 2
 3
     compressed as it has been to allow time for discussion and
 4
     presentation.
 5
                   The other site where we have made progress is
 6
     the Mountain Lake RAP. We actually did request proposals
 7
     again off of our qualified vendor list.
 8
                   We've selected URS, and URS, if they're not
 9
     under contract, will be under contract shortly to complete
     the RAP for Mountain Lake.
10
                   Mm- h mm
11
                   MS. BLUM: It sounds like landfill E is --
12
     is not going to experience very much cascading or boring or
13
14
     any of the normal testing that goes on in these fill sites,
     and it seems to me that the last time those were really
1.5
16
     evaluated was about 1995 or 1997.
17
                   So would the engineering firm just be taking
     that full material and working that into a new plan?
18
19
                   MS. FANELLI: I believe that they're --
```

```
pres6-9-09.txt
20
                   MR. BOGGS:
                               There were quite a number of
21
     additional investigations since then. I think it was 2002,
22
     we went out and did some trenching. We did some additional
23
     borings.
24
                   We looked at what was called soil gas because
2.5
     it was a landfill that hadn't been looked at previously.
                                                              3.0
                   There was a couple rounds of those
 1
 2
     investigations several times out there throughout the '90s
 3
     where they did pretty extensive work.
 4
                   There may be a few things to button up. Like
 5
     she said, geotechnical issues, additional information to be
 6
     gotten, but there is a lot of information. A lot of that
     is currently available for that landfill.
 7
 8
                   MS. BLUM: I do remember a cave-in after
     heavy rain on the lower part of landfill E, and I don't
 9
10
     know what the -- the diagnosis of that was. Whether it was
     just water or a water main or what happened.
11
                   MS. FANELLI: I actually don't have a lot of
12
     familiarity with that. I thought --
13
14
                   MR. BOGGS: I do. We did the engineering
     review. It was basically during a heavy flood and it was
15
16
     pretty clear that it was a low part where the surface ran
     off.
17
                   What it started doing, once it started
18
19
     eroding, it eroded into a little gully. They put in an
20
     engineered pipe so that that surface drainage now goes down
21
     into a pipe. It goes to that same location that that
22
     blowout occurred.
```

```
pres6-9-09.txt
                   MS. BLUM:
                               Is that part of Tennessee Hollow
23
24
     creek system where that occurred?
25
                   MR. BOGGS: Potentially it occurred down
                                                               3.1
 1
     into that, and they did some sampling of that soil as part
 2
     of that.
 3
                   MS. FANELLI: That's just some of the
     geotechnical data. The slope stability and the storm water
 4
 5
     runoff would be the calculations and some of the
 6
     engineering properties that they'd be looking at to set up
 7
     their design criteria.
 8
                   But there are a lot of documents. I think
 9
     the most recent one is actually dated 2005 and probably
     includes the data you're speaking of, Bob, that was
10
11
     collected obviously before my time here.
                   But we will evaluate that, and if there's a
12
13
     need for additional data, then it will be collected.
                   But at this point, we feel that there's
14
     probably not a lot of chemical analyst characterization
15
16
     data that's needed. There's probably some engineering data
     that is needed.
17
                   MR. BOGGS: And that erosion slope that you
18
     referred to, that would be a key area that we look at as
19
20
     part of the design.
                   The fact that it's been an issue as part of
21
22
     landfill 10, there's regulatory requirements as far as how
     much -- less than ten tons per acre of erosion is what's
23
24
     allowed per regulation.
25
                   So there's standards that they're going to
```

- 1 have to meet. So the steeper the slope, the higher erosion
- 2 rates you get. So that's all something that has to come
- 3 out as part of design that is important and does need to be
- 4 considered.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Our plan is to get to a --
- 6 take what we currently have and take it to a higher level
- 7 of design.
- 8 It's not a complete design by any means, but
- 9 enough to try to address the major issues that we know are
- 10 concerns at the RAP level, but without taking it to
- 11 obviously a design set.
- So the transportation issues, some of the
- 13 erosion issues, the slope stability issues, all of those
- 14 things are fairly well understood and documented as part of
- 15 the RAP.
- 16 Okay. Moving off of CERCLA and on to
- 17 petroleum, it continues to be where we see a lot of light
- 18 coming at the end of the tunnel.
- 19 We have just this -- today and last week
- 20 completed some of the FDA Phase III soil and groundwater
- 21 sampling. That reporting will be -- we'll report it in a
- 22 Phase III report, and I see Gen had put August in there. I
- 23 was hoping it will be a little bit sooner.
- 24 We're working on getting that out. We have
- 25 data that has to go out and get evaluated, and the data

- does take a lot of time. But the work has been completed.
- We are now working on our priority 6 tank
- 3 closure requests. We have two packages that went in. If
- 4 it didn't go in, it will go to Agnes, and all of those
- 5 tanks were closed.
- 6 Our priority 7 are our mini tank sites.
- 7 There are a couple of outstanding sites like 970/971, and
- 8 our priority group 8 is our unknown unknowns, and we have a
- 9 process that we're going to be working through to document
- 10 those and close those out.
- 11 We -- I do anticipate getting consultants to
- 12 do that, and we will be likely to get back to our qualified
- 13 vendor list and get proposals to try to knock that out.
- Our goal is to submit not just the tank
- 15 closures, but virtually all of our sites, with the
- 16 exception of 207/231 by the end of the calendar year for
- 17 closure.
- 18 MR. CHESTER: One question. Are the -- are
- 19 the tank closures, are they mostly paper closures or are
- 20 you still yanking tanks out of the ground?
- MS. FANELLI: Most of the tanks have been
- 22 yanked, mostly by the Army; a few by the Trust.
- MS. FARRES: A lot of that has been done by
- 24 the Army.
- MR. CHESTER: So remedial cleanup is

- 1 happening if it needed to be or --
- MS. FANELLI: Yes, yes.
- 3 MR. CHESTER: You're digging up the soil. Page 30

4 MS. FANELLI: A lot of these tanks we 5 actually went back and did additional sampling and now we're just bringing all that data together to close the 6 7 sites. 8 MS. FARRES: Right. Yeah, the Army didn't 9 always take the best confirmation samples. So the Trust 10 would often go back and take more confirmation --11 MR. CHESTER: Okav. 12 MS. FARRES: -- sampling. MS. FANELLI: We do still find tanks, as you 1.3 14 remember. We found the Lincoln Avenue tanks. They were 1.5 not leakers, so -- and they were pulled and closed by the 16 City, City/County, but we do still find tanks. 17 We have a tank that we've just identified at 18 Public Health Service Hospital, so we do have a claim into the Army. We feel as though it's the Army's responsibility 19 20 to pull those out of the ground. 21 So we do find tanks and pull them 22 periodically. Of the remaining sites, we go to 1349. We've 23 done the remedial construction there. The reporting is a 24

35

- 1 working to get it out in the last several months.
- 2 970/971, that one, as well. We actually
- 3 removed a little bit of soil as part of the FDA Phase III

little bit off schedule on the baseline, but Gen and I are

- 4 just the other day, did confirmation sampling and we're
- 5 also waiting on groundwater data.

2 5

When we finish up four quarters of Page 31

- 7 groundwater data, we'll be getting our data back on that. 8 207/231, what we're doing on that site is 9 actually -- because of the Doyle Drive construction project 10 being accelerated, we have actually started having regular meetings with the Doyle Drive team to coordinate both the 11 12 work that they're doing there, the remediation work that we 13 have to do and the restoration or the quartermaster's reach 14 work, and we've had two meetings with them and they're 15 going to become a regular project status meeting. 16 So that we can move that along in a way that 17 facilitates everybody's work. 18 And to that extent, we may be looking at 19 doing at least a -- removal activities at 207 where 20 Caltrans is going to be in one of their upcoming contract 21 phases putting in the detour road for that area. 22 We're working with them because we're going 23 to try to get as much of that out where it's in their way
- 25 So we're working on it. That's the update.

36

We just had initial discussions with them. 1

or problem before they do that work.

2 We were moving ahead with doing

3 characterization -- and this is a map, along the historic

4 wall.

24

5 So this doesn't give you a lot of context,

6 but you can see building 228 and the former building 231.

7 This is where we're going to -- we have proposed doing some

in situ treatment. 8

You will see some boring locations. Those 9 Page 32

- 10 are the locations to confirm the extent of the
- 11 contamination at the toe and above that historic wall.
- We're going to be drilling that tomorrow and
- 13 collecting samples, and then we're going to be doing some
- 14 bench scale analysis looking at in particular persulfate
- 15 treatment as the oxidizer of that soil locally there.
- And then if that works out, then we'll be
- 17 submitting the details on what that chemistry will look
- 18 like to the Regional Board and asking for approval to
- 19 proceed.
- 20 So we think that that will happen shortly.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: Question on -- so we're
- 22 thinking about changing the material that would be
- 23 injected?
- MS. FANELLI: Right. So the work plan
- 25 looked at -- I believe ORC and Reginox, and then our

- 1 analysis of that indicated that it was going to be more
- 2 costly than we originally thought, and we had -- we still
- 3 faced with those costs some of the other issues with
- 4 delivery for that specific chemical.
- 5 So one of the alternatives that we looked at
- 6 was to go back to sort of the more traditional type of -- I
- 7 don't know if traditional's the right word, but persulfate
- 8 has been commonly used at other sites, and to look at that
- 9 because of the potential cost savings.
- So if -- if it doesn't pencil out, then we
- 11 will go back to one of the other alternatives, but we think
- that we might actually be able to get more -- better Page 33

- 13 treatment at -- better value in terms of our treatments for
- 14 the persulfate.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: I don't know much about
- 16 that particular chemical, but I know we spent a very long
- 17 time with the previous one just analyzing it to make
- 18 sure -- I mean, given the proximity to the marsh and all
- 19 that.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: I know that -- I'm
- 22 thinking back over many years of all the various discussion
- 23 of the groundwater chemistry in that area, whether it was
- 24 reducing or oxidizing and all the discussion associated
- 25 with hexavalent chrome production and the arsenic

- 1 production and all these other things, and I'm -- I would
- 2 really like to know about this chemical I quess is the
- 3 purest way to put it.
- 4 Has it been used in a marsh environment? So
- 5 just put that out there, I guess.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: I certainly understood those
- 7 concerns and gotten some of that feedback, and we will be
- 8 responding and answering those questions as we do this
- 9 analysis.
- The catalyst is basically breakdown products
- 11 -- I want to make sure I get this right. It is calcium
- 12 hydroxide, and so the breakdown products are basically
- 13 salts, salt base.
- 14 So from a salt standpoint and -- and brackish
- standpoint, there really isn't a conflict there in terms of Page 34

- 16 those breakdown products, and the reaction time of the
- 17 material itself is very rapid, and that's probably one of
- 18 the concerns that was talked about is that it doesn't stay
- 19 around.
- 20 It reacts fairly quickly, and so there might
- 21 have been concerns about whether or not we're going to be
- 22 able to do the job because it degrades so quickly.
- That's why we're doing a bench test. We're
- 24 not just injecting it into the ground. We're looking at a
- 25 sample in the laboratory, looking at the concentrations

- 1 that we will be adding and then addressing those concerns
- 2 about its potential impacts.
- 3 MR. BOGGS: One of the ways they have --
- 4 when they have faster oxidizers, they have closer
- 5 placement. So you may have that alternative of having to
- 6 have it closer in --
- 7 MS. FANELLI: Right.
- 8 MR. BOGGS: -- and a higher number of
- 9 injection points.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: Is there any risk with I
- 11 quess persulfate of having a sulfate reaction of some kind?
- 12 I quess hydrogen sulfide comes to mind, rotten egg smells.
- MR. BOGGS: That's a sulfide rather than a
- 14 sulfite or sulfites, and the sulfates -- you have a fill
- 15 site, persulfate and then sulfate would be your most
- oxidized or reduced product that's oxidized, whatever.
- 17 There could be potentials with the salts. I
- don't know if it's just calcium, or if they add sodium Page 35

- 19 potassium, whatever, so you can build up salt
- 20 concentrations if you have that, but they are generally
- 21 depending on the balance.
- 22 May or may not. I don't know enough about
- 23 this particular environment, if they're going to affect it
- 24 adversely or not.
- MS. FANELLI: Obviously it's not the Trust

- desire to do anything that's going to adversely affect what
- 2 our future plans for the area is.
- 3 So we will be looking obviously at that, but
- 4 all indications at this point are that it isn't a chemical
- 5 that has been routinely used. That's why we're doing the
- 6 bench test.
- 7 We'll get our results back and we'll be able
- 8 to address its life and its potential to have any impacts
- 9 in the future on -- on the future construction of this
- 10 quartermaster reach.
- 11 It is fairly far upgradient from where the
- 12 larger marsh area is going to be constructed. It's closer
- 13 to the channel leading to that, but we will look at it.
- MR. CHESTER: So in this remediation
- 15 project, there's not an extraction? You inject the
- 16 chemical --
- MS. FANELLI: Right.
- 18 MR. CHESTER: -- let it permeate and reduce,
- 19 and then it just continues with groundwater flow?
- MS. FANELLI: It actually gets -- it gets
- 21  $\,$  transformed and uses up. So the products, which are Page 36

- 22 primarily the salts and the sulfate, would stay in there at
- 23 some concentration and either move along with the flow or
- 24 not depending on what the flow is.
- I do think that the major concerns that have

- 1 been generated in the past is the rotten egg smell. Some
- 2 marshes have natural occurring rotten egg smells.
- 3 Maybe this one will not, but we know we need
- 4 to understand that.
- 5 We're also going to be looking at the timing
- 6 relative to the other construction, that Doyle Drive's
- 7 going to be doing. So all of this is trying to be -- now
- 8 be coordinated in a real way, and in fact the Doyle Drive
- 9 did get some funding to jumpstart that program.
- 10 It's really good for us because now we can
- 11 actually work together to make sure that we do our
- 12 remediation, but we don't leave the site or do things that
- 13 make it difficult for them to do their job or otherwise
- 14 make it difficult to get the quartermaster reach to be
- 15 constructed.
- So we'll coordinate all those construction
- 17 issues at the same time.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: Can you give a ballpark
- 19 on what the cost difference between the two chemicals would
- 20 he?
- MS. FANELLI: Over a hundred thousand
- 22 dollars was the estimate.
- MS. BLUM: Eileen?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. Page 37

25 MS. BLUM: Or Terri. Who sits in from

- 1 Natural Resources on these meetings that are being held at
- 2 Doyle Drive?
- 3 MS. FANELLI: A lot of people.
- 4 MS. THOMAS: The Trust has really mobilized
- 5 to try to get input. I mean, a lot of work has changed
- 6 focus so that there's input, and basically they're meeting
- 7 with everybody on the things that are focused on that, and
- 8 then they have a couple of big project managers that go to
- 9 all of them, so -- and our lead is Mark Helbrecht, who's
- 10 our transportation manager and all of us feed into him, and
- 11 he's the one that said there might be a conflict here,
- 12 there might be a conflict there, and in that light, I would
- 13 like to share that I'm not sure if folks know that the east
- 14 tributary of Tennessee Hollow, which is the more Morton
- 15 Field area, is one of the wetlands sites for Doyle Drive
- 16 and it's tied to landfill E.
- So -- because of the ballfield and the
- 18 environmental assessment.
- So in a way, that project -- I mean, through
- 20 a domino effect, landfill E is tied to their mitigation
- 21 site for wetlands, which is tied to their completion of
- 22 Doyle Drive, as well.
- So it's also kind of energized our
- 24 coordination around those two projects.
- 25 MS. FANELLI: Our petroleum questions.

43

1 Lead-based paints. We have been doing some 2 additional soil remediation at building 104. At the front 3 of the Disney building. This is -- the Disney building had not been remediated. 4 We had in the past done the back end, and so 5 6 we were getting ready to do their remediation and we jumped in and took that material out, and now they're completing 7 8 their landscaping effort there. 9 I think I got this building number right, but 10 I'm not sure. Building 43, I think it's just right over 11 here. It's right over there on the other side of this. 12 We're doing some work there because it's 13 underdeveloped. It's being upgraded for a new tenant, and 14 then where we're putting our effort right now is updating 15 our lead-based paint schedule. 16 It's gone through our annual budget cycle and 17 gone through the landscaping, and so we're trying to better 18 formalize our lead-based paint and soil remediation plan 19 now to get buildings done in a more efficient way. 20 So the schedules -- I've talked about this in 21 the past. Our schedule really looks good, and we are now 22 working hard on trying to get the schedule to replace that 23 lead-based paint. So we're hoping to get that together in 24 the next quarter. 25 And at the same time, we do have a fair

```
pres6-9-09.txt
 2
     getting those closure requests in to DTSC based on the
 3
     approved investigation work plan.
 4
                   That should be getting a little more active
 5
     in the next -- next year.
 6
                   So next quarter, to kind of recap, we will be
 7
     in full construction on Public Health Services Hospital.
 8
     We'll get you some updates.
 9
                   We should be close to initiating construction
10
     on the CHP range. You'll see the Draft RAP and that
11
     investigation report out for fill sites 1 and 2, and we
12
     will hopefully be completing the construction report and
13
     closure request for the majority of the installation sites
14
     in RAP3.
15
                   We will be getting our FDS Phase III work in
16
     submitting for closure and hopefully have initiated all the
     documentation for the priority 8 tanks, and on lead-based
17
18
     paint, as you described, we'll have the schedule and be in
19
     a more systematic mode of completing our closure requests,
20
     and I think that is all I have.
                   It is. I was taught never to do that knowing
21
     where your end is so you don't show the blank screen.
22
                   I'm happy to answer any additional questions
23
24
     that you might have.
25
                   MS. CHEEVER: Could I ask Terri a question?
                                                               45
```

You mentioned about mitigation site, more on
Morton Field and landfill E, but I wasn't quite clear what
the tradeoff is.

Does that mean one of them would be mitigated

```
pres6-9-09.txt and the other one would be restored to wetlands and the
 5
 6
     other one wouldn't be?
 7
                   MS. THOMAS: No. We were talking about
 8
     Dovle Drive and the tie to remediation sites.
 9
                   In the Tennessee Hollow, we needed to replace
     Morton Street Ballfield before we could do the creek
1.0
     restoration there with another ballfield. That ball field
11
12
     is going on top of landfill.
13
                   MS. CHEEVER:
                                  Okav.
14
                   MS. BLUM: Landfill 10.
                   MS. THOMAS: I'm sorry. E. That would be
15
     interesting. Thank you very much for that correction.
16
17
                   So the -- the sequence of events is that
18
     landfill 10 -- landfill E gets remediated.
19
                   The ballfield then goes on top and is
20
     functional, and then we can take out the ballfield at
21
     Morton Street field, and then the Doyle Drive can do their
22
     remediation.
23
                   And they finish, I think, in 2013, so we have
24
     some time to do all that.
25
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I wanted to ask a
                                                               46
     clarifying question on the fill site 1, landfill 2.
 1
     think you mentioned that you were going to do a risk
 2
```

think you mentioned that you were going to do a risk
analysis on this data that we saw there.

MS. FANELLI: Yeah.
FACILITATOR KERN: And that was going to be

7 at the --

6

available kind of at the -- you know, alongside the RAP or

```
pres6-9-09.txt
MS. FANELLI: No. It will be available
 8
 9
     before that.
10
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Okay.
                   MS. FANELLI: It will be discussed. It will
11
12
     be part of the investigation report.
13
                   The final document, by the time we get it all
     finalized, I imagine that that report will certainly vetted
14
15
     and talked about it way in advance, but it will be
16
     finalized close in sequence to the RAP, because we'll be
17
     talking about the RAP at the same time with it.
18
                   Does that make sense?
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Yes.
19
20
                   MS. FANELLI: We'll be working through it in
     the next month and a half on the content of those
21
22
     documents. So when they come out, everyone will know
2.3
     what's in them.
24
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I was -- yeah. I was
2.5
     concerned if it came out with the RAP.
                                                               47
                   MS. FANELLI: (Nods head negatively).
 1
 2
                   FACILITATOR KERN: And then there was
 3
     disagreement.
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: No.
 5
                   FACILITATOR KERN: With landfill E and all
     of these things kind of tied together and a RAP not out
 6
 7
     vet, I suppose it may be important just to note that I
 8
     guess there hasn't been a final decision on the remedy at
     landfill E.
 9
```

MS. FANELLI: The presumed remedy is

```
pres6-9-09.txt
11
     capping. That's the presumed remedy.
12
                                       Presumed remedy.
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
13
                   MS. FANELLI: Based on the data that we
14
     have.
15
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Right. The last estimate
16
     that we had for that capping remedy was pretty expensive.
     I think it's in the budget at some ten million dollars.
17
18
                   So it seems worth discussing what the
19
     advantages would be of a complete closure and what that
20
     would cost, particularly in this environment compared to
21
     that estimate.
                   If we could clean close it for a certain
22
     percentage more -- not 200 percent more, but -- that would
23
24
     be a reasonable thing to discuss.
25
                   MS. FANELLI: We will be getting updated
                                                              48
     cost estimates obviously from the consultants that will be
 1
 2
     preparing the RAPs. So they will go back and take a look
     at the work that's been done.
 3
```

preparing the RAPs. So they will go back and take a look

at the work that's been done.

FACILITATOR KERN: I think there's a

significant -- I guess I want to say group of people that

are for the restoration of that site, and with a major

hazardous waste landfill right in the middle of a

restoration site, it's -- it's worth discussing having

another discussion of getting rid of it rather than keeping

it there.

So I hope before we assume that that's what's going to happen, we give everybody that chance to talk about it.

```
pres6-9-09.txt
14
                   All right.
15
                   MS. BLUM:
                              This is not a remediation
16
     question, I don't think, or it's tied into the Doyle Drive
17
     investigation.
18
                   When was the decision made to put the Morton
19
     ballfield on Pop Hicks instead of all the other places?
20
                   MS. THOMAS: It's on the Tennessee -- it's
21
     in the Tennessee Hollow EA, and it was in the Record of
22
     Decision, and the reason for it was actually to have a
2.3
     soccer field, which is what Morton Street is.
24
                  It's larger than a ballfield, and there was
     agents for a soccer field, and the only place that really
25
                                                              49
     had that large of an area was landfill E.
 1
 2
                  From what I can understand, that was the
     reasoning behind it.
 3
 4
                  I should also say if the timing doesn't work
 5
     out, there are alternatives for Doyle Drive. That would be
     our preference, to keep moving the project along.
 6
 7
                   MS. FANELLI: The Tennessee Hollow EA should
 8
     be on the Trust web page.
 9
                   MS. THOMAS: It is.
10
                   MS. BLUM: I'm sure I've read it. I just
     don't remember this particular aspect.
11
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN: It's funny. My
13
     recollection was that field was going to go to the enlarged
14
     field next to Julius Kahn, too.
                   MS. THOMAS: It was. That was one of the
15
16
     alternatives. It ended up not being one that was selected.
```

Page 44

```
pres6-9-09.txt
M: I think a lot of us missed that.
                   MS. BLUM:
17
18
                   MS. THOMAS: I think it had to do with
19
     conversations with the City, as well.
20
                   Iulius Kahn is run by the City. So it
21
     had -- that's my understanding. I was not in charge of the
22
     EA at all, but that was -- but if you really want to
23
     clarify that, I would have to double-check as far as the
24
     discussion.
25
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I would imagine that the
                                                               50
     language in the EA says it's dependent upon what the
 1
 2
     remediation would be, though, since that hadn't been
 3
     decided. There's no final document.
                   Okay.
 4
 5
                   MS. THOMAS: I would have to check the
 6
     language.
 7
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you very much for
 8
     the status report.
 9
                   Any other questions on that?
10
                   All right. From our regulatory agencies,
11
     anything, Bob?
                   MR. BOGGS: Well, most of the stuff gets
12
13
     covered by Eileen. We've obviously been working on it. So
     the landfill 10 issues have kind of been for most because
14
     we have been trying to get the construction -- meeting the
15
16
     construction schedule this year.
17
                   It is my agency that's holding things up a
     little bit, hiring a little additional work before we
18
19
     approve the design, and hopefully it will be better and
```

```
pres6-9-09.txt
     more secure in the long run from that.
20
21
                   We have -- as Eileen mentioned, we've gotten
22
     involved recently, kind of started increasing our work on
23
     lead-based paint sites.
24
                   We did an audit of four sites and decided
2.5
     whether we needed to repackage our requests a little bit
                                                               51
     and work out a schedule for doing things.
1
 2
                   I'm going to have some help over the summer,
 3
     so we're going to try to jumpstart that.
 4
                   Mountain Lake has become an issue.
 5
     Health Service Hospital, we've been following the soils
 6
     management there. Let's see. What else here?
7
                   As she mentioned, we did give approval for
8
     landfill 8 and graded area 9, and we're still pending these
9
     issues being resolved before we approve landfill 10.
10
                   And lastly, landfill E, I think it's my
     recollection that the feasibility study that went out had
11
     capping as an alternative, but I think the permeation of
12
13
     that alternative came out very expensive, and that was when
14
     Craiq was here.
15
                   And so when that -- if -- again, this is
     recollection from a couple years. That involved trying to
16
17
     make a combination of the ballfield and was potentially
     restore a spring alongside, have the spring alongside,
18
```

So I'm not sure that resolution on the F/S

19

20

21

alternative.

basically a creek alongside the landfill, et cetera, which

then was thought maybe that wasn't a completely thought out

## pres6-9-09.txt has been reached as far as formal comments or not on that, but there will be a chance before there is a signed RAP for the alternatives to be vetted.

1		FACILITATOR	KERN:	Yeah. It seems there w	a s
2	a lot left har	iging on land	dfill E.	I mean, there's methan	е,
3	so there was t	he whole pas	ssive gas	s collection system and	
4		MR. BOGGS:	Methane	e values were low.	
5	Talking with o	ur landfill	engineer	r, that's a pretty	
6	easily the	landfill is	very lov	won how much gas it	
7	generates comp	ared to a tr	aditiona	al landfill.	
8		So they thou	ught this	s was extremely easy and	
9	almost didn't	need the pas	ssive gas	s design built into it.	
10		One of the o	concerns	that I think still need:	S
11	to be addresse	ed is at the	toe of t	the landfill, there's	
1 2	hydraulics cor	ning out of t	he pipe	during storm events.	
13		Now that is	ma n a g e d	with the cap and built-	
1 4	in, understood	I. There's a	a couple	things that's not as mu	c h
15	a chemical che	emical, like	we have	to test it chemically.	
16		It's been to	ested, bu	ut understanding that an	d
17	how we would i	nclude that	in our o	design and make sure we	
18	have a safe la	ndfill, thos	se are co	oncerns that need to be	
19	addressed.				
2 0		So there's s	still sor	me hurdles to cross in	
2 1	getting there,	but a lot o	of the wo	ork has already been don-	е.
2 2		FACILITATOR	KERN:	And Agnes, anything?	
2 3		MS. FARRES:	No.		
2 4		FACILITATOR	KERN:	I guess I'm going to as	k
2 5	if either DTS(	and the Wat	er Board	d, if you had any	

- 1 information about this chemical from 207/231, that will be
- 2 helpful to hear about.
- 3 MS. FARRES: Yeah. I've been looking into
- 4 the other stuff. As far as I know, it's a commonly used
- 5 chemical, and generally the problem with the chemical
- 6 oxidation is it doesn't want to stay in the subsurface. It
- 7 wants to go out into the atmosphere. So it finds a path of
- 8 least resistance.
- 9 So something like the wall, it would actually
- 10 climb the wall and go out. So we don't anticipate it will
- 11 persist long-term in the environment. But I think
- 12 the bench studies will provide some data that will be good
- 13 to talk about.
- 14 MR. BOGGS: I use potassium persulfate in my
- 15 wine. So -- sulfites are commonly used in wine.
- 16 It's -- the sulfate breakdown product is relatively non-
- 17 toxic.
- 18 It's potassium. We all need potassium in our
- 19 diet. There's a sulfite salt that's close to potassium.
- 20 It's a base chemical.
- 21 We're not dealing with something like a
- 22 parmanganate which will turn the water purple, because it
- would not be appropriate for this site. Purple marshes.
- MR. BUDROE: Or perchlorate.
- 25 MR. BOGGS: Another one. So initially

- 1 there's some other chemical concerns. I've been involved
- 2 in some in situ oxidation at other sites.
- This is marshy environment. There's organic
- 4 materials that will oxidize just as fast or more readily
- 5 than petroleum.
- 6 Sulfites are a little more aggressive, so
- 7 they'll tend to oxidize everything rather than focus mostly
- 8 on petroleum.
- 9 So there's little technical differences, but
- 10 it's commonly used, and at this point, at least the people
- 11 at my agency consider it relatively safe.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay. Any other
- 13 questions for regulators?
- 14 Item number 7, on June 18th, which is a week
- 15 from this Thursday, we have on the calendar a Summit
- meeting, and that is scheduled for 10:00 to 2:00.
- We have proposed agenda items that
- 18 effectively mirror what we talked about tonight, so there
- 19 would be updates as we're getting close to the construction
- 20 on the RAP4 sites. If there are any things that need to be
- 21 discussed there.
- There would be discussions about RAP5, the
- 23 landfill 2, fill site 1, trying to get that out and getting
- 24 people aware of the schedule of that, and any communication
- 25 issues.

- 1 There are a number of new faces at, I guess,
- 2 DTSC and the Park Service that -- that will be important to
- 3 kind of get people to know each other, to meet.

4 So those are generally what we have on tap 5 for June 18th. 6 So I would -- I'm trying to talk to people and their management ahead of the meeting, so I'll just put 7 8 that out there, that if -- that may be coming from phone 9 calls. 10 Any -- any thoughts about the Summit meeting? Any other items for -- agenda items that people might have? 11 12 We do have a week and a couple of days, so if you do have thoughts about that, please let me know. As 1.3 14 you're dreaming at night, be thinking about the Summit 1.5 meeting. 16 All right. Moving on for our action items. 17 we've got some fill site 1, landfill 2 stuff coming up. 18 We'll be seeing that. There'll be discussion about this building 207/231, the chemical. 19 20 There's some other things that I have. It 21 seems like there's going to be a lot going on, though, 22 construction-wise.

23 Agenda items for upcoming meetings. We

24 certainly will have an update on the Summit meeting will be

one possibility, and I think things are going to start

56

1 pretty soon with construction, so construction updates will

2 be coming.

3 MS. FANELLI: They will, yeah. I really

4 hope -- they've already worked on some of their submittals,

5 and so we are anticipating in the next couple of weeks

6 they'll begin their work with getting the parking area to Page 50

- 7 get folks out off of 10 so that they can -- working on 8
- 8 and 9, and then we'll get our stuff done with DTSC and then
- 9 hopefully move to 10.
- 10 It should be a very fast and furious summer.
- 11 There's pluses for that because it's disruptive to the
- 12 neighbors, and if we can shorten that duration, that's
- 13 good.
- MS. BLUM: When are they going to start
- 15 staging for Doyle Drive? I think they're going to start
- 16 digging in August.
- 17 MS. FANELLI: I really don't know.
- MS. BLUM: That's what I thought.
- MS. FANELLI: I know they're doing utility
- 20 relocates first and they're going to try to start work in
- 21 October, and they're going to do that.
- MS. THOMAS: As soon as bird nesting season.
- 23 They're mobilizing August 1st to take down a lot of trees.
- 24 That will be in the open space update, too.
- 25 MS. BLUM: I know the center is moving on

- 1 Baker Beach. It will probably be very apparent to drivers.
- 2 MS. THOMAS: Oh, yeah. I think so.
- 3 MS. MONAGHAN: At our last committee
- 4 meeting, we talked about doing another field trip to
- 5 landfills 8 and 10 in two weeks.
- 6 Anybody interested in doing that?
- 7 MS. BLUM: Yeah.
- 8 MR. CHESTER: I think I would.
- 9 MS. CHEEVER: We are down here. Page 51

	·
10	FACILITATOR KERN: It's really helpful to
11	actually see things.
12	All right.
13	MR. YOUNGKIN: Did you talk about the
14	financial data, the financial report tonight?
15	FACILITATOR KERN: Yes.
16	MS. FANELLI: I did.
17	MR. YOUNGKIN: You asked about going into a
18	little bit more in-depth.
19	MS. MONAGHAN: Julian, yeah.
2 0	MR. YOUNGKIN: Oh, Julian.
21	MS. BLUM: We're going to have a cram
2 2	session.
2 3	MR. YOUNGKIN: A session on actually how to
2 4	read the financial report and how to understand it and
2 5	stuff.

1	FACILITATOR KERN: Maybe a committee meeting
2	would be a better venue.
3	All right. So we have tentatively a visit to
4	landfill 8 and 10 for next committee meeting.
5	Anything else for tonight before we adjourn?
6	I'd like to thank everyone for coming out tonight. Thanks
7	to Eileen for her preparation for the reports tonight, and
8	our regulators for coming out.
9	And without objection, meeting adjourned.
10	(The meeting concluded at 8:30 PM).
11	000
12	Daga 52
	Page 52

13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
2 2	
23	
2 4	
2 5	
	5 9
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )
3	
4	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
5	discussion in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time
6	and place therein stated; that the foregoing is a full,
7	true and complete record of said matter.
8	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
9	attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing
	meeting and caption named, or in any way interested in
10	the outcome of the cause named in said action.
11	
12	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
1 4	hereunto set my hand this
15	Page 53 day of,

# pres 6-9-09.txt 2009. 17 18 Mark I. Brickman CSR No. 5527 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
1 2	
1 3	
1 4	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	TUESDAY, JULY 14, 2009
1 7	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
18	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
19	
2 0	
2 1	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR License No. 5527
2 5	

2

1 ATTENDEES

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
 2
      RAB Members:
      Doug Kern, Facilitator (Not present)
Mark Youngkin
      Eileen Fanelli
Terri Thomas
 4
      Brian Ullensvang
 5
      Bob Boggs
Denise Tsuji
 6
      Agnes Farres
     Peter O'Hara
Jan Blum
Gloria Gee
Julie Cheever
Sara Segal
Jan Monaghan
 9
10
      Íohn Budroe
      Michelle Passero
      Edward Callanan
11
      Barbara Newton
12
      Toni Kramer
13
      Potential New Member:
14
      Tim Ketcham
15
                                    ---000---
16
                     BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
      the Meeting, and on July 14, 2009, at the Officer's Club,
17
18
      Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California, before
      me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of California,
19
20
      there commenced a RAB meeting under the provisions of the
21
      Presidio Trust.
22
                                    ---000---
23
24
25
                                                                            3
 1
                                     AGENDA
 2
                                                                    Page
 3
      1) Welcome and Introductions - Mark Youngkin
```

4

2) Agenda Discussion and Approval

5	3)	pres7-14-09.txt Announcements and Old Business
	3)	
6		A. Building 207/231 Persulfate Update 16
7	4)	Committee Business & Reports
8		A. Planning Committee Report - Mark Youngkin 5
9	5)	Reports & Discussions
10		A. Filsite 1/Landfill 2 Date Review-Presidio Trust 6
11		B. Landfill 10 Design-Construction Update 10
12		C. Summit Meeting Report 39
13	6)	Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs
14		A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC - 43
15		B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB - 46
16	7)	New Business 50
17	8)	Review of Action Items 51
18	9)	Agenda Items for Upcoming Committee/RAB Meeting 51
19	10)	Adjournment 59
20		
21		
2 2		
2 3		
2 4		
2 5		
1		MR. YOUNGKIN: Welcome to the regularly

scheduled meeting of the Advisory Board of the Presidio of
San Francisco. My name is Mark Youngkin. I'm co-chair of
the RAB. Our normal facilitator, Doug Kern is out of town.

5 He went to Washington, D.C. on a conference, I believe, and

6 he did not make it back in time, so I'm filling in for

7 Doug.

```
pres7-14-09.txt
                   So bear with me, and feel free to chip in and
 8
 9
     help out.
                   Does everybody have an agenda? Any
10
     discussion or additions, deletions to the agenda?
11
12
                   Seeing none, we'll proceed. Announcements
13
     and old business? Anything? lan?
14
                   MS. BLUM:
                             No. I have nothing tonight.
15
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: You're our announcement
16
     person.
17
                   MS. BLUM: Not today.
18
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: I stuck this agenda item on
19
     there. Building 237/231 persulfate update. I got that out
20
     of my notes.
21
                   MS. FANELLI: I actually have that in my
22
     project status update. If you wait until that portion of
23
     the discussion, I won't have to take that out of sequence.
24
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: No. We can cover that then.
     That's fine.
2.5
                                                               5
 1
                   Moving on to committee business. Planning
     Committee report, Mark Youngkin.
 2
 3
                   This will be an easy Planning Committee
 4
     report because this last month, we had a walking tour of
     landfills 8 and 10. It started seven o'clock at the Public
 5
     Health Service Hospital, and we were met by Ryan Seilbach
 6
 7
     and the -- I forgot the construction manager's name. I
 8
     forget.
                   MS. FANELLI: Bill Griffin, CH2M Hill.
 9
10
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: Bill Griffin, CH2M Hill, and
```

```
pres7-14-09.txt
     they gave us an overview. After landfill 10, we walked
11
12
     over to landfill 8.
13
                   I came by landfill 8 tonight and we've
     started construction there, and they've taken up all the
14
15
     asphalt and concrete. They've done some grading and the
16
     tennis courts are gone, so it looks like that's already
17
     kicked off.
18
                   Any other questions about the committee
     meeting? We have the Planning Committee meeting the fourth
19
20
     Tuesday of every month, and we will meet this month in
21
     building 67 again.
22
                   MS. FANELLI: Okay.
                   MR. O'HARA: Is there a completion date
23
     estimated for landfill 10?
24
25
                   MS. FANELLI: Yeah, there is. Our
                                                               6
     substantive construction completion date for construction
 1
 2
     is September 17. Since we got a couple weeks later start,
     I think it will be pushed back.
 3
                   There's a substantive completion date for all
 4
     the construction of 8 and 10, and then the actual planting
 5
     would occur after that date.
 6
 7
                   The release of the top deck parking cover on
```

9 MR. O'HARA: Thank you.

8

MR. YOUNGKIN: Okay. Any other questions?

landfill 10 is still scheduled for September 30th.

Hope to see you all at the Planning Committee meeting.

This time of year, it's a little sparse in

13 attendance. Last year we cancelled the August committee

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
     meeting, if you remember. I think we'd rather prefer not
14
15
     to cancel July, too, so try to make it if you can.
16
                   Moving on to agenda item number 5, reports
17
     and discussions. The first topic is fillsite 1/landfill 2
18
     data review.
                                  Well, if you will forgive me,
19
                   MS. FANELLI:
20
     if you'll look at this outline, I put it in this order, so
     I put fillsite 1/landfill 2 last, if that is all right.
21
22
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
                                   That's all right.
23
                   MS. FANELLI: 207 and then the PHSH
24
     construction. So I'll just start off with the Public
     Health Service Hospital construction.
25
                                                                7
```

So that is the work on landfill 8 and 10, and 1 2 we did indeed mobilize on the site, and I have some 3 photographs to show you, and then I can give you an update on the schedule moving forward, and then give you an update 4 5 on the status of these other site investigations. And so on the 6th, we actually got out to the 6 7 site, and that's the little Bobcat at the big sand pile 8 beginning to work the sand. 9 The first things that our contractor did --10 HSR is the contractor -- was obviously beginning to do site 11 demolition and set up the construction and control fencing. So that's a picture there of the tennis court 12 13 to the left, and the Public Health Service Hospital, and in 14 the immediate foreground will be the temporary construction 15 parking area. And so you can see they're beginning to demo 16 the fence there.

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
17
                   And I apologize. It's a little small because
18
     I got them as PDFs, not as IPEGs.
19
                   This is landfill 8, and you can see the
20
     orange fencing on the top picture in the background.
21
     That's along the lyssingia area. That's being installed.
22
     That's a close-up of some of the protective fencing on the
23
     picture on the bottom.
24
                   There's the tennis court with further
25
     demolition. You can see some of the cracked pavement.
                                                                8
     fence is basically down. We're beginning to take down some
 1
     of the posts and poles that are on the site there in
 2
 3
     landfill 8.
                   The parking area with some of the demolition
 4
 5
     debris at the Public Health Service Hospital in the
 6
     background in the back of it. Some of the more secure
 7
     fencing going along graded area 9 there, the sand pile.
 8
                   This is -- we can show you some of the
                We were going to bring a crusher on-site, and we
 9
     dramatic.
     always had a little bit of concern about the noise level
10
11
     from a crusher, and so we had limited the crusher to being
12
     set up on landfill 8.
                   So the contractor actually brought a grader,
13
14
     and unfortunately, there was an immediate breakdown on the
     contract docs, the documents. The contractor brought the
15
16
     grader in through the 15th Avenue gate.
17
                   So if you hear anything from the neighbors.
     To make it worse, he brought it in on a big rig on the 15th
18
19
     Avenue gate, came head to head with a sanitation truck and
```

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
     got into a foul mouth exchange like at 7:30 in the morning
20
21
     and the police were called.
22
                   So I'm just disclosing all of this to you so
23
     you can hear what happened.
24
                   We ended up throwing that -- that operator's
2.5
     been thrown off the job. He's not allowed to bring any
                                                                9
     more equipment out to the site, and our public affairs
 1
 2
     people have gone out to the neighbors.
 3
                   But it was a subcontractor actually to HSR
 4
     and it is challenged because it is still an open street to
     be able to get people to behave appropriately.
 5
 6
                   Instead of a crusher, they brought in a
     grinder. This thing passes over the asphalt and basically
 7
     grinds it up there on the spot. So you can see a bunch of
 8
 9
     the landfill 8 parking area pretty much ground up there.
10
                   We did actually encounter the first day of
11
     clearing and rubbing human remains on landfill 8, and so
     that is -- I didn't want to be too grizzly, but we actually
12
     found three bones. That is an arm bone, so we did have our
13
14
     archaeologist, our cultural resources person on-site
     monitor full-time, and they are implementing and
15
     following -- the Trust as a federal agency can issue
16
17
     ourselves our own permit under NAGPRA, I believe it is, the
18
     repatriation act.
19
                   So they're proceeding. The coroner has been
20
     notified, although the coroner will likely not come out.
     These bones are associated with the subsurface cemetery at
21
22
     the site.
```

# How low was it? 24 MS. FANELLI: It was basically on the ground 25 surface. Three bones were encountered right off the bat. 10 1 That's the pictures. 2 So in the next three weeks, they are 3 finishing the construction of the temporary parking area. So as you know, we have to build the parking area in that 4 5 foreground picture so that the Forest Cities contract 6 that's working on the Public Health Service Hospital can 7 get their equipment off the top deck of landfill 10. 8 Let's move to the temporary parking area. 9 They have done the clearing and grubbing on 10 8. They're going to begin the base grade on Phase I, the lyssingia area. 11 Of course they're on heightened alert now, 12 13 because we're going to be cutting into the landfill, 14 although the area that we're cutting is not directly over 15 what is thought to be the limits of the -- the graveyard. We'll put sand over that eastern lobe, so 16 we'll actually do the preliminary cuts and then they'll 17 18 actually put the initial sand layer on the graded area 9. 19 Once they've removed that sand at 9, they'll do trenching at least at half of area 9 to do the 20 boundaries, and they'll do the demolition at 10. 21 22 So that grinding operation will happen, all 23 the concrete will be picked up, fences and posts, big pieces of debris will be removed. 24 25 Once they do the demolition, they'll begin

pres7-14-09.txt

MS. NEWTON:

- 1 the base grade of the slope, and they will initiate the key
- 2 trench for the cover at the base of landfill 10 at that
- 3 time.
- 4 So we anticipate most of that will happen in
- 5 the next --
- 6 MR. O'HARA: Are you able to recycle most of
- 7 those materials?
- 8 MS. FANELLI: We are to a certain extent
- 9 where it's something of value. A lot of it isn't, but
- 10 where there is aggregates of road base.
- Now in this particular case, a lot of the
- 12 road base is being reused on-site.
- So grindings that are -- we can use as AB for
- 14 the new parking lot, we're going to be saving those and
- bringing them from 8 and 10 and use them as AB for the new
- 16 surface at landfill 10.
- MS. BLUM: What is the trench?
- 18 MS. FANELLI: Remember the discussions that
- 19 we had some design issues and some sands that didn't meet
- 20 the engineering properties from a geotechnical perspective
- 21 to support the cover when we did our seismic analysis.
- The key trench of -- there was always two
- 23 parts. The landfill will key into the ground surface for
- 24 support.
- MS. BLUM: Okay.

1 MS. FANELLI: That key trench is now also 2 greatly expanded for certain locations. We're going to be excavating the sand, placing some heavier gravel, geotech 3 style and recompacting the sand on top of it so that we get 4 our seismic -- we meet our seismic criteria under a seismic 5 6 event, and then the cover goes over that. 7 MS. SEGAL: When we did the walk, there was 8 an issue with the Weinstock residence right there at 15th 9 and doing the key trench of the change of grade. 10 Has that been resolved? Do we know? Is that going to delay everything? The residence. If you know 11 12 anything about having toxic material adjacent to their 13 property --14 MR. BOGGS: I can answer that. There's been a couple of documents. Ryan Mija, associated with my team 15 leader and I met with the Weinstocks a few weeks ago. 16 17 I had an e-mail exchange with them today, 18 explained to them about what's being proposed, how work is 19 being done adjacent to their house, how close the cap will 20 come. It's going to stop ten feet away from their house, and as I talked with Eileen today, there's going to be a 21 22 prohibition against using heavy equipment within that ten foot buffer zone. 23 They're still a little concerned about the 24 2.5 trees and their view. There are trees to be planted. It's

- 1 just how long will it take them to grow and restore their
- 2 -- the view that they had.
- 3 MS. SEGAL: But there's no delay in the Page 11

- 4 demolition and construction at this point because of their concerns?
- 6 MR. BOGGS: No.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: No. We had two --
- 8 MS. SEGAL: Thank you.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: -- design addenda. One was
- 10 the area immediate adjacent to the Weinstock house. Bob
- 11 just did a good description.
- 12 It was based on sampling area we did most
- 13 recently, and then the key trench area is based on
- 14 observations of some of the sands that were down there and
- 15 discovering that we had fill that hadn't been tested
- 16 geotechnically and it was sand just like everything else,
- 17 but it wasn't in-situ native sand, so it needed to be
- 18 compacted in place.
- 19 MS. SEGAL: Thank you.
- 20 MS. PASSERO: I was curious about the bones
- 21 that were found.
- 22 What is the -- what is sort of the
- 23 contingency plan now? Do you just kind of continue sort of
- 24 business as usual with the archeologist there or what do
- 25 you --

- 1 MS. FANELLI: We basically continue business
- 2 as usual, but there is a plan where the archeologist -- we
- 3 stopped work where the bones were found. The archeologist
- 4 gets time to do a survey of the area.
- 5 They collect the bones and they retain them,
- 6 and the plan at this point is -- as it was in the past, Page 12

### pres7-14-09.txt

- 7 still remains that -- is that they'll be re-interred into
- 8 the -- into the waste fill before we put the sand cover on
- 9 them.
- Now this conversation's always been with the
- 11 coroner. The coroner I don't think wants them. We did
- 12 this before when we were trenching.
- So I think that the most respectful thing --
- 14 at least how we planned it -- is that they'll be re-
- 15 interred.
- And so PHSH is moving along, and we are still
- on the schedule to complete construction by the end of
- 18 October, and then the planting would occur after that.
- 19 MS. BLUM: Have you closed off Battery
- 20 Caulfield road to non-construction traffic?
- MS. FANELLI: No.
- MS. BLUM: Okay.
- MS. FANELLI: When we are moving sand from
- 24 graded area 9 to the other sites, the contractor's required
- 25 to have flaggers, and for -- I know that there's been a

- 1 promise to the neighbors about -- ultimately there's
- 2 changes in the 15th Avenue/14th Avenue gate and Battery
- 3 Caulfield.
- 4 There are still ongoing quarterly
- 5 neighborhood meetings that happen at the Golden Gate Club,
- 6 and the next one I believe is scheduled in September for
- 7 the overall PHSH construction.
- 8 So not just the remediation element, but the
- 9 Forest City construction, the utility upgrades, the Page 13

- 10 building 1808, and in addition, we're having a special
- 11 meeting beginning of August with the local neighbors to go
- 12 over the construction on landfill 10.
- And it would include the Weinstocks, for
- 14 example, but all the other residents on that street that
- 15 wish to attend and participate.
- And we still do the biweekly e-mail
- 17 newsletter updates, as well. So there's lots of
- 18 information that goes out.
- 19 Now those come out of our Public Affairs
- 20 office, so -- so that's ongoing.
- 21 207/231. I have a little map for you, but I
- 22 wanted to first use the words. We did our field
- 23 investigation, and that was a while ago. Got our soil
- 24 samples collected, and this is along the historic wall.
- 25 I'll show you a map in a minute.

- 1 A split level where building 231 was and
- 2 building 228 on the upper level, and this is the lower
- 3 level where Doyle Drive will be doing a lot of
- 4 construction.
- 5 We do have some laboratory data back. We
- 6 don't have all the QA/QC for soil samples, but the bench
- 7 scale test for lab analysis persulfate is not complete, and
- 8 we expect those results in the next couple of weeks.
- 9 And the purpose of that analysis again is to look
- 10 at the level of petroleum contamination in the sediment in
- 11 the soils, and to help us identify what kind of
- 12 concentrations and what type of injection you would have to Page 14

- do using persulfate to oxidize those petroleum
- 14 hydrocarbons, and we don't have that data back from the
- 15 lab.
- 16 When we get that data back, we will obviously
- 17 be preparing a report with recommendations that would then
- 18 be issued, and I anticipate that report being completed
- 19 early August.
- In that report, we do have comments from a
- 21 variety of folks, including members of the RAB, about
- 22 concerns about persulfate, about breakdown projects and
- 23 affecting the subsurface considering future land use, and I
- 24 want to call the expansion of Crissy Marsh, but it's sort
- of a stream becoming a marsh, and we would address that in

- 1 that document when we get there.
- 2 I can tell you -- here's our plan view maps.
- 3 You see building 228, and there is an area where Caltrans
- 4 has done some additional work. 231 that was demolished was
- 5 up here.
- These are the locations of the tanks that
- 7 have been removed. These are obviously hand drawn
- 8 sections. I don't have those sections for you, but they
- 9 will be available when we get our analysis done.
- 10 We've done these additional portions. I can
- 11 tell you we've found additional contamination extending --
- 12 these are the limits of the contamination.
- 13 It looks like it extends further this way
- 14 towards the wall, not so much this way, and so we'll be
- taking that into account, that total volume when we do our Page 15

- 16 analysis as to what concentrations of persulfate we need.
- 17 That's actually all I have on 207/231, so
- 18 I'll happy to entertain questions and take down questions
- 19 or bring back the information with you.
- 20 MR. YOUNGKIN: The idea is to inject the
- 21 persulfate into the ground?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. It will oxidize the
- 23 organics. It's fast acting, and because it's very fast
- 24 acting, it has a short -- short lifespan.
- There is a document that has as part of the

- 1 analysis showing how much we actually have to inject and
- 2 get that balance.
- 3 I'm not an expert on persulfate. If somebody
- 4 else has more information. But the idea of balance, you
- 5 don't want to put in too much. You don't want to break
- 6 down the natural organics, but you do want to break down
- 7 the petroleum hydrocarbons.
- 8 MR. O'HARA: What are the byproducts?
- 9 MS. FANELLI: Primarily salts. Which is a
- 10 concern from an odor standpoint, you know, the rotten egg
- 11 standpoint, but with the catalysts -- and I'll be honest.
- 12 I forget. We talked about this last week. That would be
- 13 used in the breakdown.
- 14 I believe calcium and other salt minerals,
- but at this point it wouldn't be a problem in a marsh
- 16 environment given the existing sediments, but we want to
- 17 confirm that and double-check and make sure there aren't
- any other problems that would be an issue for future Page 16

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
19
     expansion of the marsh.
20
                   MR. O'HARA: Thank you.
21
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: Does everybody get this here?
22
     The brown line is the historic wall. If the wall wasn't
2.3
     there, you would just dig this contamination out, but
24
     because of the historic wall, they're looking for a way to
25
     remediate contamination without disturbing the historic
                                                               19
```

1 nature.

2 MR. ULLENSVANG: One of the things that we

3 learned at the presentation last month, the wall and the

4 foundation are structured differently than we initially

5 thought. So digging becomes a viable option there, as

6 well.

7 MS. FANELLI: It looks like the Army

8 constructed a fairly good point. You see the little gray

9 box on the end of the wall and the little gray box at the

10 end of building 228, those are done by Caltrans and we did

11 get to observe those trenches when they did them, and they

were specifically looking at the strength and foundation.

Their work -- where former building 231 is,

14 that's where the Doyle Drive is now going to come up from

15 being below grade.

So there'll be a fair amount of earth moving

17 in that area, and they have responsibility to also maintain

18 that wall during their construction, which will be fairly

19 significant, and they have a requirement to do some shoring

20 and some protection of building 228 as a mitigation for

21 their wall.

### pres7-14-09.txt

- MS. BLUM: Is the long part of the wall
- 23 Gorges Road? I can't get oriented on it.
- MS. FANELLI: No. Gorges is up over here,
- 25 and this is Halleck.

- 1 MS. BLUM: Okay. What's the gray asymmetric
- 2 block below the ground line?
- 3 MS. FANELLI: This here?
- 4 MS. BLUM: That whole thing. I can't read
- 5 it.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: I'm sorry.
- 7 MR. BOGGS: The whole building.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: This is the whole building.
- 9 MR. BOGGS: It's just cut off at the bottom.
- 10 MR. YOUNGKIN: Is that the French laundry?
- MS. FANELLI: I don't think there's anything
- 12 in that building now.
- 13 MR. ULLENSVANG: It used to be the drive-
- 14 in.
- 15 MS. FANELLI: These are the soldered tanks
- 16 that leaked that caused contamination.
- So we're moving ahead and hopefully we'll
- 18 have some analysis prepared in August with some
- 19 recommendations, and Geomatrix is working on that as our
- 20 subcontractor. I should call them AMEC now because they
- 21 got purchased, but they'll always be known as Geomatrix to
- 22 me.
- MR. BUDROE: If you had a reaction of
- persulfate, which general direction would the gradient go? Page 18

## pres7-14-09.txt

MS. FANELLI: If you head basically north,

- 1 you're going toward Crissy Field Marsh. It goes up
- 2 generally toward the 207/231. Maybe slightly inward if you
- 3 reestablish the creek channel, which is the plan.
- 4 All right. So over in here, this is site
- 5 6-A, and it will connect in here, and then there's a plan
- 6 for the expansion of the -- creation of the Quartermaster
- 7 Reach, and that leads to Crissy Marsh.
- 8 MR. BUDROE: All right. So then you're
- 9 going to want to make sure that you get pretty complete
- 10 elimination of that persulfate. That's going to react with
- 11 everything in there because what that reminds me of is
- 12 perchlorate, which is something you would definitively not
- want washing into Crissy Marsh.
- MR. BOGGS: Very, very different beasts.
- 15 And where I have -- I've been involved with chemical
- 16 oxidation. The sulfate tends to get used up pretty quick;
- 17 i.E. it's sufficiently reactive. It will start reacting
- 18 with the organics in the clays.
- You're probably not going to have it hanging
- 20 around. Most of the other oxidation systems are kind of
- 21 buffered. They hang. The sulfate tends to be pretty
- 22 fashion and pretty strong oxidizer. So it will react with
- 23 the clays that are present there.
- MR. BUDROE: Before it ever gets to the
- 25 wash?

```
1
                   MS. FANELLI: That's right.
 2
                   MR. BOGGS: Most likely. I mean, you can
 3
     always overdo it, like if they are a couple orders of
     magnitude wrong in their calculations and they add a
 4
     thousand times too much, yeah, it could be a problem, but
 5
 6
     provided they do their bench test correctly.
 7
                                    That was one of the
                   MR. ULLENSVANG:
 8
     concerns we raised. The reactants generally react, but
 9
     with this chemical, it would be residuals, reaction
     byproducts, and that's one of the things we would like to
10
11
     make sure is fully vetted and it's not going to cause an
12
     adverse impact on the marsh.
13
                   MS. BLUM: Even though Quartermaster Reach
14
     is going to hit the -- whatever it is, the right-hand side
15
     of that wall, is that -- that's going to be subject to
16
     water at some point, will it not, that historic wall?
17
                   MS. FANELLI: It will not be, no. It should
18
     always be above.
19
                   MS. BLUM:
                              Okay.
20
                   MS. FANELLI: Well, you know, the wall is
     like this. Here's the earth and here's the wall. It's a
21
22
     retaining wall now. So it does get moisture on the uphill
23
     side of it.
24
                   MS. THOMAS: The marsh is designed to
25
     protect the wall, as well.
```

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
     level rise at all now and maybe what we think today, you
 2
 3
     know -- think about global warming and rising. I don't
     know how much of that is factored in to --
 4
                   MS. THOMAS: It's factored in hugely in
 5
 6
     Doyle Drive. Where the marsh is going to go and the
 7
     pillars and all that.
 8
                   That whole thing had to be looked at over
 9
     again when they started doing a good analyzation of
10
     possible sea level rise.
11
                   MS. FANELLI: I didn't include it in here.
12
     but I think we showed last month the conceptual design of
13
     the Quartermaster Reach, the marsh expansion, but I don't
14
     have it here with me unfortunately.
15
                   But I can bring it. We can make it
16
     accessible to you in the future, and what we're trying to
17
     do now is working with Caltrans.
18
                   As you know, their project's been
19
     accelerated, and three things are converging. Caltrans'
20
     construction, the remediation of 207/231 and the ultimate
21
     restoration of -- the creations of the Ouartermaster Reach.
22
                   And so there's been ongoing meetings with
23
     Caltrans and trying to make sure all these construction
24
     documents are aligned so that they're efficient and things
25
     happen in proper sequence.
```

MS. BLUM: Is the expansion of Crissy Marsh included in that whole conversation?

MS. THOMAS: No. Just -- well, into the Quartermaster Reach area is all. As one of the

```
pres7-14-09.txt
 5
     alternatives, we're considering tidal prism type
 6
     alternative with the marsh, but that's again all sorts of
 7
     alternatives at this point.
                   MS. FANELLI: I don't have any pictures of
 8
 9
     fillsite 1/landfill 2, either, although I think we did
     share some at our last meeting last month.
10
11
                   We have substantially completed the field
12
            At this point, I don't envision that we would go out
     and necessarily get any additional data potentially based
13
14
     on some of the ongoing coordination.
15
                   So that's where we're at, so that's why I
16
     don't have any pictures for you right now, but we have it
17
     internally.
18
                   So Trust internally, three groups are working
19
     together, Natural Resources, Remediation and our planning
20
     group, and our planning group does have on board a
21
     consultant, Dillingham & Associates, which is doing the
22
     conceptual design for the practice field and the parking
23
     lot, and it's just premature for me to bring it tonight.
24
     So that's why I haven't done it.
2.5
                   But there -- it follows basically the
```

Tennessee Hollow EA, and it has a -- I think the regulation practice field with the parking lot. I forget how many spots in the parking lot.

As shown there, and then adjacent to that is the natural areas restoration, the -- it comes down behind or above El Polin Springs.

And then on landfill 2, we're working with

pres7-14-09.txt That site as slated, as you know, is 8 our forestry group. 9 to be restored as a historical forest. 10 There's still discussions of the types of 11 trees or mix of trees, and we're looking at the geology of 12 what we're going to have and what's more appropriate for 13 planting in that forest once we have that geology in that 14 area. We're also looking at what waste needs to be 15 16 removed in that space on our field sampling and that 17 analysis was discussed at our last status meeting and will 18 be documented in the data report that we're preparing. When we know what needs to be removed because 19 it's waste, then we compare that to the grades that are 20 21 necessary to support the ballfield construction and the 22 native habitat restoration, and our engineers will be 23 developing a conceptual design.

We have our engineers on board, Kennedy

Jenks. They haven't historically worked for remediation,

26

1 but they've done some work out here at the Presidio,

2 primarily at the water plant.

They're the designer, so they are now working 3 with MacTech that has done the assessment, and we have on 4 5 board, as well, Aspen to do the CEQA document or help with 6 the CEQA analysis that will accompany the RAP, and though 7 those three folks working with Genevieve Coyle, who is the project manager, are pulling all of our pieces together to 8 try to get our data report again, conceptual design, and 9 10 those things will be reflected in the RAP.

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
11
                   We are still targeting the RAP to be issued
12
     for public comment in October, which means that we would
     obviously have drafts for other pieces out in advance of
13
14
     that.
15
                   MS. KRAMER: I have a question about the
16
     historic forests. Will that be like the cypress that were
17
     planted in a grid, or is that native species that would
18
     have been perhaps found in certain areas?
                   MS. FANELLI: Please.
19
                   MS. THOMAS: The historic forest is a
20
21
     designated feature of the historic landmark. So we just
22
     completed a historic characterization study which does
     identify exactly why certain parts of the forest are the
23
     way they are. That make them historic in that place.
24
```

2.5

So when we reforest, we can re-create that.

1	So in some areas where it isn't where it's
2	just a green backdrop is the main reason for the historic
3	forest. Then a species mix can be considered, a native
4	species mix or a type of eucalyptus or whatever.
5	Whether there's a particular boundary
6	plantings where there's a particular look to it that
7	separates it from the City that has a certain green or
8	whatever, and it's cypress, it's really critical to have
9	that, and in those areas where you have the rows, it looks
10	like military rows, that's a historic factor.
11	MS. KRAMER: So history refers to to me,
12	the historic grid or whatever it is with the cypress and
13	the you know, that was planted by the Army, but it seems

```
pres7-14-09.txt
     like this is also being used in another context of kind of
14
15
     native what might have grown there, or is it history in the
16
     sense of the history of the Presidio as an Army base? Are
17
     there two different --
18
                   MS. THOMAS:
                               Yeah.
                   MS. KRAMER: -- types of history, and which
19
20
     one is this?
21
                   MS. THOMAS:
                                 Well, this is part of the
22
     historic forest. The historic forest designated
23
     significance now is the original design, but the species
24
     that are planted there vary --
25
                   MS. KRAMER: Okay.
                                                              28
                   MS. THOMAS: -- and in this particular area,
 1
 2
     there can be native species.
                   If it's geologically appropriate and
 3
 4
     maintenance, it's more appropriate because it's kind of
 5
     hidden in a gully, where other areas of the Presidio, we
     would keep the exact three species that were used before.
 6
 7
                   MS. KRAMER: So this sort of refers to the
 8
     location in the hollow --
 9
                   MS. THOMAS: Right.
10
                   MS. KRAMER: -- that there is a forest there
11
     and therefore it's going to be replanted as such, and the
12
     mix is up to --
13
                   MS. THOMAS: Forestry and geology.
14
                   MS. KRAMER: Right.
                   MS. FANELLI: We'll build it if it will
15
```

grow. We're just trying to tell them what soil upgrade

- 17 will look like.
- 18 In the landfill 2 area, we have a
- 19 serpentinite slope up next to the sand dune, so we have two
- 20 different conditions.
- MS. KRAMER: Conditions, right.
- MS. FANELLI: Which will probably have an
- 23 impact on what kind of species are planted in the area.
- The grading plans will be -- that's the
- 25 challenge for Kennedy Jenks, because we'll need to remove

- 1 all of the waste material that's there and debris and work
- 2 with different groups to get a grading plan.
- It has to be stable, because we have to leave
- 4 stable slopes, and it can be used for their future land use
- 5 where there's a forest for native plants for a ballfield,
- 6 parking lot.
- 7 And there's different cleanup levels. The
- 8 recreational needs, recreational cleanup levels in that
- 9 area versus the native plant, which is primarily
- 10 recreational.
- So I don't have pictures. You did see the
- 12 pictures last time that we brought some back. They haven't
- 13 changed.
- Our area of debris and then general fill in
- the fillsite 1/landfill 2 area, but we're working through
- 16 those risk base calculations to determine what the actual
- 17 exposures are given the recreation or native plant
- 18 environment.
- 19 Our plan, while we're getting the conceptual

```
pres7-14-09.txt
```

- 20 design done -- because we really do want to nail down other
- 21 issues for CEQA, what is going to be the access to these
- 22 sites, which is -- which is an issue.
- We are looking at all accesses trying to
- 24 avoid impacts to some of the residents by limiting access
- 25 up and down those streets. We're looking at temporary

3.0

- 1 construction -- construction of temporary road to Arguello.
- 2 We're looking at -- and I know Terri has
- 3 concerns about this -- taking Quarry Road all the way over
- 4 near landfill E, because we would then be improving that
- 5 road temporarily so that we'd come out, and either we could
- 6 actually come out -- I've asked my guys coming out on top
- 7 of landfill E to use that as a lay-down or storage area, or
- 8 we could just impact those homes, but there's fewer homes
- 9 there that we would be crossing in front of.
- that road is also -- because it's Quarry
- 11 Road, it's probably better built to handle trucks with
- 12 rocks in it than some of the other roads that we don't have
- 13 ratings for. So we're balancing all of those different
- 14 issues.
- We're keeping the playing field open, and
- 16 we're keeping Julius Kahn open at all times.
- 17 Probably the hikers and dog walkers will be
- 18 significantly impacted. They will not have access to the
- 19 area. So we want to address that.
- There's also about 200 trees that are going
- 21 to come down. Our Public Affairs folks have already been
- 22 working with Genevieve. There's outreach, and you're going

- 23 to see more and more as this moves forward, and we're
- 24 looking at having the tree removal happen at the end of the
- 25 year before | anuary 1st.

3.1

- So a significant number of trees will come
- 2 down, and it will be fairly dramatic.
- 3 We will only really be removing trees that we
- 4 need to to do the excavation of the waste, and sometimes if
- 5 there's additional plans that are going to be constructed
- 6 at the same time for natural resources, we will take those
- 7 trees down, too, but that's going to be a major impact.
- 8 Transportation, you know, is going to be a major impact.
- 9 Associated with all that tree removal, I'm
- 10 sure we're going to get comments on wind, so we're gearing
- 11 up to try to address that.
- 12 I'm drawing a little bit of a blank, but
- we're trying to get those things pretty much nailed in the
- 14 conceptual design to assess the impacts, and then set the
- 15 stage for moving immediately forward with our construction
- 16 documents.
- MS. NEWTON: So your goal is to have that
- 18 done by September?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. Basically have it --
- 20 writing draft RAPs and sharing all of that information so
- 21 that we can have a RAP that can go out for formal public
- 22 comment in October.
- So we don't have it like RAP4 where we were
- 24 over the holiday season and have an extended time period.
- 25 It's a challenge. There's absolutely no question about it.

Our schedule is challenged because it takes a

1

3 2

2 long time to run through, cross all the T's and dot all the 3 I's, but we're still on schedule to do construction 4 beginning in spring of 2010 on both these sites. That's 5 where we're at. 6 Others that I should let you know about. 7 Part of RAP4 was the CHP range, and even though again we are challenged schedule-wise, we are working away. 8 9 So in internal review is a more -- in internal review, I mean with the Trust and the Park Service 10 11 is now a more advanced set of plans and specifications. 12 Not yet ready to issue out for public review, 13 but we're working on the CHP range. We still have a target 14 construction in October extending into November, ending 15 before the -- we're hoping for an end of construction on 16 December 15th basically is how we're looking at it. 17 Challenges. A lot less material to remove. We're 18 estimating at the CHP range, which -- how's the best way to 19 describe it, Brian? East Battery? 20 21 MR. ULLENSVANG: It's below Battery East. 22 It's the picnic area. Many of you now, below the batteries 23 towards the bridge. MS. FANELLI: And the Highway Patrol shot 24 2.5 into the soil that's covering the historic bunker. So

- 1 we're estimating about 500 yards of soil coming off of that
- 2 bunker.
- 3 It will be processed or siffed to remove any
- 4 bullets that didn't explode, and then the bullets will be
- 5 handled separately from the ones that are not exploded.
- 6 The rest is -- soils will go off-site for
- 7 disposal, and then we'll bring back soil, reconstruct that
- 8 earthwork, get it all armored for the winter and ready for
- 9 replanting.
- Smaller project. We're estimating more of a
- 11 twenty, thirty-day construction period for that. Although
- 12 there will be some impacts to hikers in that area and users
- 13 of that area.
- 14 Likely when we're actually at the hauling
- 15 stage, we might have to close access for a few days. So
- 16 we're working through those issues now and discussing with
- 17 the Park Service to try to get it balanced the right way.
- 18 So that's ongoing.
- We have selected the contractor for landfill
- 20 E. The contractor named Geosyntec. They are a landfill
- 21 company. Primarily geotechnical engineers will be
- 22 completing the RAP for that site.
- 23 Similar -- and then doing the design
- 24 documents. When they do that, they'll take care of the
- 25 Barnard Avenue range, which is underlined, which will

- 1 likely have a little bit of sample.
- 2 I anticipate that they will have to do
- 3 limited sampling of that landfill for geotechnical Page 30

- 4 properties to do their analysis on stability and slope and 5 what not, and similar to what's happening at fillsite 1, we're working with Planning and Natural Resources, because 6 again the proposed land use on landfill E is a ballfield, 7 8 and then there will be some areas of native plant zones and 9 some stuff adjacent to it. 10 So that's just beginning. That construction is not targeted for 2010. It's targeted for 2011, but we 11 12 are still moving to make that happen, and then we have also 13 selected a contractor for Mountain Lake to complete the 14 Remedial Action Plan. That is URS. 1.5 They did some of the historical work out 16 there, and we're hoping to -- I think vou'll see the 17 Mountain Lake RAP before you'll see the landfill E RAP. So I should do a RAP sequence with the 18 schedule for when they'll be coming out. 19 20 MS. BLUM: Can you tell us what the contractor is for Mountain Lake? 21
- 22 MS. FANELLI: That's URS.
- MS. BLUM: URS.
- MS. NEWTON: URS.
- 25 MR. O'HARA: Has the -- since you've got a

- 1 contractor doing the RAP for Mountain Lake and the process,
- 2 have you settled the dispute with Caltrans?
- 3 MS. FANELLI: No. The dispute with Caltrans
- 4 is ongoing, and it's in -- you know, again, I can't -- at
- 5 this point now, I'm not even competent to describe where we
- 6 are at in the process, but I know we've been assigned a Page 31

### pres7-14-09.txt

- 7 judge and discovery is ongoing, and so we're going through
- 8 that legal process.
- 9 MR. O'HARA: But would you initiate actual
- 10 work on Mountain Lake prior to the time that you settle
- 11 your dispute with Caltrans?
- MS. FANELLI: Probably not.
- MR. O'HARA: Okay.
- MS. FANELLI: Right now, we're going through
- 15 the Remedial Action Plan, because that is endorsed by
- 16 Zurich, and so we know that those costs will be considered
- 17 allowable as long as they're reasonable and necessary, and
- 18 we feel once there's a RAP, that actually solves a lot of
- 19 questions that are being bantered a lot by the attorneys,
- 20 what is required by the regulatory agencies.
- Well, if we have a RAP that's approved, then
- 22 it's pretty clear what's required by the regulatory
- 23 agencies.
- MR. O'HARA: But you will shelf it until
- 25 such time as you resolve your issues with Caltrans?

- MS. FANELLI: At this point, probably,
- 2 but -- but, you know, that's sort of a business and a legal
- 3 strategy.
- 4 MR. O'HARA: Right.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: And so I'm not actually
- 6 thinking that far ahead.
- 7 MR. O'HARA: Okay.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Likely we're going to do all
- 9 sites that are clearly endorsed under the Zurich policy as Page 32

10 known sites. 11 To the extent that Mountain Lake is not 12 endorsed for actual construction, then I would not do it, 13 because then I'd be using the funds that we have -- I'm 14 actually precluded per my agreement with the Army to do 15 unknown site work with that funds -- with those funds until 16 we've completed the other sites. 17 We've done some unknown sites in the past I 18 know, and the way we've justified that or described that is 19 we were using the interest that we made on the Army money 20 to do those unknown sites, right? 21 So we haven't actually violated that 22 agreement with the Army. 23 Does that make sense? 24 MR. O'HARA: No. I understand. It's

just -- you don't want to do it twice. That was my only

25

37

1 concern. 2 MS. FANELLI: Right. 3 MR. O'HARA: Thank you. 4 MR. YOUNGKIN: When do you expect that RAP 5 coming out? MS. FANELLI: You know, I didn't bring the 6 7 schedule and I was working on it, but I anticipate that RAP 8 coming out in the new year. Not that far off. And I 9 anticipate the landfill E RAP being shortly thereafter, so 10 spring, spring of 2010. 11 MS. SEGAL: The new year as in calendar year 12 or fiscal year?

Page 33

- pres 7 14 09. txt 13 MS. FANELLI: Calendar year. Thank you. 14 MS. SEGAL: Thank you. 15 MS. FANELLI: And we are also doing 16 conceptual design at this level, mainly because we want to 17 make sure that we've addressed critical issues associated 18 with construction for the CEOA documentation and to have 19 enough of a framework that it's fairly clear what we're 20 doing, what we're building as we move into detailed design 21 after the RAP is approved, after the thirty percent RAP 22 phase. 23 I use thirty percent. It's something a 24 little bit more than a concept on a page. It actually 2.5 might have a little bit of analysis associated with it.
  - 38
- 1 You know what kind of spec sections you need and stuff like 2 that.
- 3 So that is my kind of brief presentation, and
- I'm really impressed with the AC grinder, and it apparently 4
- 5 was very quiet, which is really good for landfill 10. So
- I'm pleased with that. 6
- 7 I will have a lot more photos next month if
- 8 you don't cancel your August meeting of the construction,
- and --9
- 10 MR. YOUNGKIN: We wouldn't cancel the RAB
- 11 The committee meeting. meeting.
- 12 MS. FANELLI: What date is the RAB meeting
- 13 next?
- 14 MR. YOUNGKIN: I don't know.
- MS. SEGAL: It is August 11th. 15 Page 34

	pres7-14-09.txt	
16	MS. FANELLI: Okay. Good. And I'll see	
17	where Genevieve is in terms of and where the Planning	
18	Department is, if they're ready to release any of their	
19	conceptual drawings on fillsite 1/landfill 2.	
20	MR. YOUNGKIN: So landfill 2, I believe the	j
21	last month you were talking about trying to get through th	ı e
2 2	landfill and find the bottom of it or see how deep it was.	
2 3	MS. FANELLI: They did drill they did	
2 4	drill. I thought I had some pictures last month. We feel	
25	we have a fairly good handle now on the volume of the	
	-	3 9
1	material.	
2	Obviously we're doing our risk analysis, so	
3	getting those peripherals, those boundaries is still, you	
4	know, some question. We have to run those analysis and	
5	make sure.	
6	The only area where we feel we might have	
7	some uncertainty was one that Doug brought up. At the ver	у
8	lower end, if you will, the downgradient end of landfill 2	)
9	where it gets into wetland, it's very wooded and there's a	à

the whole site is not known of where it would be.

On landfill 2, we have some nasty stuff in

15 there, so we're anticipating that a -- chunks of that ash

little bit higher uncertainty of where the limits are.

and it's a little mucky and what not. But it's not like

Maybe this dumpsite filled out into that area

16 fill is going to go out as a RCRA hazardous waste

potentially.

10

11

12

Fillsite 1, the contaminants really are DAHs
Page 35

- 19 for the most part, and some metals. So not the same level
- 20 of -- of stuff as in landfill 2. There's still plenty of
- 21 debris, and sand fills, things that were dumped there that
- 22 we're assessing.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: Any other questions for
- 24 Eileen? Comments.
- Okay. Summit meeting report. It would be

- 1 nice if Doug were here to do that. Let me see. I took
- 2 notes.
- 3 So we did have a Summit meeting on June 29th
- 4 at the Golden Gate Club, and there was quite a few new
- 5 people there from various agencies. From Presidio Trust,
- 6 there was Eileen, Jeff Deis, Genevieve Coyle. From the
- 7 Park Service, Brian Ullensvang, George --
- 8 MR. ULLENSVANG: Turnbull.
- 9 MR. YOUNGKIN: Craig Kinkle and John Wesley.
- 10 There was quite a new crew of Park Service there. Frank
- 11 Dean is the new superintendent.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: He's the acting
- 13 superintendent for GGNRA. George Turnbull is a deputy
- 14 regional director. Craig Kinkle is our acting deputy
- 15 superintendent, and John Wesley, he's kind of on special
- 16 assignment to help us out. He's a deputy regional director
- 17 of the inner mountain region in Denver.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: With the Regional Water
- 19 Quality Control Board was Agnes and Alex Naugle.
- MS. FARRES: Mm-h mm.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: And then for DTSC it was Bob Page 36

## pres7-14-09.txt

- 22 Boggs and Denise Tsuji. Did I pronounce that right.
- MS. TSUJI: Tsuji.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: And so we started off with
- Doug did a little introduction, the purpose, goals history,

- 1 and then Eileen did a background of the program, and we
- 2 went through the -- she went through the insurance policies
- 3 and the resources available at the Presidio, impacts on
- 4 schedule changes and cleanup costs, et cetera.
- 5 She kind of did an overview of the whole
- 6 program, mostly for the new people there.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: You make it sound like it was
- 8 a little painful.
- 9 MR. YOUNGKIN: No, no. That was the easy
- 10 part.
- 11 Let's see. And then Genevieve Coyle gave a
- 12 rundown of the RAP5 and --
- MS. FANELLI: Fillsite 1/landfill 2.
- 14 MR. YOUNGKIN: Fillsite 1/landfill 2 and the
- 15 progress that's being made on that, and then we had a
- 16 discussion after lunch of scheduling and time, I guess you
- 17 could call it. Basically what are the -- there's a lot of
- 18 pressure now to move things ahead quickly and the
- 19 schedule's getting tight.
- A lot of people are having a lot of demands
- 21 put on their time and resources, and there was a general
- 22 discussion about how to accommodate the next RAPs that are
- 23 going to be coming out in the next year or so.
- I think there was basically an understanding Page 37

25 to work together to try to figure out how to accommodate

- 1 everybody's needs and schedule demands and resources, and
- 2 that's kind of an ongoing process.
- I don't think that was all resolved at this
- 4 meeting, but everybody kind of pledged to work to resolve
- 5 that in the future.
- 6 I know that was way too quick a summary, but
- 7 does anyone want to fill in anything?
- 8 MS. SEGAL: Did you discuss having Summit
- 9 meetings quarterly or how often or the need for them?
- 10 Anything about that?
- MR. YOUNGKIN: I'm not quite sure how that
- 12 came out.
- 13 MR. ULLENSVANG: Yeah, we did, and correct
- 14 me if I'm wrong. I may have a different impression, but I
- 15 qot the impression that there was a move toward having one
- 16 in the fall, near or before the end of construction of
- 17 landfill 10.
- MS. SEGAL: Okay. Thanks.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: September, I believe.
- 20 Tentatively Summit meeting September '09.
- MS. FANELLI: It would be for the RAP for
- 22 fillsite 1/landfill 2. So technically two items.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: There was a lot of new faces
- 24 at the meeting, new managers in all departments. DTSC's
- been going through a reorganization and hiring some people.

```
1 They have --
```

- 2 MS. TSUJI: I made my offer and they said
- 3 yes.
- 4 MR. YOUNGKIN: So there's a lot of work
- 5 being done to try to stay on schedule and move things
- 6 forward here.
- 7 Any other questions on the Summit meeting?
- 8 Great. Moving on to item number 6,
- 9 regulatory agency status updates and inputs. Robert Boggs.
- Anything from your department?
- 11 MR. BOGGS: Well, I would just introduce
- 12 everybody to Denise, my new supervisor. She also works
- 13 with -- we've been organizing the team, so we have a
- 14 service team, and so she also works with the San Francisco
- 15 team, as well.
- 16 MS. TSU|I: I'll pass out my business card.
- 17 It has my contact information.
- MS. SEGAL: Good to hear a state agency is
- 19 actually hiring somebody. Good.
- MS. TSUJI: We're on furlough, but we're
- 21 hiring. Go figure. But it is my intent to bring in a more
- 22 seasoned staff person to work on the Presidio project.
- MS. BLUM: Denise, do you go to the Summit
- 24 meetings yourself?
- 25 MS. TSUJI: I did attend the one you had --

1 I'm losing track of time -- the other day. It is my intent Page 39

```
pres7-14-09.txt
     to not do day-to-day management, but I will keep tabs on it
 2
 3
     and attend meetings such as is appropriate.
 4
                   It gives me an idea of what's going on and I
     can help Bob and Ryan pull the right resources. That's
 5
 6
     mainly my role, and pull my quys back in from this
 7
     direction
 8
                   But please, feel free to call any time,
 9
     e-mail me. I eventually get back to you.
10
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
                                  lulie.
11
                   MS. CHEEVER: | ust to help us understand the
12
     organization better, it says: "Unit chief."
                   What is the definition of "unit"?
13
14
                   MS. TSUII: I have a group of -- how many do
15
     I have now? Five, six staff people who are project
16
     managers like Bob. They have projects they're responsible
     for, and we're kind of moving toward a team concept where
17
18
     they're more autonomous, not so much of the old hierarchy
     of according to the supervisor and the manager to get the
19
```

- 21 Kind of allow the project manager along with
- 22 the supporting program folks such as the geologists,
- 23 toxicologists to work and come to conclusion on the
- 24 project.

sian-offs.

20

25 My role is mainly making sure that the

- department policy works correctly and to try to adhere to,
- 2 I guess, is a way of putting it, but also encourage the
- 3 staff to think outside the box.
- 4 We do have a process. We were a bureaucracy,

```
pres7-14-09.txt
     but within that is some wiggle room to make sure they go
 5
 6
     better, faster, more efficiently.
 7
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: So part of the reorganization
 8
     was -- in your unit is not just military?
 9
                   MS. TSUII: I have military sites as well as
     private site cleanup. I came to this group from a private
10
     site cleanup. Prior to doing that, I came over from the
11
12
     enforcement site, so I have both.
13
                   MS. NEWTON: What side.
14
                   MS. TSUII: The RCRA, hazardous waste
15
     facility, the compliance, enforcement.
16
                   But I'm new to you all in this project, but
17
     I'm one of the old-timers at the department. I won't say
18
     how old. That will give away how old I really Am. Put it
19
     this way: Early '80s. I was one of the first folks out of
20
     the Berkeley office.
21
                   MS. PASSERO:
                                 That's not that old.
22
                   MS. TSUII: Age is relative.
23
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
                                  Welcome to the Presidio.
24
                   MS. TSUJI: Thank you.
25
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: Anything else, Bob?
                                                              46
 1
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                No.
 2
                   MS. FANELLI: We've got to thank Agnes.
 3
     have a closure on the commissary P/X. It just came in on
 4
     Monday.
                   MS. FARRES: And I want to add that as
 5
     Denise and Bob mentioned, the governor has mandated a third
 6
```

furlough day, so most state agencies are closed the second,

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
     third -- first, second and third Fridays of each month, and
 8
 9
     there's rumors about a fourth furlough day, so that's a
10
     constraint in us getting our work done.
                   MS. SEGAL: I have a question about the
11
12
     Commissary P/X site, which is sports basement now.
13
                   Every once in a while in the paper, they keep
14
     talking about -- are they on a short-term lease, the Sports
15
     Basement? They keep talking about it being something else.
16
                   MS. FANELLI: I honestly don't have an
17
     answer.
18
                   MS. SEGAL:
                                Okav.
                   MS. FANELLI: I don't know what their lease
19
20
     is like.
21
                   MS. BLUM:
                               A five-year lease.
22
                   MS. SEGAL: Is that all?
23
                   MS. NEWTON: Doing some cleanup issues?
24
                   MS. SEGAL:
                                No. There's cleanup around the
2.5
     site. When they moved in, they knew that was going to
                                                              47
 1
     happen.
 2
                   MS. FANELLI: It was a petroleum site
 3
     cleanup.
 4
                   MS. NEWTON: Prior to them moving in or
 5
     subsequent to their moving in?
 6
                   MS. FANELLI: Subsequent, yeah.
 7
                   MS. NEWTON:
                                 But it's done now.
 8
                   MS. SEGAL: Little things keep is talking
     about the Sports Basement site.
 9
```

Page 42

MS. FANELLI: Part of the complication is

```
pres7-14-09.txt

they're going to be impacted by Doyle Drive.

MS. SEGAL: Right.

MS. FANELLI: Although I don't think that

anything happens to that building, unless there's another
```

- 15 development plan in the future.16 MS. FARRES: So we have nothing to do with
- 17 that.
- MS. SEGAL: Okay.
- MS. MONAGHAN: I always thought that there
- 20 was still petroleum under the parking lot and under the
- 21 building. We talked about that.
- MS. FANELLI: We have a land use control.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
- 24 MS. FANELLI: The residual contamination
- 25 and -- Agnes might know better, because she just read all

- $1 \qquad \hbox{those documents -- some metals and maybe some residual} \\$
- 2 petroleum.
- 3 MS. FARRES: Right.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Well, there isn't a human
- 5 health risk, but the land use control is maintain the
- 6 cover, and there was specific requirement that there was
- 7 plans in the past for potentially Crissy Field Marsh
- 8 expansion in that.
- 9 MS. MONAGHAN: Right.
- 10 MS. FANELLI: If that is to happen, the soil
- 11 does not meet eco cleanup standards, and so there would
- 12 have to be additional work if that happened.
- MS. FARRES: Okay.

```
pres7-14-09.txt
SSERO: Would that then -- would that
14
                   MS. PASSERO:
15
     decision be made following what happens with Doyle Drive?
16
     Is there a time frame for making that kind of decision?
17
                   MS. FANELLI: Is it going become -- at this
18
     point, there's no -- as far as I know, it's not becoming a
     marsh, and I don't know what would trigger that decision or
19
20
     when.
21
                   MS. THOMAS:
                                 At this point in time, there
22
     aren't any plans for that area.
23
                   MS. PASSERO:
                                  Okay.
24
                   MS. SEGAL: So back to Agnes' work, so how
25
     many sites are still left for the petroleum sign-off?
                                                               49
                   MS. FARRES: That needs closure?
 1
 2
                   MS. SEGAL:
                                Yes.
                   MS. FARRES: I don't have a good --
 3
                   MS. SEGAL:
                                About --
 4
 5
                   MS. FARRES: Well, according to GeoTracker,
 6
     which is our database for tracking these sites, I have
 7
     something like 45.
 8
                   MS. SEGAL:
                               Left?
 9
                   MS. FARRES: Left. Out of 150 or something.
10
                   MS. SEGAL:
                               There are no tanks that still
11
     have to be pulled?
12
                   MS. FARRES: I don't think so.
13
                   MS. FANELLI: We find tanks periodically,
14
     and when we do, then we assess it and then we pull them.
                   MS. FARRES: But most of the tanks that I'm
15
```

dealing with were pulled by the Army, and we're going

## pres7-14-09.txt

- 17 through the closure process.
- MS. FANELLI: We are still on target. We
- 19 hope to have basically all sites submitted for closure by
- 20 the end of the calendar year, with the exception of 207/
- 21 231 for petroleum, and we're still on track for that.
- The outliers might be tanks. It just seems
- 23 to take a long time to get that tank data pulled together,
- 24 and there are still several that we need to pull together
- 25 the closure documentation for.

- 1 MR. YOUNGKIN: Okay. Any other questions
- 2 for Agnes?
- Okay. Moving on to new business. I can't
- 4 think of any new business.
- 5 Anybody have any new business?
- 6 MR. KETCHAM: I'm Jim Ketcham, and I had
- 7 contacted you and Doug asking to potentially join the
- 8 Advisory Board, and I come here tonight with an
- 9 application, and I guess I'll just introduce myself. So
- 10 maybe this is the time to do that.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: Good time to do that.
- 12 Welcome. If you'd just briefly say a few words about
- 13 yourself, where you live and what your background is.
- MR. KETCHAM: I live just off the Presidio
- on 6th Avenue between California and Lake, and we've lived
- 16 there for twenty plus years.
- 17 I'm the athletic director at University High
- 18 School. I've been involved with the Presidio going back to
- 19 2002 when I was head of San Francisco Little League, and we

```
pres 7 - 14 - 09. txt
     sort of wrote a request to the Presidio to consider
20
21
     development of Pop Hicks Field or redevelop Pop Hicks Field
22
     as a Little League ballfield because we were so short of
23
    fields.
24
                   Since then, I've been involved with the
2.5
     Presidio, usually advocating for recreational uses in the
                                                               51
     Presidio.
1
 2
                   University's the leaseholder of a ballfield
 3
     in the Presidio, so I'd be very interested in that. I'm
 4
     very interested in Pop Hicks and various recreational sites
 5
     around the Presidio.
                   We use Crissy Field, and we love the Presidio
 6
 7
     for lots and lots of reasons, and I wanted to join the
 8
     board simply to keep myself more updated and potentially
9
     provide input on things from time to time.
10
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: Okay. Thanks for coming.
```

- 11 You brought your application with you?
- MR. KETCHAM: Yes.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: Any questions for Jim for
- 14 anything? Great.
- Okay. Item number 8, review of action items.
- 16 Does anybody have any action items? I don't seem to
- 17 remember any from this meeting.
- Agenda items for upcoming meetings. Well,
- 19 the next meeting is the Planning Committee Meeting on the
- 20 fourth Tuesday, and there had been some talk about doing
- 21 the landfill 2, fillsite 1 data sort of review, laying out
- 22 the big maps and just going over the data at the committee

- 23 meeting.
- MS. FANELLI: I can certainly provide you
- 25 with the data that we have, and I think it's not any more

- 1 than what's already been provided, but I could certainly
- 2 make it available.
- 3 MR. YOUNGKIN: Big maps.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: I won't have Genevieve. And
- 5 Genevieve is the project manager. I don't know how she
- 6 does it, but she's in law school at night. But I can have
- 7 that data available and certainly field any questions that
- 8 you have on it.
- 9 MR. YOUNGKIN: Okay. Is that something that
- 10 people would be interested in?
- MS. MONAGHAN: Mm-h mm.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: The other thing hanging was
- 13 sort of the financial report, just lay them out and look
- 14 through them, just sort of talk about how they work. We
- 15 could do that the second half of the committee meeting.
- MS. FANELLI: So if you -- if you have
- 17 questions on the last quarterly report that has the
- 18 finances in it, I'm certainly happy to field any questions
- 19 or explanation.
- 20 MR. YOUNGKIN: Second quarter?
- MS. FANELLI: The second quarter. We're
- 22 preparing the third quarter now. You all remember because
- 23 you brought it up, but I have to make sure whether it's
- 24 referring to calendar year or fiscal year.
- 25 It -- the fiscal year ends September 30th.

```
1 We start our fiscal year October 1st. We're preparing our
```

- 2 fourth quarter now, which ends September 30th.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: We'll have that for the next
- 4 meeting.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Please prepare any questions
- 6 that you have. Maybe I can make it clear in the picture
- 7 with better documents.
- 8 MR. YOUNGKIN: Okay. I don't have any
- 9 agenda item for the next RAB meeting.
- MS. SEGAL: If there's any kind of follow-
- 11 up on the tree removal.
- MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- MS. SEGAL: You know, when it might happen,
- 14 because we looked at that -- was that area of the Monterey
- 15 pines that we looked at.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: Over landfill 10?
- MS. SEGAL: Is that where --
- MR. YOUNGKIN: They're pines.
- 19 MS. SEGAL: I think they're pines. I can't
- 20 remember.
- MS. FANELLI: That's actually not --
- 22 MS. SEGAL: That's not what you were talking
- 23 about.
- 24 MS. FANELLI: The tree removals for the
- 25 fillsite 1/landfill 2 was the one that we were talking

- 1 about. And that will be a significant removal, and I
- 2 expect some public comment concerns.
- MS. SEGAL: For sure.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Because it will change the
- 5 vista a fair amount.
- 6 MS. BLUM: Do you have a date where they're
- 7 going to put a shovel into the ground for Doyle Drive? I
- 8 know there will be a huge tree removal. People need to
- 9 know in advance.
- 10 MS. THOMAS: It starts August 1st.
- 11 MS. BLUM: There will be hundreds of trees.
- 12 That will be very easy to observe and pretty shocking for
- 13 most people, I think.
- 14 That will be probably more recognizable
- 15 than -- well, maybe it will be --
- MS. FANELLI: If you live on Washington
- 17 overlooking Julius Kahn.
- 18 MS. BLUM: I don't know which one will be
- more vocal, Julius Kahn or the Presidio Parkway, but that's
- 20 -- that's going to be enormous. I think it's going to be
- 21 300 trees.
- MS. PASSERO: Really? Wow.
- MS. BLUM: And upward.
- MS. NEWTON: So it's more than the other
- 25 site.

- 1 MS. BLUM: It's going to be humongous.
- MS. NEWTON: 300 big trees?
- 3 MS. BLUM: This is for the rebuild. It's Page 49

4 almost a billion dollar project. So it's going to be big. 5 MS. FANELLI: I don't have a detailed 6 schedule, but I know that utility relocate is starting right on the heels of that. 7 8 So I would imagine construction almost any 9 moment on utility relocate before they do the -- so they 10 have to take care of all the utilities in the existing corridor before they can start work. They have to get them 11 12 out of the way, so they have to reroute them. 13 That's going to happen, and the first 14 contract is the high viaduct by Calvary stable, so they'll 1.5 be doing tree removal. 16 MS. SEGAL: There was a sign at the Crissy 17 Field Center about the closure work. I can't actually 18 remember. 19 Does anybody know? 20 MS. BLUM: I think that's the relocation of Crissy Field Center, which is going to go over to the beach 21 22 area --23 MS. SEGAL: Where the Doyle Drive --

24 MS. BLUM: -- for the Doyle Drive

disruption. 2 5

56

1 MS. SEGAL: Is that happening this summer? 2 MS. BLUM: It's starting now. To August 3 1st. 4 MR. ULLENSVANG: It's already started. If you go there, you can see it and talk to the information 5 contact people that we have out there. 6

Page 50

- 7 I believe that the summer program is 8 uninterrupted, and that the fall program is somewhat abbreviated, and then by the next year, they'll renew, and 9 10 those will be portable buildings that they'll be bringing 11 in. 12 MS. SEGAL: It's sort of high season. There's always seasonal --13 14 MR. ULLENSVANG: The summer programs. 15 MS. SEGAL: Thanks. 16 MR. YOUNGKIN: Michelle. 17 MS. PASSERO: I was just thinking it would 18 be great to have an update at the meeting in August about 19 the disposition of the human remains. 20 MR. YOUNGKIN: Similar to tonight, just kind 21 of run through all of the --22 MS. FANELLI: Right. This is construction 23 season, so I'll have more pictures and be able to show you 24 what's going on.
- MS. SEGAL: Actually you said something

1 good, you know, reinterring the bones in the fill, so maybe

- 2 that whole process, how it's done, when --
- 3 MS. FANELLI: Okay. So you've met Eric
- 4 Blind. He's actually come to meetings in the past. He is
- 5 the trust person who's kind of taking charge of this, but
- 6 if he can't come, I'll certainly get an update from him to
- 7 give to you about how that's being handled.
- 8 MS. SEGAL: I mean, I think the concern of
- 9 the RAB previously, and Doug certainly said that anything Page 51

- 10 that's done is done in a respectful kind of way that
- 11 recognizes that bones are in the fill.
- MS. FANELLI: Right. Well, I mean, these
- 13 bones are not -- what's the word? Disarticulated. They're
- 14 obviously not associated with a body anymore.
- MS. SEGAL: Exactly.
- MS. FANELLI: So they will be held together.
- 17 They'll do whatever they need to do to document what's
- 18 happened to the records, and then I imagine it may be as
- 19 simple as digging a hole and putting them back in and
- 20 putting the fill back on top.
- MS. PASSERO: I mean, if there's stuff, like
- 22 bigger than what was originally thought or -- you know, how
- 23 does that affect the --
- MS. FANELLI: If we hit a whole casket, that
- 25 will be dramatic and it will probably change things a

- 1 little bit.
- MS. NEWTON: What?
- MS. FANELLI: If we hit a whole casket. If
- 4 we find feet and see the knees, we'd want to change our
- 5 plan so as not to disturb that body.
- 6 When they're disarticulated, there's a bone
- 7 here or there.
- 8 MS. PASSERO: Can there be contaminations
- 9 associated with it?
- 10 MS. FANELLI: Yeah. It's not like there's
- 11 any stuff attached to it. The fill itself has the metals
- 12 in it and the PAHs, but like the bone you saw was pretty Page 52

- 13 old and clean. There was nothing attached to it, you know,
- 14 from a boot standpoint.
- 15 I've worked in places where you have a tar
- 16 waste. It's kind of liquid and tar. This bones we get are
- 17 probably clean pretty well. It's not a contaminated item
- 18 by itself.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: These were three bones in
- 20 three separate locations?
- 21 MS. FANELLI: Basically. Three bones, but
- three separate locations on the surface.
- MS. BLUM: Do you date them?
- MS. FANELLI: No. I don't think so.
- MS. BLUM: No testing? We don't know

- 1 whether they're recent murders or old graves?
- 2 MS. FANELLI: The specialists make the call.
- 3 If it was a recent body, then the coroner definitely would
- 4 be there. It would probably be cordoned off.
- 5 It's in that area close to the -- that oak
- 6 tree, so where the trail kind of came around and turned.
- 7 It's in an area where bones were encountered in the past.
- 8 MR. YOUNGKIN: Okay. Any other comments,
- 9 closing remarks?
- 10 MS. MONAGHAN: I had a -- we had talked
- 11 about getting new fresh copies of the plans showing where
- 12 the contamination is with the streets. | ulian had asked
- 13 for that last month, and I just thought I'd bring it up
- 14 again.
- MS. FANELLI: I spaced from last month. I Page 53

# pres7-14-09.txt

16	apologize. So	you war	nt a ma	p of t	he reme	diation si	tes that
17	have the stree	et names?	•				
18		MS. MONA	AGHAN:	Yeah	l.		
19		MS. FANE	LLI:	Let m	ne see w	hat I can	do.
2 0		MR. YOUN	IGKIN:	Anyt	hing el	s e ?	
2 1		Without	o bject	ion, m	neeting	adjourned.	. Thank
2 2	you.						
2 3		(The mee	eting a	djourn	ed at 8	22 PM).	
2 4				000			
2 5							
							6 0
							0.0
1	STATE OF CALIF	ORNI A	)				
2	COUNTY OF SAN		•				
3	37.11	T KKNIGT O	,				
4	I, t	he under	signed	l, here	by cert	ify that t	: h e
5	discussion in	the fore	egoing	meetin	g was t	aken at th	ne time
6	and place ther	ein stat	ed; th	at the	forego	ing is a f	full,
	true and compl	ete reco	rd of	said m	natter.		
7	Ιfι	irther ce	ertify	that I	am not	of counse	el or
8	attorney for e	either or	any o	fthe	parties	in the fo	oregoing
9	meeting and ca	ption na	med, o	rina	ny way	nterested	d in
10	the outcome of	the cau	ıse nan	ne din	said act	tion.	
11							
12							
13						WHEREOF, I	
14						t my hand	
15						of	'
16				2009			
17							
18			Pag	Mark e 54	I. Bri	ckman CSR	No. 5527

2 4

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	
3	
4	ORIGINAL
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	TUESDAY, AUGUST 11, 2009
17	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
18	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR License No. 5527
25	
	Page 1

1	ATTENDEES
2	RAB Members:
3	Doug Kern, Facilitator
4	Mark Youngkin Eileen Fanelli
5	Brian Ullensvang Bob Boggs
6	Medi Sunga Agnes Farres
7	Peter O'Hara Jan Blum
	Jan Monaghan
8	Gloria Gee Julian Hultgren
9	Julie Cheever Michelle Passero
10	Edward Callanan John Chester
11	Barbara Newton Toni Kramer
12	TOTIL Klamer
13	
14	000
15	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
16	the Meeting, and on August 11, 2009, at the Officer's Club,
17	Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California, before
18	me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of California,
19	there commenced a RAB meeting under the provisions of the
20	Presidio Trust.
21	000
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	Page 2

1	AGENDA		
2		Page	
3	1) Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern	4	
4	2) Agenda Discussion and Approval	4	
5	3) Announcements and Old Business	4	
6	4) Committee Business		
7	A. Planning Committee Report - Mark Youngkin	4	
8	5) Reports & Discussions		
9	A. Mountain Lake Update	6	
10	B. Landfill 8 & 10 Construction Update	10	
11	C. Fillsite 1/Landfill 2 Data Request	29	
12	D. 207/231 Update	20	
13	6) Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs		
14	A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC -	38	
15	B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB -	40	
16	7) New Business - None		
17	8) Review of Action Items	49	
18	9) Agenda Items for Upcoming Meetings	49	
19	10) Adjournment	51	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			Page 3

FACILITATOR KERN: Welcome, everyone. 1 is the regularly scheduled meeting of the Presidio 2 Restoration Advisory Board. Welcome to everyone. I'd like 3 to welcome tonight the Presidio Trust, our regulators from the Water Board, DTSC, and the Park Service. 5 I'd also like to welcome all of the community 6 RAB members that are here. I don't see any members of the 7 public, but welcome everybody tonight. 8 Hopefully everyone has picked up an agenda. 9 Are there any additions, changes to the 10 agenda? 11 I would like to throw on as a late addition 12 to item 5D a little update on building 207/231. We can 13 14 talk about that briefly. Without objection, we'll move on to 15 16 announcements. Are there any announcements? 17 Any new business? Good. 18 On to Mark for our Planning Committee report. 19 MR. YOUNGKIN: Okay. We had our Planning 20 Committee meeting on July 28th. We're meeting in building 21 67 now for all of you who haven't been there yet. 22 So we started off with a discussion of a 23 construction update that's going on now, and I think we're 24 going to cover that again tonight, talked about landfill 8, 25 Page 4

- 1 lessingia excavation.
- 2 Moved on to landfill 10 and Mountain Lake
- 3 overflow site, the videotaping of that, shear key plans,
- 4 the Weinstock property and the issues around the sampling
- 5 there.
- Then we moved on to discussion of RAP 5A,
- 7 which is landfill -- landfill 2 and fillsite 1, and we
- 8 talked about some additional risk assessment at landfill 1,
- 9 went over some of the data, laid out the big maps and
- 10 looked at the data for landfill 2 and discussed where the
- 11 samples were and what some of the results were on that, and
- 12 we ended up -- we ended the meeting up with the discussion
- 13 of the financial report and went over the second quarter
- 14 financial report, just an overview of how it works and
- 15 what's on that report.
- 16 Can you add anything?
- 17 FACILITATOR KERN: We did. We got into a
- 18 little bit of the history, and Eileen offered that we still
- 19 haven't made that connection, but a couple of us might come
- 20 with you to get more in detail on some of the financial
- 21 reporting. That's kind of where that was left.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: Our next Planning Committee
- 23 meeting is August 25th, I believe, Tuesday night. Last
- 24 year we cancelled that committee meeting because of
- 25 expected lack of attendance.

```
Now this year -- Doug and I weren't going to
1
    be at that meeting last year. This year we are going to be
2
     at this meeting, so there's no compelling reason to cancel
3
     it unless there's a general feeling that attendance will be
4
     low; probably will be.
5
                   So think about it, and when we get to the end
6
    of the meeting, we can decide if we want to go ahead with
7
           The next Planning Committee meeting is an August
8
9
     25th.
                   Okay. Thank you.
10
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Thanks, Mark.
11
                   So tonight we're going to talk about these
12
     four things and hopefully other items, Mountain Lake,
13
     landfill 8 and 10, fillsite 1, landfill 2 and building
14
     207-231. These are just the projects that are kind of out
15
     front and center and we're just nudging them along trying
16
     to make sure that we're paying attention to them.
17
                   So we'll start with Mountain Lake. There's a
18
     few items with Mountain Lake, and I -- I guess one of them
19
     is the -- there have been some legal documents released,
20
     and those hopefully got passed around to everybody, and .
21
     those say in them that there's going to be a mediation, I
22
     quess, later this year, I think in October.
23
                   Does that sound right, Eileen?
24
                   MS. FANELLI: I actually am not sure what
25
```

to do.

documents you're referring to, to be honest with you. 1 if you could educate me which ones. 2 3 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, we got some court documents that just showed various dates, like when the 4 trial will begin. 5 MS. CHEEVER: Just for clarification, it was 6 7 called a case management order --MS. FANELLI: Right. 8 MS. CHEEVER: -- which was set by the judge 9 setting future dates --10 11 MS. FANELLI: Right. 12 MS. CHEEVER: -- which he ordered after a case management conference. 13 MS. FANELLI: I actually am not familiar 14 with the details of the case management order, although I 15 16 am familiar with it, that we're responding to it and 17 working with the judge on it. So I actually don't know if there is going to 18 be a mediation or not --19 20 FACILITATOR KERN: Oh. MS. FANELLI: -- or if it's been scheduled. 21 22 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay. MS. FANELLI: I don't think it's not 23 anticipated if that's what the court asks us or directs us 24

1	FACILITATOR KERN: Bob, are you hearing
2	anything about that from your side of things?
3	MR. BOGGS: Not as far as how that case is
4	going or case management order, but we my agency has
5	been served subpoenas for documents related to Mountain
6	Lake, so we're rapidly going through every e-mail, every
7	document to get all the records necessary for the subpoena.
8	FACILITATOR KERN: Have you heard anything
9	about Mountain Lake?
10	MS. FARRES: No, I haven't.
11	. FACILITATOR KERN: Brian, your agency?
12	MR. ULLENSVANG: We're part of the Federal
13	Government probe. We've already submitted documents.
14	FACILITATOR KERN: I see. So it looks like
15	there are preparations for future legal actions, perhaps.
16	At least discovery.
17	Any questions from anybody about I know
18	it's vague.
19	MS. CHEEVER: Well, the other important
20	point is that document set a trial date
21	FACILITATOR KERN: Right.
22	MS. CHEEVER: which is very far away.
23	FACILITATOR KERN: It's like 2011.
24	MS. CHEEVER: Right.
25	MR. BOGGS: I guess I had a question of the
	Page 8

- 1 Trust, if moving Mountain Lake up in priority is -- into a
- 2 sooner RAP is related to that at all.
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah, it is. We think that
- 4 it's -- it helps everybody to understand the issues and
- 5 have an agreed upon remedy for the site.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Bob, I've been elected to
- 7 ask if you wanted to introduce your guest.
- MR. BOGGS: You know, I was thinking of
- 9 maybe doing that under section 6, but now is as good a time
- 10 as any.
- This is Medi. She's a chemical engineer.
- 12 She's going to be helping out on the project. She's got
- over twenty years' experience, working on Treasure Island
- 14 and we're going to see how much work we can pile on her.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: Welcome.
- John, you had a question.
- 17 MR. CHESTER: Was it called the case
- 18 management order?
- MS. CHEEVER: Yeah.
- MR. CHESTER: I saw the e-mail, but I can't
- 21 recall.
- 22 Was it specific to specific -- an issue
- 23 or -- because there's a couple issues we have with Mountain
- 24 Lake, right? And was this focused on the highway or the --
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: I think it's the

Page 9

```
Caltrans --
 1
 2
                   MR. CHESTER: The Caltrans road, right.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- issue.
 3
                                  And the runoff --
                   MR. CHESTER:
 4
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Well --
 5
                   MR. CHESTER: -- or --
 6
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- it's my recollection
     from reading it it didn't really specify that in this
 8
     particular case management, so I can't really answer that
 9
10
     question.
                  MS. CHEEVER: Well, the point of the whole
11
12
     lawsuit -- I can't remember all the issues in the lawsuit,
     but whatever the issues in the lawsuit, that's the subject
13
     of the mediations and the trial.
14
15
                   MR. CHESTER: Okay.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: So it's my understanding
16
    that there are two lawsuits, one with Zurich and one with
17
     Caltrans. So I -- I guess it would cover the whole scope
18
     of --
19
20
                  MR. CHESTER: Okay.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- it.
21
22
                  MR. CHESTER:
                                  Thanks.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Other thoughts or
23
     questions? I'm going to move ahead slowly.
24
25
                   Landfill 8 and 10 construction update. I
```

24

occurred.

```
drove by on the way out. It looks like landfill 10 is
 1
 2
     heavily scraped and --
 3
                   MS. FANELLI: I have some photos for you.
 4
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Oh, goodie.
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: I actually have a little bit
 6
     on all of your topics, and actually this is -- I don't have
7
     anything on CHP range construction, but I do have a little
 8
     bit of Mountain Lake, at least our schedule for the RAP.
9
     Okay.
10
                   So these are since the last time we met.
11
     These are a few weeks old now, but this is where we on
     landfill 8 completed the excavation of three feet around
12
13
     the lessingia area.
14
                   You can see the lessingia area is protected
15
     with the orange fencing. That material that is removed
16
     from that corner was placed over into the center of the
17
     landfill 8 and graded.
18
                   The parking -- the temporary parking on the
19
     end of landfill 8 was completed, and that allowed the
20
     current contractors parking at landfill 10 to move off of
21
     landfill 10 and release it to us. So that's their parking
22
     area, and -- and then our contractor did begin moving sand
```

We moved to landfill 10, so the top photo

Page 11

from graded area 9 to landfill 8 where the excavations had

- 1 there, you can see a view of the slope. The netting has
- 2 been removed and you can see some of the very large tree
- 3 stumps that were sticking out of it, and the contractor
- 4 began to clear, grub and cut that slope back.
- 5 You can see more of an action shot down the
- 6 lower portion where there's a dozer pushing material down,
- 7 excavator up and top and beginning to move the material.
- 8 You can see the size of some of the tree
- 9 stumps that we pulled out of the slope. They're quite
- 10 large, so they've been a little bit of a challenge, but I
- 11 believe we've overcome that at this point, and you can see
- 12 the concrete.
- There was a fair amount of concrete that's
- 14 been pulled up out of the parking lot itself and stockpiled
- 15 and getting ready for us to crush it, and that crushed
- 16 material is what we're going to try to use obviously as our
- 17 ag base underneath the new parking. The tree stumps will
- 18 be hauled off-site.
- And then there's just a general picture of
- 20 the -- of the graded lot. I haven't been out there too
- 21 much myself personally. I've been out there a little bit.
- 22 My impression is that things are going pretty well.
- 23 They're pretty much on schedule, and so far the job's been
- 24 pretty clean.
- We haven't had any complaints really from the

Page 12

- 1 neighbors or anything, about dust or any of those types of
- 2 things.
- I think that might be the last of the
- 4 pictures. I do have a lot more. I wanted to make it a
- 5 more robust picture show today, but I was busy. Ryan threw
- 6 them on there for me.
- 7 Over the next three weeks, we're going to
- 8 continue our landfill 10 slope base grading and put that
- 9 fill material up on the top deck, and Jan said to me today
- 10 that you were down there in the creek area south looking up
- 11 and you're beginning to see the change in elevation of the
- 12 top deck.
- So I think that that's going to change pretty
- 14 quickly.
- They're working on the shear toe beginning
- 16 next week. The materials have been ordered for that and
- 17 are being prepared and delivered, and they've already done
- 18 some of that clearing and grubbing.
- When they finish that, they're going to begin
- 20 to place the cover soil on the -- on the slope itself. So
- 21 that's the next three weeks' worth of work we anticipate
- 22 happening.
- 23 If you are interested or at any point in time
- 24 you want to see the site, it is fairly well controlled.
- 25 There are five -- four or five different contractors

- 1 working on there. Give Ryan Seilbach a call and I'm sure
- 2 he can make arrangements to get you safe access to see
- 3 what's going on.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: One particularly good
- 5 place to view it is if you go off of Lake Street and then
- 6 on 16th, you can just walk to the end of the street. You
- 7 can see the whole site. That's a pretty -- pretty good
- 8 view.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: Okay. So that's what I had on
- 10 landfill 10 and 8.
- 11 MS. CHEEVER: I have a question about
- 12 landfill 10. I, too, have walked around there lately, and
- 13 speaking of concrete debris which you mentioned from the
- 14 parking lot, there's also some in and next to landfill 10.
- 15 At the bottom of the slope, there's some
- 16 loose pieces of concrete. I'm wondering what will happen
- 17 to those, and if you look at the slope, you see pieces of
- 18 concrete embedded in it.
- 19 Is there any chance that those might be taken
- 20 out?
- MS. FANELLI: They'll be taken out as the
- 22 slope is cut.
- MS. CHEEVER: I see.
- MS. FANELLI: But if it's in the slope, as
- 25 long as it's not interfering with getting our slope

```
1
     compaction and our cover soil, it will likely stay in
 2
     place.
 3
                   If it needs to be removed, it will be pulled
     out and then the slope patched.
 4
 5
                   MS. CHEEVER: And then the loose pieces at
 6
     the bottom --
 7
                   MS. FANELLI: Those will be picked up.
                   MS. CHEEVER: Okay.
 8
 9
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I had a question back on
10
     landfill 8 with the bone discovery.
11
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Mm-hmm.
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       I think I mentioned to
```

- 15 MS. FANELLI: Oh.
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: So I'm just wondering if

you that I was interested in following up, and I sent Eric

17 you ever found out what happened to the bones.

an e-mail, but he didn't respond at all.

- MS. FANELLI: No, but I'll mention to Eric
- 19 because he did tell me -- I ran into him getting coffee at
- 20 Dish and he said -- that was right after you sent your
- 21 e-mail.

13

- So I think it's his intent to get back to
- 23 you. Quite honestly, it might have slipped his mind. So
- 24 it might not be a bad thing to send him a tickler.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay.

- MS. FANELLI: But I did not actually get any
- 2 more details from him myself.
- 3 MS. CHEEVER: Also, does this schedule mean
- 4 it will be finished on August 28th?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: No. We're hoping that the
- 6 landfill is -- excuse me. Landfill 10, the top deck and
- 7 slope are substantially completed, meaning that we can
- 8 reuse it as a parking lot, still on September 30th. That's
- 9 the contractor's due date.
- But over the next three to four weeks will be
- 11 the peak of all the earth moving activity, and then we'll
- 12 be putting in the erosion control.
- They'll be taking their asphalting and doing
- 14 some of those other items on the top deck.
- 15 MS. PASSERO: And that's intended to be done
- 16 before it starts to rain and -- what is the time frame for
- 17 that?
- MS. FANELLI: We are always trying to get
- 19 things done before it rains, yes. That is one of our goals
- 20 to be out of the site by October 18th is our target date.
- The September 30th date was a commitment that
- 22 the Trust had made a while ago as part of our agreement
- 23 with Forest Cities for the development of the Public Health
- 24 Services Hospital.
- So that has been a continued pressure for us

Page 16

- 1 to get that site done and buttoned up.
- Now if we're late on that, what's going to
- 3 happen with Forest Cities, I actually don't know and I
- 4 don't think we'll be too late with it, but that is our
- 5 target.
- 6 MS. BLUM: Have you discovered anything
- 7 additional that might have been a surprise either AT
- 8 landfill 8 or landfill 10 or is it just business as usual?
- 9 MS. FANELLI: We have not found anything
- 10 unusual at 10, and besides the bones and a couple of those
- 11 glass stoppers and a little bottle of white pills like
- 12 aspirin with a screw top at 8, that was the only thing that
- 13 was discovered there.
- The tree stumps, you know, pose a little bit
- of a problem because they've left a little bit of a crater
- 16 in there that they're now backfilling and padding, but
- 17 nothing too surprising.
- 18 I've actually been sort of surprised at the
- 19 amount of soil that's been integrated with the debris.
- 20 It -- there seems to be a fair amount. There are large
- 21 chunks. There's no doubt about it. A lot of rubble, but
- there seems to be a fair amount of matrix, as well.
- FACILITATOR KERN: On the -- the shear key
- 24 or toe of landfill 10, I know that kind of came late in the
- whole process.

```
Is that being dug out yet? Is it expected to
 1
 2
     be as --
 3
                   MS. FANELLI: They should start digging that
 4
     out on the 17th. It's fully designed.
 5
                   The issue there is there was some sand fill
 6
     where previous geologic sections that had been done as part
     of the F/S had shown dune sand, native dune sand, and we
 7
     got there through investigating the pipe, but the main
 8
 9
     driver in the sand in terms of stability was this fill.
10
                   It looked like dune sand, but it was in the
11
     in-situ dune sand, and so they had to re-evaluate the
12
     strength of that material for its ability to support the
13
     cover.
             The cover comes down and tied into that material.
14
                   So basically what they're doing is excavating
15
     that sand and recompacting it in place so that it supports
16
     the cover.
17
                   At the same time, they're putting a layer of
18
     gravel at the base, and the purpose of that is in the -- in
     the case that there's some uncertainty about hydraulic
19
20
     pressures, either groundwater itself or associated with the
21
     pipe, that that provides some relief there for that
22
     potential groundwater pressure.
23
                   So gravel and then recompacted sand, cover
     comes in, and then dune sand still like it's in the design
24
```

Page 18

gets loosely piled on top of the toe area.

```
FACILITATOR KERN: And so that that -- if we
 1
    try to go out to see it like on those dates, that seems
 2
    pretty firm to you?
 3
                  MS. FANELLI:
                                 Yes.
 4
 5
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                      Okay.
                                  That week should be good.
                   MS. FANELLI:
 6
     This week they're getting the materials delivered, and I
 7
     know that the aggregate they're placing won't be delivered
8
     until the end of this week. So it's highly unlikely that
 9
10
     they'll be starting early.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: One other little question
11
     just for documentation. Do you have anybody that's taking
12
    pictures of this whole --
13
14
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Yes.
15
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- time lapse? Not like
    every hour, but everyday or every few days?
16
          MS. FANELLI: Actually, our construction
17
    manager has -- Bill Griffin takes pictures everyday from
18
19
     the same locations --
20
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                      Okay.
                   MS. FANELLI: -- so we can develop kind of a
21
22
    history of the activity.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Do you know if -- would
23
24
     that be possible for us to sort of see it at the end?
25
     saw something like that for 6A, I think.
```

25

detected.

1 MS. FANELLI: Yeah. I'll have to find out how he's going to deliver them. Right now all of the 2 photos that he does, the photos I've just shown you are in 3 4 his weekly reports, which are posted on the SharePoint 5 page. So they're accessible to you. 6 FACILITATOR KERN: Okav. 7 MS. FANELLI: But they're not set up in that 8 sort of time lapse fashion. 9 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay. Any other 10 questions on 8 or 10? 11 MS. FANELLI: All right. I was going to jump to 207/231, even if you made that D, or I can jump 12 13 forward and come back. 14 I'm okay with that. Everybody else okay with 15 that? 16 MS. FANELLI: Don't have too much to tell you other than we did indeed issue the data report, and so 17 you should all have copies of that at this point in time, 18 and we are preparing a document that we'll be submitting 19 20 that is our proposed next steps based on that data. 21 Let me go to the data first. So this is a figure in that document that you've been copied on and it 22 basically shows the results of our soil sampling program 23

and some of the concentrations of petroleum that we've

- 1 And one thing that we have noted -- and you probably read it in there -- is that where we've had Army 2 borings in the past that appeared to be relatively clean, 3 4 we found right next to that higher concentrations of 5 petroleum. 6 So we're trying to understand what that 7 dynamic is. Is there a source that has not been fully characterized or has there really been a changed condition 8 or is the Army pulling our leg? What actually has happened 9 10 here. 11 But from that data, we feel that we have a 12 very good sense of the vertical distribution of 13 contaminants. So this is a cross-section. It's one of 14 them that goes -- I think they're shown on here. D, D 15 prime or C.
- 16 So we feel like we have a good handle on the
- 17 vertical extent contamination. This is their cross-
- 18 section.
- 19 It's again included in the data report that
- 20 was sent to you or copied to you, and I believe the
- 21 contamination is anywhere from about ten to fifteen foot
- 22 range based on the data that we have and pictured in
- 23 stands, and the one thing that we don't have as good a
- 24 characterization on is the lateral extent basically in this
- 25 area here. We don't really have kind of a clean sample.

We do know from observations here that we 1 didn't see anything. We did do this trench here and we 2 didn't see anything or smell anything, but we don't 3 actually have some soil data right in this area. 4 So that said, we're thinking our next steps 5 might include some additional characterization to get at 6 that extent and plan view, if you will. 7 One of the other things that has come up is we've been getting -- coordinating with Caltrans, and one 9 of Caltrans' requirements for Doyle Drive is to stabilize 10 this building here, 228, and the reason we were always 11 considering in-situ treatment in this area is we have this 12 historic wall that is not supported other than by some sort 13 its own dead weight on its foundation, and we have the 14 other building, 228, which if you looked at has very 15 serious structural issues, cracked foundations, the floor 16 17 is sagging in that area. And we have gotten some preliminary 18 indication from Caltrans. They are planning to stabilize 19 both the historic wall and do a stabilization through 20 drilled piers on 228. 21 If they do that, that gives us a wonderful 22 opportunity to not necessarily do in-situ treatment, but to 23 try to do some excavation in that -- in this area here. 24 I lost my little pointer. There it is. 25

- 1 Right in here, which is what we would like to do that,
- 2 because it's an easier way to get at more of the
- 3 contamination.
- 4 So that is exactly what we're doing. We're
- 5 trying to coordinate with Caltrans to get a sense of what
- 6 their timing is for that.
- 7 Caltrans' project is -- I don't want to say
- 8 out of control, but it is moving at such a pace and there's
- 9 so many people involved that it is actually quite a
- 10 challenge for them to get all of their pieces together.
- So I wish I could tell you what their timing
- 12 is for this, but I deal with three different people just on
- 13 sort of some of the peripheral issues associated with Doyle
- 14 Drive in terms of soil and water issues.
- So I can't give you the actual date, but they
- 16 need to do the stabilization before they can do the
- 17 construction of 237/231 area, which is currently in their
- 18 contract five work.
- 19 207 is not, but the main part of 228 is in
- 20 their contract five, which is a couple years out. We feel
- 21 we have time to hopefully coordinate with them. So that's
- 22 going to be our first choice to try to get that material
- 23 out.
- That's also based on the data report. If you
- look at it, we did have some success using persulfate to

```
try to destroy a lot of the petroleum that was in the soil,
 1
     but we got only a seventy percent after fourteen-day
 2
 3
     reduction.
 4
                    So what that means is that we would just have
     to probably do multiple injections or more higher
 5
     concentrations, which just means cost.
 6
 7
                    It doesn't necessarily mean it wouldn't be
     effective, and -- but that makes excavation, particularly
 8
     if they're going to stabilize the building itself, a little
 9
     bit more attractive and feasible, and whatever we couldn't
10
     remove based on the stabilization and the earthwork, we
11
12
     would then be able to treat in-situ.
13
                   So that's sort of what the current plan in
14
     that area looks like.
15
                   MS. MONAGHAN: Is this area A or area B?
16
                   MS. FANELLI: It's area B.
17
                   MS. MONAGHAN: And is the Trust going to
     have to see that all that work is organized and designed or
18
     does Caltrans just get to come in and stabilize as they
19
20
     want?
21
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Oh, no. They have to
     coordinate with the Trust, and Caltrans has actually been
22
23
     very good, from my perspective --
24
                   MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
```

MS. FANELLI: -- coordinating with the

- 1 Trust.
- 2 I've worked with a couple of their folks on
- 3 soil issues, and one of their folks on groundwater issues,
- 4 and they call us.
- 5 They've participated in a couple of meetings
- 6 in our building. So I think that they're actually doing
- 7 their best.
- 8 So when I say "out of control," it wasn't to
- 9 imply that they weren't performing at all. It's just that
- 10 there are a lot of moving parts.
- 11 There are a bureaucracy like any state
- 12 agency. Often you're dealing with lots of people to try to
- 13 control an issue.
- MS. MONAGHAN: I was just worried about the
- 15 historic wall part.
- MS. FANELLI: Right now the plans I've seen,
- 17 which is not stamped that Caltrans is going to do them,
- 18 which includes the piles into the wall and driving the
- 19 piles to support.
- 20 It's actually a fairly robust -- I was kind
- 21 of surprised. It's kind of a robust stabilization which
- 22 they plan to do for 228.
- MS. BLUM: Did you say that petroleum was up
- 24 to fifteen feet in the ground?
- MS. FANELLI: Behind the wall, yes.

```
1
                   MS. BLUM:
                             How about the water table?
 2
                                   It's in the water table.
                   MS. FANELLI:
 3
                   MS. BLUM: And this is where your treatment
 4
     is going to clean that up?
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: That's correct.
                                                    In-situ
     treatment. You can see the little water mark on this
 6
     drawing. So the water table is high above the wall.
 7
 8
     wall actually has deep holes. That's part of the concern
     about stability is water building up behind the wall.
 9
10
                   This was where the tanks were formerly
11
     located, and there is contamination back in here and it is
     basically the Stoddard solvent, the dry cleaning threw I
12
13
     had, but we're below the wall.
14
                   MS. BLUM: Our cleanup is to ecological
15
     standard here; is it not?
16
                   MS. FANELLI: Right. What we're trying to
17
     protect in this area is I believe freshwater --
18
                   MS. BLUM:
                               Mm-hmm.
19
                   MS. FANELLI: -- criteria is for the marsh.
     We're still a distance from the marsh here, but we're not
20
21
     that far, but that is the criteria.
22
                             And the reach, also.
                   MS. BLUM:
23
                   MS. FANELLI: I don't have that figure in
    here. I just have last month, I think.
24
25
                   On the -- the reach is -- the stream is like
```

- 1 right down in here. Comes through right here, and then it
- 2 starts to expand out as they've designed it. But I didn't
- 3 bring that.
- 4 MS. BLUM: Is Caltrans going to work on that
- 5 area, also, in terms of trenching it out or digging it out
- 6 for the reach?
- 7 MS. FANELLI: I believe part of their
- 8 agreement with the Trust is to do the base or rough grading
- 9 for the construction of Quartermaster Reach, and what we're
- 10 coordinating with them is how to best overlay and
- 11 effectively do remediation, building their projects and
- 12 demo their projects, because they have temporary roads in
- 13 that area. Then they have permanent roads and they have
- 14 demolition.
- So there's all different sequencing. So how
- 16 do we schedule remediation with that, and then their rough
- 17 grading and to get it to work properly, and that's a
- 18 conversation we'll be having.
- More to not impose a burden on any particular
- 20 group and get this thing done as best we can, as
- 21 effectively as we can.
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: I don't know that I
- 23 passed this on to everybody. In fact, I may not have.
- 24 It's a -- it's like 331 pages which I'll send on to
- 25 everyone just for your enjoyment, but there are a few pages

they need to do?

```
at the beginning of the report that I brought with me, and
 1
 2
     I'll pass those around.
 3
                   And it does talk about some of these new
 4
     drill holes that Eileen was talking about where there
 5
     actually was a sheen in some of those drill holes.
 6
                   So there is some pretty high numbers, so it
 7
     may be really a good thing to be able to excavate out --
 8
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                We think so.
 9
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- some of that material.
1.0
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  We think so.
11
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                     And then you have the
12
     opportunity to put some of this -- what's the word I'm
13
     looking for?
14
                   MS. FANELLI: The oxidation.
15
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Oxidation material back
16
     in the hole rather than all the injection stuff. That is
17
     supposed to really help the process, too. So that would be
18
     a good result.
19
                   I'll just start this around the room, and
20
     then you can look at the 331 page with all the data in it,
21
     as well.
22
                   MS. PASSERO: Could I ask one question? For
23
     Caltrans, they're doing some of this work because -- is it
24
     due to mitigation or simply because of what -- it's what
```

- 1 MS. FANELLI: It's mitigation. I'll be
- 2 honest. I have not read their environmental document. If
- 3 Terri was here today, I'm sure she could tell you a lot
- 4 more, because she's worked closely with them on some of the
- 5 mitigation.
- 6 MS. PASSERO: Okay. Okay.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: Are we ready for the next one?
- Fillsite 1/landfill 2. Upcoming milestones.
- 9 We're ready to get the draft data report. I do have a few
- 10 pictures, but it's going to be issued this Friday, and
- 11 we'll posit to our site, and we'll send copies out. You'll
- 12 be able to read it.
- This data report is a summary of all the data
- 14 that we've been talking about. The results of our
- 15 sampling, the tabulated data, our risk analysis, how we've
- 16 sliced and diced and looked at all of the information.
- We're going to get that out, and then August
- 18 25th is our next standing project meeting, and we did -- I
- 19 did cancel the one in July because we really didn't have
- 20 any new data out.
- We're not going to cancel August. We will
- 22 give it as an opportunity to talk about this data report,
- 23 and if you have comments on 207/231, that will be a good
- 24 time to talk about those, as well.
- Now, I won't be there on August 25th, so

- 1 you're going to be working with Genevieve in my absence. I
- 2 will be starting my vacation, and I won't be back until the
- 3 day after Labor Day weekend, September 8th, and I would
- 4 appreciate you letting me know if you will have your
- 5 Planning Meeting that night, because I will have to make
- 6 other arrangements for you.
- 7 Maybe Ryan will be able to stay and let you
- 8 guys in on the 25th, that Tuesday.
- 9 That's where we are and I'll give you some
- 10 pictures in a minute.
- 11 September 18th, right on the heels of the
- 12 data report, will be the draft RAP, and we'll be issuing
- 13 that, as well, and then our thought is that the meeting in
- 14 September, the standing project status meeting, will be an
- 15 opportunity for discussion or any other verbal comments
- 16 that you want to give to us at that point in time, as well.
- 17 So that's our immediate schedule.
- We have done guite a bit of internal
- 19 coordination per the Tennessee Hollow EA, which I'm sure
- 20 you're all familiar with, and although it's not a data
- 21 report, it will likely be in the RAP.
- We will be presenting the conceptual design
- 23 of the practice ball field that is discussed in the EA near
- 24 fillsite 1, and we worked with Natural Resources in terms
- 25 of the native plant restoration and forestry with the

- 1 replanting of the trees.
- We will be moving forward with tree removal,
- 3 and Genevieve will be heading that up and likely will be
- 4 doing, in addition to the tree removal, because we've
- 5 talked about the significant number of trees that will be
- 6 coming out, a couple of hundred, that we may also be doing
- 7 road improvements at that time.
- 8 So we'll be working on some access roads to
- 9 get the trees out of there to minimize impacts to a lot of
- 10 the tenants in the park.
- 11 . This is a figure that you'll see in the report.
- 12 You've seen it before. It is fillsite 1. There will be
- 13 several cross-sections.
- I only have one to flash on the screen for
- 15 you, but you'll see all of them on Friday when we get them
- 16 out, but this shows you all of our data and sampling
- 17 points.
- The dash line again is a debris line. I
- 19 believe it's the zero debris line, not the ten percent, and
- 20 you'll see cross-sections that look like this.
- 21 The green is the debris fill, and that's
- 22 basically what we're targeting for removal plus some of the
- 23 underlying pink material which is -- which is sand that
- 24 does not contain debris, but we consider it fill.
- 25 It's not in its native location. It does have

- 1 gravel and some other materials like that in it.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: Could you before you move
- 3 on say what the scale is on the left? How thick are we
- 4 looking at?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: I believe the thickest part of
- 6 fillsite 1 here is about 35 feet.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay. Thank you.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: So it will contain all the
- 9 volume calculations, and I don't actually have volume
- 10 calculations with me now, but we'll have all of that, plus
- 11 our characterization of the material.
- The cleanup for fillsite 1 is being driven by
- 13 eco numbers, eco special status or eco buffer, and we'll be
- 14 cleaning it up -- our plan is to clean it up to the eco
- 15 buffer numbers.
- So there would not be -- we'll remove the
- 17 material. We don't anticipate any controls at this spot.
- 18 Landfill 2, you've seen the same -- the same
- 19 figure outline before. The same ideas and cross-sections.
- 20 You'll see in it. This is what this one looks like.
- We have a much thicker section here. This is
- 22 where the ash is. Where we feel we have some uncertainty
- 23 is really right in here on the limit. We kind of talked
- 24 about it before.
- I believe this is a question, but I think we

- 1 do have a fairly good idea. It might be where the section
- 2 is drawn on the bottom.
- 3 This material is -- this stuff has got very
- 4 high metals and it is not very good stuff. This stuff up
- 5 here is not as highly contaminated. There's a few numbers
- 6 that are over some of our eco special status cleanup
- 7 criteria.
- 8 At this point, we're -- our risk analysis has
- 9 included all of the debris in their remediation.
- 10 Questions on fillsite 1/landfill 2 on the
- 11 schedule there?
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: On the -- I'm just -- the
- 13 first thing that I saw was this cross-section, and I was
- 14 trying to see is the A, A prime -- I didn't quite locate it
- 15 on the map. So that's --
- MS. FANELLI: It's going through the -- sort
- of the center, and there's a bend in it.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: For some reason, I'm --
- MS. FANELLI: Here it is, A.
- MR. BOGGS: The horizontal.
- MS. FANELLI: A prime.
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: So the first thing that
- 23 struck me is -- being kind of interesting is the -- that
- 24 whatever the brown material is on the bottom seems to be
- 25 quite prevalent in the middle well that's not found on the

```
other sides.
 1
 2
                   What is that material that's kind of rising
 3
     up to meet --
                   MS. FANELLI: That is I believe colma, and
 4
 5
     the pink on top is the dune. It's not dune in place. It's
 6
     sand fill. We call it fill.
 7
                   MR. ULLENSVANG:
                                     What's the other brown?
                   MS. FANELLI: The other brown, I am not
 8
 9
     positive if that is a different type of -- all right. Let
10
     me clarify. I'm going to get this right. I believe this
11
     might be dune sand and this is the colma and this is the
     fill and this is the waste material.
12
13
                   So that's my mistake. This is dune sand in
14
    place.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: It's kind of a remarkable
15
16
     cross-section.
17
                   MS. FANELLI: Yeah. And the other ones will
18
    be in the report, too, and we can see how they all relate.
19
    We just didn't put them all into this presentation. And
20
     the boring rocks will be in there, so you can dig into it.
21
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                     I can see where the
22
    person that drew it is also having questions, because they
23
    have question marks --
24
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Right.
```

Page 34

FACILITATOR KERN: -- at both ends, like

```
1 what's going on here.
```

- MS. FANELLI: It is. In this area, they
- 3 don't have -- they don't have any control.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: You usually don't have a
- 5 valley, which is where the pink thing is, going down on the
- 6 top of a rise, which is the bottom. I mean, just --
- 7 anyway, I'll look at it.
- MS. FANELLI: Okay. And then the only
- 9 information I actually have on Mountain Lake is that URS
- 10 has been contracted. They are initiating their data
- 11 review.
- We've had a kickoff meeting and they are
- 13 working on a Draft RAP, and that due date is March 2010 at
- 14 this point.
- So you'll see that document in early -- late
- 16 winter, early spring.
- We have also kicked off landfill E, so you'll
- 18 be seeing that document probably close to the same time.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: What do you imagine is
- 20 going to be in the Mountain Lake RAP? Would you have more
- 21 than one alternative or --
- MS. FANELLI: I don't think so. I mean, I
- 23 believe we're relying on the existing data feasibility
- 24 study.
- They'll be doing some more robust analysis on

- 1 the RAP to document what it will take to remediate the
- 2 sediment. It will focus on the sediment.
- 3 MS. BLLUM: One question for clarification.
- 4 I believe on fill site -- if you could go back to the last
- 5 slide.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: Yeah.
- 7 MS. BLUM: The last written slide.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Oh.
- 9 MS. BLUM: That one, okay. The last one
- 10 says -- talking about the Tennessee Hollow environmental
- 11 assessments, and the planning, conceptual design of the
- 12 ball field and parking lot.
- Did you say that was going to be adjacent to
- 14 fill site 1?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes.
- MS. BLUM: That's the Julius Commons area.
- MS. FANELLI: No. It will be right next to
- 18 Paul Goode field.
- MS. BLUM: Okay.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: It's in the same area that it
- 21 was shown in the EA, but the designers have actually done
- 22 more layout and adjusted the lines on how this is supposed
- 23 to look.
- MS. BLUM: Okay. There was some flux in
- 25 which area they would start reaching first for that

- 1 recreation first. I just wanted to know what was going on.
- MS. FANELLI: I have to be honest. We're
- 3 not building the field obviously as part of remediation.
- 4 So we're going to leave the site in a state for them to do
- 5 their work, and I'm not sure about their time, if they are
- 6 they're going to immediately come in after us and build a
- 7 field or not.
- I think that they want to do that, but I
- 9 don't know. I'd have to get with our planning group to get
- 10 their exact timing.
- 11 . FACILITATOR KERN: There's -- I just have a
- 12 question about -- going back to 207/231. You said there
- 13 was going to be a proposed next steps.
- MS. FANELLI: Right. And that letter will
- 15 identify these three things that basically we'll be talking
- 16 about.
- 17 FACILITATOR KERN: Additional
- 18 characterization.
- MS. FANELLI: Right.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: Other questions on these
- 21 sites?
- 22 All right. You mentioned landfill E, that
- 23 there would be a document coming out.
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah. I have to look at my
- 25 schedule, but I just wanted to reiterate that we've also

```
1
     contracted with Geosyntec to complete the RAP, and then the
 2
     associated preliminary design that goes with that for
     landfill E, and it will include looking at Barnard Avenue.
 3
 4
                   So it may be a little bit further out in time
 5
     than March because they have to make sure they have all the
 6
     data for firing range that underlies the site.
 7
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        You might just consider
     the availability of the previous F/S on that. I know there
 8
 9
     was a special focused feasibility study on landfill E, but
     it wasn't widely well received, I guess I should say.
10
11
                   So that may be a little bit of an issue later
12
     on.
13
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                   Okay.
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Okay. Other items around
1.5
     those? I think it's covering it pretty well.
16
                   Brian, anything from the Park Service?
17
                   Let's go to item 6, Bob, anything from your
18
     agency?
19
                   MR. BOGGS: No.
                                     Already introduced the new
20
     person, so --
21
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Took away your whole
22
     topic. How about anything you can talk about? Is your
23
     organization settling down or are they still on pins and
24
     needles?
```

Page 38

Things are still moving around.

MR. BOGGS:

```
1 We've pretty -- we've got a 75 percent guarantee of Medi
```

- 2 working 25 percent of the time on the Presidio.
- MS. SUNGA: I don't think that it's settled
- 4 yet.
- 5 MR. BOGGS: That's why it's only 75 percent.
- 6 We still have another hurdle to cross, but at least she's
- 7 getting started.
- 8 MS. SUNGA: We're on the same team, the San
- 9 Francisco Peninsula team. They're working on most of these
- 10 projects in the San Francisco area.
- I have Treasure Island and I'm working with a
- 12 team on Hunters Point, too, but giving up some of my time
- 13 here, also, because I don't have a lot going on for
- 14 Treasure Island, but that depends on my work coming back
- from Treasure Island and how I will be assisting him.
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: So Hunters Point is all
- 17 completely cleaned up?
- MS. SUNGA: They're dissolving the RAB.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: We heard about that. The
- 20 RAB was interesting over there, but -- actually two of us
- 21 were on that RAB for three years.
- MS. SUNGA: Sorry.
- FACILITATOR KERN: No. That was sometime
- 24 ago.
- MS. SUNGA: I was in a meeting this morning.

- 1 We had a meeting this morning sitting in for the project 2 manager who's on vacation, Ryan. 3 FACILITATOR KERN: Can you just -- because 4 we're all -- we care about Hunters Point, can you just a minute on just -- are we doing some good work down there? 5 6 Are we --7 MS. SUNGA: I'm not that extensively involved. 8 9 FACILITATOR KERN: Oh. MS. SUNGA: I am just reviewing some 10 documents here and there. 11 12 I did some of the RAP F/S report, but I'm not really completely involved with it. 13 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. 15 MS. SUNGA: Helping Ryan if he needs me. Other than that, I'll probably more be 16 involved in this one, because I may be taking like a RAP 17 site, one RAP site so far. 18 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, it's pretty messy down there. 20 21 MS. SUNGA: I know. I'm hearing it this
- FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you.
- 24 Agnes, anything from --

morning, it sounds like it.

MS. FARRES: (Nods head negatively).

```
1
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       I thought I noticed that
 2
     there was a big message with a huge report that was sent,
 3
     but it was too big to send by e-mail. I guess on tank
     closures or something.
 4
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: It didn't have appendix C,
 6
     which was the historical forts, but they're posted on --
     they're posted on our SharePoint site, but you can look at
 7
 8
     those historical reports.
 9
                   I didn't mean to jump in for you, but I know
10
     you were talking about on the other reports. It was the
11
     closure of the remaining tanks, request for closure.
12
                   MS. FARRES: I continue to get closures.
13
     Trying to keep up.
```

- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Right. All right. Is
- 15 there any new business?
- MS. FANELLI: I didn't mention the figure,
- 17 but I wanted you to know that I did provide an updated
- 18 figure. It only has on it -- I just printed you a tiny
- 19 one.
- I also e-mailed you a PDF of this, so
- 21 everybody on the RAP, if you have a printer or plotter --
- 22 but it doesn't show all the closed sites. It just shows
- 23 the main CERCLA sites remaining.
- It doesn't actually even show 207/231, and I
- 25 can update this to add new sites, new information, but

- 1 there was a request to get sort of an updated map that has
- 2 some better base drawings, and the main challenge with this
- 3 was getting this space map in our system because it's in a
- 4 whole different graphics file that we could then overlay
- 5 the sites on.
- And I don't show any of the unknown sites. I
- 7 heard a lot of side comments and it doesn't show any of
- 8 those unknown site locations on here at this point.
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: So the slag dump site
- 10 would actually be somewhere along in here, I think, along
- 11 Baker Beach?
- MS. FANELLI: I want to defer to Brian to
- 13 point it out.
- 14 MR. ULLENSVANG: That's the tan color. It's
- 15 between that and battery. I think that's Chamberlain.
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: So if you put a -- a blob
- 17 or something in about that area?
- 18 MR. ULLENSVANG: It's north of the battery.
- 19 Yes. That's about the area.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. Where that line
- 21 comes out straight would be south of that. That's the slag
- 22 dump. There's just been -- slag is like melted glass and
- 23 kind of burned material.
- MR. ULLENSVANG: Yeah.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: So there's a pile of it

- 1 down on the back beach area.
- MS. BLUM: Is that something new?
- MR. ULLENSVANG: No. It's been there for a
- 4 long time.
- 5 MS. BLUM: I'm sure it's not new to the
- 6 area.
- 7 MR. ULLENSVANG: We pointed it out to the
- 8 Trust a number of years ago.
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: It's new relatively in
- 10 that it's been added to the end of the list.
- MS. BLUM: Okay.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. I quess I'll throw
- 13 it another pitch. I'm always pitching to get Lobos Creek
- 14 moved higher up in the order of things, so I'll throw that
- 15 out there.
- I guess I'm assuming from this that these
- 17 numbers are kind of an order.
- MS. FANELLI: Right. And you have to really
- 19 look at the schedule on the quarterly report. Just because
- 20 it's 5A-B-C doesn't necessarily mean all these things are
- 21 coming out in -- or 6A-B-C.
- Look at the schedule because that actually
- 23 gives you more detail. I can sort the schedule by RAP
- 24 submittal date so that it makes it a little easier to see
- 25 when they're planning on getting things out.

cemetery?

FACILITATOR KERN: We have seen in the past 1 2 that it's kind of colored by anticipated year of construction. That's another way of doing it. 3 MS. FANELLI: We could do it. 5 FACILITATOR KERN: I notice there's not a RAP7 on here. 6 7 Is that something else? 8 MS. FANELLI: RAP7 right now has mainly unknown sites on it, and that's why I haven't shown those 9 sites. In part because it's not clear which of those sites 10 are actually remediation sites and which ones aren't 11 remediation sites. 12 13 And so it's -- and a lot of them are very small and they're scattered. So they're not -- they don't 14 15 lend themselves very well to sort of this kind of a general 16 map right now. 17 FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Thank you for 18 this map. These maps are always helpful. 19 Any comments or questions about the map? 20 MS. BLUM: I'd like to ask an unrelated 21 I notice they've started clearing the ice plant 22 around the pet cemetery. 23 MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm. 24 MS. BLUM: What's going to happen to the

```
1
                   MS. FANELLI: I actually don't know.
 2
                   MR. ULLENSVANG:
                                     I think it's going to be
 3
     retained.
 4
                   MS. BLUM: All of that equipment is going to
 5
     be around the cemetery. That's amazing.
 6
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  That's where they began their
 7
     vegetation clearance, precisely. I do understand -- you
     got a copy of it. I'm sure you were all connected -- there
 8
 9
     was a public information flyer, one that came from Caltrans
10
     that was distributed about the tree removals, and then one
11
     that was distributed to I think park residents from the
12
     Trust about tree removals.
13
                   It just went out the other day, and I know
14
     they're probably posted on the Trust website or our Public
15
     Affairs office has copies.
16
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Other new business?
17
                   We're down to the time of the evening where
18
     we should get back to the meeting at the end of the month
19
     on the 25th, and it would seem that since we have the --
2.0
     during that day, we'll be having a project meeting, that
21
     will be a good idea to review with you that night what
22
    happened during the day. That will be my recommendation.
23
                   Yes.
24
                   MS. MONAGHAN: The other -- the other thing
25
    we were talking about 207/231. A little field visit would
```

- 1 be helpful to me to see where these things are. I don't
- 2 have it clear in my head where they all are.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Well, that will be pretty
- 4 easy because it's relatively close to us here, and so we
- 5 could do that at the start of the meeting.
- 6 MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: It will still be light,
- 8 and it's also really important for Doyle Drive, too, so you
- 9 see the whole place.
- 10 Julie.
- MS. CHEEVER: I want to give another idea.
- 12 That would be a field visit to landfill 8 and 10, because
- 13 it will be close to being finished. It might be
- 14 interesting to see how they were doing.
- But what is the interest of visiting 207
- 16 versus 8 and 10?
- 17 MS. PASSERO: Could we do both?
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: We could be both.
- MS. CHEEVER: I'm looking at how light it
- 20 is.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: I'll just throw that out
- 22 there. Meet at landfill 8 and 10 area at 6:30 and then
- 23 check it out and then come over here for the meeting.
- MS. CHEEVER: Then do we get Jan's trip to
- 25 207, as well?

```
1
                    FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Sure.
 2
                   MS. CHEEVER: I'll come over.
 3
                   MS. NEWTON: So we'll plan on meeting at 207
     still before 7:00?
 4
 5
                    FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Well, I think just in the
     time it would take to look at the sites and then get in the
 6
     cars, it's like eight or ten minutes to get over to
 7
     building 67 or down to 207, park, get out by the site, but
 8
     there's plenty of parking right on the site so we just park
 9
10
     right on it --
11
                   MS. MONAGHAN:
                                    Okay.
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN: -- and then go to the
13
     meeting.
14
                   MS. FANELLI: I quess I would just request
15
     knowing -- since I don't -- I will not be here, so I need
     to make arrangements or find another location that you
16
17
     could meet that doesn't have to be personed, which can be a
18
     little bit of a challenge.
19
                   It is important if you guys are doing field
     trips, I'll have to arrange it with one of my staff to be
20
21
     around that building.
22
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Um --
23
                   MS. FANELLI: So let me know, e-mail me.
24
     Ryan has indicated that he will be there that evening,
25
     he is the PM on both 8 and 10 and 207/231, so he would
```

probably be somebody you could ask questions of, but --1 2 FACILITATOR KERN: I'm wondering --MS. FANELLI: I want to be able to give him 3 some time frame so he's not hanging around. 4 FACILITATOR KERN: Sure. It would probably 5 be good for us to be able to ask him questions about what's 6 going on, if he could meet us at 6:30. MS. FANELLI: I can ask him, or he can meet you at the building and take you down to 207/231, too. 9 10 MS. NEWTON: Would he prefer that we do it earlier? Would that be better for him? 11 12 MS. FANELLI: I'll find out. MS. PASSERO: Or we can meet afterwards 13 off-site. 14 15 MS. FANELLI: So I'll ask if we can do it at 6:30, which might actually work better for him at landfill 16 17 10 and maybe you can caravan over to 207/231. Does that work? 18 FACILITATOR KERN: 19 Seeing -- okay. 20 MS. BLUM: I have some suggestion. Maybe we 21 could bring somebody from Natural Resources so that they 22 can show us how the Quartermaster Reach is going to tie into 207/231 and what's going to happen down there and get 23 24 the big picture. Maybe Brian could do that. 25 I'm not that familiar. MR. ULLENSVANG:

```
1
                   MS. BLUM:
                               That's the wrong side of the
 2
     street.
 3
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Well, I will talk to
     Terri and see if she or somebody is available and I'll let
 4
 5
     you know.
 6
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Thank you.
 7
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Okay. Other action
     items? We're going to be getting out a report on fillsite
 8
 9
     1/landfill 2 this Friday, so we'll distribute it out to
10
     people.
11
                   I will send out the 207/231 report the
12
     everybody so you can look at that. That should cover most
13
     of the action items, I think.
14
                   Talk to Natural Resources. I'll do that.
15
                   All right. Agenda items. We know what we're
     going to be doing at our next meeting already. We're going
16
17
     to be reviewing the day's standing project meeting, which
    will include 207/231 and fillsite 1/ landfill 2, and then
18
19
     we'll be looking at 8 and 10, 207/231. So I think we got
20
    the agenda.
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: And the report?
21
22
                   MS. FANELLI: That's correct. I'll give you
23
    the financial update on that. That's the day I get back to
24
    work after a week and a half off, so I'm not going to
```

Page 49

promise a hundred percent correction -- correct

- 1 information, but -- I think we'll be getting the quarterly
- 2 report out next week without too much problem, so you'll
- 3 have plenty of time to review it and then you can ask me
- 4 questions on the 8th.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: Is there anything else
- 6 tonight for the good of the order?
- 7 MS. SUNGA: For the August 25 project
- 8 meeting for landfill 1, 1 or 2 and the fill site 2, would
- 9 that be --
- MS. FANELLI: During the day. The project
- 11 status meeting is at building 67 from usually 1:30.
- MS. SUNGA: Okay. So RAB members. Who
- 13 attends that meeting?
- MS. FANELLI: That's usually open to the
- 15 stakeholders. So usually Park Service attends and the RAB
- 16 attends. Agnes if there's anything on petroleum usually
- 17 based on the agenda and DTSC.
- 18 MS. SUNGA: We don't have the time yet on
- 19 that one?
- MS. FANELLI: 1:30 is when they start. What
- 21 I will do is I will send you a copy of those standing
- 22 meeting notices.
- MS. SUNGA: Okay.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Anything
- 25 else?

```
Without objection, meeting adjourned. Thanks
 1
     to everyone for coming out.
 2
                    MS. FANELLI: I do appreciate still stacking
 3
     the boxes up by the front door while I wait for my ride.
 4
                    (The meeting adjourned at 8:14 PM).
 5
                                ---000---
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                   Page 51
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )
3	COOMIT OF DEEL PRODUCTION .
	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
4	discussion in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time
5	and place therein stated; that the foregoing is a full,
6	true and complete record of said matter.
7	
8	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
	attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing
9	meeting and caption named, or in any way interested in
10	the outcome of the cause named in said action.
11	
12	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
14	hereunto set my hand this
14 15	14th day of August,
	1/14
15	2009.
15 16	14th day of August,
15 16 17	2009.
15 16 17 18	2009.
15 16 17 18 19	2009.
15 16 17 18 19 20	2009.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	2009.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2009.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2009.

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2009
17	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
18	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR
	License No. 5527
25	

1	ATTENDEES
2	RAB Members:
3	Doug Kern, Facilitator
	Mark Youngkin
4	Eileen Fanelli
	Brian Ullensvang
5	Bob Boggs
	Peter O'Hara
6	Sara Segal
	Gloria Gee
7	Julie Cheever
	Jan Monaghan
8	John Budroe
	Edward Callanan
9	John Chester
	Barbara Newton
10	Toni Kramer
11	
12	00
13	
14	
15	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
16	the Meeting, and on September 8, 2009, at the Officer's
17	Club, Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California
18	before me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of
19	California, there commenced a RAB meeting under the
20	provisions of the Presidio Trust.
21	00
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		AGENDA	
2			Page
3	1)	Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern	4
4	2)	Agenda Discussion and Approval	4
5	3)	Announcements and Old Business	5
6	4)	Committee Business & Reports	
7		A. Planning Committee Report - Mark Youngkin	6
8	5)	Reports & Discussions - Eileen Fanelli	
9		A. Quarterly Report	7
10		B. LF 10 Construction Update	8
11		C. Bldg 207/231 Update	42
12	6)	Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs	
13		A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC -	45
14		B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB - (Not present	<b>:</b> )
15	7)	New Business	48
16	8)	Review of Action Items	48
17	9)	Agenda Items for Upcoming Meetings	48
18	10	) Adjournment	52
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 FACILITATOR KERN: Welcome, everyone, to
- 2 the September 2009 Presidio Restoration Advisory Board
- 3 meeting. I'd like to welcome the Presidio Trust, the
- 4 National Park Service, our regulatory community, DTSC --
- 5 I don't see the Water Board here tonight yet -- and
- 6 members of the -- the community members of the
- Restoration Advisory Board and members of the public,
- 8 welcome to our meeting.
- 9 We have a couple of new faces from the
- 10 public, and so it might be useful for their knowledge to
- do a quick go-around the room and say who we are and what
- 12 this meeting is about.
- 13 Again, I'm Doug Kern. I'm a community
- 14 member. These meetings have been going some fifteen
- 15 years. They have to do with the cleanup of the Presidio,
- 16 various sites from Army operations.
- 17 MR. O'HARA: I'm Peter O'Hara, I'm a
- 18 community member.
- 19 MS. FANELLI: I'm Eileen Fanelli. I work
- 20 for the Presidio Trust Remediation Department.
- MR. BOGGS: I'm Bob Boggs. I work for the
- 22 State Department of Toxic Substances Control. I oversee
- 23 the cleanup.
- MS. MONAGHAN: I'm Jan Monaghan, a
- 25 community member.

- 1 MR. FREY: Mark Frey, a geologist with the
- 2 Trust.
- 3 MS. NEWTON: Barbara Newton, community
- 4 member.
- 5 MS. GEE: I'm Gloria Gee, community
- 6 member.
- 7 MR. BUDROE: I'm John Budroe, community
- 8 member.
- 9 MR. MILLER: Mark Miller, community
- 10 member. We're also here as tenants in The Field, the
- 11 school I'm attending, enrolled here.
- MR. SCHMEYER: David Schmeyer, community
- member, also a board member.
- 14 MR. ULLENSVANG: Brian Ullensvang. I work
- for the Park Service and manage the Park Service.
- 16 MR. YOUNGKIN: Mark Youngkin, community
- 17 co-chair and community member.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Thanks.
- 19 Welcome to you guys.
- I hope everyone got an agenda when they
- 21 came in.
- 22 Are there any changes or additions to
- 23 tonight's agenda?
- Any announcements, new business?
- Then we could move on to our committee

- 1 report.
- 2 MR. YOUNGKIN: Well, our committee had a
- 3 field trip last month instead of a meeting. We have a
- 4 regular monthly meeting on the fourth Tuesday of every
- 5 month, but this last month, we decided to tour landfill
- 6 10, landfill 8 and building 207/231 area.
- 7 I came in at the very end of that. We had
- 8 a nice evening, good tour.
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: We did walk around the
- 10 top of landfill 10. There was quite a bit of earth
- 11 movement going on there.
- We're noticing that the slope seems to be
- 13 cut back now to a certain angle, and at that time two
- weeks ago, they were preparing to begin putting more
- 15 material on the top of that surface, kind of stretch out
- 16 the parking lot and level it.
- 17 I did walk by the site today and it looks
- 18 like still more of the same kinds of activities, but
- 19 perhaps we'll hear an update on that tonight.
- 20 We also visited the 207/231 site with Brian
- 21 Seilbach from the Trust, and that -- we kind of stood
- 22 around and waved our hands about where the various Doyle
- Drive pieces were going to go through the site, where
- 24 the -- the historic wall of the contamination behind that
- 25 wall, where that was located, where the gasoline/diesel

- 1 plume was located and where the Tennessee Hollow riparian
- 2 corridor was passed through the site.
- 3 So we took about 45 minutes at that site,
- 4 as well.
- 5 And that -- then we disbursed. Actually it
- 6 was just getting dark and we ended the meeting, so that
- 7 was our committee meeting.
- 8 MR. YOUNGKIN: And the meeting at the
- 9 Social Club.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: Oh, there was the
- 11 Social Club, yes.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: First time there. Pretty
- 13 nice place.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. All right.
- 15 Moving on to tonight's reports, discussions, and we have
- 16 Eileen with the --
- 17 MS. FANELLI: With the quarterly report,
- 18 and I do have some pictures of the landfill 10
- 19 construction. No new pictures of 207/231, but we can
- 20 talk about it. Not a lot of change since your project
- 21 planning meeting.
- Okay. I will go over the quarterly report.
- I e-mailed it to everybody. If you have any specific
- questions, I'd be more than happy to answer them.
- 25 I'll briefly go over some milestones, we'll

- 1 look at the financial elements and talk a little bit
- 2 about the schedule, schedule performance. And then I'll
- 3 get into some details about our program, CERCLA which
- 4 will obviously include the performance of landfill 10,
- 5 our petroleum program and lead-based paints, and
- 6 hopefully talk about our next quarter.
- 7 Milestones in the quarterly report. Under
- 8 CERCLA -- it's a little dated, because it's the quarter
- 9 ending June 30th, 2009, but just to reflect accurately
- 10 what was in the quarterly report, I tried not to put too
- 11 many things in, even though they happened into that to
- 12 keep it time relevant.
- 13 DTSC approved the Remedial Action Workplan
- for landfill 8 and 9. Subsequently they approved it for
- 15 10.
- 16 The contractor HSR was selected and
- 17 construction began on what we call the PHSH sites,
- 18 landfill 10, 8 and graded area 9.
- 19 At the same time, we completed supplemental
- 20 investigations at fillsite 1 and landfill 2. Those are
- 21 the sites at Tennessee Hollow. We refer to them as
- 22 RAP5A, and we submitted a certification request for RAP
- 23 site 4 following monitoring, and that was from earlier
- 24 RAP1.
- 25 Under the petroleum program, we actually

- 1 received closure for all tanks included in priority group
- 2 number 4, so a milestone there, and we submitted -- that
- 3 means that we've actually closed all tanks in 2, 3 and 4
- 4 at that point, and we submitted closure requests for
- 5 select tanks in 6 and I think we might have completed
- 6 submittals for all of tank priority group 6 at this
- 7 point. There may be one small set of tanks still
- 8 outstanding.
- 9 And in lead-based point in soil, we had
- 10 received some comments on some of our earlier submittals.
- 11 We had several meetings with DTSC and discussions, and
- it's not a major milestone, but we did agree on format
- 13 content for our closure request, and now are revising
- 14 some previous submittals and working towards some new
- 15 submittals that conform to that format.
- 16 This is the high-level picture of our
- 17 budget. I've been speaking to you with a picture in here
- 18 that we do have revised project budgets for virtually all
- of our projects included. So over a hundred individual
- 20 projects, the numbers have shifted.
- In aggregate, I believe our old estimate
- 22 was at one fifty-five two. Our estimate at completion?
- 23 Aggregate is now about 157.3 million dollars.
- Our original Army advance was 99 million,
- 25 so that means that we are looking at approximately 58

- 1 million in additional costs above what was provided in
- 2 the agreement with the Army.
- 3 That additional cost is offset by interest
- 4 received to date, about 19.2 million. Claims received,
- 5 some of the work that has been done is on unknown sites
- 6 where we have provisions to make claims against the Army
- 7 and against our insurance, Zurich.
- 8 We have received 5.4 million from that, and
- 9 then the Trust has also funded labor, so out of Trust
- operating dollars, not Army dollars, an additional 3.2
- 11 million.
- 12 So that means that we're looking at thirty
- 13 million in essence over the cash in hand to date, and we
- still anticipate that that overage would be covered under
- 15 our -- our RSL and real insurance policies with Zurich.
- 16 So I can give you a bit more detail on those numbers.
- 17 This breaks it out into the different
- 18 programs, and you can see it's still the same number.
- 19 They're not rounded anymore to the nearest hundred
- 20 thousand.
- So our estimate budget, our current new
- 22 budget, which is going to be reflected in our financial
- 23 system, is the 157.2 million dollars, and you can compare
- that to the original budget, which included a certain
- 25 amount of interest.

- 1 Accrued to date, we've spent 91 million
- dollars, and you can see through the programs, the
- 3 majority of that has been in CERCLA.
- 4 And we are estimating that we have about 65
- 5 million more left to spend.
- 6 Okay. In the last quarter, the projects
- 7 with the greatest activities or the greatest costs -- and
- 8 you remember that these are actual costs in, so these
- 9 are -- this is cash basis, not accrued, and they reflect
- 10 the projects we've been working on.
- Building 633, firing range, that was one of
- 12 the last construction sites on RAP3, so that invoice --
- final invoice has finally come in. That's why it shows
- 14 there.
- 15 Landfill 8/10, no surprise. Those are the
- ones we're working on now.
- 17 Landfill 2, not necessarily a surprise,
- 18 either, because we're kicking off and doing our
- 19 investigations there.
- 20 Groundwater monitoring was one of our
- 21 larger costs, and we had the quarterly invoice for DTSC
- 22 that came through. So those are the projects with the
- greatest activity for the quarter.
- That's what I have on numbers, and so I
- 25 want to pause and see if there are any specific questions

- on numbers. I did not -- and my own excuse is this is my
- 2 first day back from vacation.
- If you looked at the detail, you saw
- 4 individual new project budget numbers, and so if there
- 5 are any questions on that, I'd be more than happy to
- 6 discuss them, but basically to give you an overview,
- 7 projects changed anywhere from several millions of
- 8 dollars down to a few tens of dollars in both directions,
- 9 and what we had started about a year ago was a
- 10 comprehensive review of each of our project budgets.
- 11 And we did that with third party engineers
- helping us to review those estimates and came up with
- 13 revised estimates.
- 14 The thought is at that point that these
- 15 budgets are solid and they should not be significantly
- 16 changing from year to year, unless one of our assumptions
- or an unknown condition occurs.
- 18 Some of the projects that went up -- for
- 19 example, fillsite 1 and landfill 2 -- went up by several
- 20 millions of dollars, and that was because we made more I
- 21 think conservative assumptions about the classification
- of the wastes that we're going to be excavating, that
- 23 more of it will be Cal hazardous waste, and that caused
- 24 an increase.
- 25 Earlier estimates were a little more

- 1 generous than class 2, daily cover, and that hasn't been
- our experience as you're all aware of when they do
- 3 excavations. So that led to increased costs.
- 4 Other projects like landfill 10 and 8 came
- 5 down. They came down because we actually had engineer's
- 6 estimates and/or actual bids from the contractors that
- 7 allowed us to tweak our numbers and bring those overall
- 8 budget sometimes down.
- 9 Many of the projects were simply cleanup;
- i.e. the work's basically been done. There's a hundred
- 11 thousand in budgets sitting out there and we just matched
- 12 budget to actual in anticipation of closing out the
- 13 project.
- 14 So those are the three main reasons that
- 15 I'm see changes.
- 16 Overall, our estimate on known waste sites,
- 17 the enumerated sites, came down about three or four
- 18 million dollars.
- 19 The cost of unknown waste sites went up
- 20 about five, six million dollars, and that accounts for
- 21 the two or three million dollar difference in the overall
- 22 budget.
- The known waste sites we know more about,
- so we figure we can do a better estimate, and that's why
- 25 those numbers came down.

- 1 Unknown sites, we are obviously making a
- 2 lot more assumptions about, A, because we know much less
- 3 about them, but there's also the greater uncertainty in
- 4 those numbers, although we're conservative by the
- 5 increase. Depending on when we actually get into
- 6 those sites and do investigations, they could be much
- 7 lower. Unfortunately, they could be higher if some of
- 8 our assumptions don't go through, but we tried to be
- 9 conservative with those estimates.
- 10 MR. BUDROE: Can you back up two slides?
- 11 Okay. Accrued to date.
- MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- 13 MR. BUDROE: Does that mean actually
- 14 spent, encumbered or this is how much we think we're
- 15 going to spend?
- 16 MS. FANELLI: It means actually spent cash
- out the door and reasonably anticipated.
- 18 So if I have a contract, for example, then
- 19 it's not truly encumbered. If I've already let a
- 20 contract for a million dollars and we've already spent
- 21 \$200,000, the 200,000 shows up in here, but it will have
- 22 monthly accruals in it.
- So if there are things that we anticipate
- like salaries and those types of things that we can
- 25 predict on a monthly basis.

- 1 MR. BUDROE: Okay. Is that --
- 2 MS. FANELLI: So it's pretty much closest
- 3 to cash basically is what it is.
- 4 MR. BUDROE: All right. So you're almost
- 5 up to the one hundred million dollar point.
- MS. FANELLI: That's correct.
- 7 MR. BUDROE: Is most of that -- what
- 8 percentage of that just ballpark would you guess is going
- 9 to be allowable by Zurich?
- MS. FANELLI: 66 million is allowable by
- 11 Zurich at this point in time. So there is a gap. That
- 12 gap is made up from primarily the cost of the insurance
- policies originally, which combined seven, eight million
- dollars for the two, and the cost of Trust labor, which
- 15 at this point is not an allowable cost, and we're working
- 16 with Zurich on that.
- 17 I'm working with Zurich on that to see if
- 18 we can't get some of those costs to be allowable, because
- 19 I firmly believe that they should be. Not all of our
- labor costs, but a lot of them should be. Because
- 21 they're reasonable and necessary to do the work.
- Our project manager's time, for example,
- 23 administering contracts, that has to be done. It could
- 24 be done with a Trust laborer or it could be done with an
- 25 outside person.

- 1 So the argument to Zurich is it's
- 2 reasonable and necessary. You'd pay if it was an outside
- 3 consultant. If I just hire a contract employee, it's in
- 4 your benefit to cover it, and it's just cheaper if you
- 5 use Trust labor.
- 6 MR. BUDROE: Say Zurich plays hard ball.
- 7 Sorry. Nice argument, but we're not going for it.
- 8 You're 34 million under the trigger point.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: That's right.
- MR. BUDROE: Is there enough money in hand
- 11 to get to that point?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes, there is. According to
- my current calculations. Not a lot. It's pretty -- it's
- 14 a pretty tight band.
- 15 So we're focusing our efforts to spend our
- money on what is an allowable cost and not a non-
- 17 allowable costs.
- 18 So, for example, unknown sites we are
- deferring because they're not allowable costs. Any work
- on unknown sites is not allowable.
- 21 Under the RSL policy, there's the several
- 22 insured retention of a hundred million dollars. Those
- 23 are paid of the real policy.
- That's one reason why we're deferring work
- on unknown sites until later to make sure that we can get

- 1 to that hundred million dollars.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: On the sites where
- 3 there was a big change by the third party estimates, did
- 4 they give you backup detailing their changes?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Yes, they did. All of our
- 6 numbers are audited every year, as you are aware by -- I
- 7 always get the two middle letters mixed up. KPMG.
- 8 So we were audited last year on the project
- 9 that had changed, and last year we had a few. They were
- 10 main or the Baker Beach sites. But we passed with flying
- 11 colors.
- This year we changed virtually every
- 13 number. So we accept a fair amount of scrutiny from the
- auditors, but we do have a process in place for how
- 15 changes are made starting up the project, project manager
- up through my level, up through the CFO.
- 17 That process has been followed and the
- 18 documentation for the numbers is there, and so I
- 19 anticipate being audited at the close of fourth quarter,
- 20 which is September 30th.
- So in the October time frame, I imagine our
- 22 books will be gone through.
- But to answer your question, there is a
- fair amount of detail on the project.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: Is that -- if we came

- across a site in the materials you sent us that we wanted
- 2 to talk about further, could we ask you to --
- MS. FANELLI: You sure could.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: -- look at it?
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: It's a big program. There
- 7 are some challenges. I don't want to minimize getting to
- 8 the hundred million. It's what I think about on a daily
- 9 basis, to be honest with you, John, how do we get there.
- 10 It's in all of our interests to reserve all
- of our rights to keep -- get to that retention so that we
- 12 can enjoy what we paid for with the policy.
- 13 Okay. More status, RAP3. We submitted the
- 14 Draft Construction Completion Report, and that included
- 15 certification requests for a majority of those sites, the
- ones that don't have groundwater monitoring.
- 17 On RAP4, we are still ongoing with our
- 18 construction. We are still hoping for substantial
- 19 completion by October, although we are a couple weeks
- 20 behind schedule, and I will share that schedule with you
- 21 shortly, and we are hoping still to do CHP range
- 22 construction in the spring, but it probably will be -- I
- 23 should have changed that to summer.
- We're working with the Park Service on
- 25 getting those design documents completed.

- 1 On 5a, the Draft Data Report was issued,
- 2 and there was a meeting about it a couple weeks ago to
- 3 talk. We are still working on getting the Draft RAP out
- 4 in September.
- 5 Mountain Lake, the -- we do have a contract
- 6 ongoing with URS and they are still on target to have a
- 7 Draft RAP based on all of the data collected to date for
- 8 the excavation of the sediment in March 2010 time frame.
- 9 And we are -- we have on board a contract
- 10 now with -- signed an approved with Geosyntech to do the
- Draft RAP on landfill E, and that contract got actually
- 12 approved while I was on vacation.
- So they're just getting kicked off. So
- we'll be getting more information about that shortly.
- 15 Pictures. This is landfill 8. What this
- shows a picture of is the concrete question. So it turns
- 17 out there's a lot of -- not a surprise to any of us --
- 18 concrete rubble that has come up, plus the curbs that
- 19 have come up in the parking lot.
- This is the contractor's crushing
- operation, and he's crushing it to spec for use on the
- 22 surface of landfill 10.
- The specs as you remember require that that
- 24 activity take place on landfill 8. Basically for noise
- and to try not to be a nuisance to the neighbors.

- 1 So they transport that material from 10 to
- 2 8, crush it, but it's eventually going to be coming back
- 3 for placement.
- 4 It's a big pile, but all of it at this
- 5 point -- I was just asking Ryan today. All of it will
- 6 still get used to bring the top deck up to final design
- 7 grade.
- 8 You can see the cars behind. That's the
- 9 temporary parking area. Let's see if I can make this --
- 10 there it is. Back here. The temporary parking area is
- 11 being used.
- In addition, they've started to use some of
- 13 the area around the buildings. So that's been working
- 14 out all right.
- 15 The other half of the landfill is basically
- 16 covered with sand, and this last half will go after we
- 17 complete landfill 10, and those cars can be relocated
- 18 back.
- 19 This is the screening operation. This is
- 20 actually beyond landfill 10. One of the reasons we're a
- 21 little bit behind schedule is that their screen is a
- 22 little rickety and has had a few problems.
- What you can see here if you read our
- specifications, we have general fill and engineered fill,
- and it has size limitation on the size of the rock,

- 1 chunks that can be placed so they get proper compaction.
- 2 So they do two inch or four inch, and the
- 3 rock pile that you can -- you see here ultimately goes
- down to the crusher so that it can be placed.
- 5 So they're doing that -- they're doing that
- 6 work, and it's taking a little bit longer.
- 7 I pushed them and we're looking for ways to
- 8 accelerate it because we know that it's not a pretty
- 9 operation and we'd like to be done sooner rather than
- 10 later.
- This is a view of the slope of landfill 10.
- 12 It is fairly close to grade, but there's still some more
- 13 cutting.
- 14 If you notice the road at the bottom,
- that's been their access, and so there are -- you can
- 16 sort of see them on here -- stakes, and the stakes have
- 17 flags and markings on them, and that marks the final
- 18 grade, and what's going to happen is they're going to --
- 19 in the near future -- next week, I hope -- be working
- their way out and taking this road out, and then the
- 21 stakes that are in here -- like there's a couple of them
- 22 -- have marks.
- The slope will continue down to the design
- 24 base, and that road will actually disappear when they're
- done. And so you'll see a smooth slope.

- 1 Then they'll put their fabric on it for
- 2 erosion control and they'll bring some sand -- the sand
- 3 in and toe that will be part of the dune habitat.
- 4 This is the tail end of the key trench, and
- 5 I can show you some photos of that, as well.
- 6 This is the excavator working on the key
- 7 trench. It's going all right, although it's a little bit
- 8 behind schedule. There was a little hiccup on some bad
- 9 elevation data from the contractor that caused about a
- day, day and a half of reworking to take out some gravel
- 11 that they had placed and dig it a little bit deep deeper,
- 12 but we're hoping that this work will be done in the next
- 13 couple of days.
- 14 Over the holidays, the Bay Bridge was
- 15 closed, as you all know, so we weren't receiving trucks
- with the granular fill. We'll be hopefully closing that
- 17 up.
- 18 You can see a lot of the material
- 19 stockpiled on the top deck. It gives you an idea of when
- 20 we get
- 21 that -- I can't tell you what the final grade is, but
- it's still going to come up a fair amount up there.
- So it will give you an idea of -- from this
- 24 perspective what you'll see of the building.
- 25 And then of course it will be vegetated and

- 1 planted.
- This is a closer picture of the key trench.
- 3 What you see in front of you there is a very robust
- 4 portion of the concrete pipe. This is the Mountain Lake
- 5 overflow pipeline and the manhole.
- The manhole will actually be increased.
- 7 The elevation will come up two feet from its current
- 8 elevation when we get to final grade.
- 9 There was one mishap, and in the
- 10 foreground, you can see the pipe is busted. That's where
- 11 that root ball was that we had cleaned out earlier, and
- we're discussing with the contractor who broke the pipe,
- 13 but it is being replaced with HTPE, and it's a very short
- 14 segment, about twenty feet, but the rest of the pipe is
- 15 fairly robust looking at it from the outside, and plus we
- 16 have the inside video. So that repair will be made.
- 17 You can see some of those stakes there with
- 18 the markings that actually will show the final grade that
- 19 the contractor uses to put soil on there.
- MR. CHESTER: I have one question.
- MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- MR. CHESTER: In looking at the photos,
- that one slope, it's a large volume of soil, and if you
- remember, can you remind me how much for that landfill
- 25 10, how many cubic yards?

- 1 MS. FANELLI: Were cut?
- 2 MR. CHESTER: Well, just comprises the
- 3 whole landfill.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Oh.
- 5 MR. CHESTER: That whole hospital's
- 6 sitting on artificial fill and they kind of built it out.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: Not the whole hospital.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Not the whole hospital.
- 9 There's a -- but a big chunk of it. Not the new hospital
- 10 itself. It's actually on a little bit of sand fill,
- 11 earth fill and some engineered foundation material.
- 12 The debris rubble fill really goes a little
- 13 bit past Wedemeyer on to the property, and then makes up
- 14 the slope in the parking lot area.
- 15 MR. CHESTER: Kind of goes back in a
- wedge.
- 17 MR. BOGGS: It kind of curves around there
- in back. So it's probably -- the closest. It's probably
- 19 fifty feet away from the hospital. There really is --
- 20 probably on the other side of Wedemeyer, it goes maybe
- 21 ten to twenty feet.
- MR. CHESTER: So is there a ballpark of
- 23 the cubic yards?
- MS. FANELLI: There is. It's actually
- 25 stated in the F/S and in the RAP. I cannot for the life

- 1 of me remember.
- 2 MR. BOGGS: It's a very large amount.
- 3 It's a couple acre site.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: We were cutting
- 5 approximately eight, 10,000 yards and replacing a few --
- 6 you remember we had that discussion of you can't cut it
- 7 everywhere. You have to build out some.
- 8 So there was cut, some engineered fill to
- 9 get to the design grade, and then on the top, we're
- 10 actually bringing in more fill.
- 11 So the excess from the slope went on top,
- and then we're bringing in some additional fill to get it
- 13 to the design.
- 14 MR. CHESTER: Yeah. When you see it with
- an uncovered bare slope, it's a big bald face.
- MS. NEWTON: Bare slope.
- 17 MS. FANELLI: Okay. This is just a close-
- 18 up so you can see how the key trench is being designed.
- 19 It consists of a design gravel and that material in
- 20 between is a tenser fabric. So that gives it more
- 21 stability in a seismic event.
- 22 This is very robust in my -- in my
- 23 experience in building things. So this is going to give
- 24 that slope, the cover a lot of strength. Very robust.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: And when does the

- 1 actual cover soil get integrated into this?
- MS. FANELLI: As soon as they get that
- 3 road taken out. They're going to bring in the cover
- 4 soil, and I'm really hoping that that's happening next
- 5 week.
- But they're ready to roll on the cover
- 7 soil.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Do you guys have any
- 9 questions?
- 10 MR. MILLER: No. Just listening. This
- is -- our role is slightly different here. So we're
- 12 happy to know about the project.
- 13 MR. SCHMEYER: He lives right near it.
- 14 MR. MILLER: I see it everyday.
- 15 But you could explain what the key trench
- 16 is?
- 17 MS. FANELLI: The key trench is -- that's
- 18 a good question. So if you remember when we did the --
- 19 we did the design, it's based on the materials at the toe
- of the landfill, and there was an assumption that we all
- 21 believed based on the data we had that all of the sand at
- 22 the toe -- the landfill rubble was sitting on native
- 23 sand, dune sands.
- Well, it turns out in this corner area, it
- 25 wasn't sitting on native sands. It was sitting on sands,

- 1 but they were fill sands, and they weren't compacted or
- didn't have the same strength properties as the native
- 3 sands.
- 4 So to make sure that -- I'm not a geo-
- 5 technical engineer, but the engineers look at the
- 6 stability of the cover based on what's given it support,
- 7 the sand at the toe, and they do a calculation and they
- 8 look for displacement under certain seismic events.
- 9 Well, they found that with that sand, which
- 10 they called at that point an uncertified sand, wouldn't
- give them as much support as they wanted or needed
- 12 under -- under the regulations that we're holding
- 13 ourselves to.
- So to fix that, they needed to excavate and
- 15 basically recompact that material, and that's what this
- 16 is.
- 17 It's not actually -- it's a little bit
- more robust than just compacting. We excavated it and we
- 19 brought in new material, and all of this has different
- 20 purposes. One is for strength. That's the primary.
- 21 Second is for hydraulic relief.
- There's some concern that because there are
- 23 natural springs in this area, we didn't want to create
- something where we would get hydraulic pressure
- 25 underneath compacted earth.

- 1 So the gravel and the fabric really serve
- 2 to dissipate any potential for hydraulic pressures for
- 3 the subsurface.
- 4 MR. MILLER: How deep is that? It looks
- 5 like --
- MS. FANELLI: It's about five feet. It's
- 7 about five feet tall. So there's about three feet of
- 8 this gravel and fabric and then there'll be about two
- 9 feet of recompacted sand that will be put back -- native
- 10 sand that's piled there now.
- 11 You see it. Pushed back on top. Once this
- 12 gravel is in place.
- 13 And then after that, there will actually be
- dune sands placed on for habitat purposes.
- 15 MR. MILLER: When they do the engineer --
- if this isn't in the agenda, please excuse us. When they
- 17 did the agenda, is it for both sides of the trench or for
- the slope that's been cut?
- MS. FANELLI: It's primarily for the slope
- 20 that's been cut.
- 21 However, this will support both sides and
- give it more strength, but they didn't run calculations
- for the other side. In part, because we weren't changing
- 24 it.
- 25 From a geotechnical standpoint, the

- 1 geometry from the stability standpoint.
- 2 MR. MILLER: Will it go back to the way it
- 3 was?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: It goes back basically to
- 5 the same height. Actually, in this case, it goes back a
- 6 little higher.
- 7 MR. MILLER: Can go back to that photo?
- 8 MS. FANELLI: It's going to go back
- 9 higher. Because ultimately this is going to come up two
- 10 feet, and so there'll be sand from here and then refilled
- 11 back into here.
- MS. NEWTON: The manhole's coming up two
- 13 feet?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. We're going to put an
- 15 extension up two feet.
- 16 MR. MILLER: So they get filled up to that
- 17 plus that?
- 18 MS. FANELLI: Plus higher. It will be
- 19 filled up higher. There is a set of design drawings and
- 20 I'd be more than happy to share those with you that show
- 21 the final design contours.
- 22 Yeah. It comes up because -- for a variety
- of reasons, but the design grade has it higher, so we
- don't want to bury it.
- 25 So we're going to bring it up and then

- 1 we're going to add a bolted down manhole cover. This one
- doesn't currently bolt, so it will be a more secure
- 3 manhole when we're done.
- 4 Okay. This is the construction schedule.
- 5 We're hoping to finish that key trench this week, and
- 6 we're hoping to finish screening the materials on the top
- 7 deck.
- Next week, we're hoping to place the cover
- 9 soil on the slope, and then import additional material to
- 10 the top deck. So that would be any of the additional
- sands that we're bringing in on Mason Street and the
- 12 aggregate base.
- 13 The following week, we're going to continue
- 14 to place cover soil. It will probably take more than a
- 15 week to do that, get the sand at the toe, and hopefully
- 16 get to base grades at the end of the month.
- We were hoping to be complete -- be
- 18 substantially complete with the parking lot by the end of
- 19 September, and that's what the contract -- what the
- 20 contractor currently says.
- 21 There have been some delays that are not to
- 22 his account, so they probably will extend about two
- weeks.
- So what we're looking at is October 25th,
- 25 the end of the month, which is about a two-week delay,

- 1 and that would be when the asphalt is down and we can
- 2 turn the parking lot back over and let folks park on it
- 3 while we finish up 8 and graded area 9.
- 4 One thing to point out just so you're aware
- 5 as part of the top deck work, a portion of Wedemeyer
- 6 that -- if you know when you drive down it, you get into
- 7 that sag area. As you kind of come around and then you
- 8 go out 15th, we're raising that.
- 9 So there'll probably be a week period of
- 10 time where there'll be a detour on to the new top deck
- 11 surface on to 15th while that part of the road is being
- 12 built up, and then -- and what's controlling that
- schedule to a certain extent is curbs and gutters, which
- 14 apparently -- I'm not an expert on curbs and gutters, but
- 15 it takes sometime for that cement to cure properly once
- 16 they pour it before they put the asphalt down next to it.
- 17 We're working with the contractor and all
- 18 the other construction folks in the district to figure
- 19 that out, but just so you know, there will be some type
- of a detour.
- 21 Hopefully that won't impact our schedule
- 22 too much to get that done.
- But we're still pushing for the end of
- October, but that is about a two-week delay from what
- we've showed you before.

- 1 I'm hoping that if we can get 10 buttoned
- 2 up, we can get double crews moving on 8 and 9 and finish
- 3 that up for sure.
- 4 And then the landscaping is -- basically
- 5 that landscaping that's included in the specifications
- 6 that some of the shrubs and trees, which is in
- 7 primarily -- it's all in area B at this point in time.
- 8 The contractor will have that time frame to
- 9 do that at the direction of our landscaping folks that
- 10 will tell them how and where and when they want to do
- 11 that.
- 12 Okay.
- 13 MS. CHEEVER: Was some of that area A and
- who will do the landscaping, what is the schedule for
- 15 that?
- 16 MS. FANELLI: Brian can talk about that.
- 17 They're growing and propagating plants.
- 18 MR. ULLENSVANG: It will probably be a
- 19 combination of volunteers and contractor labor. We're
- 20 still working with the Trust. There's an issue with the
- 21 weeds and the cover soil and we're still working that out
- 22 with the Trust, which will have some impact on the
- 23 planting.
- MS. FANELLI: But the erosion control
- 25 fabric and waddles, et cetera meet all of our erosion

- 1 requirements for the slope, and that was done
- 2 purposefully, and it provides some time for volunteers to
- 3 figure out how the slope will be planted and get the
- 4 plants. Whatever's the best timing for the plants given
- 5 the rains, et cetera.
- 6 MR. ULLENSVANG: Mm-hmm.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: Okay. I don't have a lot
- 8 new on fillsite 1, landfill 2, other than we have the
- 9 Draft Data Report out and we did have a few discussions.
- I was not at that meeting. I was on
- 11 vacation, and we are working on our original date for the
- 12 Draft RAP was September 18th.
- 13 We do have a Draft RAP in hand. We're
- 14 reviewing it with the consultants, but we want to make
- 15 sure we understand comments and concerns.
- 16 And so that 18th date might be pushed out a
- 17 week or so, but we're still looking towards the end of
- 18 September to get that out, and that's just the Draft RAP
- 19 for stakeholder and everyone to review.
- 20 It has been -- continues to be coordinated
- 21 with Planning, who has the conceptual design of the ball
- 22 fields that -- the practice ball field and parking lot
- 23 moving next to Caulfield.
- 24 The natural resources, Mark Frey's the
- 25 point person on that in terms of the plantings, and our

- 1 historic forest restoration, which is Peter Ehrlich.
- 2 Many of you have met him.
- 3 MR. MILLER: Has the planting started for
- 4 the ball field reworking?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Not at all. What we're
- 6 trying to do, those are the future land uses.
- 7 The goal of remediation is to remove that
- 8 which is contaminated and do it in a way which
- 9 facilitates all of the future land uses to the extent we
- 10 can.
- 11 MR. MILLER: The process hasn't started?
- MS. FANELLI: There are conceptual designs
- 13 of the ball field. And I believe they are in the Draft
- 14 Data Report.
- 15 There are some schematics that show the
- layout of the practice field and the parking lot.
- 17 That's correct; right? In terms of the
- 18 plan view maps.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: I recall that it shows
- 20 the small practice field --
- MS. FANELLI: Yes.
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: -- you know, where
- that's to be, but I don't recall the parking lot as such.
- MS. FANELLI: It's right next to the
- 25 practice field. So that sort of layout is included in

- there, and there's a trail that goes around it.
- 2 MR. MILLER: Is that an approved master
- 3 plan or what's conceptual of what's being thought about?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: It's conceptual, but what
- 5 has been envisioned in Tennessee Hollow EA, which was
- 6 approved by the Trust through a separate environmental
- 7 NEPA process.
- 8 The way we do our work is -- landfill 10 is
- 9 an example. Depending on the status of where others are
- in their projects, if we can find efficiencies by
- 11 combining remediation with other work we do.
- So, for example, the remediation is laying
- 13 back the slope and putting in the parking lot, but a lot
- of the additional fill for that design grade, a lot of
- 15 amenities, the features of the asphalt are being paid for
- out of a separate budget, but they're not really
- 17 remediation, per se, but they're parts of other plans.
- 18 The same could be true with the ball field
- and the parking lot, and I don't have good information
- 20 for you tonight.
- 21 Allison Stone is the project manager on
- 22 that, and where they are funding-wise, to the extent that
- 23 they want to do prep work or add things into our
- contract, just because there's efficiency in that if they
- 25 will do that.

- 1 The same thing could happen with the native
- 2 forest restoration or the native plants. That's sort of
- 3 a design thing, though.
- 4 We get to that when we get into design.
- 5 Right now we're just talking about the remediation action
- 6 plan. That will go through its process and then we'll
- 7 get into formal design.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: The most we've had is
- 9 basically the same square on the map that you saw in the
- Tennessee Hollow project, but they don't get into the
- field design or what it's made out of or the surrounding
- 12 or any of that stuff.
- MR. MILLER: Not timing and all of that?
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: No.
- 15 MS. FANELLI: The timing is, though -- my
- 16 understanding is to build the field after we finish
- 17 restoration. So I think the goal is to get that field
- 18 constructed and upgraded in 2011.
- 19 MR. MILLER: 2011.
- MS. FANELLI: Or 12.
- 21 MR. MILLER: So the restoration is
- 22 budgeted. It's time scheduled. Not restoration, but
- 23 remediation?
- MS. FANELLI: The remediation will happen
- 25 right now. It's right now it's scheduled for 2011. I

- don't have the engineer's construction schedule timing
- 2 estimate at this point, but we're presuming that will
- 3 take it into spring, April through October, and --
- 4 MR. SCHMEYER: October 2001?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: October 2001, we should be
- 6 done.
- 7 Now, I don't know the details of the ball
- 8 field restoration, whether that is amenable in wintertime
- 9 or whether that would go the following -- following
- 10 season, 2012.
- 11 MR. SCHMEYER: Does somebody get involved
- in the planning of that, to help providing help on that
- or is that a topic in the future?
- MS. FANELLI: I would direct you to e-mail
- 15 Allison Stone, astone@presidiotrust.gov, because I know
- 16 there is a lot of interest in that, and I'm not sure what
- 17 their plan is.
- 18 MR. FREY: You mentioned the schedule. I
- 19 think you should have said 2010.
- MS. FANELLI: I'm sorry. You're
- 21 absolutely right. Thank you, Mark. I'm living a year
- 22 ahead.
- It's 2009 now. Next year, 2010 one when
- 24 we're going to do this construction. So we're planning
- 25 from spring of 2010, and late 2010, this work -- and I

- 1 know that there's other discussions with Planning on how
- 2 they're going to do that and how they're going to do some
- 3 other upgrades to the field, et cetera, and I don't know
- 4 if she's contemplating grouping them or not.
- 5 These pictures are what you've seen before.
- 6 They're from the data report. So I apologize on not
- 7 having any new information for you. But it just shows
- 8 you the extent of some of the debris fill.
- 9 These were sections that are included in
- 10 the data report if you wanted to look at them. This is
- 11 one we saw last time.
- The red line on there is the approximate
- 13 limits of the contaminated material that would come out
- in this section. Right. So you see it.
- 15 It's primarily the green stuff on top,
- 16 which is the debris, and then some of the sandy material,
- 17 fillers underlying it that have lesser amounts of debris.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: I have been going
- 19 through the logs -- I'm not ready to give you my full set
- of comments on that, but this pink layer is not really
- 21 sand from what I've been looking at. It seems like it's
- 22 a lot more heavy gravel type material.
- So -- but I want to give you more detailed
- 24 comments on that.
- MS. FANELLI: Okay.

- 1 Landfill 2 is located to the west, and
- 2 same -- same type of issues here.
- 3 There's a limit of some of the debris, and
- 4 then we have some sections. Same concept. There's a red
- 5 line on there which is the approximate limits of the
- 6 excavation.
- 7 In this situation, we have native material
- 8 pretty much directly underneath the debris fill, whereas
- 9 there is fill, sand or gravel, sand and gravel beneath
- 10 the debris fill, the contaminated stuff.
- Okay. So that's that -- our schedule here,
- we're looking to get that Draft RAP out, and then we
- begin the design process.
- 14 Mountain Lake is the other site that we're
- 15 working on. URS is working on that data review, and we
- 16 hope to have a Draft RAP out for folks to be looking at
- in March, and we'll be talking about it before the Draft
- 18 RAP comes out.
- 19 Yes.
- MR. O'HARA: Any update on the litigation?
- MS. FANELLI: The litigation is ongoing,
- both with Caltrans and with Zurich, and I don't actually
- 23 have any -- any detailed update, other than the
- 24 information that you received before, which was the case
- 25 management order.

- 1 It's in discovery for Caltrans, and I
- 2 believe we're going to go to another round of settlement
- discussions, but I don't really actually even have the
- 4 schedule for that.
- 5 MR. BOGGS: It's in discovery for Zurich.
- 6 They've subpoenaed our documents for building 937, 933 as
- 7 well as Mountain Lake, Presidio Park Boulevard, et
- 8 cetera. So our files are set up in chronological files
- 9 back to 1980.
- 10 So with the subpoenas from Zurich, I have
- 11 to go back to documents to the 1980s and look for all the
- 12 keywords in every document in my agency.
- So I had quite a task at hand.
- MS. FANELLI: Bob's been busy.
- 15 MR. BOGGS: And Ethan with -- who's
- representing Zurich is a nice guy, but yes, I just
- 17 carted -- it took them three and a half weeks to copy the
- 18 documents. They had a copy service come in and copy all
- 19 the documents pride. It was four carts full.
- MR. O'HARA: I was prompted to ask because
- 21 obviously depending on how the litigation resolves
- itself, there are going to be significant differences in
- 23 the costs of the remediation. The cost will remain the
- 24 same, but it's a question of --
- MS. FANELLI: Right.

- 1 MR. O'HARA: -- who pops for them, and I'm
- 2 assuming it's a bit too early to take those different
- 3 scenarios and factor them into your budgets.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Our costs include the -- my
- 5 budget includes the cost of remediation of Mountain Lake.
- 6 So in that 157 million is the cost of
- 7 remediation, but you're absolutely right. How it affects
- 8 cash flow and who pays for what and when it happens will
- 9 tell you a lot depending on how the lawsuit's going.
- I can tell you that Zurich has endorsed us
- 11 completing the RAP at a reasonable and necessary cost
- 12 under the RSL policy for Mountain Lake.
- We think it will facilitate resolution
- because then we'll have a solution that has been approved
- by the regulatory agencies. So that's one reason why
- we're going forward.
- 17 MR. O'HARA: And you'll have real dollars
- 18 that you can --
- 19 MS. FANELLI: We'll have better estimates
- of what the cost actual costs are because we'll have at
- 21 least preliminary designs.
- Our petroleum. Let me go quickly --
- MR. O'HARA: Thank you.
- MS. FANELLI: You're welcome.
- 25 Basically, we're through with priority 6

- for the most part. And 7 and 8, we're beginning to get
- 2 proposals to get those.
- 3 Those tanks, if you remember -- 7 are some
- 4 of the mini -- the mini cap sites, and the vast majority
- 5 of those have been taken care of.
- There may be a couple in 7 that we need to
- 7 do additional work on.
- 8 is a list of maybe tanks, unknown tanks.
- 9 We're doing some documentation and working with the
- 10 Regional Board to determine if they were, one, even
- 11 present, and if they are, then they will be recovered.
- 12 970/971 closure request is in internal
- 13 review, and we're hoping to work through that in the next
- 14 several weeks.
- The 1349 construction completion report is
- in preparation, and I'm hoping that will be completed in
- the next couple weeks, as well.
- 18 207/231, you're up to speed on. These are
- 19 the same words that I had last month, basically.
- 20 We are trying to work with Caltrans to
- leverage the work that they're doing to help us in
- 22 particular around the historic wall, as you saw it, in
- 23 building 228, excavate contaminated soil, and if we can't
- do that or if we can't get it all, then to treat what's
- 25 remaining with in situ treatment, but the agreement with

- 1 Caltrans and the Trust is they're going to do some
- 2 seismic stabilization of 228.
- If they do that, then that gives us a
- 4 really wonderful opportunity to excavate soil next to it
- 5 because we won't be damaging the building.
- This is the picture. You've seen it
- 7 before, but it shows the wall in question, and the issue
- 8 is if Caltrans stabilizes this saggy building by
- 9 underpinning this foundation, and they're also going to
- 10 pin the wall, then we can actually excavate this
- 11 contaminated material in here.
- 12 Whereas right now we're looking to do in
- situ treatment because of the potential damage to this
- building and potential damage to the wall.
- 15 And Caltrans has been very proactive and
- 16 helpful.
- 17 This is just a little section that gives
- 18 you that same thing. What Caltrans is looking at doing
- 19 is driving piers or drilling pier footings in here to
- 20 support the wall, putting some piers here and then tying
- 21 the wall back to it.
- 22 With those supported, we can dig a lot of
- this contaminated material up.
- Lead-based paint. We've actually been
- 25 busy, although it never really makes the news. We've

- 1 been completing soil excavation around building 104.
- 2 That's the Disney Family Museum.
- I think we've nipped that one. We're just
- 4 putting together the final report.
- 5 We were doing some final cleanup around
- 6 building 43. There had been some phase of cleanup and
- 7 some additional utility work right there and we did the
- 8 rest of it.
- 9 We've been working with DTSC to update our
- 10 lead-based paint schedule, and we have about half of the
- building scheduled, but the other half we're working
- internally to determine when building stabilization, the
- paint stabilization and landscaping will occur when we
- 14 get the rest of the calendar.
- 15 And then we've got three new -- two new
- 16 contractors on board -- those contracts again were signed
- 17 while I was on vacation -- to help us with the closure
- 18 requests, and so we're going to start getting those for
- 19 buildings that have been completed spit out we hope on a
- 20 regular basis in the next several months.
- The two new contractors are PES
- 22 Environmental out of Novato and EMB America.
- Next quarter, I'm hoping that we're
- 24 substantially completed at the PHSH sites, that we're in
- 25 the final design stages on CHP range and hopefully

- 1 getting ready to shoot documents for bid, and that we
- 2 have a Draft RAP out for folks to be reviewing.
- I'm hoping to get a contractor on board to
- finish up the priority 8 tanks, and then get some
- 5 additional closure submittals that we have scheduled
- 6 under review with DTSC out the door.
- 7 That's my report.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Questions? Any?
- 9 Thanks very much.
- MS. FANELLI: You're welcome.
- 11 FACILITATOR KERN: Bob, anything other
- 12 than going back through 29 years of documents?
- MR. BOGGS: Well, Mountain Lake will --
- 14 Caltrans, of course, is interested in Mountain Lake, as
- 15 well, and they presented their own ideas on what should
- or needs to be done.
- So I haven't seen the Trust proposal yet,
- 18 but I imagine Caltrans is going to have something to say
- 19 about it. So it will be an interesting.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: It will be a comment on the
- 21 Draft RAP.
- MR. BOGGS: They'll probably be involved
- 23 before that, as well.
- Because we will -- we'll have to talk about
- 25 how we move forward on all of that.

- 1 Been involved with landfill 10. Part of
- one of the hoops was because when I went out there, we
- 3 did some spot-checking of the slope and the trench, and
- 4 it wasn't quite what I thought was deep enough with my
- 5 estimate of my belly button and the step.
- 6 So they went back and had to do a little
- 7 work, so it was kind of tricky working beneath the water
- 8 level out there with some unstable sands and stuff, but I
- 9 think they're getting something in place.
- 10 We've also finished up our comments on the
- 11 Luckmer. The reference report. Our legal department
- 12 actually thinks it's a very good model. They'll probably
- use it in some other sites, as well.
- 14 That's coming along nicely. There's just a
- 15 couple final words.
- 16 MS. FANELLI: Are those the last two
- 17 things that --
- 18 MR. BOGGS: Yes.
- MS. FANELLI: I'll e-mail that to you.
- 20 MR. BOGGS: The other thing coming up with
- 21 the quarterly report, there -- we do have some concerns.
- We're going to -- my agency wants to push the Lobos Creek
- 23 investigation forward.
- 24 So those will probably be some ongoing
- 25 discussions towards the end of the month.

- 1 Also landfill 10, we had some community
- 2 concerns regarding trees and dust. We've since had them
- 3 put the dust monitor close to where the residences are
- 4 located.
- 5 I've checked it every time I've been out
- 6 there. It seems to be fine now, but with a gust of wind
- 7 coming up through that valley, it's really hard to --
- 8 they seem to be doing a good job at least while we're out
- 9 there, but on a windy day, it's hard to know when a gust
- 10 coming up through there might have pushed some dust in an
- in opportune time for the residents.
- 12 I think that's it for my update.
- 13 FACILITATOR KERN: Any questions for Bob?
- 14 MR. BOGGS: Actually, I do have a little
- 15 bit. Mini's not here, but she's kind of taking over on
- 16 RAP5A, but I'll still be involved, as well.
- 17 In fact, we're meeting tomorrow to discuss
- 18 comments on the report as well as the schedule.
- MS. FANELLI: Okay.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: Will she be coming to
- 21 the daytime meetings?
- MR. BOGGS: Yes.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay.
- MR. BOGGS: And if we have RAB meetings
- 25 where -- closer to the RAP where it will be on the

- 1 agenda, she'll come to the RAB meeting, as well.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Is there
- 3 any new business tonight?
- 4 Action items. It would seem like
- 5 we're -- we have this quarterly report. It's been
- 6 e-mailed out. It would be good timing to go through that
- 7 and develop questions perhaps for our committee meeting
- 8 if we want to get into that in any more detail.
- 9 I have had some feedback that people are
- 10 enjoying these site visits, particularly while there's
- 11 construction going on, so we'll kind of monitor that, and
- 12 perhaps for our next committee meeting, we could do
- 13 another one.
- 14 If we see any major things happening in the
- field, we'll propose a site visit.
- 16 MR. BUDROE: The days are getting shorter,
- so any field trips you do, probably better to do them
- 18 sooner than later.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: That's a good point.
- 20 All right. Let's see. Agenda items.
- 21 We're going to be tracking the RAP when it comes out, and
- we may want to put on the agenda the data report for
- fillsite 1, landfill 2 to talk about, get into some
- 24 detail on that.
- 25 And we'll be finishing up construction over

- 1 the next few weeks. About -- about seven to eight weeks
- 2 from now is your hope.
- 3 MS. FANELLI: Yes.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: We'll be tracking that
- 5 with you. So those will be on the agenda.
- Any other agenda items, please get them
- 7 over to Mark.
- 8 Any other items before we close for the
- 9 good of the order? Yes.
- 10 MS. GEE: I had a question. It may have
- 11 slipped my mind, but what is the status of those -- the
- 12 Summit meetings? There was one scheduled and then it
- 13 was, I guess, indefinite.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: And we did have the
- 15 Summit meeting.
- MS. FANELLI: We had one.
- 17 FACILITATOR KERN: We had it.
- 18 MS. GEE: When is the next one?
- MS. FANELLI: It hasn't been scheduled
- 20 yet. I think there was discussion sometime this month,
- 21 but it has not been calendared.
- I think maybe around the time of the RAP,
- 23 the Draft RAP. It hasn't been calendared.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: It's a good item that
- 25 you bring up, so we will get that on our agenda to get

- 1 that date set.
- 2 Yes, Barbara.
- 3 MS. NEWTON: If we're planning on trying
- 4 to maybe squeeze in another field trip before it gets too
- dark early, maybe it will be interesting to do something
- 6 regarding the upcoming RAP.
- 7 Maybe have an introduction to the site
- 8 itself. Not that we're going to see any construction,
- 9 but just --
- 10 So then we talk about it, we'll know more
- 11 what's where.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: So fillsite 1, landfill
- 13 2 type review?
- MS. NEWTON: Yes.
- 15 MS. FANELLI: We are moving forward on the
- 16 tree removal, which would happen in advance. So I don't
- know where they are in that planning, but I know Kennedy
- Jenks is working on the -- on those contract documents.
- So there might be some additional
- 20 information on that tree removal.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: Mark, do you know
- 22 anything about when the tree removal might happen?
- MR. FREY: Not so much. Genevieve last
- 24 said October/November.
- 25 MS. FANELLI: That's what we're banking

- 1 for.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: That's going to be a
- 3 big visual impact for people to take in around that area.
- 4 So as we approach -- that's roughly a month away -- that
- 5 word will need to get out to the various organizations.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: There's actually -- I should
- 7 add. There is new business I wasn't thinking about.
- 8 There is going to be information available and flyers are
- 9 going to be mailed to folks, and there are two on
- 10 Saturdays, I think October 3rd at 10:00 -- I have it in
- my day planner -- and October 17th.
- There'll be some other ones, especially for
- 13 tenants, the folks that live in the neighborhoods. Yeah.
- 14 The 3rd, 10:00 to 11:30, the 17th, 10:00 to 11:30, and
- then there's a series of some special walks and an
- invitation for our residents and neighbors, and
- 17 they'll -- at the end of the month, the week of the 21st.
- 18 So that's something you might look for, and
- if you're interested, attend.
- MR. SCHMEYER: For what sites?
- MS. FANELLI: The fillsite 1, landfill 2
- 22 sites. That will be where the tree removal, the ball
- 23 field will be constructed.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: These might be good
- 25 meetings to check out.

1	MS. FANELLI: I'll send you copies of the
2	flyer when I get it, but my e-mail is
3	efanelli@presidiotrust.gov.
4	If you e-mail me, I'll make sure you get a
5	copy.
6	MR. SCHMEYER: Appreciate it.
7	FACILITATOR KERN: Any other items?
8	Very good. Without objection, meeting
9	adjourned. Thanks for coming out tonight. Appreciate
10	everyone's participation.
11	(The meeting concluded at 8:14 PM).
12	000
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )				
3					
	I, the undersigned	d, hereby certify that the			
4					
	discussion in the foregoing	meeting was taken at the time			
5					
	and place therein stated; th	nat the foregoing is a full,			
6					
	true and complete record of said matter.				
7					
	I further certify	that I am not of counsel or			
8					
^	attorney for either or any o	of the parties in the			
9					
1.0	foregoing meeting and caption	on named, or in any way			
10	interpolated in the sutcome of				
11	interested in the outcome of	the cause named in said			
ТТ	action.				
12	action.				
13					
14		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have			
15		hereunto set my hand this			
16		day of,			
17		2009.			
18					
19		Mark I. Brickman CSR No. 5527			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	
3	ORIGINAL
4	UllulinaL
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2009
17	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
18	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR License No. 5527
25	
	Page 1

1	ATTENDEES
2	RAB Members:
3	Doug Kern, Facilitator
4	Mark Youngkin Eileen Fanelli
5	Terri Thomas Brian Ullensvang
6	Bob Boggs Sara Segal
7	Gloria Gee Jan Monaghan
8	Julie Cheever Julian Hultgren
9	John Budroe Michelle Passero
10	Jan Blum Toni Kramer
	Jim Ketcham (voted in as new member)
11	
12	
13	000
14	
15	
16	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
17	the Meeting, and on October 13, 2009, at the Officer's
18	Club, Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California,
19	before me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of
20	California, there commenced a RAB meeting under the
21	provisions of the Presidio Trust.
22	000
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2

1	AGENDA		
2		Page	
3	1) Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern:	4	
4	2) Agenda Discussion and Approval:	4	
5	3) Announcements and Old Business:	4	
6	4) Committee Business:		
7	A. Planning Committee Report - Mark Young	kin 4	
8	B. Jim Ketcham membership application	5, 37	
9	5) Reports and Discussions:		:
10	A. Landfill 10 Update - Eileen Fanelli	10	
11	6) Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs		
12	Robert Boggs, California DTSC	56	
13	Agnes Farres (Not present)		
14	7) New Business	52	
15	8) Review of Action Items (None)		
16	9) Agenda Items for upcoming Committee Meetir	ng &	
17	Next RAB Meeting (None)		
18	10) Adjournment:	60	
19			
20			
21			
22			:
23			
24			
25			
			Page 3

FACILITATOR KERN: Welcome, everyone to 1 the October Presidio Restoration Advisory Board meeting 2 for 2009. Thanks very much for coming out on this 3 4 blustery night, but it's also -- right at the moment we 5 were trying to get here, it seemed like it eased up just for us, so give thanks for that. 6 7 I'd like to thank members of the regulatory community for being here tonight and Park Service and 8 9 Trust and members -- community members of the Restoration 10 Advisory Board and any members of the public that are here tonight. Thanks for being here. 11 12 Are there any -- I don't know -- additions, 13 changes to tonight's agenda? We should move on, then. 14 Any announcements or old business? 15 Very good. We can move on with the Planning Committee report, and at the last Planning 16 Committee, we met at El Pollin Loop and took a walk --17 another walk around to fillsite 1 and landfill 2. 18 19 I think one of the big things we noticed --20 I think we may have talked about with someone -- were the pink dots on all the trees, and then there were some new 21 pieces of ribbon around trees. 22 23 So a lot of markings on trees, a lot of 24 trees to be coming down eventually. 25 Other than that, there wasn't a lot of

- 1 other things except it's a big area and it will be quite
- 2 an impact when all that gets done.
- 3 The next Planning Committee meeting is in a
- 4 couple weeks on Tuesday and we'll -- we'll pick it up
- 5 again then and see what we have on the agenda for that.
- 6 We have tonight a -- an application for new
- 7 member. The new member hasn't arrived quite yet, so make
- 8 it a little more easy for me actually to talk about him.
- 9 I'd be happy to tell you about him when
- 10 he's here, though.
- I was able to meet with Jim for over an
- 12 hour and talk about what the Restoration Advisory Board
- 13 does.
- I could say that he's a passionate Presidio
- 15 person. He's been very active in trying to get ball
- 16 fields on the Presidio. That would be his main interest.
- 17 Of course, I know many of you have other
- 18 interests here at the Presidio, as well, and so I
- 19 mentioned to him that we may not be able to get into the
- 20 discussion of the details of ball field design or
- 21 restoration design or really any of that.
- We can talk about those things as they
- 23 apply to the -- to a cleanup site, but there -- this is
- 24 not really a forum for advocating for those things, and I
- 25 went into that in some detail and he understood that.

25

1 He seemed to be quite interested in the cleanup and how that would relate to areas where kids 2 3 would play. So I found him to be quite a good potential candidate and I would -- I would certainly put forward to 5 6 the committee -- Selection Committee my recommendation that he be brought on. 7 So I guess while Jim is still not yet here, 8 9 it would be easy if any of you would like to ask me any questions about his application, and then if he shows up 10 later, we can ask him some things if you would like, or 11 if you don't, we could move on to vote. 12 13 But any questions? MS. MONAGHAN: Well, I did a little 14 profile and handed it out. He's reviewed that and 15 16 approved it --17 FACILITATOR KERN: Okav. 18 MS. MONAGHAN: -- just so you know, 19 because I had quoted off his application, so people were interested in what his interests were. 20 21 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. 22 MS. BLUM: Just so I personally feel 23 clear, he understands the separation between issues of 24 land use that have already been established by the

Page 6

Presidio Trust versus what the RAB does? He's pretty

- 1 clear on the division?
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: I think he is now quite
- 3 clear after talking. That was something I wanted to make
- 4 sure that he wasn't disappointed that he would get here
- 5 and want to advocate for certain things and not have an
- 6 outlet for that.
- 7 I didn't want to waste his time, and once
- 8 we got past that, he seemed quite comfortable with that,
- 9 so I was -- I was quite happy to find someone who would
- 10 be very interested and who would participate with this
- 11 group and attend and ask a lot of questions.
- He seemed to be someone who would engage
- 13 and ask questions about the process. He seemed to have
- 14 some frustration about moving the ball field issue
- 15 forward, and so I think this will provide at least some
- 16 information for him, much like it does in sort of an
- 17 ancillary way for
- 18 the -- the restoration issue.
- We don't get a lot of information here, but
- 20 occasionally some relevant things.
- 21 Other -- yes.
- MS. PASSERO: Where -- okay. He lives in
- 23 Inner Richmond; is that right?
- MS. MONAGHAN: Yeah.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: I believe that's right.

- 1 MS. PASSERO: Okay. And he's the athletic
- 2 director for University High.
- 3 University High uses -- currently leases
- 4 Paul Goode Field, the baseball field, so they're quite an
- 5 active user of the Presidio.
- 6 MS. BLUM: Does this present any conflict
- 7 of interest in your mind or his mind?
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: I -- I could not -- I
- 9 mean, Paul Goode Field, there is not really a remediation
- 10 issue there as far as I know.
- He has very strong views about ball fields
- 12 and would like to see more. He won't get a lot of
- 13 traction in this meeting. You know, we just won't have
- 14 -- that's not what we do here, and he knows it.
- 15 So I don't really see a conflict. There
- 16 may be some benefit in communicating to the folks that
- 17 are interested in ball fields about contamination issues
- 18 around recreational sites. So I see that as a benefit.
- Well, we can continue to discuss this. We
- 20 could wait and see if Jim -- he could be delayed and not
- 21 make it tonight. I'm not sure.
- We could vote on this now or -- or delay
- 23 it. It's really at your pleasure.
- 24 If anyone feels that they would like to
- 25 actually vote on this now, I would like to accept a

- 1 motion to that.
- 2 MS. PASSERO: I'll do a motion to vote for
- 3 his acceptance.
- 4 MS. MONAGHAN: I second.
- 5 MR. HULTGREN: What's the motion? I
- 6 couldn't understand it.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: The motion I think
- 8 would be to accept Jim Ketcham's application to become a
- 9 full RAB member.
- MR. HULTGREN: I would oppose that at this
- 11 time. I think if he's going to be here, we ought to let
- 12 him come in, and perhaps if he'd like to make a few
- 13 comments, that would be fine. If he doesn't, that's
- 14 fine, too, but I think we ought to see the man before we
- 15 vote on him.
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: I think that's a good
- 17 point, Julian.
- Well, if there are no objections, we can
- 19 either vote on it or the motion could be withdrawn
- 20 depending on your feeling.
- 21 MS. BLUM: Can we table the motion?
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: We can table it until
- 23 sometime --
- MS. BLUM: I suggest we table the motion.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: Very well. Without

- 1 objection, we'll set it aside until Jim arrives.
- 2 Thank you for your motion, Michelle.
- 3 MS. PASSERO: You're welcome.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Let us move
- 5 on into our reports. Landfill 10 update.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: Landfill 10 update. I have
- 7 a little bit more than landfill 10. I could briefly tell
- 8 you where we are on 5A because we gave you some dates
- 9 last month that haven't come to fruition, but I can give
- 10 you a little bit of information. Or petroleum program,
- 11 lead-based paint.
- Just kind of a quick review, or here --
- 13 this is our crushing operation at landfill 8. This pile
- 14 stayed nice. It's been sitting there at landfill 8,
- 15 which performed actually quite well today.
- Today was kind of a stressful day for all
- of us at the Trust, particularly on landfill 10 where we
- 18 have a lot of construction.
- So I'm going to show you some pictures here
- 20 of what the site looked like just a few days ago.
- 21 Actually went out and visited the site, took some
- 22 pictures, and then as I'm going through, I'll describe to
- 23 you what it looks like right now.
- I was just out at the site earlier today --
- 25 earlier -- late afternoon and just tell you where we

- 1 stand. The site didn't sustain any significant damage,
- 2 but it is quite wet.
- Our sand pile looks just like this, but --
- 4 darker from the rain, but weathered the storm well, too.
- 5 Here is just a picture of the bottom of the
- 6 shear trench being finalized and stuff being placed.
- 7 That trench is actually completed at this point in time
- 8 and is in good shape.
- 9 Those stakes that you see in the
- 10 foreground, you can't read them, but they are what we
- 11 would use or have been used as placement for the cover
- 12 slide.
- This is actually a picture of the
- 14 engineered fill being placed at the northwest end of the
- 15 landfill where we actually kicked out the slope a little
- 16 bit more. So this is -- I put this up here so
- 17 you could see a sheep's foot compactor -- little sheep's
- 18 foot on it.
- 19 That's the equipment that's been used to
- 20 compact soil, and then they use a density gauge or piece
- 21 of equipment like that to document they got the
- 22 compaction and the engineer's, SES signoff on it, but I
- 23 thought that was kind of an interesting picture.
- This is the slope, and you can see it looks
- 25 pretty nice. This is with at least one foot of soil

- 1 cover everywhere on it.
- We have basically a foot of soil
- 3 everywhere. You can see the area next to the residents.
- 4 There's another picture. It came very nice.
- 5 You can get a sense of the ditch that we
- 6 described so that any water now comes down, would go down
- 7 this channel and it keeps it away from the house.
- 8 So we modified this gradient just slightly
- 9 to keep any liquid away from the property boundary, any
- 10 rainfall. And I think --
- 11 . MS. BLUM: Did you have a chance to check
- 12 that this afternoon to see how it was working?
- MS. FANELLI: Well, yes. It was actually
- 14 working all right. The issue here is that -- of course,
- 15 we don't have any of the erosion control. So we did
- 16 actually have some erosion.
- I would say -- let's go back to this
- 18 picture. We have some cutting. When I get to the cover,
- 19 I can show you where we have some ponded water on the top
- 20 of the landfill.
- 21 We had a little bit of cutting of the cover
- 22 here, a few inches. Deeper cutting down here. So that
- 23 will have to be addressed. That will have to be repaired
- 24 and the fabric placed on top.
- MS. PASSERO: Can I ask a question on

25

that? 2 MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm. 3 MS. PASSERO: In those circumstances, is 4 it the top that goes or is it underneath? 5 MS. FANELLI: This did not cut. This had a soil cover and we did not cut into the material 6 underneath based on my observations today. So we didn't 7 roll back the material if that's what you're asking. 8 9 MS. SEGAL: Was the cover supposed to go on after the foot, though? It didn't get on whatever the 10 11 -- cloth --MS. FANELLI: The two foot of soil and 12 then we put the erosion control fabric. 13 Fabric. So we needed another 14 MS. SEGAL: foot on the fabric. We didn't have time? 15 MS. FANELLI: In some places, we have two 16 17 foot. 18 MS. SEGAL: But there was no time to put fabric out before the rain? 19 20 MS. FANELLI: There was not. Although the 21 compacted soil on the surface. So this soil is compacted and it's measured and it held up actually quite well. 22 23 This is the slope, and you can see on the 24 slope some of the cover soil going down. Everywhere on

Page 13

the slope -- our crews actually worked this weekend.

- 1 All the area had at least one foot of soil
- 2 on it, and about forty percent had the two foot of soil
- 3 cover on it. The two foot was not compacted yet. It was
- 4 not fully compacted.
- In this area here is where the two foot of
- 6 soil was, and it has slightly deeper slope. Nothing
- 7 significant or serious. It will have to be addressed,
- 8 though, and recompacted.
- 9 The issue with recompacting, is it's very
- 10 wet. We have to have the right moisture compact and then
- 11 we compact to a certain density.
- So we're going to have to wait and see
- 13 tomorrow when they get it there if we're going to have to
- 14 let the site dry out a little bit before they get back on
- 15 that slope.
- We probably will not be able to work that
- 17 material at the top deck for a few days. Maybe not even
- 18 until next Monday to let the water settle out.
- MS. SEGAL: Is that where the sheep's foot
- 20 compactor is used, then?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. The sheep's foot is
- 22 actually used on the entire slope. It went across like
- 23 this. The slope held up quite well. I was pleased.
- We had erosion control. We had our silt
- 25 fencing down here. The site actually -- the guys were

- 1 out there at 4:30 this morning and they were constantly
- 2 checking this.
- 3 If it fell over, they were uprighting it
- 4 and maintaining it.
- 5 So they worked pretty hard today and we
- 6 actually have a man onsite all night tonight, and I'll
- 7 explain that in a minute.
- 8 We're anticipating more rain, but in
- 9 particular, we had a storm drain that backed up, so --
- and so we're pumping from that and he's there really
- 11 watching the generator and that pump.
- MS. PASSERO: Eileen?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes.
- MS. PASSERO: I can see the slope, and
- 15 when there is vegetation, when it's planted, what's the
- 16 risk of channeling and, you know, if there are -- are
- 17 there certain spots where --
- MS. FANELLI: It did not --
- 19 MS. PASSERO: -- the water velocity can
- 20 pick up?
- 21 MS. FANELLI: It's designed not to have
- 22 any channeling, obviously. It will have erosion control
- 23 fabric and be planted, and the top is -- the slope comes
- 24 up, and the upper deck is actually tilted back, if you
- 25 will, so that you don't get this water on the asphalt

- 1 overtopping and running down the slope.
- 2 So basically the risks here are incident
- 3 precipitation, and of course we didn't have the top deck
- 4 completely done today.
- 5 We do have a berm constructed -- earthen
- 6 berm constructed on the slope. I think that is why we
- 7 didn't have a lot of problem on the slope. We backed up
- 8 the water on the top deck.
- 9 So the goal is that we wouldn't have any,
- 10 really.
- 11 Are we always a hundred percent certain?
- 12 No. That's why we do the maintenance and the monitoring
- 13 of it.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: I don't know if you saw
- 15 when you were out there -- I drove by the site on the way
- 16 here, and there was off to the right-hand side a picture.
- 17 There were plastic kind of down into maybe a small pre-
- 18 forming gully, perhaps.
- MS. FANELLI: The plastic was put there
- 20 actually to dump the sand that's being placed at the toe.
- 21 So we used it as a chute. It had no other purpose. It
- 22 will be taken up and moved out.
- There's a pile of sand at the toe, because
- 24 the road that's kind of sorta still here. It has been
- 25 removed, and so that plastic was here and they were using

- 1 it to shoot the sand down.
- 2 That's going to be placed up against the
- 3 toe of the structure. That's what the plastic was for.
- Okay. Upper deck. So what you see here --
- 5 what's interesting about this picture is they're placing
- 6 material and compacting it, and this was constructed
- 7 primarily as assets for the vehicles, cars coming in.
- 8 This elevation, you can kind of get a
- 9 sense. It's still about fourteen inches lower than it
- 10 was what the deck will ultimately be.
- 11 This part is a little bit high. It drops a
- 12 little bit, and this area here will be raised at least
- 13 another couple of feet for the surface of the parking lot
- 14 itself. If you look at the car area --
- 15 MS. KRAMER: So this access road is higher
- 16 than what the final deck height will be?
- MS. FANELLI: It's not an access road.
- 18 We're using it as an access road, but ultimately it's
- 19 going to be landscaped. It's part of the grassy berm
- 20 before you get into the actual parking lot.
- Okay. Here's where we had some problems.
- 22 This is the low part of Wedemeyer, and if you remember --
- 23 if you know, and I have a sign.
- 24 We've closed Wedemeyer because part of what
- 25 we're doing is ripping this up to fill this up to grade.

- 1 It will conform. Basically you'll get kind of a
- 2 conformance from here all the way across to the other
- 3 side.
- 4 There hydrant's going to be raised two to
- 5 three feet higher. This is where water will accumulate.
- 6 Well, we had a lot of water accumulation there today.
- 7 The storm drain that's in there is not
- 8 draining. It's plugged, and so what happened is we got a
- 9 lot of water backing up in here, almost to the heighth of
- 10 this berm.
- 11 So that was our little emergency today, and
- 12 what we did -- we got a pump. That's why one of the guys
- is out there all night tonight manning that pump, pumping
- 14 around and started releasing water from here.
- There's a new storm drain, if you remember,
- 16 from the design halfway down the street.
- We had some water coming around
- 18 discharging. Didn't have enough hose to get all the way
- 19 to that storm drain, but started pumping it out.
- Of course some of the water jumps that
- 21 storm drain. Realized that oh, no, we got some hit still
- 22 going out with that storm drain. This is clean soil, by
- 23 the way. It's cover soil.
- So we had some sediment discharging, got
- 25 some extra pipe. We've now connected this area where

- 1 we're pumping to the other storm drain, so we've
- 2 controlled that, and I think that happened at the peak
- 3 this morning between 10:00 and eleven o'clock.
- 4 Ryan Seelbach just flew back from Indonesia
- 5 yesterday to come back and work. He's a little like --
- 6 that's our little drama today.
- 7 Let's see. What else do we have here?
- 8 Here's the big picture of the site, and you can see the
- 9 road, and that's the look here. The piles are still
- 10 pretty much in place.
- We have a fair amount of puddling on the
- 12 surface here. You can see they're starting to build a
- 13 berm here and they did have an earthen berm around the
- 14 top to keep water from going down the slope.
- It a while for these pulled also to drain.
- 16 Right now this is very soft and they can't really run
- 17 their equipment over it. That's the negative.
- The good news is we didn't really suffer
- 19 any significant damage. The bad news is everything is so
- 20 wet and soupy, as soon as they put a piece of equipment
- 21 on it, we can do more damage to the surface than not.
- They're going to have to assess what it
- 23 will take to dry this out.
- We believe we're going to have enough dry
- 25 weather tomorrow and the next day to move ahead with this

- 1 replacement.
- We'll begin to rip up this asphalt and
- 3 raise that road and get it repaved. Hopefully. They
- 4 would work this coming weekend to do that.
- 5 The road was officially closed this week
- 6 and next week. We might have to extend that road closure
- 7 because we actually didn't rip up the roads on Monday
- 8 knowing that we were going to have the storm today.
- 9 And that's the outlet. So the 23rd is when
- 10 we were going to keep it closed. That's slightly going
- 11 to be extended a little bit of the time. Maybe another
- 12 week at the most.
- And so the contractor's working that
- 14 schedule out and taking a look at how we're going to
- 15 handle the water.
- So things were going well. The site was
- 17 looking very good. The rain today put us back. It's not
- 18 devastating. We didn't have any major failures or
- 19 problems like that, but we do have a soupy site to deal
- 20 with.
- 21 So we're looking to the contractor to be
- 22 creative for how we're going to get this side buttoned
- 23 up. We were already a month plus behind schedule, and
- 24 this is not helping our schedule.
- Any questions on that one, on landfill 10?

- 1 MR. HULTGREN: I was wondering. How did
- 2 you manage to get a month behind schedule? I probably
- 3 should know, but I haven't been around.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: It was a variety of things.
- 5 I'll tell you the truth. The thing that really ate the
- 6 contractor's lunch was this, was the key trench.
- 7 The amount of material, the time to do it.
- 8 Some of the issues that they faced put us back quite a
- 9 bit of time.
- There were other inefficiencies that the
- 11 contractor had. This -- this construction took a lot
- 12 longer. They had to reorder materials a couple of times,
- 13 and that's what the problem was.
- MR. HULTGREN: Is that going to increase
- 15 the contract price, then?
- 16 MS. FANELLI: No. We had sort of already
- 17 accounted for this in the contract price, but it does
- 18 affect schedule, and, you know, do I anticipate the
- 19 contractor asking me for dollars for delays and other
- 20 things?
- 21 Yeah, probably, but at this point, the --
- 22 truthfully speaking, the contractor's been very
- 23 reasonable, is very interested in getting out of here,
- 24 but -- but doing the right thing at the same time.
- So I think he's working closely with us on

- 1 it.
- This is the look-ahead schedule. I think
- 3 it's still fairly realistic except that things might
- 4 shift because we might have to -- we show cover soil
- 5 continuing to be placed this week, and that likely can't
- 6 happen because of the wetness.
- 7 So that might shift to another week, but
- 8 our sequencing is to finish getting the cover slope on
- 9 there, get the erosion control fabric on there, and we do
- 10 have that being delivered this week, so it will be here
- 11 onsite.
- We're putting the sand at the toe. Some of
- 13 it's already down there, but some more is going to be
- 14 added.
- Then the construct subsurface storm drain,
- 16 et cetera. That's the upper deck work, basically. Once
- 17 the slope is buttoned up. That's the surface underneath
- 18 the parking lot.
- 19 The contractor has some of that material
- 20 already onsite, but we begin that construction, and --
- 21 and then we begin getting their AB, their road base in
- 22 place and the asphalt.
- 23 So they still have a month -- he'd say a
- 24 month's worth of work out there, which is unfortunate,
- 25 but we're -- the berm's pushing them, and they want to

- 1 get the heck out of there.
- 2 We're working with them to bring in double
- 3 crews to try to condense the schedule and do things
- 4 simultaneous if they can.
- 5 So, for example, if we have soil that's all
- 6 placed two foot and it meets all of our requirements in
- 7 one area and there's still an issue in the other, they
- 8 can start placing erosion so they work together.
- 9 MR. BUDROE: Got a question about the key
- 10 trench. You said that the contractor -- part of the
- 11 problem was he didn't have the handle on how much
- 12 material he was going to need to construct the trench?
- MS. FANELLI: The key trench was actually
- 14 an addendum to the original design set. So when they
- 15 first did their proposal, it was without full
- 16 understanding and knowledge of the impacts of the key
- 17 trench.
- 18 So when I said that was the problem, it
- 19 isn't because the contractor performed poorly. It's just
- 20 that we hadn't, as an engineering estimate, figured what
- 21 it was going to take to get that installed.
- There was some underestimation of rock, and
- 23 we had to get some additional material in, and the
- 24 fabric -- they used a tensor. They had to do a reorder
- 25 on that, too, and that kind of took a little bit more

- 1 time to get that in.
- 2 So those were things that contributed to
- 3 that.
- 4 So it's not because the contractor didn't
- 5 actually perform well. It was that it was an addenda and
- 6 it was shoehorned in and it turned out to be a little bit
- 7 more complex than we thought.
- 8 MR. BOGGS: They thought they would have
- 9 to excavate below groundwater level, which made it a
- 10 little bit more difficult when you're trying to excavate
- 11 the sand given water. The sidewalks go like this.
- MS. FANELLI: But it was a long day for
- 13 the guys at the site.
- 14 MS. BLUM: How does an item like that
- 15 become an addendum and why wouldn't it be part of the
- 16 initial complete contract? It sounds expensive to me --
- MS. FANELLI: It actually isn't that --
- 18 MS. BLUM: -- in terms of time and
- 19 materials.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: -- expensive of a change
- 21 order in terms of time and materials.
- 22 It became on addendum because we had done
- 23 our design and new information became available to us.
- 24 It was a combination of the topography and finding fill
- 25 in an area where we thought we had in situ dune sands,

- 1 and it came about during our investigations of the
- 2 pipeline, the Mountain Lake overflow pipeline.
- 3 So that's why it became an addenda, because
- 4 we had basically completed the majority of the design.
- 5 This new information came about when we were at sort of
- 6 the final ten percent design level. So we completed that
- 7 as an addenda to the document.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Is landfill 8 done, then?
- 9 MS. FANELLI: No. Landfill 8's not done.
- 10 It's half done.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Oh.
- MS. FANELLI: And the other half where we
- 13 have the material stored and the temporary parking, what
- 14 happens the cars need to move to 10.
- MS. MONAGHAN: So it's on hold, basically?
- 16 MS. FANELLI: Yeah. And the truth is that
- 17 that is not a complicated piece of work, and so that
- 18 would be very easy to finish up.
- 19 MS. MONAGHAN: We didn't really have
- 20 finishing up 8 on his schedule?
- MS. FANELLI: That is still work that has
- 22 to be done.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
- MS. FANELLI: That is why I say it will
- 25 probably take a good additional month plus to get the

- 1 work done.
- 3 there before Thanksgiving, basically.
- 4 MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: On fillsite 1, landfill 2
- 6 that is 5A. We have been working to -- my last slide
- 7 last month said that we would be getting the draft
- 8 documents out toward the end of September.
- 9 We do have a Draft CEQA document completed,
- 10 and we actually took that document through the Trust and
- 11 N squared; not because the -- the Trust complies with
- 12 CEQA, but to do the NHP component of it, and that
- 13 document is now -- Genevieve is sending that off to DTSC.
- 14 The Draft RAP is -- we have a Draft RAP.
- 15 We are still working with DTSC on the -- any comments
- 16 that they might have to the data report that the RAP is
- 17 based on, but we're hoping that we're going to get that
- 18 -- I've had discussions on that in the next week or so,
- 19 and it's coming soon.
- It's coming as soon as we can get it, and
- 21 DTSC understands the schedule, so they're doing what they
- 22 can to accelerate it.
- We are still at this point planning on
- 24 moving ahead with our construction next spring and
- 25 working on those design documents.

- 1 It turns out we have moved ahead with our
- 2 tree removal plans. We talked about the dots and the
- 3 tags.
- 4 So the trees have been counted and we have
- 5 bid documents that our procurement -- Trust Procurement
- 6 sent out today. We have a site bid walk on that next
- 7 Friday, and -- and anticipate moving ahead with the tree
- 8 removals in -- in November/December time frame.
- And then the last site in there, internal
- 10 Trust coordination, I had talked last time about how the
- 11 different Trust departments were working to make sure we
- 12 had an agreed vision and planning for what that area
- 13 ultimately might look like, and Terri's here and Terri's
- 14 headed up that effort.
- 15 And we had a great meeting I think last
- 16 Friday, and -- and although -- so the vision looks at
- 17 this sort of preview discussion of it.
- We're working on drawings and documents
- 19 that the Trust will actually put out soon about the same
- 20 time we do the RAP, but we have our historic forest and
- 21 we've looked at some other historical documents about
- 22 where the historic forest was.
- We have the landscaped areas that are
- 24 primarily around the ball fields that have been proposed
- 25 in the Tennessee Hollow EA, and then we have our native

- 1 plant restoration that brings us from that here into El
- 2 Pollin Springs, and Terri, I don't know if you want to
- 3 say a few words about that.
- We're working on a Trust drawing.
- 5 MS. THOMAS: Yeah, right. I'd like to --
- 6 Eileen said. We just kind of had this meeting last
- 7 Friday. We pretty much all agreed on the idea, and now
- 8 we're trying to put into a visual that people can
- 9 actually see how it will look. We're not quite sure what
- 10 else to say.
- It takes into account the recently
- 12 completed historic forest character study along with the
- 13 work that Eileen's doing and making sure that our native
- 14 plant restoration is down to native soil.
- So it kind of -- it kind of takes a lot of
- 16 the different qualities that we've had in our various
- 17 planning documents and meshes them.
- 18 I think El Pollin will have a quieter
- 19 more -- kind of a feel that it has had in the past with
- 20 this -- with this possible -- with this proposal.
- 21 So we'll see how it goes. It still has to
- 22 go through our N squared process, also. We're not sure
- 23 yet. We're putting it together.
- MS. FANELLI: We're bringing it together.
- 25 What's nice about it, too, is it's helped the Trust,

- 1 different departments organize how all of our work
- 2 coordinates so we can create this vision and the timing
- 3 for that.
- 4 So the timing for mediation versus the tree
- 5 plantings or forest restoration, native plant and the
- 6 ball field construction.
- 7 So that's been good, and it's sort of a
- 8 back story to the RAP. So our plan is to present that as
- 9 sort of that back story.
- 10 That vision wouldn't be a part of the RAP
- 11 because it's not all remediation, obviously, but I think
- 12 it will help answer questions, et cetera.
- 13 Also, a lot of you have already been. I
- 14 know Jan's been on the walks that we've been having for
- 15 Tennessee Hollow and just talking about all of these
- 16 plans and the different projects that are going on,
- 17 including fillsite 1 and landfill 2.
- 18 The last public walk is this Saturday at
- 19 ten o'clock. I'll be there.
- 20 Are you going to be there, Terri? Somebody
- 21 else will.
- MS. THOMAS: Mark will be there.
- MS. FANELLI: It's the last one. So if
- 24 you're interested, they've been very interesting. We
- 25 kind of started at El Pollin, talked about some of the

- 1 current work and talked about some of the future work and
- 2 have gotten some good feedback.
- I think some of that feedback is why we
- 4 started looking at that sense of quiet that exists around
- 5 El Pollin that might not exist -- that might be gone if
- 6 we don't think about how to put it back in terms of the
- 7 landscape with the forest.
- 8 So you're welcome to join us.
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: Question.
- MS. MONAGHAN: When we did our walk last
- 11 month, we noticed that the land around El Pollin Spring
- 12 had all been dug up and flags were everywhere.
- Is that part of the restoration work?
- 14 Because it's not prep work for the remediation.
- MS. THOMAS: That is a separate project.
- 16 We also have an El Pollin Spring project, which is
- 17 restoring the drainage down the stream from El Pollin.
- 18 So it kind of continued a creek. It would
- 19 take a culvert out and raise it.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
- MS. THOMAS: And some of those flags were
- 22 the -- identified the area that grading would need to
- 23 occur.
- So that we're going to be putting in 30,000
- 25 native plants on the other side of those flags, and

- 1 waiting for archaeological investigations and grading
- 2 plans and looking at that archaeological site. So we
- 3 need to take into account all of that.
- 4 That's just all the area that needs to be
- 5 planted this year.
- 6 MS. MONAGHAN: Thanks.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: I'm not really sure
- 8 what the process is, but -- and it's not really part of
- 9 what we're doing here, but when that vision comes
- 10 together about Tennessee Hollow, the ball field and the
- 11 restoration, is there going to be an opportunity for the
- 12 public to engage the Trust on those things? Do you know?
- 13 Is there a -- will there be a meeting or
- 14 somebody to lay out the plans and people can put dots and
- 15 things on --
- 16 MS. FANELLI: I don't know. My sense is
- 17 that the vision that we've laid out is -- is -- reflects
- 18 the environmental assessment that's already been done.
- 19 It just kind of tweaks it so that these
- 20 different uses conform in a way that makes sense and
- 21 meets objectives.
- Will the information be shared? Yes, most
- 23 definitely. I think that is the plan.
- 24 How and the format for it. I'm not sure.
- 25 We can certainly take that back and talk about that with

- 1 all the different groups.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: I would just offer
- 3 this, that there's such dramatic interest in all aspects
- 4 of the Tennessee Hollow plan, that continuing to engage
- 5 all those interested parties, you'll get stewardship and
- 6 ownership and people taking care of it.
- 7 So I really want to encourage as those
- 8 plans come available to engage people with them and bring
- 9 them on board.
- MS. FANELLI: We have -- I've gotten a lot
- 11 of interesting comment, good feedback on these site walks
- 12 with different community members. So I know that that
- 13 actually informs some of our thought process to date.
- But your point is well taken, so Terri and
- 15 I will share our continual good news.
- 16 Petroleum status. We have FDS Phase III
- 17 closure. That means we're actually closing all segments
- 18 of the FDS, except for two, which are included in our
- 19 1065 area closure, which is very close to being
- 20 completed, as well.
- We're done with our remediation and we're
- in our monitoring period on that one.
- So FDS is pretty much buttoned up and
- 24 Infantry Terrace tank closure request was submitted, as
- 25 well, and that's sort of related to the FDS in that area.

- 1 So we've made marginal progress there.
- 2 Agnes has been very honest. She's been very good about
- 3 getting us closures.
- Bob is on a compressed week. There's only
- 5 so much that they can do. She hasn't promised that, but
- 6 it's on her camp.
- 7 On lead-based paint, we're also making some
- 8 progress. We have resubmitted our building 104 request
- 9 for no further action, and that is based on feedback that
- 10 we got from DTSC and comments in addressing those.
- Hopefully there's -- DTSC gets a chance to
- 12 review that. If it meets what we've talked about, then
- 13 we actually got two new firms on board to begin to
- 14 complete the documentation for no further action on
- 15 several hundred buildings where we've completed the
- 16 cleanup and meet our schedule.
- The two sites where we're going to be
- 18 focusing our first efforts for closure are the Public
- 19 Health Services Hospital area and the Presidio Hill area.
- 20 So there's several buildings in both those
- 21 areas that we're going to get completed before the end of
- 22 the calendar year.
- Next month, I'm really hoping that we can
- 24 say we're substantially complete and we're doing the --
- 25 sort of touch-up things. We'll see.

```
1
                   Hopefully we will have a Draft RAP out for
 2
     review, and our tree removal construction start, we're
 3
     looking at an early November.
 4
                   November 6th is our target, if we can make
     it happen date. So we might have that beginning.
 5
 6
                   And that will be a significant removal.
 7
     There's at least 300 trees, some of them guite large, and
     we'll be taking them out of fillsite 1, landfill 2.
 8
                   There'll be some additional tree removals
 9
     as part of national resources restoration projects, and
10
11
     we'll be staging at land -- Pop Hicks Field, landfill E
     and creating a -- a small temporary roadway from Quarry
12
13
     Road to landfill E for that stage, and that temporary
14
     road will stay in place throughout the remediation
15
     project.
16
                   MS. PASSERO:
                                  What happens to those trees?
17
     Are they just chipped?
18
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  That's a good question.
                                                            I
19
     really don't know. I think Peter -- actually Peter
20
     explained it. I think most of them go to get cut up so
21
     they can be used for fuel.
22
                                        The group that's
                   MS. THOMAS: Yeah.
23
     cutting the trees is a recycle group. So they actually
24
     do recycle the wood and make energy.
```

Page 34

They don't go to like

MS. FANELLI:

- 1 fireplaces. They go to actual power generation a
- 2 facilities that burn waste and garbage and generate
- 3 energy.
- 4 And that's all I have unless there's any
- 5 questions for me or Terri can give you some more
- 6 information on restoration.
- 7 If you come on Saturday, Mark will explain
- 8 that whole area and all the planting that's happening out
- 9 there on the east side of El Pollin.
- 10 Peter attends, as well, so we can talk
- 11 about the forest.
- 12 MR. KETCHAM: When does the Draft RAP
- 13 become available for people to look at?
- MS. FANELLI: As soon as we can get it
- 15 out.
- 16 Obviously our time frame has slipped some.
- 17 So depending on DTSC's comments, the Trust is hoping to
- 18 issue it towards the end of this month, November, and we
- 19 probably are looking at an extended public comment period
- 20 again, because it's over the holiday period, but I can't
- 21 give you a date yet because I have not heard back.
- MR. BOGGS: We actually had a talk this
- 23 afternoon with DTSC, and just where things are at,
- 24 there's a new person that's taking over those projects at
- 25 my office, and there's some of the background to that as

```
1 far as cleanup levels and stuff that she finds a lot more
```

- 2 work than we originally thought.
- 3 So our agency does not like to do comment
- 4 periods over the holidays, so we actually think it's
- 5 probably going to be a January start for the public
- 6 comment on the RAP.
- 7 MR. KETCHAM: Okay.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: That will put us of course
- 9 at some risk, but if we worked out our major comments, we
- 10 will likely do design like we did on landfill 10 and 8,
- 11 pretty current and get it so that we're ready to hit the
- 12 ground once the RAP -- public comment period is over.
- MS. CHEEVER: Is there a seasonal reason
- 14 to want to get started at a certain point when you said
- 15 it comes with some risk? Is there a seasonal reason?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes.
- MS. CHEEVER: What's that reason?
- 18 MS. FANELLI: We want to construct in the
- 19 dry season, because spring and summer and early fall. We
- 20 had a little late start going on 10. We had some
- 21 complications.
- That's why, you know, we're to a certain
- 23 extent in the situation where we're at today, and we
- 24 really are just trying to get the system greased and
- 25 moving on a schedule so we can avoid that, so that we're

- 1 ready to construct as soon as we can in the springtime
- 2 and avoid winter season work.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Thanks,
- 4 Eileen.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: You're welcome.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: And we're all hoping
- 7 for the best with the weather and landfill 10 so it can
- 8 get finished without damage.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I'm not being rude, but I'm
- 10 going to turn off the projector here while we're
- 11 continuing to talk.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: I think this might be a
- 13 reasonable moment to go back to our new membership
- 14 application, and so I'd like to introduce to you Jim
- 15 Ketcham, and Jim, if you would feel comfortable, say a
- 16 few words, perhaps, about yourself and then we may throw
- 17 a few questions your way.
- MR. KETCHAM: Well, I've been involved on
- 19 behalf of recreational advocacy for the Presidio for
- 20 probably about seven to eight years, and that's why I'm
- 21 interested in joining this group, to stay informed, to
- 22 understand how the processes are moving along.
- I care a lot about Pop Hicks. I care a lot
- 24 about Paul Goode and the areas around that. I think that
- 25 there is a lot that the Presidio can -- can mean to the

- 1 entire Bay Area in terms of filling needs that exist and
- 2 that can't be met elsewhere.
- 3 I think there's a lot of opportunity for
- 4 people with recreational interests to work with people
- 5 with environmental interests to, you know, understand
- 6 what good solutions would be, and I've been part of
- 7 processes with the Trust historically that I think have
- 8 shown that that can happen, and, you know, beyond that,
- 9 I'd be happy to answer any questions.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: Questions.
- MS. CHEEVER: Well, not everything we do
- 12 is relevant to playing fields, although that might be
- 13 very important.
- 14 Are you interested in getting involved with
- other things, too, that just have to do with cleanup and
- 16 removal of contamination?
- MR. KETCHAM: Well, I'm interested in all
- 18 sorts of things, but the reason that I want to be
- 19 involved is because there are sites in the Presidio that
- 20 have
- 21 not -- that have been unused for years and years and
- 22 years, and I'd like to see those sites be developed.
- They've been identified as recreational
- 24 sites, but they're not -- nothing is happening there.
- 25 It's happening very slowly.

So that's where my primary interest is, but 1 it doesn't mean that I'm uninterested in, you know, all 2 the wonderful things that are going on in the Presidio, 3 4 but I have a feeling that my interest in those things won't be as -- as necessary as my interest in some of the recreational sites that I'm not sure have people involved 6 7 to be advocates for. MR. BUDROE: Let me ask you a question. 8 9 If there weren't going to be any ball fields in the Presidio whatsoever, would you still be interested in 10 being on the RAB? 11 12 MR. KETCHAM: Probably not. MS. BLUM: I didn't even really hear your 13 14 question, John. Could you repeat it? 15 I asked him if there weren't 16 MR. BUDROE: going to be any ball fields in the Presidio, would he 17 still be interested in coming on the RAB. 18 19 MS. BLUM: Thank you. 20 FACILITATOR KERN: Other questions? I guess a question. I just 21 MR. HULTGREN: wanted to be sure, Jim, that you understand what this 22 group, the RAB is charged with and what our limitations 23 24 are.

Right.

MR. KETCHAM:

25

1 MR. HULTGREN: And I don't know. I'm not 2 stating it very well, but it's the remediation of the 3 toxics --4 MR. KETCHAM: Right. 5 MR. HULTGREN: Probably bad terms -- in the Presidio, period. 6 7 MR. KETCHAM: Right. MR. HULTGREN: And we don't have anything 8 9 directly to do with the development or the use of those 10 -- those portions of the Presidio after they've been 11 remediated. 12 MR. KETCHAM: I do understand that. 13 MR. HULTGREN: That would still be 14 satisfactory to you to --15 MR. KETCHAM: It is, and I'll explain why. 16 I think it's important that the cleanup efforts that are 17 ongoing be effective. 18 I think it's important that the areas that 19 need to be cleaned up are cleaned up effectively so 20 that -- you know, not just in the short-term, but in the long-term, we have a national park that we don't have to 21 worry will have danger, lack of safety, destruction of 22 23 natural -- the natural things that we all care about.

Page 40

between moving forward with remediation projects and

But I also understand the connection

- 1 being able to move forward with the plans for the
- 2 Presidio, and so the reason that I want to be involved in
- 3 this group is because I feel that the plans for
- 4 remediation should not stagnate, that they need to move
- 5 forward so that the Presidio can be developed according
- 6 to the master plan, so that the different projects that
- 7 are so important to making the Presidio all that we want
- 8 it to be can happen, and if remediation does not happen,
- 9 everything gets backed up behind that, and that's where
- 10 it all connects for me.
- MR. HULTGREN: Thank you.
- MS. MONAGHAN: I wanted to ask about
- 13 outreach, because we were looking at your application.
- 14 That was one of the things that interested me was there's
- 15 a whole group of people around the University High School
- 16 that parents, teachers, students that may not know about
- 17 the remediation program here at the Presidio.
- 18 There is an opportunity to reach out to
- 19 those people and tell them more about the programs.
- Is that something you'd thought about yet?
- 21 MR. KETCHAM: Yes, but I think I would
- 22 define it much differently than University.
- 23 You know, long before I came associated
- 24 with University, we had written a letter and had over a
- 25 hundred families write letters to the Presidio asking for

- 1 attention to be given to Pop Hicks for it to be turned
- 2 back into a ball field, which was its historic use.
- 3 Through those efforts, a lot of people at
- 4 different youth sports organizations have been in contact
- 5 with me, and I think they're all in contact with me,
- 6 about what is the Trust doing, how are things moving,
- 7 what can we do to get involved, how can we help.
- 8 The Trust moves very slowly and it's hard
- 9 for people to keep their attention. If nothing happens
- 10 for a year or two, it's hard for people to remember, and
- 11 that's one of the reasons I want to be involved, because
- 12 for me, at least, it's been intermittent.
- I get involved. I learn about it. I meet
- 14 with people, and then things happen and a year or two
- 15 later, I need to do the same thing again.
- So through this group, I think I can stay
- 17 more current with that.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Thanks.
- 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Other simmering burning
- 20 questions for the group?
- Do you have any preference on how you'd
- 22 like to move on this? I know that sometimes we have a
- 23 group of people. We bring everybody on at once.
- Do we want to vote now? What are your
- 25 preferences?

25

allow for that.

```
1
                   I'm not necessarily hearing a preference.
 2
                   MS. PASSERO:
                                  I'm trying to remember last
 3
     time around.
                   How did we do it last time around?
 4
 5
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        There typically has
     been a recommendation from the Selection Committee, which
 6
 7
     I made to the Selection Committee, and then the Selection
 8
     Committee brings that slate of potential candidates to
 9
     full RAB, and then we discuss that group -- as a group
10
     and individually and then we vote.
11
                   So that's where we're at. We're at the
12
     voting stage.
13
                   MS. CHEEVER: Well, was there a Selection
     Committee this time?
14
15
                   FACILITATOR KERN: There was, but I can
16
     tell you it was rushed. It was rushed in large degree
17
     that -- I think Jim came to a meeting back in July.
18
                   I wasn't at that meeting, and then over the
19
     course of the intervening meetings, his application fell
20
     through the cracks, basically, on my -- due to my lack of
     attention, but I tried to make up that lack of attention
21
22
     by meeting with Jim individually.
23
                   We did try to get some other folks together
```

Page 43

to meet with Jim, but everybody's schedule simply did not

Now, if you want, we can continue the 1 process and have more people meet with him or we can 2 vote, so -- I'd be open to hearing your thoughts. 3 4 Sara. MS. SEGAL: One of the things I want to 5 say is that we have -- we're not at a full amount of 6 people on the RAB pursuant to the bylaws under the 7 Superfund program. 8 9 So there are openings, if you will, on the RAB, and I think that one of the -- one of Eileen's 10 slides actually tried to show -- even though the RAB is a 11 very specific remediation program. 12 That's what we're about, that the Trust 13 14 remediation program does have to work closely with the other parts of the Presidio family as well as the Park 15 Service in terms of what's going on, and oftentimes I 16 think sometimes the public sees, you know, remediation 17 happens or things are torn apart, then they're put back 18 19 together, then they're torn apart later for developments, and I think one way the voice of the RAB can be effective 20 is to make -- you know, try and ensure that sometimes 21 those different parts of the Presidio interests sort of 22 work together so we can reduce overlap and extra money, 23 24 extra expenditures, and I think, you know, Jim's interest in the development of recreation is one of the many 25

- 1 facets of what the Presidio is about.
- I think he sort of blends in with that
- 3 portion on your slide.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: Let me try -- Julie.
- 5 MS. CHEEVER: Well, I would be willing to
- 6 vote tonight, and I welcome Jim and I also welcome and
- 7 appreciate his interest.
- 8 I think it would be slightly awkward for us
- 9 to vote other than by paper ballot, though, in front of
- 10 him because we're his future colleagues.
- So if we were to vote tonight, I would like
- 12 to suggest we do it in paper ballot, but I'm open to
- 13 suggestions.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Other thoughts on a
- 15 private ballot? Would there be any objection to doing it
- 16 that way?
- MR. HULTGREN: I don't have any objection.
- 18 I think that that's appropriate.
- I think the -- we do have a Membership
- 20 Committee, and I think the Membership Committee should
- 21 sit down and develop some -- within the bylaws develop
- 22 some procedures that we follow, because if we're kind of
- 23 stumbling around here without any guidance on what the
- 24 appropriate decision would be.
- 25 I don't think it's fair to have Jim here

- 1 when we vote on him or at least to have us vote by
- 2 raising our hands.
- 3 It would probably be unanimous, but who
- 4 knows?
- 5 It's things like that I think we
- 6 really ought to determine ahead of time and have some
- 7 sort of guidelines that we would follow.
- 8 In the instance here, I think probably a --
- 9 more or less secret ballot by yes or no on a piece of
- 10 paper would probably be appropriate.
- 11 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay.
- MS. GEE: I think another way --
- 13 alternative if Jim is here is maybe if we can have him
- 14 leave the meeting room just for us to take a hand vote
- 15 and then let him know the results.
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: That would be an
- 17 option.
- 18 All right. I'm sensing a little -- yes,
- 19 Jan.
- 20 MS. BLUM: I would like to make a motion
- 21 that we take up Julian's suggestion first, establish some
- 22 procedures for membership and perhaps table the vote on
- 23 this particular membership until we're a little clearer
- 24 on the process.
- In my own opinion, when we've taken on

- 1 people casually without an interview and whatever, they
- 2 may or may not come.
- 3 So just to take more people on to build a
- 4 membership number is not the point to me. It is
- 5 participation and continual participation over -- I think
- 6 we're required to have a three-year pledge to attend the
- 7 RAB meetings and participate with very little
- 8 absenteeism.
- 9 So I think it's important that we do have
- 10 broad participation, but we also need to have steady
- 11 participation.
- 12 So I'm not sure that's always very clear
- 13 when new members come on.
- 14 So I'd like to make the motion that we --
- 15 the Membership Committee establish a procedure and make
- 16 it clear to the RAB what we're doing and put it to a vote
- 17 at the appropriate time.
- MS. CHEEVER: Could I say something just
- in discussion of the motion? I have a copy of the bylaws
- 20 here, and it says there's a Membership Committee and it
- 21 says: "This committee shall review and evaluate each
- 22 application for membership in determining whether to
- 23 recommend the applicant for membership. If there are
- 24 unfilled community membership positions to be filled, the
- 25 committee shall submit to the full board names and

```
qualifications of those applicants that have been
 1
 2
     approved. The committee shall review of facilitator to
 3
     determine the candidate for approval."
 4
                   Also, the committee reviews. So we
 5
     actually do have a procedure.
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Yes, which we have
 6
     followed each time we've --
 7
 8
                   MS. CHEEVER: Okay. So you're saying the
 9
     committee has made that recommendation?
10
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Yes.
11
                                The motion has been tabled.
                   MS. SEGAL:
12
                   FACILITATOR KERN: But there is a motion.
13
     Jan just made a motion.
14
                   MS. BLUM: Well, I withdraw it. Since we
15
     already have a procedure in place, we should follow the
16
     procedure.
17
                   Well, who is the Membership Committee?
18
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Well, the Membership
19
     Committee has typically been who -- there have been a
20
     group of people that have served on that.
21
     included at various times Julie, I think you've been on
     it, Mark, myself, Jan. Those have been the principal
22
     members that I can recall.
23
24
                   MS. BLUM:
                             Okay.
```

Page 48

FACILITATOR KERN: These things come every

- 1 two or three years, so it's -- it kind of comes together
- 2 when necessary.
- 3 All right. I think maybe what makes sense
- 4 is I will propose that we go ahead with a ballot, a paper
- 5 ballot and take a vote, and would there be objection to
- 6 that? I'm not hearing any.
- 7 Julian, any thoughts?
- MR. HULTGREN: I think a paper ballot in
- 9 this instance is appropriate.
- 10 However, it's nice to have the overall
- 11 provisions in the bylaws, but they don't spell out what
- 12 kind of a vote, whether it's a paper ballot --
- 13 FACILITATOR KERN: I'm sure that's fine.
- 14 MR. HULTGREN: -- a vote by voice
- 15 acclimation or what.
- 16 So there are a lot of things that could be
- 17 spelled out that would not be in violation or -- and also
- 18 not spelled out by the bylaws, and I think it would make
- 19 this a lot more -- a lot easier procedure.
- 20 So I think we should go forward --
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay.
- MR. HULTGREN: -- with a ballot of some
- 23 sort right now.
- 24 MS. SEGAL: Didn't Michelle have a motion
- 25 that was tabled earlier?

MS. CHEEVER: 1 There's another part of the 2 bylaws the Membership Committee delivers a report and brief summaries of the qualifications and the candidates 3 are invited to attend the RAB meeting and introduce to 4 RAB members at the next RAB meeting. 5 What are you reading from? 6 MR. HULTGREN: 7 MS. CHEEVER: Page 5 of the bylaws? 8 MR. HULTGREN: What does it say? 9 MS. CHEEVER: It says -- it also goes 10 into -- it's a different way of saying what I read 11 before. Community members can be added at any time. Applications submitted to the Membership Committee. 12 13 Membership Committee reviews by majority vote whether to 14 recommend. 15 Then it delivers to all community members 16 who are present at the monthly RAB meeting a report stating the name and the summary of the qualifications. 17 18 Candidates will be invited to attend that RAB meeting, introduce themselves as prospective members, and then 19 20 section D says: "At the next regular monthly RAB 21 meeting, a vote shall be taken to determine whether an 22 applicant shall be accepted for membership and a 23 two-thirds vote is necessary." 24 MR. HULTGREN: But historically we haven't 25 done any of those things.

```
1
                   MS. CHEEVER:
                                  Okay.
 2
                                  Okay. And we're not doing
                   MR. HULTGREN:
 3
     any of those things tonight.
 4
                   MR. BUDROE: Well, maybe we should,
 5
             If we've got the bylaws, we ought to follow the
     though.
 6
     bylaws. Otherwise, why do you have them?
 7
                                I think we've actually done
                   MS. SEGAL:
          When Jan worked on the committee, we had a bunch
 8
     of applications. We have followed those procedures.
 9
10
     sorry.
                   MR. BUDROE: What Julie just read is if
11
     there's going to be a vote, it needs to be taken next
12
13
     meeting.
14
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Michelle.
15
                   MS. PASSERO:
                                  This is a variation, and I'm
16
     just wondering, since -- feeling a little awkward about
17
     the discussion right now, but why don't we have you stay
18
     throughout the meeting, and maybe if you leave a little
19
     early, we can have a vote and a discussion on it, and
20
     then -- and then maybe Doug could just follow up with you
21
     on the outcome.
22
                   MR. KETCHAM: I'm fine with whatever
23
    process you guys want.
24
                   MS. PASSERO:
                                  Yeah.
```

Page 51

MR. KETCHAM: And I don't want to make it

- 1 uncomfortable, and I really just want to come to these
- 2 meetings as a good, efficient way to learn about what's
- 3 going on and I'm not so vitally interested in whether I'm
- 4 part of the group or not.
- It's more that I'm able to come to these
- 6 meetings. So whatever you say is fine.
- 7 FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you, Jim, for
- 8 working through this with us, and let me just offer to
- 9 any -- you know, if there's a feeling of awkwardness,
- 10 just focus that on me for not handling it as well as I
- 11 might have.
- 12 If -- if everyone is okay, then we'll move
- on and we'll take a vote at the end of our meeting. All
- 14 right.
- 15 Let me move on to item number 6 with Bob
- 16 who happens to have left.
- MS. FANELLI: He should be coming back.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: I'm sure he's coming
- 19 back. We can getting Agnes to speak, but she's not here.
- Is there any new business? Yes.
- MS. BLUM: No, sorry.
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: Okay.
- MS. BLUM: I wonder if it would be
- 24 appropriate for Terri Thomas to talk briefly about the
- 25 work that is going in Quartermaster Reach, which is

already relative to the RAB's work. 1 The open house is coming up and maybe we 2 3 can get some commentary on that. FACILITATOR KERN: Quartermaster Reach, 4 5 there are remediation sites there, so Terri, what can you 6 tell us about that process? 7 MS. THOMAS: Well, we're beginning the scoping for an environmental assessment for Quartermaster 8 Reach, which is an area of 207/231 --9 10 MS. FANELLI: Correct. MS. THOMAS: -- and kind of 60, or is it 11 12 on the edge of 60? MS. FANELLI: On the edge of 60. 13 MS. THOMAS: Kind of by 60 and Halleck, 14 15 It virtually what the Tennessee Hollow site-wise. Restoration Plan has is a creek that ends up joining the 16 marsh, and we'll be trying to broaden that group of 17 alternatives by looking at more of a marsh system, more 18 of a lagoon system and the creek system and ensuring that 19 20 all of the historic Ouartermaster Reach -- the Ouartermaster district is that district where boats and 21 22 trains used to come in and unload the supplies for the Army, and then it would go into the post from there. 23 24 So that's been a historic landscape plan

done and report done for several different areas, and

- 1 we're making sure that we can better interpret the
- 2 historic part of that as well as the recreational part
- 3 and having a trail going through there.
- 4 So we're going to be starting scoping the
- 5 26th. Is that right, Jan?
- 6 MS. BLUM: Yes.
- 7 MS. THOMAS: 26 at building 230 which is
- 8 the arc lab. I think it starts at 5:30. We'll have kind
- 9 of a public workshop, scoping. Exactly like Doug was
- 10 saying.
- He was looking forward to that project.
- 12 What are people's ideas and what do they think is
- 13 possible?
- 14 So that's kind of the beginning focus, and
- 15 it is a joint project. It's a Presidio Trust project,
- 16 but it will also connect to Crissy Marsh, so we'll be
- 17 briefing the Park Service tomorrow, actually, going
- 18 through their NEPA process and their NHP process.
- 19 MS. SEGAL: Is it the area that's east of
- 20 the Crissy Field Center where the old nurse's -- the old
- 21 nurse's building?
- MS. THOMAS: Mm-hmm.
- MS. SEGAL: It's that area east of the old
- 24 nurse's center between Tennessee Hollow -- is it -- the
- 25 historic nurse's quarters, is that right in the middle of

25

```
it?
 1
 2
                   MS. THOMAS: Right on the side of it.
 3
     Where fillsite 6A was remediated and there's a creek
 4
     there.
 5
                   MS. SEGAL: Yeah.
 6
                   MS. THOMAS: It keeps following that creek
 7
     down and then it opens up --
                   MS. SEGAL: It will be the same
 8
 9
     daylighting.
10
                   MS. THOMAS: It's a continuation of that
     creek, correct. It would have a lot more tidal
11
12
     influence, as well, because it will be further toward the
13
     marsh trail.
14
                   MS. KRAMER: This isn't like the marsh
15
     expansion? This is a separate project, but that happens
16
     to include an aspect that is --
17
                   MS. THOMAS: Well, I would say it expands
    marsh habitats. So it's not what -- there's a lot of
18
19
     studies that went into what Crissy Marsh expansion means.
20
                   MS. KRAMER:
                                 Right.
21
                   MS. THOMAS: And there's a flushing issue.
                   MS. KRAMER: Right, right.
22
23
                  MS. THOMAS: That does not necessarily do
```

Page 55

Mm-hmm.

that at all. But there will be some marsh habitat --

MS. KRAMER:

```
1
                   MS. THOMAS: -- that will be increased,
 2
     and if the lagoon option were picked, then there might be
 3
     some increased tidal person, but that's also in scoping,
     too.
 5
                   MS. KRAMER:
                                 Mm-hmm.
 6
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Thank you.
 7
                   I want to encourage people to go to the
     scoping meeting, find out about this project. It does
 8
 9
     intersect with our building 207/231 and our fillsite 6B
     projects.
10
11
                   Let's see. Moving around in the agenda
12
     wildly, let's go back to item number 6 with Bob who
13
     stepped out right when I called on you.
14
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                I time things well.
15
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Do you have any input
16
     into the group?
17
                   MR. BOGGS: Not really, not much.
18
     There's, you know, as mentioned, some changes at my
19
     agency. New person coming on to help out. But other
20
     than that, it's business as usual.
21
                   FACILITATOR KERN: All right. Very good.
22
                   MS. BLUM: Bob?
23
                   MR. BOGGS: Yes.
24
                   MS. BLUM: The new person is helping you,
25
     but not replacing you at the RAB; correct?
```

- 1 MR. BOGGS: Correct. However, in the
- 2 future, she might be coming to some of the meetings and
- 3 not me or vice versa. We might be phasing back some of
- 4 our participation in the RAB meetings. That got brought
- 5 up at our meeting this afternoon.
- So she's -- she's a -- on equal footing
- 7 with me. She's a project manager, so when it comes to
- 8 landfill 1 and fillsite 2, she's going to be the point of
- 9 contact.
- 10 And as that gets closer and the landfill --
- 11 fillsite 1 and landfill 2 are like a subject of the RAB
- 12 meeting, she'll be coming to that RAB meeting.
- MS. SEGAL: Are you still on required
- 14 furlough days and how long is that going to go on?
- MR. BOGGS: Three -- first three Fridays
- of the month and it's indefinite, ongoing indefinite at
- 17 this point.
- MS. SEGAL: Thank you.
- MS. PASSERO: You're saying that scaling
- 20 back, which is of the department in the RAB meetings or
- 21 just you?
- MR. BOGGS: Just trying to see how we can
- 23 be more efficient. There's been a -- because we end up
- 24 rushing in this cycle of trying to get a RAP done and
- 25 trying to get the design done before the summer season

- 1 and we got the remediation going, then we're immediately
- 2 falling into rushing to try to get this next RAP done so
- 3 that we're kind of in that accelerated cycle, the close-
- 4 out of a lot of these sites has fallen behind, work that
- 5 we've done.
- 6 So given that schedule and that we have
- 7 three furlough days and lost fifteen percent of our time,
- 8 we're just trying to see okay. Where can we tighten our
- 9 belt.
- 10 And so whether it's participation in RAB
- 11 meetings or scheduling more meetings over there so
- 12 there's not travel time over here, things like that.
- 13 We're just trying to see what we can do to get time to
- 14 catch up on things.
- MS. MONAGHAN: So the person who's going
- 16 to be helping out is Denise?
- MR. BOGGS: No. Denise is our supervisor.
- 18 Her name is Remedio Sunga. She goes by Medi, M-e-d-i,
- 19 and in fact, if you wanted to e-mail her, you could
- 20 e-mail her at rsunga, s-u-n-g-a, @dtsc.ca.gov.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Thanks.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Very good.
- I think we've reached our time in the
- 24 meeting where I would gently like to ask Jim if he might
- 25 give us a moment, and I very much appreciate you coming

24

```
1
     here tonight and talking about yourself, fielding some
 2
     questions from us, and I will be in touch with you.
 3
                   MR. KETCHAM:
                                   Thank you very much.
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                        Thanks, Jim.
 5
                   Does everyone have a piece of paper with
 6
            Then I would -- without a sense of requesting a
 7
     motion, I think we know what we need to do.
 8
                   Going back to the tabled motion, if you
     would write on your ballot, place a yes, no or you do not
 9
     prefer to pass a vote, and please put something on there
10
11
     so that I can at least count the numbers and not be
12
     confused.
13
                   MR. BOGGS: Is it majority; two-thirds?
14
                   MS. BLUM: Two-thirds of whoever's here.
15
     I did read that in the bylaws.
16
                   MS. PASSERO: A quorum.
17
                   MS. CHEEVER: Two-thirds of community
18
     members present.
19
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I think I would give
20
     these to Jan.
21
                   (Recess taken for voting).
22
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Jan has announced the
```

Thanks to everyone for your patience with

the Restoration Advisory Board.

Page 59

votes. By a vote of ten to one, he has been accepted on

1	me in getting this accomplished, and as always, your		
2	input and your comments during it are most welcome.		
3	We need to be in touch about what we would		
4	do in a couple weeks for the Planning Committee meeting.		
5	It seems like there have been ideas that have come up		
6	right after the RAB meeting, and I want to allow for		
7	that, and whether we have a regular Planning Committee		
8	meeting or some other thing, I want to leave that open to		
9	you, and if you would contact Mark or myself about those		
10	ideas; otherwise, we'll have our standard Planning		
1,1	Committee meeting.		
12	All right. Are there any other items for		
13	the good of the order before we close? I will contact		
14	Jim and welcome him on.		
15	Then without objection, meeting adjourned.		
16	Thank you very much for coming out tonight.		
17	(The meeting concluded at 8:31 PM).		
18	000		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
4	discussion in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time
5	and place therein stated; that the foregoing is a full, true and
6	complete record of said matter.
7	I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for
8	either or any of the parties in the foregoing meeting and caption
9	named, or in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in
10	said action.
11	
12	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have
14	hereunto set my hand this
15	23rd day of October
16	2009.
17	///KN
18	MARK I. BRICKMAN CSR 5527
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
L 6	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2009
L7	OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50
L8	PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR, RPR
	License No. 5527
25	
26	

1	ATTENDEES
2	RAB Members:
3	Doug Kern, Facilitator
	Eileen Fanelli
4	Brian Ullensvang
	Bob Boggs
5	Agnes Farres
	Peter O'Hara
6	Julie Cheever
	Jan Blum
7	Sam Berman
	John Budroe
8	Julian Hultgren
	Michelle Passero
9	Edward Callanan
	John Chester
10	Toni Kramer
	Jim Ketcham
11	Also Present:
12	Mark Frey
13	00
14	
15	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
16	the Meeting, and on November 10, 2009, at the Officer's
17	Club, Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California
18	before me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of
19	California, there commenced a RAB meeting under the
20	provisions of the Presidio Trust.
21	00
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	AGENDA	
2		Page
3	1) Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern	4
4	2) Agenda Discussion and Approval	4
5	3) Announcements and Old Business	5
6	4) Committee Business	5
7	5) Reports & Discussions	6
8	6) Regulatory Agency Status Updates/Inputs	
9	A. Robert Boggs, California DTSC - None	
10	B. Agnes Farres, California RWQCB - None	
11	7) New Business - None	
12	8) Review of Action Items - None	
13	9) Agenda Items for Upcoming Meetings	80
14	10) Adjournment	84
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 FACILITATOR KERN: Welcome, everyone.
- 2 This is the regularly scheduled meeting of the Presidio
- 3 Restoration Advisory Board for November 2009. Suddenly
- 4 the end of the year is catching up to us. Time for the
- 5 holidays, and of course hope everybody is staying well.
- 6 Been hearing around the schools certainly a lot of people
- 7 are catching things. So I wish everybody a healthy
- 8 holiday season.
- 9 I'd like to welcome the Presidio Trust, the
- 10 National Park Service, the Water Board and community
- 11 members of the Restoration Advisory Board and members of
- 12 the public tonight. Thanks for coming out.
- 13 The agenda got out this time and it didn't
- have a lot on it, so hopefully if I were to ask you does
- 15 everybody approve of the agenda? Are there any things
- 16 that you would add, you would all raise your hands and
- say I have a bunch of things to add.
- 18 Maybe I'll intercept that a little bit and
- say we might go with a little landfill 10 construction
- 20 update type stuff.
- MS. FANELLI: All right.
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: We might examine
- fillsite 1, landfill 2.
- MS. FANELLI: I'm prepared.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. One thing

- 1 that I've been contacted by folks over at the Mountain
- 2 Lake Group, and so they've asked me if we could inquire
- 3 if there are any updates to Mountain Lake that you might
- 4 know of that you could tell us.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: So I would propose
- 7 those things for the agenda.
- 8 Are there any other items that people might
- 9 include?
- 10 All right. Moving on, any announcements or
- 11 old business?
- 12 MS. FANELLI: Just want to make sure that
- 13 everybody knows that next month's meeting the venue's
- 14 changed. Our board of directors is using the Officer's
- 15 Club. So we're going to be in the Hawthorne Room of the
- 16 Golden Gate Club.
- 17 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Golden Gate
- 18 Club.
- 19 That may -- we usually talk about a holiday
- 20 party around this time of the year, so we'll put that
- 21 maybe as a potential agenda item at -- when we cover the
- 22 rest of everything else. We can discuss whether we want
- 23 to do that or not.
- 24 Committee business. We -- we continued
- 25 with updates with respect to landfill 10 and fillsite 1

- 1 and landfill 2, much as we're going to talk about
- 2 tonight. Those are the primary issues in front of us.
- And so I think if we could move on to item
- 4 number 5, if you would -- Eileen, let us know what the
- 5 latest thing from your perspective on landfill 8, 10--
- MS. FANELLI: I could do that, or we could
- 7 start with fillsite 1, landfill 2.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: Either.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: Mark here is going to do a
- 10 lot of this, but at the last RAB meeting and at the last
- 11 planning meeting, we talked about a little bit -- Mark,
- 12 you can help me here. You can stand here and do this.
- 13 We talked a little bit about how the Trust,
- various departments were working together to coordinate
- the vision per the VMP and per the EA for Tennessee
- 16 Hollow, some of the landscaping work for that Upper El
- 17 Polin Springs landfill 1 -- fillsite 1, landfill 2 area.
- 18 And so that happened, and I had a -- a map
- 19 was being generated, and I had promised to kind of bring
- that map. Terri couldn't come, and Mark is here. I'm
- 21 going to let Mark walk through and describe what that
- vision looks like and he can answer questions.
- MR. FREY: Can you guys actually see this
- 24 map?
- 25 MS. FANELLI: I didn't put it on a Power

- 1 Point only because I had to schlep everything.
- 2 MR. FREY: I'll come in the middle and
- 3 walk around.
- 4 FACILITATOR KERN: We could gather around
- 5 Sam over there and that would kind of put us all in one
- 6 spot.
- 7 MR. FREY: So these -- this is fillsite 1,
- 8 landfill 2 area. There's a bunch of stuff on the map.
- 9 These sort of dark blue outlines are the current idea of
- 10 what the remediation boundary would be for landfill 2 and
- 11 fillsite 1.
- 12 This massive dark line here is the sort of
- mapped version of the VMP boundary.
- So the -- the remedy of fillsite 1 as it
- 15 stands now is to remove all chemically contaminated fill
- 16 as it is at present, but there's material under it that
- 17 at least now appears to have --
- 18 MS. FANELLI: Just start with just the
- 19 overall land use area. The native forest.
- MR. FREY: The boundary here shows this.
- 21 This area is going to be treated as native plant
- 22 community zone. This area to be historic forest and this
- 23 area will be treated as design landscape zone.
- MR. BERMAN: What's there now in --
- 25 COMMISSIONER FRY: This map -- this --

- 1 it's pretty current. It's from last year, so this is all
- 2 tree here. This is a big sandy area where dogs are often
- 3 off-leash, even though they're not supposed to be, and
- 4 the ballfield is here, the big ballfield is here, and
- 5 these are trees, and this is parking lot.
- MS. KRAMER: What's the blue?
- 7 MR. BERMAN: It's El Polin.
- 8 MS. BLUM: Light blue, ocean blue.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: You can see here. This is
- 10 where the ballfield construction will occur in this area.
- 11 This is just a schematic layout of the new parking lot
- 12 adjacent to Paul Goode Field, and then in this area, the
- 13 actual practice field that was identified or discussed in
- 14 the EA would be constructed.
- There is a trail that is planned that would
- 16 come down from that area to El Polin Springs.
- 17 MR. BERMAN: Where's El Polin right now?
- 18 There?
- 19 MS. FANELLI: Right there. There's the
- 20 little well thing and there's the loop. What we wanted
- 21 to try to really show on here is how the native plant
- zone, historical forest and the recreational areas are
- all going to converge, and one of the purposes of our
- 24 getting together was to really look at that layout of
- 25 sites.

- 1 And we wanted to balance this, too, with
- 2 the VMP and with the biological opinion that exists for
- 3 the site, plus new information that was developed plus to
- 4 VMP, and I'm going to get the name wrong. It's the
- 5 historic vegetation study.
- 6 MR. FREY: Forest characterization study.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: That was done after the VMP
- 8 was formally adopted.
- 9 And so what this does is it takes into
- 10 account that new information.
- 11 And what you see is that native plant zones
- 12 will still be constructed. It would be obstructed into
- this area, which will be newly exposed and have nice
- soils.
- 15 So it will allow this area to be
- 16 constructed, and then this area that's historic forest
- 17 would be replaced once the landfill is removed, but it
- 18 will actually extend this direction into this area here,
- 19 which is currently the parking lot for Paul Goode Field,
- and that's based on the analysis that's been done post
- 21 the VMP on where the historical forest used to be.
- This area in here would be the native plant
- zone, so native plants would kind of -- instead of being
- like this, would sort of be like this, shifted a little
- 25 bit.

- 1 MR. BERMAN: Is the plan to remove all
- 2 these trees here?
- 3 MR. FREY: No. This --
- 4 MS. FANELLI: All these trees in this area
- 5 are starting to be removed in the next several days. We
- 6 hired a contractor.
- 7 MS. KRAMER: So this is just sort of an
- 8 aerial photographs of what is or was there with --
- 9 COMMISSIONER FRY: The photograph is
- 10 relatively current.
- 11 MS. KRAMER: It's not really showing what
- 12 was. It's hard to distinguish between what was there and
- 13 what the new design is on that.
- MR. FREY: Well, the stuff that sort of
- 15 was there is mostly just trees and not a whole lot else.
- 16 MS. FANELLI: The photo's recent. The
- 17 photo is what it looks like today.
- 18 MR. FREY: These colors, all these fun
- 19 colors that overlay here are describing the way the land
- is supposed to be -- is being proposed to be used, and so
- 21 colors don't actually mean anything necessarily.
- This zone, currently zoned, this sort of
- orangey here, it's forest, but it seems to make sense to
- the Trust, and based on conversation with Fish and
- 25 Wildlife Service, to extend the native plant restoration

- 1 work into this portion that's actually zoned as a forest.
- 2 Whereas this portion, this yellow and this
- 3 yellow is currently zoned as a plant community zone, but
- 4 it seems to make sense based on the soil conditions that
- 5 are going to be exposed after landfill removal to be
- 6 treated as forest.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: And on the historical forest
- 8 site.
- 9 MR. FREY: And then this blue area is a --
- 10 we're calling that sort of a buffer area, so it's also
- likely to be on top of material that's not native soils.
- So it would be a more landscaped zone, and
- 13 if you do these -- these changes have the sort of added
- 14 benefit of having retaining the forest edge sort of the
- 15 upper part of El Polin.
- 16 MR. BERMAN: Where is E on this picture?
- 17 MS. FANELLI: E is not on there.
- 18 The plan is -- at least my understanding
- 19 from Terri is that this would be a native plant --
- 20 planted with native plants.
- 21 MR. FREY: A mix or native and non-
- 22 natives.
- MS. FANELLI: And the concept is there
- 24 will be a transition from the recreational areas, the
- 25 trail going down. The forest would extend -- there would

- 1 be that screen that currently exists, because all these
- 2 trees are coming out, right? To do the native plant
- 3 restoration area.
- 4 There would be a screen reestablished that
- 5 kind of isolates the -- the natural area of El Polin
- 6 Spring from the recreational area, and then a transition
- 7 zone as you come off the recreational area, and we're
- 8 just presenting this as part of the context. Because
- 9 there's four or five different projects here.
- 10 Remediation's just one of them.
- 11 Remediation will come in first, obviously, and remove the
- 12 contaminated debris.
- 13 However, that's defined -- ultimately we'll
- 14 take some of that out, and then there will be other
- design plans to construct this ballfield and get it
- 16 going, and I think there's a separate RFP process that's
- 17 going out for that and we're -- we'll be working with
- 18 Peter on how he's going to plant this area, and obviously
- 19 we deforested the area we disturbed, he would probably do
- some reforestation in the area, and the same for the
- 21 native plant zones.
- Our goal was just to show this because
- there's a lot happening here, and we just wanted to be
- 24 really clear on how the Trust is actually working as a
- 25 unified unit to make sure all these things come together

- and function properly. So it's really back story.
- 2 MS. KRAMER: So the point of this map is
- 3 that there are three colors, and those three blobs
- 4 represent a change from the master plan.
- 5 Is that the intent?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: It's a change from the --
- 7 it's a change from the BMP -- strict BMP line, it is.
- 8 MS. KRAMER: But it's 233 blobs that are
- 9 important?
- MS. FANELLI: Pretty much.
- 11 MS. KRAMER: Everything else is sort of
- 12 background photograph.
- 13 MS. FANELLI: This is native plant and
- 14 stays that. This is forest and stays forest. These are
- 15 residential and stays that way. This is not currently
- 16 forest until it becomes forest.
- MS. BLUM: It's a parking lot; isn't it?
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah, but it would become
- 19 forest once the remediation is done.
- 20 MR. BERMAN: Pop Hicks Field is --
- MS. FANELLI: It's up here.
- MR. BERMAN: This is all sort of south of
- 23 that.
- MS. PASSERO: How much -- so you pointed
- 25 to two blue circles as remediation and that's where --

- 1 are those total clean closures and complete removal,
- 2 then?
- 3 MS. FANELLI: Yes. That's the idea.
- 4 Right now we're proposing clean closure on both of those
- 5 sites.
- MS. PASSERO: Would there still be -- over
- 7 here, there would still be some landfill material or --
- 8 MS. FANELLI: No. There would not be
- 9 contaminated debris fill under any circumstances. I
- 10 understand there is this question of fill.
- It's not contaminated underneath, but part
- of that -- working this out was that that can -- that
- fill is not necessarily unsuitable for forest or
- 14 recreational areas or anything. It may not be ideal for
- 15 recreational plant restoration.
- 16 How this transition happens would be worked
- out in design. Obviously we're working about changes and
- 18 how things happen. We don't have that kind of
- 19 information.
- 20 MR. CALLANAN: How old are the trees that
- 21 you would remove?
- 22 MR. FREY: Ah --
- MS. FANELLI: We should know. At most a
- 24 hundred years.
- 25 MS. PASSERO: Will that be habitat?

- 1 MR. FREY: Any time you got dense
- 2 vegetation, you got areas where older stuff can hang out
- 3 and decaying plants, decaying trees. Create lots of
- 4 insects and therefore birds.
- 5 MS. KRAMER: Well, birds, too.
- 6 MR. FREY: Birds will eat the insects.
- 7 But these actually -- these are eucalyptus here. Most of
- 8 this is eucalyptus, and so they don't have a lot of decay
- 9 at this stage in their lifecycle.
- MS. FANELLI: We're removing well over 300
- 11 trees, and obviously they're coming out of this area and
- they're coming out of the area that we're constructing
- 13 here, and in addition, Natural Resources is taking out
- some of the trees here. We're doing it all at the same
- 15 time.
- 16 That contract was bid. We awarded it to
- 17 Professional Tree Care. I think they've issued the
- 18 Notice to Proceed.
- 19 I think in the next couple of weeks, we --
- you're going to see the change start to open.
- 21 They're going to be using this road to make
- it happen. They're going to be staging on Pop Hicks. I
- 23 believe they're going to be doing their chipping
- operations to keep it away from the residents.
- There will be trees will be removed at Pop

- 1 Hicks. Probably the largest trees will be removed from
- 2 Pop Hicks. They need to put an access road in.
- 3 They will do the access road and then stage
- 4 out of there to take the wood offsite.
- 5 MS. BLUM: Is that Quarry Road?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: That is Quarry Trail.
- 7 MS. BLUM: Where does that exit the
- 8 wildlife area? Does it come out in residential district
- 9 in? What street does it come out on?
- 10 MS. FANELLI: Quarry Trail exits on Quarry
- 11 Road.
- MS. BLUM: Where the steep hill is and
- where the residential -- is Quarry Road the one that
- 14 leads into Pop Hicks?
- MS. FANELLI: No.
- MR. FREY: Next one over.
- MS. FANELLI: Next one over. That's
- 18 Barnard. So they're going to build a new road, so never
- 19 on Quarry Road. They're going to put up a sound wall to
- 20 cut off the residents and they're going to build a new
- 21 road on to the trail on top of Pop Hicks Field.
- So we will not have any construction
- vehicles on any of the residential streets.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: Have people looked at
- 25 this new road and where it goes in terms of historical

- 1 moments? I know that -- I don't know exactly where
- 2 you're saying it's going to be, but it seems like behind
- 3 Pop Hicks, there's the trench warfare area.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: It won't be there.
- 5 MR. FREY: Yes. This is the area that we
- 6 call the mustard gas site. So there's --
- 7 MR. BERMAN: That's already cleared;
- 8 right?
- 9 MR. FREY: It's already cleared. You can
- see this shadow is one of those practice foxholes. So
- 11 this road does in the go near.
- MS. FANELLI: When I said that, it's
- 13 really coming off at the edge, right behind where the
- 14 street ends. And -- and really the top end.
- Those trees will be removed, anyway, at
- some point to do landfill E, and then that area's
- 17 identified as trail, so ultimately the road will be
- 18 removed and a trail will be put in that spot.
- 19 MS. PASSERO: So where would the fill --
- otherwise, in terms of the total landfill, like relative
- 21 to those two circles, can you show where the rest of the
- 22 fill would be? Like is it -- this is clean fill over
- 23 here?
- 24 MS. FANELLI: This is debris fill that
- 25 we're removing.

- 1 MS. PASSERO: And that's the total
- 2 boundaries of the fill?
- 3 MS. FANELLI: Right.
- 4 MS. KRAMER: And that's all the fill or
- 5 just the contaminated fill?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: The remediation is just the
- 7 contaminated fill. It's in this area and we would
- 8 excavate it.
- 9 Then as part of future projects or final
- design, we'll come up with a plan that will create this
- as a natural area, and then that is -- any additional
- fill or gravel that's not suitable for the restoration
- 13 would be removed.
- Where it goes, I don't know at this point.
- 15 It could be used as fill in another portion of the site.
- 16 It may not be able to be used in another portion of the
- 17 site.
- 18 It will be handled in order for the
- 19 restoration part to happen.
- 20 MR. FREY: It's immediately below the
- 21 contaminated zone.
- MS. KRAMER: So when you remove the
- contaminated Fill, are you going to be doing more
- 24 testing?
- 25 MS. FANELLI: It will all be laid out in

- 1 the RAP. We have to do confirmation testing.
- 2 MS. KRAMER: That would go all the way
- down to the bottom of that thirty feet or whatever those
- 4 pockets are?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: No, not necessarily. We've
- 6 sampled that underlying fill already, and we don't have
- 7 the confirmation plans, but normally when we do
- 8 confirmation sampling, we just sample the upper surfaces
- 9 to make sure that we have not left any of the debris in
- 10 place. That's normally how it happens.
- MS. KRAMER: But once you remove
- 12 contaminated fill, you wouldn't retest?
- MS. FANELLI: We would do --
- MS. KRAMER: Because you'd have better.
- MS. FANELLI: Not necessarily.
- 16 MS. KRAMER: -- access to those other
- 17 areas?
- 18 MS. FANELLI: That would be if somebody
- 19 feels we hadn't adequately characterized that fill. We
- don't have that outcome yet. But that could be if there
- 21 was comments that it wouldn't adequately characterized,
- 22 sure.
- 23 MS. KRAMER: And who makes those comments?
- MS. FANELLI: Well, DTSC makes those
- 25 comments or they would be made in the public forum when

- 1 the RAP is issued and people could make those comments.
- 2 MS. PASSERO: Would this be called a clean
- 3 closure even if there's still fill left?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Yes. If it's earth fill.
- 5 If it's native natural materials. There's fill all over
- 6 San Francisco.
- 7 MR. BOGGS: It's not contaminated.
- 8 Generally how they have done it in the past, they'll dig
- 9 out all the stuff that's contaminated.
- 10 We actually go out in the field with them
- and say, "Sample here, here, and here." There's already
- 12 a predescribed method.
- 13 They'll set up a grid across the site,
- fifty foot by fifty foot, 75 foot by 75 foot. They'll
- 15 sample all across the bottom of what we think is the
- 16 contaminated material. It's at the surface after we've
- 17 removed that material.
- 18 Here there's going to be several feet of
- 19 depth of what's believed to be non-contaminated fill or
- very, very low amounts of contamination, like if somebody
- 21 leached down.
- 22 And we also look for hot spots, anything
- that looks off color and we'll say, "Okay. Take an extra
- 24 sample there."
- 25 We also go around the perimeter of the

- 1 site. We take samples like every so many feet around the
- 2 edge of the site, and so that's called the confirmation
- 3 sampling program, essentially.
- 4 That's kind of how it's been done in the
- 5 past.
- 6 Now occasionally there will be
- 7 difficulties. Fillsite 6A, we left part of the storm
- 8 drain in. We cleaned it out. It's pretty much clean,
- 9 but because of the historic building, it didn't quite
- 10 meet the standard.
- 11 What was the incinerator ash site last
- 12 year?
- MS. FANELLI: 669?
- 14 MR. BOGGS: Yeah. There was a little
- 15 tiny -- we found this big mound of ash that we didn't
- 16 realize we had.
- 17 We dug it all out. We sampled, we did all
- 18 that, but there was this little tiny layer, no more than
- 19 like this thick, ten feet underground and it was
- 20 underneath the roadway.
- We would have had to dig up the roadway, do
- 22 all this, so there was kind of an agreement set that
- they'll put what's called an LUC or an LUN on this
- 24 portion of the site saying: "If you do future work here,
- 25 be aware there's contamination."

- 1 So pretty much a similar thing that's going
- 2 to happen here in that they'll have a program. Hopefully
- 3 it will be set out in the RAP to everybody's satisfaction
- 4 that they're going to sample on this grid, take so many
- 5 samples around the site, and then if some of these
- 6 samples are contaminated above cleanup levels, they'll go
- 7 ahead and dig a little further.
- MS. FANELLI: Our plan is not to leave any
- 9 contamination.
- MR. BERMAN: What are the COCs in this
- 11 existing for else now?
- MS. FANELLI: In landfill 2, I think the
- 13 COCs are primarily metals and TAHs. I think there's a
- 14 few dioxins.
- 15 We have human health risk levels in
- 16 landfill 2 primarily associated with the ash. I think
- 17 most of our issues with fillsite 1 are ecological, and
- 18 COCs are just slightly different. They're very similar,
- 19 but you're right. The dioxins.
- MR. CHESTER: So you're applying the human
- 21 health criteria to that section of the excavation?
- MS. FANELLI: Actually, we applied for eco
- restoration. We haven't applied the eco numbers.
- I think for the forest, there's a
- 25 recreation number, and then for the native habitat,

- 1 there's eco.
- 2 MR. BERMAN: There are trees there that
- 3 existed before the contamination actually got in there;
- 4 is that right? The dioxins wouldn't be there a hundred
- 5 years ago; would they?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: Most of these trees in here
- 7 are not that old. The landfill was filled in the '40s
- 8 and '50s and the trees came basically afterward.
- 9 There might be some of this periphery that
- 10 are older and we'll only take out the trees that we have
- 11 to within ten foot of the construction area. We try to
- 12 save as many as we can.
- 13 There are some trees that are coming out
- that are sort of in between the two that technically
- don't necessarily have to because they're in the
- 16 excavation area, but leaving them creates an issue from a
- 17 wind standpoint where they're now at risk because they're
- 18 going to be buffeted by the wind. Peter Ehrlich's plan
- is to have them removed.
- 20 So this little blip in the BMP is going to
- our N squared, so it's still going through our process.
- We wanted to share with you to kind of give some context
- 23 to the remediation that will be happening.
- MS. BLUM: Even from here, I can't see the
- 25 second blue line.

- 1 Can you just show me where the landfill 2
- delineation is? I can see this one. Oh, there it is.
- 3 It's really hard to see.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: The lights in here is not
- 5 the best. It's sort of moonlighting. We need an office
- 6 light.
- 7 MS. BLUM: I understand the
- 8 diversification of the forest is going to be something
- 9 that you can achieve by not planting just eucalyptus and
- 10 cypress, that you're going to enhance the forest so it
- 11 has more wildlife value.
- 12 Is that the case?
- 13 MR. FREY: I'll try to speak for Peter.
- 14 His goal in this landfill is to try out some -- some
- 15 species that haven't been planted before in the Presidio
- 16 historic forest in the land decade. The torrey pine,
- shore pine, some other things.
- 18 MS. FANELLI: He's a -- there's some
- 19 cypress.
- MR. FREY: Yeah.
- MS. FANELLI: I know that some of them are
- 22 already being propagated. We have contracts to
- 23 propagate.
- MR. FREY: This in part will be laid by
- 25 serpentine. But it is -- this is geologic formation, so

- it's plunging into the ground here and will be relatively
- 2 thin throughout most of this landfill.
- 3 So he's trying to target some of these
- 4 species that are known to occur in some form of these
- 5 sites in the wild and try them out on this site, and the
- 6 plan as it is now is to have an understory of native oaks
- 7 and see how that goes.
- MS. BLUM: We'll see.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: It will be very dramatic
- 10 over the next couple of weeks.
- MS. BLUM: So dramatic.
- MR. BERMAN: Yeah. Just --
- 13 FACILITATOR KERN: Do you have a feel for
- 14 how thick at the deepest the total combination of
- 15 contaminated plus what you're saying contaminated
- 16 fillsite 1 is?
- 17 MS. FANELLI: I don't have the report with
- 18 me, but the contamination debris fill that we're
- 19 proposing to remove I think is about 25 plus or minus
- 20 thick across the area, and then there's about the
- 21 equivalent, a little bit more, actually of earth fill
- 22 underneath it, a mixture of sand, sandy silts, some rocks
- 23 that came from the quarry operations that are over here.
- 24 But it varies.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: Do you have a feel for

- 1 how much that deeper layer has been evaluated?
- MS. FANELLI: Well, you probably actually
- 3 have read the daily report more thoroughly than. I have.
- 4 I don't know actually how many samples that we took.
- 5 We characterized the sample very well.
- 6 When we first went out with our plan, which was discussed
- 7 many, many months ago, our entire purpose was to sample
- 8 waste, sample underneath the waste and sample to bedrock
- 9 so we could get some very good characterization of all of
- 10 the different material, and that was our objective, and
- 11 we feel that we've achieved it through the work that
- 12 we've done.
- 13 But I can't off the top of my head say that
- the number of samples that we've taken -- we've taken
- over a hundred samples from the area. I can't
- 16 differentiate how many came out of the waste and how many
- 17 deeper.
- 18 I don't know, Bob, if you know off the top
- 19 of your head.
- I'm not sure. That's why we laid out grids
- on both sites and we sampled systemically all of those
- 22 different units, and we purposely sampled underneath the
- 23 debris fill into the earth.
- MR. CHESTER: Are there composite samples?
- 25 MS. FANELLI: These are all screens for

- 1 the most parts.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: And you feel it's
- 3 pretty well controlled around the limits?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: That's one reason why we
- 5 actually did the grid sampling to make sure that we could
- 6 get some very decent edge definition going into the
- design, so we didn't have a lot of open questions.
- 8 MS. KRAMER: And how did you determine
- 9 what the edges were? Was that from historic maps or
- 10 looking at topo maps or --
- 11 MS. FANELLI: Going back to the work plan,
- and I think we did a few presentations on this. We did
- have some historic perhaps maps. We had some historic
- 14 topo that we overlaid to try to get the idea of where the
- debris fill had occurred, and then we laid this grid out
- 16 over that entire area.
- 17 We sampled in each grid, and then we did
- 18 some additional testing based on conversations to get
- 19 edges in particular down here around landfill 2, and we
- 20 did some additional testing in this area to try to
- 21 understand this dynamic between the housing area and the
- 22 ballfield area, as well.
- MR. BERMAN: People have walked through
- 24 landfill 2 several generations; right? Has anyone ever
- 25 been sick? Has there ever been -- I mean, has there ever

- been any -- any sign of any human health hazard?
- 2 At one time, I used to walk through there
- 3 when I had a dog, you know, three times a week.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: I don't know of any.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: It may explain some
- 6 things.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: There is sand on top. There
- 8 is cover, and there's a lot of trees. The cover's not
- 9 thick, but I don't know if anybody has. None that's been
- 10 reported.
- 11 FACILITATOR KERN: The material's actually
- 12 exposed at the surface.
- 13 MS. FANELLI: In some places, yes.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: And I think there's
- 15 evidence that there's material moving downgradient from
- 16 landfill 2.
- 17 So yeah, it's been out there for a long
- time and it's moving downhill a little bit.
- 19 MS. FANELLI: The data report does show, I
- 20 think, the concentration is relative to the cleanup
- levels, and again, I don't have it here with me, but I
- 22 know copies have been provided, you know, to the RAB.
- It's on our Sharepoint site, and if we need
- 24 to get additional copies out to you, we can do that.
- MR. BERMAN: But the risk must be fairly

- 1 low, the human health risk?
- MS. FANELLI: They tend to be.
- 3 FACILITATOR KERN: Although there are
- 4 counts of 25,000 parts per million lead at landfill 2,
- 5 multiple samples. There's a lot of lead at landfill 2.
- 6 MR. BERMAN: Right.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: Particularly in the turn
- 8 stuff.
- 9 MR. BERMAN: But that's down far.
- 10 MS. FANELLI: But there is bad stuff in
- 11 there.
- 12 MS. KRAMER: So is the new playing field
- going to be over part of that area that's not going to be
- 14 excavated?
- MS. FANELLI: Well, we're going to
- 16 excavate this whole area, and to build their playing
- 17 field, we might actually have to bring in more earth,
- 18 right?
- So you asked about how -- what this other
- 20 field might be used for. There could be a whole bunch of
- 21 grading after we remove the bad stuff to implement this
- 22 land shape.
- MS. KRAMER: So that could be used.
- MS. FANELLI: Sure.
- 25 MS. KRAMER: That fill could be used under

- 1 the ballpark?
- 2 MS. FANELLI: We feel particularly if it's
- 3 not contaminated fill, it might be fill. As long as it
- 4 had the proper engineering properties, it could be pushed
- 5 up.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: Where would you imagine
- 7 there to be a native surface near the -- say, fillsite 1
- 8 area? Where would it be fill and where would it be
- 9 native?
- MR. FREY: Well, we're fairly confident
- 11 that pretty close to this border, we have native material
- 12 there.
- 13 I mean, this area's one of the old -- has
- 14 been not disturbed for some of the longest time in any of
- 15 the Presidio. The dam is from the 1860s, so material has
- 16 been moved around for well over a hundred years there,
- 17 but I would say that this area's probably pretty much
- 18 native fill -- native soil, and that's roughly correlates
- 19 with what the fill map comparing 1871 to 2000 shows.
- 20 We don't have good enough information going
- 21 back before 1871 to know for sure, and I think the --
- once the contaminated fill's removed, that this portion,
- 23 some edge of it will be relatively thin. It does thin
- 24 out towards the edge.
- 25 So with a little tiny bit of grading to get

- down to native material there easily.
- 2 MS. FANELLI: So that is the idea. This
- 3 whole area would be back to a native ground surface.
- 4 There's obviously engineering how to get to this.
- 5 Obviously we have no vision of that yet until we get to
- 6 that.
- 7 MR. FREY: Fillsite 6A where you want to
- 8 get down to native material, it turned out to be
- 9 relatively simple because the native material, you can
- 10 move around a little bit.
- 11 And here, because it's been worked on even
- 12 longer than that, there may have been native material
- 13 that was moved around within the area and there's no
- 14 chemical contaminations in that, and then there was fill
- 15 put on top of that.
- 16 You could -- you get down to what is
- 17 material that is local, but not in the sort of native
- 18 arrangement.
- 19 Then you could remove that material and it
- 20 could be below grade what the native material would have
- 21 been, but you get to native material in the right
- 22 position.
- So it's a little bit complicated, you know,
- 24 not like there's just a bowl of an area that happened to
- 25 be shaped like a bowl and filled in. They probably dug

- 1 it out and did something there.
- MS. PASSERO: What's going to happen --
- 3 there's some underground spring in that area. When
- 4 you're doing that, do you anticipate maybe reaching areas
- 5 where there might be water?
- 6 MR. FREY: Well, certainly over the toe of
- 7 landfill 2, right here. There's a wetlands near, but
- 8 just outside the boundary. The prospective boundary.
- 9 I imagine that this area will be wet, and
- 10 perhaps one of the reasons that eucalyptus are in here.
- 11 The Army may have said, "It's really wet. We need to dry
- 12 it out."
- 13 It will probably qualify as sand, at least
- in this area. Perhaps even farther back.
- 15 MS. FANELLI: There are natural seeps, and
- 16 the idea is to expose them.
- 17 MR. FREY: The same might be true over
- 18 here, although the water that flows out here is not
- 19 perennial. It's just intermittent, and right now this
- large body of sand I believe functions like a sponge.
- 21 Water comes down through rain, soaks up
- over here, and after some level is reached, it starts
- oozing out and forms this little channel. So that likely
- 24 will change somewhat, but I doubt it will change actually
- 25 that much.

- 1 MS. FANELLI: In terms of future RAB
- 2 meeting topics, jumping ahead, one of the things that I'd
- 3 like to do is -- and I have to talk to Medi really about
- 4 it -- is try to have a more formal presentation on where
- 5 we are with this RAP in the December meeting. So we can
- 6 spend a little bit of time.
- 7 I've got to get confirmation that Medi and
- 8 DTSC are ready to do that, but I have asked them if -- if
- 9 we could do that, and so try to cover it in a little more
- 10 detail and update it.
- MS. BLUM: Since we're going to have such
- 12 an enormous -- another enormous disturbance in a water
- area, which is so important to Crissy Field, what extra
- steps are you going to do to make sure that a lot of this
- debris and chipping and dirt doesn't end up in the
- habitat areas, and Crissy Field in particular?
- 17 I know we had a wood chip problem that had
- 18 eucalyptus chips in El Polin Springs.
- 19 MS. FANELLI: Did they migrate to Crissy
- 20 Field storm drain?
- MS. BLUM: The Crissy Field doesn't do
- 22 much for the water quality.
- MS. FANELLI: I'm asking for a
- 24 clarification.
- 25 MS. BLUM: I think it was put down for

- 1 another reason.
- 2 MS. FANELLI: But it got into the storm
- 3 drain system?
- 4 MS. BLUM: It was in the springs.
- 5 MR. FREY: It didn't get any further than
- 6 this.
- 7 MS. BLUM: But things happen, and we don't
- 8 want -- things end up in Crissy Marsh -- we had enough
- 9 problems with the water already without additional --
- 10 MS. FANELLI: All right.
- MS. BLUM: So I'm just saying heads-up on
- 12 that, and I hope -- hopefully we'll hear more details on
- how we're going to protect that water area.
- MR. FREY: Yeah.
- MS. PASSERO: Thanks.
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: Thanks, Mark.
- MR. BERMAN: This is a coordinated
- 18 project, then, between remediation and the other parts of
- 19 the Presidio that are involved with carrying out the --
- MS. FANELLI: Yes, it is.
- 21 MR. BERMAN: How -- I mean, it's
- 22 coordinated and someone figures out how to budget it so
- 23 that the budget is properly distributed among the
- 24 parties?
- 25 MS. FANELLI: Right. Remediation would

- 1 handle remediation issues, and then the ballfield
- 2 folks -- the Planning Department.
- 3 MR. FREY: I just wrote a check for
- 4 \$75,000 for tree removal.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: That's right. We combine
- our efforts when we can and we can get synergy. We do it
- 7 in one contract, and we try to schedule it.
- 8 When one body's not ready to fund, we don't
- 9 necessarily use another department's money to fund it,
- 10 but we'll get the site prepared so that they can come
- 11 back in and do it.
- But at this point, the whole purpose of
- doing this is all these projects are actually moving
- 14 forward at the same time and we're trying to coordinate
- 15 it so that when we're -- remediation is done, the ball-
- 16 field can go into place and other work can go into place.
- 17 MS. BLUM: So Eileen, as long as we're
- 18 road building so that Quarry Road can handle heavy
- 19 equipment, who's funding that? It sounds like more than
- 20 a path to me if we're going to have big heavy equipment
- 21 going out of there.
- MS. FANELLI: The temporary road's being
- funded by remediation. It is not that wide. I believe
- 24 it's about twelve foot wide. It narrows. It's not wide
- enough for two vehicles to pass.

- 1 There are portions on the road, Quarry
- 2 Trail where one can pull over, but there will have to be
- 3 some flag person issues when we're actually moving
- 4 material back and forth.
- 5 MR. BERMAN: The park people will use that
- 6 road, also?
- 7 MS. FANELLI: No. The temporary road will
- 8 go away when we're done with the work. It will become a
- 9 trail. They will remove it. It's the a temporary road.
- 10 It will be in place for the tree removal
- and we'll leave it in place for the actual debris
- 12 removal, remediation, construction and they will stage on
- 13 landfill E.
- Now, when landfill E goes the following
- 15 year, I don't see right now any need for that road, for
- 16 the Landfill E remediation, but I won't get rid of it yet
- 17 until we know what they may or may not need.
- 18 But I don't think that they would, because
- we're doing restoration at the other end and there will
- 20 be no other outlets for them at that direction.
- So I see it being removed at the end of
- 22 the -- the connection from Quarry Trail, the road being
- removed at the end of fillsite 1, landfill 2 work or
- 24 beginning to break back down to a trail.
- 25 MR. BERMAN: So the contamination is going

- 1 to be removed offsite?
- MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- 3 MR. BERMAN: And none of that will be
- 4 piled up and taken away? It's just going to be moved as
- 5 it's dug out; is that right?
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah. We don't actually
- 7 have the details. It will be loaded into vehicles, the
- 8 vehicles would exit through landfill E, and then Barnard
- 9 and out.
- 10 MR. BERMAN: Out through the Lombard gate,
- 11 then?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes, or if it's going over
- 13 the bridge, however they -- the roads that we're allowed
- 14 to use. Stay on Lincoln, get back on Doyle Drive if it's
- open and they can get on it, whatever. That's how they
- 16 would go.
- 17 MR. BERMAN: There will be a lot of truck
- 18 movement involved?
- 19 MS. FANELLI: There's a lot of trucks.
- There's 70,000 yards that we've estimated with debris
- fill, and, you know, we're talking 4,000 plus trucks.
- MS. BLUM: Wow.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Is that between the two
- 24 sites?
- 25 MS. FANELLI: Yes. So the idea is to keep

- 1 that off of the residential streets and keep it on
- 2 Barnard avenue, try to minimize what we have to do with
- 3 the neighbors.
- 4 MR. BOGGS: Also add to that, Sam, most
- 5 contractors will want to reserve the ability to kind of
- 6 dig it up, stockpile it and then be able to load it into
- 7 trucks rather than be committed to loading it directly
- 8 from the landfill to trucks. Sometimes that's not easy
- 9 to do.
- MR. BERMAN: Yeah. That's what I was
- 11 thinking.
- 12 MS. FANELLI: We'll work with them. We
- don't want them to do too much staging on landfill E and
- moving. We'd like to keep that stuff as far away from
- the residents as possible just so that it's not a
- 16 nuisance.
- You know, even if it's just a mental
- 18 nuisance. Obviously we wouldn't be creating a health
- 19 hazard.
- But we haven't gotten that far, obviously,
- in the design process.
- MR. BERMAN: What happens to things like
- 23 dioxin when they're dug up and put on the truck? Can
- 24 they get into the air?
- 25 MS. FANELLI: If -- again, if it's a dusty

- 1 particle that can fly into the air, yes.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: The trucks are covered.
- 3 MR. BOGGS: Just the process of digging it
- 4 up and dumping it into the truck it creates a little bit
- 5 of dust.
- They're generally pretty good about dust
- 7 control and keeping water sprayed on the dirt. This is
- 8 that's something they need to be diligent about.
- 9 It's not something like a vapor like
- 10 gasoline. You can smell a solvent. Dioxin won't be a
- 11 vapor. It will be attached to a dust particle.
- MS. FANELLI: We will have a lot of
- monitoring. Because we'll be near residents.
- I would hope to do a robust monitoring.
- 15 MR. BERMAN: There will be realtime
- sampling of the environment, huh?
- 17 MR. BOGGS: Right. In fact at landfill 10
- 18 right now, you can go out there and there's an active
- 19 dust monitor operating.
- There's maybe one or -- there's -- there's
- 21 that little valley there that comes up Lobos Creek and
- 22 where it kind of concentrates the wind coming up.
- 23 There was dust there, but all the
- 24 measurements since that time have been well below
- 25 standards.

- 1 MS. FANELLI: We have four monitors there
- 2 and then we also do local monitoring with inspectors.
- 3 MR. BERMAN: Is there a number -- a cost
- figure for what this entire endeavor will take? I
- 5 mean --
- MS. FANELLI: Is there a budget?
- 7 MR. BERMAN: Mark showed us everything on
- 8 the map including the mediation, the restoration, the
- 9 reforestation and the beautification and the ballpark and
- 10 everything.
- 11 MS. FANELLI: There is numbers, but I'll
- 12 be honest. I don't have them with me. Next month is the
- 13 quarterly report and I'm working on it. I didn't bring
- 14 those detailed numbers.
- 15 But yeah, there are numbers for all of
- 16 this.
- 17 MR. BERMAN: So is it up in the twenty
- 18 million or so? When we look at the entirety.
- 19 MS. FANELLI: I don't think it's that
- 20 high. The remediation of --
- 21 MR. BERMAN: I'm talking about everything,
- 22 yeah.
- MS. FANELLI: The remediation by itself, I
- 24 think we budgeted it eleven -- there's like eleven plus
- 25 million for the two sites, and I don't think all the

- other stuff adds up to a million dollars.
- I can move on to landfill 10.
- 3 FACILITATOR KERN: Any other questions?
- 4 MR. BERMAN: That was really quite
- 5 interesting, and it looks like a massive project that's
- 6 been pretty well coordinated, so --
- 7 MS. FANELLI: So far. It's knock wood,
- 8 but we're hoping to do -- when you get to agenda items,
- 9 I'd like to -- next month is our financial report, but --
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: I've got it noted.
- MS. FANELLI: If we can -- I'll work with
- 12 Medi and see what we can do to get some more information
- 13 out.
- 14 She's been on vacation. I know she's
- 15 coming back next week, and we've been desirous of her to
- 16 get us information so we can get it out to you, as well.
- 17 Okay. So landfill 10. I don't have any
- 18 slides for you today. As you all know, it's been
- somewhat of a rough month, because we had these two
- storms, but if you look at the site today, it looks
- 21 pretty good.
- Not everything is covered with fabric, but
- 23 we did cover the area on the slope next to the Weinstocks
- and the area to the north is done, and we are actively
- 25 working on the top deck.

The two issues that we have that we're 1 2 dealing with are the fact that the contractor has been 3 delayed and has aggravated that delay because we don't have two foot of cover soil everywhere, and the cover 4 soil -- he brought in a different cover soil that is now 5 6 stockpiled and under plastic because we tested it and it 7 didn't meet specification. So we've issued our contractor what we call 8 9 a cure, notice to cure that he has provided materials 10 that are out of spec, basically, and he needs to do 11 something about it. 12 And so there's one path where he's working 13 on trying to cure that soil. We'll come back to that. 14 Obviously the storms were not good for us. We know we've had silt that discharged from the site. 15 16 the Water Board has done some inspections. They've given 17 us inspection reports and we are actively working with 18 the -- to help get the contractor to adequately respond 19 and implement appropriate storm water controls now that 20 we've been delayed into the wet season till we get done. 21 So we are working on that. So this letter that went out to them said 22 23 you got to do something about the soil. You know, you 24 brought us stuff that didn't meet spec. That's further

delayed us. We're into the wet season and we've had

25

- 1 inspections that shows that there's improvement that
- 2 needs to be made to our erosion control, so please do it.
- 3 So we're leaning heavily on our contractor.
- 4 That said, let's go back to the soil. To
- 5 cure the soil, he has some choices. He could bring a
- 6 soil that meets spec.
- Well, if he had had it, he probably would
- 8 have brought us to us by now. So he probably doesn't.
- 9 One of the things that he's looking at is a
- 10 blend of the two soils that he has onsite.
- 11 One of the main issues with the soil is
- 12 high content. So we're waiting to get data back -- we
- don't have it yet -- to see if he can blend these soils
- and to get it to meet our specification, and then we get
- 15 to place it on the slope.
- 16 MS. KRAMER: So was it too high in clay?
- MS. FANELLI: No. It was too high.
- 18 MS. KRAMER: Too high. Was -- the weed
- 19 issue that Brian had mentioned earlier, was that --
- 20 MS. FANELLI: Not on this second stuff.
- 21 The second stuff doesn't have any weeds. The first soil
- 22 has a higher weed content.
- So anyway, we're looking to try to fix
- that, and hopefully we get a solution, because if we
- don't, then he's going to have to probably come back,

- 1 which is not something that we actually -- it creates a
- whole list and a whole sequence of other problems if he
- 3 has to do that clean the areas where they've started
- 4 putting the netting --
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Those are done.
- MS. KRAMER: So obviously that isn't done
- 7 before you have the cover there? So it's the area that
- 8 you don't have the soil.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: Right. Every place has at
- 10 least one foot of soil, and a chunk has -- other places
- 11 that aren't covered. everything has one foot of
- 12 compacted soil and a lot of it has more than one foot.
- 13 So the contractor would -- if they were
- 14 going to mix, they do have to have some of that material
- 15 stockpiled on the site.
- 16 They would have to harvest some of the
- 17 material they've already placed in order to mix that.
- 18 They would probably take from the area where -- they
- 19 would decide. They would probably take it obviously from
- the easiest area they can reach it.
- We have a meeting with them Thursday
- 22 morning to try to get through some of these issues.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Jan, please.
- MS. BLUM: I might have misunderstood what
- 25 you just said. Every place has at least one foot of

- 1 compacted soil, but we don't have enough for the balance
- 2 of the area?
- 3 They wouldn't be taking some of the
- 4 compacted soil off --
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Yeah, they might.
- 6 MS. BLUM: Wouldn't we be then mixing our
- 7 soils up on the slope?
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Not on the slope. They
- 9 would mix it on the top deck.
- 10 MS. BLUM: They'd be taking the compacted
- 11 soil off the slope or not off the slope.
- MS. FANELLI: Off the slope to mix.
- 13 So they have stockpiled soil on the
- 14 surface.
- MS. BLUM: Okay.
- 16 MS. FANELLI: They have two kinds. They
- have a pile of stuff that's already on the slope and they
- have new stuff that doesn't meet spec.
- 19 Their plan would be if they could to mix
- 20 soils. To get it to meet spec, they would have to take
- 21 some of the soils already on the slope up to take it.
- Then they would replace it on the slope.
- MS. BLUM: Wouldn't you run the risk of
- taking the bad fill that you've just covered with one
- 25 foot of new soil?

- 1 MS. FANELLI: No. They would just take a
- 2 few inches off of the top to get the volume that they
- 3 need. They wouldn't cut anywhere near to the original
- 4 debris fill.
- 5 MS. PASSERO: How does this affect the
- 6 subsequent planting and with the plants that have been
- 7 identified?
- 8 MS. FANELLI: The spec that it doesn't
- 9 meet is the horticultural clay. It meets all of the
- 10 engineering specs.
- Once it's in spec, it's in spec and it
- 12 should be all right.
- 13 Now, the clay is still high. We would have
- 14 to work with all the natural resources. We have been
- 15 talking with Sue Christy with the Park Service to make
- 16 sure once we see where the contractor is proposing, if
- 17 it's in spec, is there anything we'd want to do to
- 18 facilitate, promote plant growth.
- 19 MR. BUDROE: Does the contractor know what
- 20 he was doing bringing this stuff in to begin with? Did
- 21 he think he was going to get away with it?
- MS. FANELLI: No. He just did really bad
- estimates.
- I'm not going to use the word "excuses,"
- 25 but one of the things that he experienced, besides doing

- 1 poor estimates to begin with, is when you bring soil
- 2 in -- when you measure soil in place, it's one volume,
- 3 and when you excavate it, it fluffs.
- 4 So what happened is normally you'll get
- 5 like a thirty percent compaction, so my fluff volume, my
- 6 bank volume, when you place it, it's small because I'm
- 7 compressing it. I'm compacting it.
- 8 They were getting much higher compaction
- 9 rates like forty plus.
- 10 He might have said okay. To cover this
- 11 area, I'm going to estimate in place it's a thousand
- 12 yards, and if I have a thirty percent reduction, then I
- 13 need to bring in 13, 14,000 yards to get that placed
- 14 there.
- 15 That number estimating was off. That was
- one of his problems.
- 17 MR. BUDROE: How did that relate to the
- 18 material not being in spec?
- 19 MS. FANELLI: He ran out of the first
- 20 stuff. He couldn't get any more of it from his borrow
- 21 source is what happened.
- 22 So this is still coming from the same
- 23 source, but it's a lower layer. It's a different layer.
- So the first stuff he brought in's in spec.
- 25 That's fine. The second stuff he brought in, he ran out

- 1 of first stuff.
- 2 MR. BUDROE: He thought he could slip that
- 3 by?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: He didn't. We cut it off
- 5 the first, second truckload.
- 6 MR. BUDROE: But he made a shot at it.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: I honestly don't think our
- 8 contractor's a dishonest person. I think they just made
- 9 some -- once we called it and we sampled it, he's
- 10 behaving and working with us on it.
- 11 But you're right.
- 12 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah. If nobody had
- said anything, he probably would have tried to place it
- on the slope as is.
- 15 MR. BUDROE: Has he been used by contract
- 16 before?
- 17 MS. FANELLI: No, he hasn't. He's a new
- 18 contractor to the Trust. He's been used by Santa Clara
- 19 Valley Water District. That was one of his more recent
- 20 jobs.
- MR. BUDROE: Is there any kind of -- given
- 22 whatever time delays are caused by that are pretty much
- 23 his fault, is there any kind of performance penalty on
- 24 that?
- 25 MS. FANELLI: Yeah. There is, and we're

- 1 using all of our contractual rights to compel him to
- 2 comply and do the work.
- MS. PASSERO: Brian, just your thoughts or
- 4 summary on it.
- 5 MR. ULLENSVANG: Well, we've expressed
- 6 concern to the Trust about the new soil and we're worried
- about it, too, and we've met with the Trust and they're
- 8 going to work with their contractor to fix the problem.
- 9 So we're anxious to see it gets mix fixed.
- MS. FANELLI: We are, too.
- MS. PASSERO: I mean, there's no
- 12 alternative for taking the soil back and finding the
- 13 right --
- MS. FANELLI: That's an option and the
- 15 contractor can do it. He can bring in an alternative
- soil source. He needs to propose it.
- 17 MS. PASSERO: I kind of feel why should
- 18 the plans be, you know -- why even take the risk of doing
- 19 that when you just refuse it, say, "Look, you brought the
- wrong stuff. Bring the right stuff" and let's not worry
- 21 about it, then.
- MS. FANELLI: Because there's other
- 23 ramifications to the rest of the construction, to the
- operation of the Public Health Services Hospital.
- 25 There's other downsides, and the contractor, if he

- decided to delay and affect us that way, it affects us,
- 2 it affects him.
- 3 He has certain rights under the contract,
- 4 you know. I'm sure you're aware if he can make this
- 5 stuff meet spec, then he can do that.
- If he can't, he has to bring in new stuff,
- 7 and if he can't do it timely, then he has to do it in the
- future and he's got to tell us how he's going to do that
- 9 so that it doesn't affect our other operations.
- 10 So it's complex and it's costly and there's
- 11 damages on the sides.
- Our interest is really to get him out of
- 13 there if we could. So if there was a short-term
- 14 solution, it's better than a long-term solution.
- 15 MR. ULLENSVANG: We feel that
- 16 inappropriate soil on the cover will affect us in the
- 17 long-term and create important plants roads and increased
- 18 chance of erosion in the future.
- 19 MS. BLUM: This brings to mind of delays,
- 20 now that we're deep into the rainy season, affects of
- 21 water supply and control erosion on the slope. That
- 22 would seem to me pretty high exclamation point in
- 23 landfill 10.
- MS. FANELLI: That's one reason. There's
- not a lot of time to make a decision on what he's going

- 1 to do. So he's got to give us his plan, and we're
- 2 meeting with him and working with him on a daily basis on
- 3 it.
- 4 MR. BUDROE: What's going to happen if he
- 5 says, "Look, I can't do it. Sorry. You're out of luck"?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: There's probably lots -- he
- 7 can't just walk away from the contract.
- 8 MR. BUDROE: Well, he can, and then you
- 9 got to take him to court.
- MS. FANELLI: We would obviously just
- 11 leave the site like it looks. Obviously -- and I don't
- think that's going to happen. The contractor is trying
- 13 to work with us and within the contract to make good on
- 14 the commitments that he made.
- 15 But if he did just what you said and walked
- 16 away tomorrow, then the Trust would have to come out with
- some emergency measures and button up the site, and
- 18 that's exactly what we would do.
- 19 MR. BOGGS: There's ways of winterizing
- those kind of sites. It's not pretty. It's not nice.
- 21 Sheet plastic to keep water from eroding into the
- 22 landfill and having contaminated runoff, but we're
- 23 talking worst case scenario.
- Of course everybody's working towards a
- 25 solution, but it can be done on a worst case scenario.

- 1 MS. FANELLI: They would basically fabric
- 2 the slope up and let plants grow over this first season
- 3 because the slope is basically covered.
- 4 The damages to us would be really more of a
- 5 top deck because we have a building that needs a park
- 6 being lot that's supposed to have a parking lot on it,
- 7 and that's what we're trying to get constructed, as well.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: Julian.
- 9 MR. HULTGREN: Me?
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: Yes.
- 11 MR. HULTGREN: As far as completing the
- 12 project, you must have some sort of progress arrangement,
- 13 contract, don't you, progress payments?
- MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm.
- 15 MR. HULTGREN: Then withhold some. If he
- defaults, you don't pay him anymore.
- MS. FANELLI: That's right.
- 18 MR. HULTGREN: You go out and get a new
- 19 contractor on an emergency basis.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: We've sent him a cure notice
- 21 and we said in that notice if he fails to cure, he's in
- 22 default of the contract.
- We've raised this to a very serious level
- 24 with the contractor.
- 25 FACILITATOR KERN: It seems that -- and I

- 1 think you've mentioned this before -- once it rains a
- 2 little bit, then there's a need to let it dry out before
- 3 you can get the equipment on there.
- 4 Is that going to still be a factor if we
- 5 start getting intermittent rains?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: It is, and what we've asked
- 7 the contractor to do is tell us how he's going to handle
- 8 that with the schedule, obviously, how they're going to
- 9 protect the work so they don't lose massive days.
- 10 Rains like on Friday, the site was fine.
- 11 They didn't lose -- they lost some time on the top deck.
- 12 They couldn't work on the slope because they're still
- trying to figure out what they're going to do with the
- 14 soil.
- 15 So yes, that's part of -- that's part of
- 16 the equation. You can't have a rain day and then three
- days of down day and then it rains again.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: Right. I was just
- 19 wondering if there become a day where you have to make
- the decision that Bob was saying about, you know, we've
- got to close the site because we're never going to get
- 22 enough dry days --
- MS. FANELLI: There is. There probably
- 24 would be.
- 25 I -- in this case, I don't think we're

- going to get there on the top. I think they'll be able
- 2 to get that done. I'm just hoping if they can solve the
- 3 soil problem, that we get enough dry days to implement
- 4 that.
- 5 That's not a one-day shot or a two-day
- 6 shot. It will take them several days to mix and then
- 7 apply and compact.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: Yes.
- 9 MS. PASSERO: I'm just trying to
- 10 understand all the downsides of -- of doing that.
- Is it just a matter of -- because it seems
- 12 like it would be on awful lot of work to try to mix the
- soil on the slope, too, to bring it to spec.
- 14 And so what -- instead of taking the soil
- 15 back and bringing back the right soil, you know, what are
- 16 all the like -- the other aspects of it that make it the
- 17 downside?
- 18 MS. FANELLI: Well, we have plants that
- 19 are already grown that are ready to go on the slope. We
- 20 have to put in -- you have -- the contractor would have
- 21 to really put in full erosion control, permanent erosion
- 22 control only to take it up.
- 23 The contractor needs to put a parking lot
- 24 with curbs on the top. Once he works over there, he
- 25 can't do it without damaging it and taking the parking

- 1 lot out of service.
- 2 It will probably be in service for the
- 3 residents of the Public Health Services Hospital.
- 4 The access to the site has been through the
- 5 toe. That toe area's supposed to be reestablished with
- 6 sand for lyssingia.
- 7 So you either have to destroy that or not
- 8 put that in place to get back access at the toe.
- 9 So there's a lot of issues associated --
- 10 associated with this, a lot of costs, that if the
- 11 contractor's going to weigh that out, and if it's in
- spec, contractually I have a hard time saying it's not
- 13 acceptable, right.
- So they have a claim against me if they can
- 15 provide something that's in spec.
- 16 So we're working with them to make sure not
- only is it in spec, but it's in spec and we like it
- 18 enough and we feel we can get it to grow the plant, and
- 19 the plants will be successful.
- 20 MS. PASSERO: At what point do you know --
- 21 like sit only until down the road that you realize oh,
- this wasn't right? You know what I mean?
- 23 At that point it becomes more expensive to
- 24 try to remedy it.
- 25 MS. FANELLI: I think we have a pretty

- 1 good handle. They're doing pretty good testing as we
- 2 speak to see if they can get it into spec.
- 3 Is it perfect soil? No, no. But you have
- 4 to remember, too, that we had quite a challenge here. We
- 5 have steep slope that has to have a cover. It means that
- 6 the soil has to have a certain property to stick on the
- 7 slope.
- At the same time, you want it to be able to
- 9 plant. Those two specs probably that have this much
- 10 overlap in them. We're dealing with some margins here,
- 11 right?
- 12 Because I can get stuff to stick pretty
- 13 well and I could put other kinds of vegetation on it that
- 14 may be more traditional type on the cover and have
- 15 broader range of what the properties are, but we're
- 16 trying to do something right and create a nicer habitat.
- 17 So we have sort of a tough -- a tough call
- 18 to get the soil to stay in place.
- 19 MS. PASSERO: Are you able to recover
- 20 damages if it doesn't work out in the end?
- 21 MS. FANELLI: Really if the stuff doesn't
- work, there's warranty in the contract, the contract
- 23 terms and conditions.
- MR. BUDROE: What kind of lessons can get
- 25 taken back? That's kind of an interesting thing, we're

- 1 walking a really fine design line there. We need a set
- of characteristics that will work with the slope angle
- 3 and stuff and then we've got the design characteristics
- 4 that say we have -- we want a certain kind of plant in
- 5 there and the biology of that soil.
- 6 The decision to go ahead and do what was
- 7 done in general was -- to save money in large part.
- 8 So --
- 9 MS. FANELLI: Actually, it was done as
- 10 part of the RAP. That's what the RAP said that we were
- 11 going to do. A two foot cover.
- MR. BUDROE: The RAP was designed to save
- 13 money. There were other alternatives to be done that
- weren't necessarily picked.
- The best thing that could have been done,
- 16 there was a large measure of this is how much scratch I'm
- 17 going to save on the deal.
- 18 MS. FANELLI: I'm not sure I understand.
- 19 I really --
- MR. BUDROE: Let me finish.
- 21 So this is -- going forward, you know, if
- there's going to be a lot of other fine lines to be
- 23 walked in the interest of saving money, that that kind of
- 24 approach might want to be rethought.
- 25 MS. FANELLI: I guess you have to describe

- 1 to me exactly how -- how this was saving money. Because
- 2 I don't remember that from the RAP.
- 4 MR. BUDROE: There were design
- 5 alternatives that weren't picked because they were more
- 6 expensive.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: The original RAP had no soil
- 8 cover and it was amending the slope and planting the
- 9 plants.
- 10 Through public comments, we had revised --
- it was in there, but we revised it to put in the soil
- 12 cover.
- 13 So in fact, actually, the soil cover -- it
- was automatically a more expensive alternative than what
- 15 was automatically -- than what was originally proposed.
- 16 MR. BUDROE: There were some things that
- 17 were brought up that were a lot more excavation, a lot
- 18 more removal. That was down-checked because it was going
- 19 to cost more money.
- MS. FANELLI: Landfill 8, you're
- 21 absolutely right. There were alternatives that were
- 22 there to remove the fill, but I'm not sure that was the
- 23 case for 10.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, let us -- I
- 25 appreciate the comment in general. I think, John, you're

- asking are there lessons from trying to walk a fine line,
- 2 and I appreciate what you're saying there.
- 3 I'm not sure it's going to be helpful to us
- 4 to at least argue what happened right now, because we're
- 5 in the middle of something, which we do need to make
- 6 sure --
- 7 MS. FANELLI: There are lessons to be
- 8 learned, though. I agree, John, lessons that we can go
- 9 back and learn on this.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: While we're on this
- 11 subject, one thing that I heard you mention is that there
- were inspection reports for the discharged silt.
- 13 Are those -- would those be available? I
- 14 mean --
- MS. FANELLI: They're --
- 16 MS. FARRES: I came out here twice in the
- 17 last two weeks since the storm events and I brought Water
- 18 Board staff with storm water expertise, and based on our
- 19 last visit from -- I think it was November 5th or 4th, we
- 20 gave the Presidio Trust an inspection form, and I can
- 21 make that available. It's public record. I can e-mail
- 22 that to you.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Sure. I could
- 24 distribute it.
- 25 MS. FARRES: But that basically just

- 1 summarizes our observations, and basically proposals that
- 2 the Trust made during our site walk of how they were
- 3 going to improve their erosion controls, and so it just
- 4 summarizes the proposed erosion controls and what we
- 5 expected to see implemented in the future.
- FACILITATOR KERN: I see.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: And we're in the process of
- 8 doing that and then providing a report back to the
- 9 Regional Board.
- The contractor is doing that and we're
- 11 facilitating that so that we can send it back to the
- 12 Regional Board, how we've implemented the improvements.
- 13 MS. FARRES: But the Trust also prepared a
- draft report of discharge, and I think you're going to
- 15 finalize it.
- 16 MS. FANELLI: I'm going to finalize it.
- 17 It was sent to DTSC and the Water Board and it described
- 18 the storm events and it documented what was in place
- 19 beforehand, what happened, what we did afterwards, and I
- 20 had to send out draft early on just to get the
- 21 information to the agencies, but I'll finalize it and
- 22 copy you on it, as well.
- MR. BOGGS: We're also sending out a
- letter that -- similar to Agnes. There's a few more
- 25 things where we're going to specify specific areas and

- 1 how they should be evaluated, tested.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: That's what I was going
- 3 to ask -- what I was going to ask.
- 4 MR. BOGGS: Discharged.
- 5 Agnes's agency similarly asked for that, as
- 6 well, but they didn't -- they asked for the plan. The
- 7 Trust would come up with plans on how to evaluate and
- 8 mitigate if there's any problems, and we're -- we're just
- 9 pointing some specific areas for them to look at, as
- 10 well.
- 11 MR. CHESTER: Are you talking specifically
- 12 about erosion from the land -- when you're saying
- 13 testing, is this environmental testing or contamination
- or are you talking --
- 15 MS. FARRES: Well, the main concern has
- 16 been from sediment load into the creek.
- 17 MR. CHESTER: Into the creek.
- 18 MS. FARRES: The Presidio Trust did
- 19 testing and I don't think there's any chemical concern.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: Right. We tested the creek
- 21 per our DHS permit and for our COCs. Our plant was down
- 22 and it was down because of turbidity.
- We tested after the first storm events, and
- the turbidity was still elevated. There was no problem,
- and when it got down to the point where the operator

- 1 wasn't concerned about his filters, then he turned the
- 2 filters back on.
- 3 MR. BOGGS: We do have additional requests
- 4 in addition to that as far as there's areas where the
- 5 erosion did cut through the cap and into the landfill,
- and so downstream or down elevation from that, there's
- 7 places where there's silt layers and stuff, and similarly
- 8 in the creek, there's places where it gets calm, so
- 9 silt -- contaminated silt would have fallen out of the
- 10 water at that point because it necessarily got to the
- 11 treatment plant, although silt obviously got down to the
- 12 treatment plan, got tested, didn't exceed any standards
- 13 other than turbidity and silt.
- But -- so that's the only difference.
- 15 We're just asking for some additional testing specific to
- the areas adjacent to the landfill.
- 17 FACILITATOR KERN: Perhaps you're
- 18 considering this in the evaluation, but in the Nike
- 19 facility, we had water that was channeled into these, you
- 20 know, narrow areas and concentrations were -- well, the
- 21 water focused contamination into these narrow areas, and
- then in Mountain Lake, we had thin layers of heavily
- 23 contaminated material.
- 24 Is that part of the evaluation process is
- 25 to look for that?

- 1 MR. BOGGS: Yeah. There's certain areas
- where we're asking -- you can see where the silt is, it's
- 3 like a really fine clay layer deposited on top of native
- 4 beach dune sand, so we want them to look at that little
- 5 fine layer of silt that's obviously new to the area.
- There's other areas by the toe of the
- 7 landfill where a lot of debris got pushed out. There's
- 8 actually a lot of coarse grain material, solid substance.
- 9 So we don't really know if it's in the
- spine material or bulk material. So we're actually
- 11 asking if they look at both of those as discrete things.
- The answer to your question is yes.
- 13 FACILITATOR KERN: What would be the
- 14 timing given if we have future rain events throughout the
- 15 winter? Is this a near-term evaluation or is it --
- 16 MR. BOGGS: That's what would be ideal.
- 17 FACILITATOR KERN: Yeah.
- 18 MS. FANELLI: Most of the debris that came
- down within the construction area was obviously picked up
- 20 by the contractor. So they cleaned up the sites quite a
- 21 bit.
- We had survey data. We had four to six
- inches in the southern area still there, and based on the
- 24 survey data, they removed a fair amount of it, not all of
- it and took it back up to the top.

- 1 So the contractor can do a lot of cleanup,
- 2 and obviously we'll do additional testing as we walk
- 3 through it and be done.
- 4 MR. CHESTER: And this storm that happened
- 5 had a lot of forewarning.
- Was there -- we're talking about the big
- 7 October 13th --
- 8 MS. FANELLI: The October 13th storm. It
- 9 did set a record, though.
- 10 MR. CHESTER: It set a record, but it was
- in the news that a big --
- MS. FANELLI: Right. They had erosion
- 13 control. They sure had set stuff up. But I did talk to
- 14 both the state climatologist and the storm on October
- 15 13th dumped 2.6 five inches of rain, broke a record of
- 16 '62 at one point, the year.
- 17 MR. CHESTER: It was abnormal.
- 18 MS. FANELLI: It was very big. And then
- 19 the second storm was in Downtown San Francisco .6
- something, and based on Weather dot com, .77 in our zip
- 21 code here in fifteen minutes. It was between a 100 one
- 22 and 200 year flash flood event.
- MS. BLUM: I was at an event today at Spur
- and the bottom line is we better get used to it. This is
- 25 just the beginning of what we're going to get over the

- 1 next fifty to hundred years everywhere. Larger storms,
- 2 sea rise level. It's inevitable.
- 3 So we shouldn't say this is unusual. It's
- 4 the beginning of something that will be a pattern, that
- 5 will be normal, and be prepared to deal with it.
- 6 MS. PASSERO: I guess that was a question
- 7 is -- I work in climate change on my job and, you know,
- 8 this is what's expected, and so will there get built into
- 9 Presidio's policies in sort of anticipating that we
- 10 can't -- we have to assume that these are going to be the
- 11 kinds of storms that are going to come that are going to
- 12 be pretty severe and intense?
- MS. FANELLI: I'm not sure in terms of
- 14 policies. But yes. Obviously the site at the point of
- 15 construction did not have a permanent erosion controls in
- 16 place.
- 17 That was really the fundamental problem,
- 18 and the -- the site wasn't graded the way it's finally
- 19 going to be.
- Today we have the storm drains back in on
- 21 the surface. We have curbs back in to keep water of off
- of Battery Caulfield from discharging across the site.
- So we have a lot more control today than we
- had on the 13th or the 19th.
- 25 Could it handle it without any more erosion

- 1 controls another October 19th? No, it couldn't. We have
- 2 to get the site buttoned up and get additional final
- fabrics, et cetera, on the site, and are we doing more?
- 4 Yes.
- 5 So based on the experience of the 13th and
- 6 the 19th, we're reevaluating our permanent erosion
- 7 controls.
- Naturally, I know we're going to put in
- 9 additional controls and additional fortification.
- 10 MS. KRAMER: So was there any erosion
- 11 control in place for those October storms or --
- MS. FANELLI: There were -- there -- I
- would say yes. The slope had been purposefully
- 14 compacted. We had our silt fencing and booms. We had
- 15 built a retention basin along top of the surface, and
- 16 basically because of the duration of the first storm, it
- 17 got overwhelmed.
- 18 And the second storm, clearly overwhelmed
- 19 because of the intensity.
- 20 Could we have done better? Yes. That's
- 21 why we're working with the Regional Board now to try to
- 22 improve those areas.
- MS. KRAMER: That's part of the crust
- 24 design.
- 25 MS. FANELLI: The final erosion controls,

- 1 yeah, are part of the design.
- 2 MS. KRAMER: The final, but also during
- 3 construction?
- 4 MS. FANELLI: During construction, the
- 5 responsibility is the contractor's.
- 6 MS. KRAMER: So there's nothing in the
- 7 documents or the drawings that indicate like on a
- 8 commercial or residential project, you would have a civil
- 9 engineer that would provide documents that would call out
- 10 erosion control?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. We do on our project.
- 12 We filed what is called a Notice of Intent. The
- 13 contractor developed a storm water pollution prevention
- 14 plan. It implemented the majority of those controls.
- 15 They failed during those two events.
- We're creating more robust controls,
- 17 obviously to get to the end of construction, and our
- 18 construction drawings do include permanent erosion
- 19 control features and measures.
- MR. BERMAN: And in a sense, though, these
- 21 somewhat large storms were fortuitously good, because it
- raised the awareness of the significance of such events
- 23 and it occurred during the construction period so that --
- that more elaborate systems could be envisioned at the
- 25 time and I think you could feel that it was justified in

- 1 some sense.
- 2 MS. FANELLI: Certainly there's lessons
- 3 learned. I'll agree with you there, Sam. Life tuition
- 4 can be harsh, but there's usually benefits for it.
- 5 MR. BERMAN: So in a sense, it was
- 6 fortuitously good.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: I don't know if I'd go that
- 8 far.
- 9 MR. BERMAN: Well, from the --
- 10 MR. BOGGS: Hopefully we can learn those
- 11 lessons a different way.
- MR. BERMAN: But from the public's
- 13 concern --
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: I might ask, kind of
- 15 echoing Sam and John's comments about the lessons. We
- 16 know now which direction a lot of water may come off of
- 17 the site.
- 18 Are we avoiding -- are we figuring out ways
- 19 to making it go other directions like at the --
- MS. FANELLI: Actually, no. The final
- 21 design had it all pitched away from the slope and to the
- 22 storm drains, and at the time of the storms, the top was
- 23 not pitched that direction. That was a problem.
- When we get to the final design, we feel
- 25 everything will be in good shape in terms of controlling

- water volumes at different locations.
- 2 We probably will do a little bit of erosion
- 3 controls in some of the peripheral areas just to make
- 4 sure that first season, before plants are established,
- 5 that we're in good shape.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: And it just occurred to
- 7 me, but I'm just wondering whether people are considering
- 8 the design of those planters that were going to be those
- 9 raise up on the parking area.
- 10 Are they big enough? Do people really look
- 11 at that given what kind of water did come on to the site?
- MS. FANELLI: No. They're still being
- 13 constructed as designed. They're designed for a 25-year
- 14 event.
- 15 MR. ULLENSVANG: I think so, too.
- 16 MS. FANELLI: They have not changed those
- 17 designs.
- 18 MS. BLUM: I don't want to beat a dead
- 19 horse, but I will. It occurs to me that we may run into
- some of those same problems at landfill 1 and 2 where we
- 21 have some steep slopes, and I know that water runs
- 22 through sand a lot faster than it does on a hard
- 23 compacted surface, but we can have some really
- interesting storm effects on those, especially since
- 25 we're taking out all those trees that suck up all that

- water during rain events.
- We're going to have a lot of rain.
- 3 MS. FANELLI: The erosion controls are put
- 4 in the tree removal contract. We won't be removing the
- 5 brush, but we will be removing the trees.
- There's construction requirements, and they
- 7 will be implementing erosion controls until the next
- 8 contract comes through.
- 9 You know, the primary damage is that we're
- 10 delayed and we're into the wet season. The contract
- original completion date was substantial completion of
- the parking lot by September 30th.
- 13 If that happened, we wouldn't be having the
- same conversation hopefully today.
- 15 MS. KRAMER: Do you have a revised
- 16 construction schedule?
- 17 MS. FANELLI: That's what -- we're
- 18 requesting the contractor to give us one that we can rely
- on, that he can make good and he can deal with the issue
- of the rain and then not as many shutdown periods.
- MS. KRAMER: So he's doing the slope and
- 22 the cover and the parking lot, and then the Trust will do
- 23 the planting after that's all completed?
- MS. FANELLI: The planting's a combination
- of Park Service and Trust that would happen afterwards.

- 1 MS. KRAMER: And you anticipate being able
- 2 to get that in --
- 3 MS. FANELLI: This season. We're trying
- 4 to get the contractor out of there as soon as possible.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: Michelle.
- 6 MS. PASSERO: I understand that there's
- 7 some pressure and tight deadlines, and I guess I just
- 8 wonder, at what point do you kind of stand back and say,
- 9 "It's too risky to do this at this point? It's not worth
- 10 trying to get it done in time and maybe it's worth
- 11 waiting until after the rainy season"?
- 12 So I guess -- at what point, you know, do
- you do that or do you just say we're going to sort of
- hope for the best, put in the kinds of controls that we
- 15 can just plow forward and try to get it done before the
- 16 worst storm comes.
- 17 There's been -- the last few winters that
- 18 I've lived here, there's been some really serious storms,
- 19 and so I just -- you know, it's sort of a crapshoot from
- 20 that standpoint.
- 21 So I just wonder when -- when does that --
- MS. FANELLI: I can't give you a hard date
- 23 because I don't know. There's going to be an evaluation
- of risk, but I don't see that as stopping on the top
- 25 deck. The top is going to be asphalted at some point.

- 1 It's really more of a slope issue.
- 2 MS. PASSERO: Or the other -- or the other
- 3 areas and clearing the trees and all that.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: Oh, the trees for the tree
- 5 removal? No, the tree removal will happen because that's
- 6 being driven by bird nesting season, so the trees are
- 7 coming out.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: We have a few more
- 9 issues to cover tonight. I appreciate that discussion
- and I appreciate the way everybody's dealing with all
- 11 that.
- 12 The issues remaining are -- we're going to
- touch on Mountain Lake, but we will do that when Eileen
- 14 returns.
- 15 I just -- there are a few things I can
- touch on while she's answering her phone.
- 17 We would be having an upcoming series of
- 18 meetings. Typically we have held our planning meeting in
- 19 November. It is the week of Thanksqiving, but it's
- 20 Tuesday the 24th, and given some of the things that are
- 21 happening, I would recommend that we -- we do hold that
- 22 meeting.
- 23 So December 8th would be our next full RAB
- 24 meeting, and potentially we have two fairly significant
- issues for that meeting, which would be the quarterly

- 1 report and the review of fillsite 1, landfill 2 issues
- 2 with DTSC, if that works out.
- I suppose I should ask -- we have
- 4 considered having holiday parties in previous years and
- 5 it has fallen on that particular date. It's December
- 6 8th, so it's relatively early in the month, and we could
- 7 have a meeting that updated us on the issues and then
- 8 blend into a holiday party if we do that.
- 9 Of course we actually need to organize it
- 10 and actually execute the party, so if I could have a
- sense if anybody would be willing to speak up yea or nay
- 12 about having such a -- and it would be at the Golden Gate
- 13 Club, as well. Just as a reminder, that's where our
- meeting at the end of the year would be.
- Any thoughts about having that or not? Not
- 16 hearing any immediate thoughts, I think it might be --
- 17 might be fun, but --
- 18 MR. BUDROE: I think it would be great
- idea, especially if Bob brought some samples of his handy
- 20 craft.
- 21 FACILITATOR KERN: Yes, maybe a barrel
- 22 testing.
- MR. BOGGS: I've got a nice little barrel.
- 24 I could roll it in.
- 25 MS. PASSERO: I've got a dolly I could

- 1 lend you.
- 2 FACILITATOR KERN: It's probably pretty
- 3 young at this point.
- We'll consider that via e-mail then.
- 5 December 22ned would be the committee meeting. That's
- 6 the week of the holidays and we typically have not held
- 7 that meeting.
- 8 Would that be the wishes of the group is to
- 9 not hold the December 22nd Planning Committee meeting?
- 10 Do I see general nodding? I am seeing that.
- Okay. Well, without objection, then, we
- 12 will not have that meeting.
- 13 And then January 12th would be our first
- 14 meeting of the new year.
- MS. CHEEVER: Can I ask you a question
- about December 8th? We have the idea of a festivity.
- 17 Before we start an e-mail discussion, does
- 18 anyone have an idea of how long a discussion of landfill
- 19 1 and fillsite 2 will be?
- 20 MS. FANELLI: It could be deferred to
- 21 another meeting, as well. I don't know, because I
- haven't talked to Medi.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, it seems that --
- 24 well, we don't know. That's true.
- 25 MS. FANELLI: We could make it whatever

- 1 time frame you want to assign it.
- 2 MR. BOGGS: Medi's not the type to be long-
- 3 winded and talk for long. Quite the contrary. She is --
- 4 she's not that talkative, so I wouldn't expect her to go
- on for too long about fillsite 1 and landfill 2.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: As long as you all can
- 7 control your questions.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: I think the tension
- 9 there is -- is important, though, because I don't want to
- 10 give -- I don't want to cut off the discussion.
- 11 These are two important issues for
- 12 considering the quarterly report and review of landfill
- 13 2, fillsite 1. That could easily go an hour with
- 14 questions. It can go an hour for each subject with
- 15 questions.
- 16 MR. BERMAN: Especially in view of what we
- 17 saw tonight.
- MS. FANELLI: Oh, this?
- MR. BERMAN: Yes.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: So perhaps one
- 21 suggestion or compromise might be we could defer the
- 22 quarterly report --
- MS. FANELLI: Sure.
- 24 FACILITATOR KERN: -- into the new year,
- 25 and since landfill 2, fillsite 1 might be more timely --

- well, they're both timely.
- MS. FANELLI: The quarterly report I can
- 3 give you a heads-up. The budget hasn't changed. All I
- 4 will tell you is actuals against the budgets that we went
- 5 through last quarter.
- There's not a lot of new news in the
- 7 quarterly report from a financial standpoint.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: If we began at 7:00
- 9 promptly and we had a half an hour on fillsite 1,
- 10 landfill 2 and then go into our -- how about that as a
- 11 proposal?
- MR. BERMAN: Things will be tight.
- 13 MS. PASSERO: How about an hour?
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: An hour?
- 15 MS. PASSERO: Do a presentation and
- 16 reserve a half hour for questions.
- 17 MS. FANELLI: Let me confirm that Medi's
- 18 willing to do this. I'll e-mail you all and confirm that
- 19 when she gets back.
- 20 FACILITATOR KERN: All right. So those
- 21 are some general boundary conditions there for that.
- MR. BERMAN: Could I just add one thing?
- 23 If it would be possible to get -- since you
- 24 will prepare the quarterly financial report --
- MS. FANELLI: I'll send it out.

- 1 MR. BERMAN: -- maybe you could send out a
- 2 summary.
- 3 MS. FANELLI: I'll send you the full
- 4 report.
- 5 MS. KRAMER: It would be helpful if we get
- 6 some sort of financial summary.
- 7 MS. FANELLI: Haven't I normally sent
- 8 that?
- 9 MS. KRAMER: Well, it seems like the
- document we get is about forty pages long. If we could
- 11 get something like a numbers recap or maybe four or five
- 12 pages as a separate document.
- 13 MS. FANELLI: The numbers are on a table
- 14 at the back. It does give you a summary by project.
- 15 Here's what the total spent, here's what the total budget
- 16 is by project.
- MS. KRAMER: Maybe you could just call out
- 18 which sheets those are, too.
- MS. FANELLI: Okay.
- 20 MS. KRAMER: Otherwise, you try to end up
- 21 going through it, or if you hit print by mistake, then --
- MS. FANELLI: You get a big fat document.
- MS. KRAMER: You're really sorry about
- 24 that.
- MS. FANELLI: It's a good read.

- 1 All right. I can do that. When I e-mail
- 2 it, I can identify where the financial pieces and where
- 3 the key pieces are.
- 4 MS. KRAMER: That will be great.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: Sure.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: We've touched on the
- 7 holiday party and meetings. A couple more items and then
- 8 we'll be done.
- 9 Is there anything now on Mountain Lake that
- 10 we can report back to the Mountain Lake folks?
- MS. FANELLI: Just that both of the
- 12 lawsuits are ongoing, and I really -- I probably have
- less details, and we're following the court ordered
- 14 schedule.
- 15 MS. PASSERO: Do you know what stage it's
- 16 at? Are they in the middle of discovery?
- 17 MS. FANELLI: Yeah. I think we're in
- 18 discovery, interrogatories from Zurich and we had a court
- 19 ordered mediation with Caltrans, but now we're into the
- 20 initial disclosures. They were due on November 1st and
- 21 those were issued.
- 22 MS. BLUM: For those of you who know what
- that means, what kind of time frame would we be looking
- 24 at before we would know something that would be hard
- 25 information?

- I mean, the schedule just seems to go on
- 2 and on and on on discovery and now reporting and so on.
- 3 When does something actually happen in this
- 4 process?
- 5 MS. FANELLI: I'm not sure what you mean
- 6 by "happen, something."
- 7 MS. PASSERO: Trial date.
- 8 MS. BLUM: Making progress.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: I suppose not before we're
- 10 actually in court in front of a judge. That would be a
- 11 year plus out, I'm sure. But I don't have the schedule.
- 12 Actually I know you guys had printed out
- one of the schedules, I think, with the instructions from
- one of the judges.
- 15 MR. BERMAN: That was the first time.
- 16 FACILITATOR KERN: It's been a while.
- 17 MR. BERMAN: That was the first time.
- 18 That was the first time we had anything public that
- 19 was --
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah, and I don't know how
- 21 often these things change, if one side can make a request
- 22 and change the schedule if the judge allows them to.
- We have several months before we get to
- 24 actual court.
- 25 MR. BERMAN: Is this all being handled at

- 1 the from the Trust Legal Department?
- MS. FANELLI: The Trust is represented by
- 3 the Department of Justice, so our legal department is the
- 4 Department of Justice.
- 5 MR. BERMAN: Do they become -- charge the
- 6 Trust for their time?
- 7 MS. FANELLI: No, they do not.
- 8 FACILITATOR KERN: I have a question for
- 9 Julian, actually, on this Mountain Lake thing.
- 10 From your perspective, is this kind of a
- general time that these things take? Years and years,
- 12 basically?
- 13 MR. HULTGREN: I would think so, yeah. I
- 14 suspect that Caltrans doesn't want to go to court any
- 15 sooner than they have to. They'd like to drag it out and
- maybe settle.
- 17 The longer they can drive it out, the more
- 18 expenses they incur from the Trust and the more the Trust
- 19 might say, "Let's get rid of it, accept their offer."
- 20 So anyway, I think a year is going to be
- 21 optimistic.
- MS. FANELLI: Probably is.
- FACILITATOR KERN: I had a question for
- 24 Mark or for Eileen depending on who knows.
- 25 If we ever wanted to get a copy of all this

- 1 blathering that we do here of the transcript, would they
- 2 contact you or you or do we have those?
- 3 MS. FANELLI: We have them in the
- 4 remediation department.
- 5 FACILITATOR KERN: Oh, okay. Very good.
- 6 So sort of hanging out there, we have the quarterly
- 7 report will be sent out. Thank you.
- 8 We will review the issues of landfill 2
- 9 fillsite 1 for some period of time if we find out that
- 10 DTSC will be coming to speak to that, and we may or may
- 11 not have a holiday festivity, but knowing that if Bob has
- something available, that will be the central spark plug
- 13 to get something going.
- MS. PASSERO: No pressure at all.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: No pressure.
- 16 MS. PASSERO: We could go somewhere, too.
- 17 FACILITATOR KERN: That's true.
- 18 So I would ask you to send me your thoughts
- on that particular idea.
- 20 All right. Anything else, let's see, for
- 21 the good of the order this evening?
- MS. BLUM: I would just -- going back to
- 23 the quarterly financial report, I know you're going to
- 24 make us responsible for printing up the key sheets, but
- 25 if you could blow up the key sheets when you do an

- 1 overhead presentation, it would be a lot easier for us to
- 2 follow along with the sheets.
- 3 You've already told us you're going to
- 4 need, because I cannot read that stuff, and it goes so
- 5 quickly. So the key -- tell us what the key sheets are
- 6 and then have your slides blown up so we can follow along
- on our own printed copies. That would be really helpful.
- 8 MS. FANELLI: Okay.
- 9 MS. BLUM: And the other thing that occurs
- 10 to me, actually the entire time that I've been on the
- 11 RAB, we've had incidents with Lobos Creek, and I'm not
- sure that this is the appropriate forum, but it seems to
- 13 me that the evaluation of the entire water system needs
- 14 to be evaluated by the Trust, and measures put in place
- 15 to address future problems, including working with the
- 16 City and County of San Francisco and there's continued
- 17 sewer leakage problems.
- 18 There's always going on in this very
- 19 delicate water supply which is so key to the Presidio.
- 20 I'd like to elevate that out of the RAB and
- 21 maybe into the Trust consciousness to make that a special
- 22 project for their consideration.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. So moved.
- I think we all share -- and I think the
- 25 Trust shares, as well, how important it is, and so we're

- 1 looking forward to seeing these reports that are coming
- out, the evaluation of these damages, and yes, it's a
- 3 whole separate issue the investigation of Lobos Creek,
- 4 and we certainly encourage the Trust that that be moved
- 5 up in the schedule. So we'll put it on the agenda.
- 6 Anything else?
- 7 MR. BERMAN: Do you have the agenda items
- 8 in mind for the Planning Committee?
- 9 FACILITATOR KERN: Well, it seems like
- we've got to continue to do our homework on landfill 2,
- fillsite 1. That would be the principal one, making sure
- we're ready to discuss that if it happens in December.
- 13 So getting data out there, reviewing maps,
- 14 things of that nature, what I would recommend. Comment
- 15 letter, perhaps, get that going.
- MR. KETCHAM: Eileen, what is the
- 17 projected start date of landfill 1, fillsite --
- 18 MS. FANELLI: We're still hoping to do the
- 19 construction work as soon as we can this spring, and I'm
- 20 working with Medi when she gets back to review that
- 21 schedule, because obviously we are delayed in getting the
- 22 RAP out by a couple of months at this point.
- We had hoped we'd be in the review process
- 24 now.
- 25 So that's a conversation that we're having,

Τ.	and I in hopfing to have that conversation as soon as she
2	gets back next week.
3	But I have gotten comments well, support
4	from DTSC for the schedule. They realize that we're
5	trying to get out there and get done.
6	We are trying to balance and not relive
7	sort of some of the history on landfill 10 where we do
8	design and things aren't finished, and we'd like to try
9	to get ahead of that so we're not in that process, and we
10	certainly don't want to have a late start date.
11	We did have a later construction start date
12	on 10, and obviously we'd like to avoid that.
13	But we are still targeting spring for the
14	construction, and tree removal will be beginning in the
15	next couple of weeks.
16	MR. KETCHAM: Okay. Thank you.
17	FACILITATOR KERN: Any other items?
18	It's been a great meeting. I really
19	appreciate everybody coming out tonight on this beautiful
20	day, fall day in San Francisco.
21	Without objection, meeting adjourned.
22	(The meeting concluded at 8:51 PM).
23	000
24	
25	

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	)
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	)
3		
	I, the undersign	ed, hereby certify that the
4		
	discussion in the foregoin	g meeting was taken at the time
5		
	and place therein stated;	that the foregoing is a full,
6		6
7	true and complete record o	i said matter.
/	I further cortif	y that I am not of counsel or
8	I Iuithei Ceitii	y that I am not of counsel of
O	attorney for either or any	of the parties in the
9	accorne, for oremer or any	or one pareres in one
	foregoing meeting and capt	ion named, or in any way
L O		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	interested in the outcome	of the cause named in said
L1		
	action.	
L2		
L3		
L 4		IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
15		hereunto set my hand this
L 6		day of,
L7		2009.
. 0		
L8		Marsh T. Davidsman CCD No. 5527
L9 20		Mark I. Brickman CSR No. 5527
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PRESIDIO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING	1
	2
	3
ORIGINAL	4
UnidiNAL	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	15
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 8, 2009	16
OFFICER'S CLUB, BUILDING 50	17
PRESIDIO, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
License No. 5527	
	25
Page 1	26
3	

1	ATTENDEES
2	RAB Members:
3	Doug Kern, Facilitator
	Mark Youngkin
4	Eileen Fanelli
	Bob Boggs
5	Denise Tsuji
	Peter O'Hara
6	Jan Blum
	Sara Segal
7	Jan Monaghan
	John Budroe
8	Gloria Gee
	Barbara Newton
9	John Cheever
	Jim Ketcham
10	
11	000
12	
13	
14	
15	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice of
16	the Meeting, and on December 8, 2009, at the Officer's
17	Club, Building 50, Presidio of San Francisco, California,
18	before me, MARK I. BRICKMAN, CSR No. 5527, State of
19	California, there commenced a RAB meeting under the
20	provisions of the Presidio Trust.
21	00
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2

1		AGENDA	
2			Page
3	1)	Welcome and Introductions - Doug Kern	4
4	2)	Agenda Discussion and Approval	5
5	3)	Announcements and Old Business	5
6	4)	Reports & Discussions - Eileen Fanelli	
7		A. 4th Quarter Financial & Status Report	6
8	5)	New Business	
9	6)	Review of Action Items	53
10	7)	Adjournment	57
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			Page 3

- FACILITATOR KERN: We should begin. 1 2 7:13. I'd like to welcome everyone tonight to the Presidio Restoration Advisory Board. It's December 2009, 3 final meeting of the year. 4 Welcome, everyone. Thanks for coming out 5 6 on this chilly evening. I'd like to welcome the Presidio 7 Trust. I don't see the Park Service here. Welcome to 8 them if they were here, the regulators and the community members, welcome tonight. 9 10 We have someone that I'd like to introduce to you if you don't know, Denise Tsuji. She's with the 11 12 Department of Toxic Substances Control. She's a unit 13 chief. 14 So do you have anything you'd like to say? 15 MS. TSUJI: Good evening, and I'm here to 16 listen to what you're going to discuss today; also share 17 some ideas that I have how the Department could expand 18 our role as a community and trying to balance our 19 furlough week and maximize staff time to do the real work 20 and still maintain the dialogue with the community 21 members.
- 22 FACILITATOR KERN: Very good. Well, thank
- 23 you.
- We have a -- I guess we'll put that after
- 25 the third quarter financial status report.

MS. FANELLI: I would make a correction. 1 It's the fourth quarter. It's the end of the fiscal year 2 3 109. 4 FACILITATOR KERN: Thank you. Are there any other items for the agenda 5 6 this evening? Julie, please. 7 MS. CHEEVER: Well, I would like to ask at some point -- maybe I'm doing it right now in some 8 sentence -- that the RAB could be sent the revised storm 9 water solution prevention plan to be sent to the Water 10 Board. 11 And then secondly, although I know we have 12 a full agenda plus other things planned, I would be very 13 appreciative if we could have a three-minute report, 14 update on landfill 10 storm water control measures and 15 the Public Health Service site. 16 MS. FANELLI: You'll see some pictures of 17 it. 18 19 FACILITATOR KERN: Oh, you have something 20 about it? Oh, very good. Anything else? 21 22 Thank you. Then are there any 23 announcements? Then you're ready to proceed with your 24 Thank you. 25 report.

Well, my title slide says: MS. FANELLI: 1 "Third guarter guarterly report," but this is the fourth 2 quarter report on project status. 3 I opened the Planning Meeting saying that I 4 understood and promised to bring a copy of the report. 5 This was all e-mailed to you, and I did e-mail -- I 6 brought one copy if you want to flip through it live, and I brought a few copies of just financial tables if folks want to take a look at them, and I'll summarize it here. So we've been through this before. 10 quarterly report talks about milestones. We go through 11 costs to date and we talk a little bit about scheduled 12 performance. 13 I will go through that, and then we'll look 14 at our program review and where we stand, focusing 15 primarily on our CERCLA information for landfill 10 and 8 16 in RAP4, and I have some pictures of work on fillsite 1, 17 landfill 2 relative to tree removal. 18 Okay. So our milestones last quarter. I 19 20 told Dave obviously DTSC approved the Remedial Action Plan for 10. I believe in end of June or -- I think it 21 was in the June time frame, end of June, and of course we 22 continue to work on that. 23 Our fillsites are ongoing. We did complete 24 our draft data reports for fillsite 1 and 2, and I know 25 Page 6

- 1 you guys have been reviewing that, and we submitted
- 2 certification requests for our RAP -- our remaining RAP2
- 3 sites.
- 4 We hadn't submitted certification on that.
- 5 It was done today, and then we also submitted our
- 6 construction completion report and certification requests
- 7 for the RAP3 main installation sites.
- 8 Under the petroleum program, we received
- 9 closure from the Regional Board on the commissary PX site
- 10 and on our Phase IFTS segments. I think about 34
- 11 segments were closed.
- We also submitted our closure request for
- 13 remaining tanks and priority group 6 and the remaining
- 14 FTS lines that had not been submitted yet. That was area
- 15 B Phase 3 segments, and we began groundwater well
- 16 abandonment for closed sites.
- 17 So the first set of wells that were
- 18 destroyed for the building 637 area.
- This is a high-level look at the budget.
- 20 It looks at cash in essence. So our current estimate to
- 21 complete is the same as it was for third quarter fiscal
- 22 year '09, 157 million dollars.
- Our Army advance you're well aware was 99
- 24 million. The difference there is 58 million in cash that
- 25 we need to cover.

- 1 A portion of that is being offset by total
- 2 estimated interest. So the nineteen million three
- 3 hundred is what we estimate that we will receive by the
- 4 end of the program.
- 5 We have received the vast majority of that
- 6 to date, so we're not estimating receiving very much more
- 7 between now and the end of the program.
- 8 As you know, unknown contamination that we
- 9 run across and for either UXO, we have claims with the
- 10 Army to cover that per our agreement with them, and so we
- 11 have received offsets in the claims or reimbursements, if
- 12 you will, between the Army and Zurich for unknown
- 13 contamination of about 5.8 million.
- 14 And the Trust has committed its operating
- 15 dollars to offset labor costs, and the estimate there is
- 16 three point -- about three mill, three and a quarter
- 17 million dollars.
- 18 So the remaining cost in the cost issue
- 19 where there's not cash currently covering the cost is
- 20 about thirty million dollars. That is for both known
- 21 sites and unknown sites, and it's about a 50/50 split, a
- 22 little bit more for unknown sites, a little bit less for
- 23 known sites, and that is what the Trust is looking for
- 24 coverage under our RSL policy and our real policy with
- 25 Zurich.

MR. BOGGS: Quick question. 1 2 MS. FANELLI: Mm-hmm. 3 MR. BOGGS: For the offsets in your to 4 date claims to proceed, do you have a lot of claims that 5 are still outstanding? MS. FANELLI: Yes, we do. Yes, we do. 6 7 MR. BOGGS: So that -- against that RSL, remaining costs might be less than that? 8 MS. FANELLI: Yes. The vast majority of 9 10 that 5.8 million are claims received for closure settlement on Baker Beach 2A, and then smaller amounts 11 12 for other sites. 13 Okay. So this is similar to the table 2 that's in the quarterly report, and it just breaks those 14 dollars down into further subcategories. 15 16 So you can see our CERCLA category, which is the majority, current budget estimate. 91, 92 million 17 18 dollars for CERCLA sites. Our lead-based paint and soil sites, our 19 20 petroleum soil sites, and admin is where we carry our labor. It's where the insurance policies were originally 21 paid out of, and it accumulates those types of costs that 22 23 are not necessarily directly attributable to any particular site. 24 25 The Trust funded, that's 3.2 million in Page 9

- 1 labor.
- 2 All right. So if you -- if you look at A,
- 3 it's what was envisioned in 1999 and it's fantasyland, so
- 4 we --
- 5 MS. MONAGHAN: Excuse me. Is that slide
- 6 in here?
- 7 MS. FANELLI: It's similar to 2, to table
- 8 2 in your data report.
- 9 MS. MONAGHAN: Okay. Thanks.
- 10 MS. FANELLI: It's not exactly, but it's
- 11 similar. It gives you the same information.
- 12 So this shows you that 157 million in
- 13 estimated costs to complete. It shows what has been
- 14 accrued to date and it shows you what's remaining to
- 15 complete.
- So you'll see -- you'll see similar
- 17 numbers, but I can point out to you on reimbursed costs,
- 18 for example, 5.8 is what we said we accrued on that slide
- 19 previous -- previous.
- We've actually taken in cash of 5.5.
- 21 There's 241 and we have high confidence that will come
- 22 in. We've been promised checks sort of thing. So that's
- 23 how that accounting is actual cash.
- 24 Interest income. This is what we're
- 25 estimating. We've actually received almost all of that.

- 1 We're looking for maybe another 92,000 in interest
- 2 income.
- And this is probably the last of the number
- 4 slides. This is not in the report. This is a slide
- 5 that's prepared for your request, but it's not included
- 6 in here.
- 7 The information can be gleaned from here
- 8 pretty easily, but it's not in here. And this is just in
- 9 the last quarter which sites had the high -- what were
- 10 the most cost received for, and not surprisingly, they
- 11 were received for the work on RAP4, graded area 9,
- 12 landfill 8 and landfill 10 and for the sites where we
- 13 have finished doing cite assessment activities and we're
- 14 beginning to do our -- we're doing our plans for tree
- 15 removal, which is fillsite 1 and landfill 2.
- And then every quarter, we get invoices
- 17 from DTSC and from -- they kind of offset from both
- 18 groundwater. So normally either a regulatory chargedown
- 19 there or there's a groundwater monitoring charge
- 20 depending on the quarter.
- 21 So that's where we have our three
- 22 biggest -- the six largest costs to date.
- 23 And I think that's the last finance slide,
- 24 so if there's specific questions.
- In the report, table 3 gives you all of the

```
details on a site by site basis. These are just the
1
2
     sixth most active.
                   If you have any specific questions, I'd be
 3
4
    more than happy to answer them.
                   MR. BOGGS: Those costs, is that for this
 5
     vear or is that cumulative --
                   MS. FANELLI: It's cumulative to date.
7
                   MR. BOGGS: So you don't have like Baker
8
     Beach 1, which was fourteen million dollars and all these
 9
     other sites that were done up there, but they're
10
     cumulative to date?
11
                   MS. FANELLI: These are only the top six
12
     projects for the quarter for the period.
13
                                Right. All I'm saying is what
                   MR. BOGGS:
14
     you're showing is for DTSC oversight, you're showing --
15
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  This is your quarterly
16
     charge and this is since inception, total charges.
17
                              Okay. So the cumulative to
18
                   MR. BOGGS:
     date, those other projects weren't active for all the
19
20
     same period of time?
                   MS. FANELLI: Yeah, correct.
21
22
                   MR. BOGGS:
                                Okay.
                   MS. FANELLI: It's just cumulative to
23
24
     date.
                               Cumulative to date since the
25
                   MS. BLUM:
                                                           Page 12
```

- beginning of time or --
- 2 MS. FANELLI: Since the beginning of the
- 3 program.
- 4 MS. BLUM: Thank you.
- 5 MS. FANELLI: And you can see that same
- 6 detail on table 3 on a project by project basis. So we
- 7 give you in here the quarter's charges. We give you
- 8 cumulative to date and then we give you our estimate at
- 9 completion and then, you know, the differences basically
- 10 if there was a change.
- 11 So this just is the six for the quarter,
- 12 but the cumulative to date is from the beginning of the
- 13 program.
- MS. SEGAL: DTSC oversight, is that we're
- 15 paying FTE charges?
- MS. FANELLI: It's the labor charges for
- 17 folks at DTSC, and we get the same kind of invoice from
- 18 the Water Board for their time spent reviewing documents,
- 19 meeting, you know, providing guidance, et cetera.
- 20 It is an allowable cost under the Zurich
- 21 policy just so you all know. We give it to Zurich and
- 22 it's an allowable cost under the RSL.
- 23 MS. SEGAL: And what about the Park
- 24 Service? How many governmental agencies are there to
- 25 be --

- 1 MS. FANELLI: The Park Service is not a
- 2 governmental agency providing oversight. They're a co-
- 3 owner.
- In the past, we have had agreements with
- 5 the Park Service where we have provided them some money
- 6 out of remediation dollars for them to participate, since
- 7 many of the sites are in area parks.
- 8 Those costs are not allowable under the
- 9 Zurich policy. So those costs are -- just kind of come
- 10 off the top, and we've had an agreement historically with
- 11 them and it's based on time, and last year, I forget what
- 12 the total was, but we provided them --
- MS. SEGAL: So the allowable cost for the
- 14 oversight from other agencies would be basically Water
- 15 Board and DTSC?
- MS. FANELLI: Correct.
- MS. BLUM: I have a question or several
- 18 questions on table 3, which is the breakdown of
- 19 remediation program costs.
- MS. FANELLI: Yes.
- 21 MS. BLUM: And the first one is a million
- 22 one for environmental data management system, and the
- 23 second one is a million five for labor, and the third
- 24 question is remediation, administration supplies for a
- 25 million one.

1	Could you provide some insight on the
2	costs?
3	MS. FANELLI: The environmental data
4	management system, it is primarily the cost for us to
5	keep in our database and Zurich covers it as an
6	allowable cost all the data that we collect.
7	So all of the hundreds of thousands of data
8	points, sample data is collected, it's Q add, it's QC.
9	Comes from the lab and goes into our database so that we
10	can review it, manipulate it, print it out in tables, put
11	it into reports, et cetera.
12	MS. BLUM: Is that basically labor cost?
13	MS. FANELLI: It's primarily labor cost.
14	It's primarily Rick Miller's cost, because he's our
15	database specialist.
16	It also includes time that he has spent
17	putting the land use controls into our data system and
18	tracking all that information.
19	It also it also has some consultant's
20	time in there, as well, but today, as of this point in
21	time, my tenure here, it's been primarily labor.
22	MS. BLUM: Okay. How about the labor?
23	MS. FANELLI: Labor A is we carry our
24	labor in a line item for Army labor, and we carry it all
25	in one line and that's it.  Page 15
	Page 15

- 1 And then when people actually charge their
- 2 time, it comes -- it's like a holding bucket, if you
- 3 will. It comes out of that bucket and it goes into the
- 4 actual project.
- 5 But we don't pre-allocate labor to
- 6 projects. We keep it all in one line item, and it's just
- 7 a simpler accounting way to do it.
- 8 When it is actually expended, it is charged
- 9 against the actual cost.
- 10 MS. BLUM: So that included in
- 11 administration on table 2 where it's 21 million?
- MS. FANELLI: Administration is all of
- 13 these things together. It includes the cost and budgets
- 14 for all of the activities shown under administration in
- 15 table 3.
- MS. BLUM: If I were looking to prove the
- 17 21 million dollars, what pages would I be looking at for
- 18 labor and administration?
- I mean, maybe that's something you can't
- 20 answer quite now, but none of this really kind of matches
- 21 up for me.
- 22 And then the supplies --
- MS. FANELLI: Estimate at completion for
- 24 21. Without the subtotal under -- on page 6 of 7, under
- 25 administration, you see Trust Remediation Department and

- 1 other? And regulatory and insurance. You add all those
- 2 up, and you would get to ultimately 21 million dollars.
- 3 So regulatory agency costs are shown under
- 4 that, and our budgets for regulatory agency costs. The
- 5 insurance costs are included in there, as well.
- 6 So if you add these subtotals in there, it
- 7 should add up to 21 for the estimates at completion.
- MS. GEE: I just had a quick question,
- 9 like in your latest version for the quarterly, what is
- 10 the purpose of having columns 4, 5 and 6 in table 3?
- MS. FANELLI: In table 3?
- MS. GEE: Yeah.
- MS. FANELLI: 4, 5 and 6 are our estimates
- 14 at completion. So if we're going to change a budget,
- 15 like if you remember third quarter's report for FY '09,
- 16 we had a current forecasted budget.
- 17 That's the number that shows up in the
- 18 Trust financial information system. It's the number in
- 19 our Oracle system that we report against as -- as an
- 20 action in our -- in our documents.
- 21 When we want to change -- let me take that
- 22 back.
- 5 is the number of the existing forecast,
- 24 it's what's in our systems. If we want to change that
- 25 number, we show it in number 4.

Right now -- so if you went back and looked 1 2 at the third quarter report, you would see a different set of numbers in current forecasted budget. You would 3 4 see the 157 million that we have in our budget today, but in third quarter, our previous forecast was I think 154 5 million or 156 million, because a lot of line items 6 7 change. So this is what we do to show you the 8 9 change. 10 MS. GEE: I can see that when there's a variation, but, I mean -- I mean --11 MS. FANELLI: There's no variation this 12 13 quarter. It's like eliminate those --14 MS. GEE: MS. FANELLI: We just didn't get rid of 15 the columns. The numbers we used third quarter, they're 16 not being used fourth quarter, because what happened, we 17 accepted all the changes and we -- we updated our Oracle 18 financial system to reflect the budget. 19 So what this is saying is this is my budget 20 in my Oracle system and I still believe I'm going to be 21 22 complete within that budget. Now, when we're going to change it, 23 we'll -- we'll show that we think it's going to change 24 and write that comment and we'll show that increase or 25 Page 18

- 1 decrease.
- 2 Likely that won't happen till third
- 3 quarter. We do that -- we track it, and then -- we go
- 4 through an internal process where we, the Trust
- 5 Remediation Department creates our new estimates at
- 6 completion.
- We run them through our financial office,
- 8 and there's a whole series of folks that check them and
- 9 approve them.
- Once they're approved, we update our Oracle
- 11 system for fourth quarter, and that's what happened this
- 12 year. Kind of a long answer.
- So right now, they don't tell you anything
- 14 in this report.
- MS. GEE: I can see that oh, maybe they
- 16 are -- it would have been more useful or informative to
- 17 say oh, the current forecast budget, and then also the
- 18 previous one like a year ago just to see what -- what
- 19 indeed were some of the ins and outs.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: You can see that if -- if
- 21 you go to the third quarter report, that shows it.
- MS. GEE: Okay.
- 23 MS. BLUM: So the last part of that
- 24 guestion. What was a million dollars in -- almost a
- 25 million one in remediation administration supplies on

- 1 page table 3? As opposed to office supplies, which is a
- 2 smaller amount.
- MS. FANELLI: No, they're both -- excuse
- 4 me. They're basically the same thing. In the past,
- 5 sometimes you get inefficient information to open up
- 6 multiple project numbers, and that's what's happened.
- 7 It's all for basically -- it's not just for
- 8 paper and stuff, but it might be for the projector and
- 9 the laptop that we purchased recently in order to do
- 10 these presentations a little bit better.
- 11 It's for our hard hat. Hats. It's for our
- 12 boots. It's for our orange vests.
- We get vehicle charges for our trucks. We
- 14 pay for our copier machines that are in our building,
- 15 plus all of our supplies and our paper.
- So there's a whole long list of expenses
- 17 that go against that number. It's like a full office
- 18 expense.
- MR. O'HARA: Eileen, I've got a question
- 20 about two items on table 2B. I think I understand what
- 21 the dynamic is.
- There's an estimate at completion accrued
- 23 to date, and any reimbursements and then unreimbursed
- 24 expenses, but there's two items that I don't quite
- 25 understand.

```
The first item is the fourth item down,
 1
 2
     Army archive search record and related subjects.
 3
                   The estimate at completion is $12,748.
 4
     You've accrued to date 13,057.
 5
                   MS. FANELLI: Right.
 6
                   MR. O'HARA: And your unreimbursed
 7
     expenses are 12,748.
 8
                   MS. FANELLI: Yes.
                   MR. O'HARA: Wouldn't that be 13,057?
 9
10
                   MS. FANELLI: One of the things that
     happens in here -- there's a lot of things. You'll see
11
12
     negative numbers, like a $600 or weird charges.
                   There's some limitations in the Oracle
13
14
     system and how it was set up on how we code charges.
15
     sites and individual expenses get charged a lot different
16
     ways.
17
                   It will be coded multiple ways. It will be
     coded as an allowable or as an unallowable. They get
18
19
     coded as a labor expense or a regular expense, and
20
     there's some problems with that, because sometimes in
21
     general, some expenses are always unallowable like labor,
22
     but sometimes that's not true. Sometimes it is, but
23
    because of our system, it gets coded that way, and so we
24
     have this connection.
25
                   In this case, what I think is the problem
                                                          Page 21
```

```
1 is there's just some missed charges that are in the
```

- 2 process of being backed out of this number, right.
- 3 So the actual charges are 12,748. We have
- 4 a mischarge in there that's now being backed out and put
- 5 into the correct category.
- 6 MR. O'HARA: Okay. I mean, the other item
- 7 that has that same confusion to it is the last item in
- 8 the CERCLA section of the -- of the presentation or of
- 9 the -- the database, and that's in discovery area B.
- The estimate is 32,734. The accrued to
- 11 date is 33,280, and you wind up with 32,744.
- MS. FANELLI: Right.
- MR. O'HARA: So there's --
- MS. FANELLI: That's probably a
- 15 combination of things going on. There's mischarges that
- 16 are going to be backed out, but there may be charges
- 17 coming in.
- 18 And so that was one of the other things
- 19 that we did this year, and you don't have this detail
- 20 and, you know, quite honestly, I'm not going to bring it
- 21 in because it's crazy.
- But there's a lot of little adjustments
- 23 going all the way back, years back that have to be made
- 24 to align these Oracle tables specifically to the
- 25 allowable expenses that we send off to Zurich.

```
1
                   So if you ever looked at our Zurich tables,
 2
     they don't match exactly, and we know why they don't
 3
             They don't match because things were miscoded in
 4
     Oracle and not charged right.
 5
                   So I'm hoping that by second guarter, a lot
 6
     of these weird negatives will go away because they'll now
 7
     be fixed in our Oracle system and you'll start seeing
 8
     things lining up.
 9
                   Changes did not get fixed. That's part of
10
     it.
11
                   UXO is another one of those. We don't
12
     really have estimates to complete. They're where we put
13
     problems.
14
                   We don't anticipate coming across UXO,
15
     right? So we don't have a budget set up for it.
                   When we do run across UXO, it's an Army
16
17
     expense, so we start to track it and we put it in a
18
     different category.
19
                   So it's always see the budget and the
20
     actual line there because we're not really putting budget
21
     there at this point. It's when it actually happens, we
22
     start tracking it there.
23
                   Does that make sense?
24
                   MR. O'HARA: Sort of.
25
                   MS. FANELLI: Sort of.
                                            We're not being
                                                           Page 23
```

- 1 proactive and saying based on history, we've had 33,000
- 2 in UXO. I think we're going to have another 33,000. Our
- 3 bosses don't want us to carry it there and project it to
- 4 a number there.
- 5 MR. O'HARA: Thank you.
- 6 FACILITATOR KERN: On the -- the slide
- 7 that you have for landfill 10, I'm reading that about
- 8 half a million was spent on landfill 10 from July to
- 9 October 1st or, you know, the day before.
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah.
- 11 FACILITATOR KERN: For those three months,
- 12 and so have -- and it seems like we've done a lot of work
- 13 there in the last three months.
- 14 Can you give us an estimate of what kind of
- 15 costs you think we've incurred at landfill 10 in this
- 16 quarter just so --
- MS. FANELLI: I don't know off the top of
- 18 my head other than their bid -- what their bid was for.
- We're not projecting being over budget at
- 20 this point in spite of the rains and the problems. We're
- 21 not projecting being outside of our budget.
- 22 How that relates to their contract at this
- 23 point, there are some change orders that we knew that
- 24 were coming, and we actually budgeted for them in their
- 25 contract, and I don't think they'll even be made contract

```
changes, if any.
 1
 2
                   But --
 3
                   FACILITATOR KERN: I guess --
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: -- we've probably spent on
 5
     landfill 10 -- I'm thinking of that budget. We've
 6
    probably spent in the last three months about a million
7
    dollars.
                   I'm really pulling that out of the air, to
8
    be honest with you.
9
                   FACILITATOR KERN: That's what I was
10
11
    wondering, just approximately.
                   There's been a lot of activity and it's
12
     just -- that would put it at about 3.5 million with about
13
     1.5 million left in the budget, and it seems like you're
14
    pretty far down the road with things at landfill 10.
15
16
                  MS. FANELLI: I think we're going to come
     in -- knock wood -- on budget.
17
18
                   FACILITATOR KERN: What other major
19
     expenses would you anticipate are still to come at 10
    that you have to pay for?
20
                   MS. FANELLI: I actually have a slide that
21
22
    says what's been done and what's coming up.
23
                   MS. NEWTON: At this point, nothing above
    and beyond the 5.8?
24
25
                  MS. FANELLI: Correct, correct. We're
                                                          Page 25
```

- 1 probably going to dispose of more stuff, more of the
- 2 grindings because we're not going to place them all
- 3 beneath the asphalt. So that might be a little bit
- 4 higher. We have unit rates for that.
- 5 I think we're going to -- we did add on the
- 6 Wedemeyer work. So we did put two foot of clean fill
- 7 over there. That's a change order on the contract.
- But I don't think it's going to affect our
- 9 bottom line total.
- MS. MONAGHAN: Is landfill 8 substantially
- 11 complete, though?
- MS. FANELLI: No. Only that back half.
- 13 So they have to finish 10 to get the cars that are
- 14 temporarily parked over there back on 10.
- 15 And most of that material would be placed
- 16 underneath the asphalt at 10. So they have to finish 10,
- 17 get the cars off and then haul off what they're not going
- 18 to use, and then they can finish up at 8.
- The work at 8 and 9 is not problematic.
- 20 Even weather-wise, it's not problematic, and I think once
- 21 they get 10 buttoned up, get that asphalt down, 8 and 9
- 22 will go pretty quickly.
- MS. MONAGHAN: So I was thinking that they
- 24 were going to be done in October, so --
- 25 MS. FANELLI: Well, yes. We had hoped

1	that.
2	MS. MONAGHAN: Okay.
3	MS. FANELLI: We had hoped that.
4	MR. BUDROE: Got a question on table 3.
5	RAB support, is that us?
6	MS. FANELLI: Yes, that is you.
7	MR. BUDROE: How did \$511,000 get spent?
8	MS. FANELLI: It is the time for the court
9	reporter. It is the time for any little snacks, but the
10	vast majority is the cost for the court reporter
11	services.
12	MR. BUDROE: Okay. Because I'm looking
13	at that, and the cost for the quarter was \$2,300, but
14	cumulative to date is \$511,000.
15	MS. FANELLI: Right.
16	MR. BUDROE: That's kind of not 8,
17	\$9,000 a year roughly.
18	How did that get to be 500,000?
19	MS. FANELLI: I can get all the detailed
20	expenses, but I don't know what was done, you know, with
21	you guys before my time.
22	FACILITATOR KERN: I can comment on that.
23	There was a period where right after the Army, we
24	they were using they had a full microphone setup and
25	sound system, so everyone had a mic and that wasn't Page 27
	Page 27

- 1 something that we requested, and I think Craig
- 2 eventually, you know, aced that, but that was costing a
- 3 fair amount every night that we had a meeting.
- 4 MS. FANELLI: All these charges are
- 5 documented. I know since I've been here, it's primarily
- 6 the court reporting, and the little bit of snacks that's
- 7 in the back, you know, the bottle of water, the grapes.
- 8 They're minor costs. I'm not saying
- 9 they're significant costs.
- 10 FACILITATOR KERN: But it would probably
- 11 be nice to get the detail on that.
- MS. CHEEVER: Well, perhaps another thing,
- 13 there used to be a person whose job was sort of public
- 14 information.
- 15 FACILITATOR KERN: That's true.
- MS. FANELLI: That might have been some of
- 17 the costs.
- MS. CHEEVER: That may have been
- 19 considered a RAB cost.
- 20 MS. FANELLI: That was before my time.
- 21 You're right. I have heard there was somebody.
- MS. CHEEVER: In fact, there were several
- 23 people in that position. I think that position lasted a
- 24 number of years.
- 25 MS. FANELLI: These charges are cumulative

- 1 since, you know, basically 1999; right?
- MR. BUDROE: Right. Even so, I mean, what
- 3 was just said kind of explained some of that, but, I
- 4 mean, when you're looking at, you know, 9,000 a year,
- 5 you'd have to have a lot of years at that rate to equal
- 6 \$511,000.
- 7 MR. BOGGS: Yeah. Well, I was here. They
- 8 did have two different people that were in that position
- 9 being a public participation specialist.
- 10 So their time, their salary was actually
- 11 accrued against that.
- MR. BUDROE: Yeah.
- MR. BOGGS: Ten years of that.
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah, and I don't know.
- MR. BOGGS: Plus all the other charges
- 16 could add up. I don't know what the breakdown is, but as
- 17 you know, that person was here and participated.
- MS. FANELLI: In general, Zurich does not
- 19 count labor as an allowable cost, so I would have to --
- 20 that's why I'm not sure that there would be a lot of
- 21 labor in there, but most of the RAB costs are allowable
- 22 under the Zurich policy.
- 23 FACILITATOR KERN: It might be nice if
- 24 people are interested in certain categories, maybe we can
- 25 give you that -- those categories and maybe we could

```
drill down into one or two of them and see what's there.
 1
 2
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                Fine. Just give me a call
 3
     and we can set it up.
 4
                   Now I have pictures for you guys if you're
 5
     done with the number section. But I'm happy to take any
 6
     more.
 7
                   MS. GEE:
                              I have a number, but not a
 8
     dollar question.
 9
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Okay.
10
                            Okay. And for these different
                   MS. GEE:
11
     sites, is there -- do you keep -- whether it's you or the
12
     organization -- keeps track of oh, what is the projected
13
     like -- not the dollar expenditure, but FTEs? What it is
14
     to complete the project?
15
                   MS. FANELLI: We do estimates of costs at
16
     completion.
                   MS. GEE: Yeah, but do you link it or does
17
     someone link it to what FTEs, like an amount of --
18
19
                   MS. FANELLI:
                                  Labor?
20
                   MS. GEE:
                              Labor.
21
                   MS. FANELLI: We certainly estimate
22
    professional services, labor that we hire. The labor to
23
     run the program is estimated. It's all in that single
24
     line item.
25
                   MS. GEE:
                              What single line item?
```

MS. FANELLI: There's a single item for 1 2 admin under labor. When it's expended for landfill 10, 3 for example, by an individual, then it goes and moves 4 that landfill 10 category, if that makes sense. 5 So it depends on whose labor you're talking 6 about for the projects. 7 We certainly estimate consultant labor specific to the project and outside expenses. 8 Trust labor is a pretty much known 9 There's X number of us and we're working on 10 quantity. these sites and we keep that all in one line item. 11 We don't attribute that up front to a 12 project, because it's -- it -- it's not that it's a bad 13 thing to do, but it's a complication that doesn't really 14 buy us anything at this point in time. 15 16 So I've made a decision not to do that. 17 MS. GEE: Because the reason why I was 18 asking is because, say, for one site, if -- you know, when you're starting -- when we started the project, say, 19 20 like five years ago, whatever, and then you had a manpower FTE, sort of an estimate, but because once you 21 get into the project, you don't realize that there are 22 these hidden -- there are surprises which would entail 23 having more FTE manpower put into that project. 24 25 And so I was thinking if there's a way to Page 31

- 1 relate the dollar outlay and the percentage that you have
- 2 presented to another line of saying oh, that landfill --
- 3 that site, but because of whatever discoveries that more
- 4 manpower, whatever type was needed, that is another way
- 5 to relate like oh, because of the increase in FTE
- 6 required, therefore the dollar -- you know, number had to
- 7 be adjusted.
- 8 So there are two ways of seeing what went
- 9 where.
- MS. FANELLI: At the end.
- 11 MS. GEE: Of the project.
- MS. FANELLI: For actuals, I can break out
- 13 labor. That's not a problem. I can break out labor for
- 14 actuals. I don't project Trust labor into the future.
- 15 That's all.
- MS. GEE: Okay.
- 17 MS. FANELLI: Unless it's outside of the
- 18 remediation department, and the remediation -- so I can
- 19 see how much -- if a project gets done with two percent
- 20 Trust labor versus a project that gets done with five
- 21 percent Trust labor, I can do that, but I only do that
- 22 after it's done.
- MS. GEE: Okay. Thank you.
- MS. BLUM: I have a question. Where are
- 25 the contractor's costs captured?

- 1 MS. FANELLI: On the project. So they're
- 2 in the estimates and they're also in the expended.
- 3 They bill and are contracted by project
- 4 number.
- 5 MS. BLUM: Okay. What would a line read?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: Well, I don't give you the
- 7 detail on table 3 of the expended, what that detail is,
- 8 whether it's Trust labor or an outside consultant. It's
- 9 all in --
- 10 MS. BLUM: Just part for the projected --
- 11 accrued, projected?
- MS. FANELLI: Yes. Obviously we have that
- 13 detail.
- MS. BLUM: Could you just take a stab at
- 15 what you think the contracted fees are of the total
- 16 budgets spent over the time?
- MS. FANELLI: Well, yeah. You can look at
- 18 it. The Trust has at the biggest -- I don't know.
- 19 Before my time. Let's just talk about my time.
- 20 We had -- when we had George, nine of us
- 21 and now there's eight of us, basically, Trust labor and
- 22 we are about maybe a million dollars a year for all of
- 23 our labor. So of the total 157 million, the Trust labor
- 24 is not that much of a percentage of it.
- MS. BLUM: Okay.

MS. FANELLI: So now I have some pictures 1 2 on landfill 10 and we'll get to some of the storm water 3 controls. They're dated. So this is the beginning of 4 November, and this is at the toe area, and you can see 5 here. This is before all the soil was placed. 6 The sand at the toe was some clean sand that was going to be placed. These are stakes that the 7 8 contractor uses that are flagged, tells them when they've gotten to the right elevation for placing material. 9 This is the our new sidewalk along 15th 10 11 Avenue looking down as it turns the corner there from 12 Wedemeyer. You can see material from the back. 13 14 of that is engineered fill. Most of that is waste 15 material that's been processed to be recompacted in place and some of their equipment. 16 This is the two foot of soil cover on it. 17 18 This might be the compost. It's the organic stuff. You can see the tractor. You can see the guys working that. 19 20 Afterwards, this is the fabric that went 21 on, and now the slope is basically completely covered 22 with this stuff. It's very heavy duty fabric. 23 There's a larger portion of the slope 24 November 6th being placed on there. Those are the 25 wattles that are going across it. There's guite a few

- 1 rows. These wattles are like double the diameter that
- 2 you normally see. They're very heavy.
- 3 This was our Wedemeyer Road. So the waste
- 4 fill is underneath Wedemeyer, and this was a low area
- 5 that we had to build up.
- 6 So it's been basically built up with either
- 7 waste material, and these are the grinding -- this is the
- 8 former curve and gutters and all the other inert material
- 9 that was ground up and then replaced and recompacted to
- 10 serve at the road base for Wedemeyer.
- The two inches of asphalt. It's going to
- 12 get another two inches when we do the parking lot.
- These are what we call the BMPs, so the
- 14 storm drain collection systems, basically, and what you
- 15 see as HDPE is the liner material going in, and there's
- 16 pipe in there. There's the gravel that went around it.
- 17 Here's the two inch of aggregate base that
- 18 went on the -- on the Wedemeyer. You can see the BMPs
- 19 off to the side.
- 20 These are going to ultimately be the --
- 21 there's the little catch basins. They're not calling
- 22 them TIs. They're calling them -- they're basically the
- 23 drop-ins.
- 24 Here's the road paved. You can see the
- 25 nice sidewalk there adjacent. It's really starting to

- 1 change its look.
- 2 MR. BOGGS: Actually, right to your left
- 3 right there is your one big drop inlet. The curb on your
- 4 left actually extends out a little bit.
- If it overflows, it would overflow to the
- 6 parking lot, in which case it would drop into another --
- 7 MS. FANELLI: I think they call them
- 8 atriums. That's the word. They're like little plastic.
- 9 The pipe comes up, and within the BMP that has the gravel
- 10 and plant material, if that fills up, it will go into
- 11 directly.
- MR. BOGGS: Actually, I was talking
- 13 about --
- 14 MS. FANELLI: You're talking about the
- 15 real drop-in.
- 16 MR. BOGGS: It will drain from the road.
- MS. FANELLI: That's a new drop-in. It's
- 18 designed to capture. Based on experience after October
- in recent storms, there's a monumental amount of water
- 20 that comes down Battery Caulfield. Monumental, so these
- 21 new drop-ins are important.
- Here's more work. They're drainpipe and
- 23 fabric. You can see they're putting in some of the soil
- 24 on the top.
- There's all three going in. So you see the

- 1 pipes, you see the gravel and the planter soils going in.
- 2 There's the aggregate base or the drain rock in there,
- 3 the fabric material.
- 4 MS. BLUM: How much cover is on that
- 5 plastic material when it's all said and done? Will it be
- 6 asphalted over?
- 7 MS. FANELLI: Well, no. These -- this
- 8 portion will be open, because it has a planter, and then
- 9 the curbs will go along the sides and the cars will park.
- 10 MS. BLUM: It will be covered?
- 11 MS. FANELLI: No. These will be open.
- 12 That's HDPE. That's -- that will be side in and then
- 13 there'll be asphalt on top of this side and then there
- 14 will be concrete curbs, but in here, it will be dirt on
- 15 top.
- MR. BOGGS: If they have a storm, all the
- 17 rain that would have been on top deck. It wasn't really
- 18 started as part of their storm water pollution
- 19 prevention, but it's all operational now.
- 20 So that whole area that had water draining
- 21 off and containing the erosion gullies, that problem does
- 22 not exist today.
- 23 MS. FANELLI: Yeah. We were just caught
- 24 early.
- This is putting the two foot of cover on.

- 1 So you can see we have that southern end kind of buttoned
- 2 up. You can see the wall and the fabric. This is when
- 3 they were placing the final soil.
- 4 You can see down here, if you remember --
- 5 you asked a question about storm water. So this is
- 6 actually sort of a berm that was constructed all the way
- 7 around so if there was precipitation before, they
- 8 actually covered this with plastic and then they used to
- 9 track the runoff after the October storm to keep it from
- 10 going offsite.
- 11 And then they -- at one point, they had a
- 12 very large pump down here, very large that would allow
- 13 them to lift any pooled water up to the Baker tank that
- 14 was up.
- MS. NEWTON: Pump?
- MS. FANELLI: Pump, yeah. To lift any
- 17 water that accumulated down there up and put it into the
- 18 bigger tank.
- MS. BLUM: How long does the plastic stay?
- MS. FANELLI: Plastic's a pain in the butt
- 21 because it's windy. At this point, we're kind of done on
- 22 this. It's all basically fabricked and walled now. We
- 23 don't have the slope that way.
- MR. BOGGS: There's a couple things that
- 25 are going to maintain for a while. Like they have some

```
1 sandbagged walls, so if there is -- even with all the
```

- 2 fabric, if some sediment is coming off, they'll kind of
- 3 select the sediment before it gets to Lobos Creek.
- 4 They'll have to maintain those and clean up
- 5 the sediment after storms. So there'll be systems for a
- 6 while, just like this fabric and wattles, to help control
- 7 sediment, but nobody wants plastic there. These things
- 8 are biodegradable over time.
- 9 MS. FANELLI: It will take a while for
- 10 them to biodegrade.
- MR. BOGGS: Yeah.
- MS. FANELLI: They're pretty heavy.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: That last slide, is that
- 14 the lyssingia habitat?
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah. The lyssingia habitat
- 16 is really kind of right around this bush here. It
- 17 doesn't extend all the way up here, and then it really
- 18 expands out here.
- MR. YOUNGKIN: Could you point --
- MS. FANELLI: You can see this little
- 21 gully. There's a little gully here. That's where there
- 22 was one reach that got some silt into the lyssingia area,
- 23 and it really affected -- not up here, but it really
- 24 affected down here.
- This is actually not I don't think

- 1 lyssingia. There is a -- I don't have the map with here
- 2 and this is kind of oblique, but this is kind of this
- 3 area. You can see that little gully.
- 4 MR. YOUNGKIN: Is it a big area of
- 5 siltation?
- 6 MS. FANELLI: I quess it depends on your
- 7 opinion.
- 8 MS. SEGAL: Was the gully there before the
- 9 storm or it was created?
- 10 MS. FANELLI: It was created, but I'll be
- 11 honest. There's a lot of little natural rivulets. But
- 12 this is certainly a section made.
- Because what happens is -- you can't see it
- 14 from here. You see how this slope comes down and turns
- 15 here. We ended up picking up a lot of runoff that got
- 16 some velocity in it, and where the sand bags are, it
- 17 breached it.
- 18 It put enough -- it didn't let the water
- 19 pass through fast enough over top and washed out, and
- 20 there's enough of it and we had such -- the first one was
- 21 so much rain. The second one was just such a flash flood
- 22 that it gouged up here.
- MS. SEGAL: Is there any erosion control
- 24 that needs to be taken out of the gully or are you just
- 25 going to leave it?

1 MS. FANELLI: Right now we are in 2 discussions with the Park Service and US Fish and Wildlife on what they recommend are the next steps to 3 take. So we don't have a final plan for that. 4 5 This is the slope on the end of the month. 6 Since this time, that little road has been moved and it's 7 being worked out and the final erosion controls will be in place. 9 So what we need to finish at this point is the soil, making sure the soil is completely done and 10 11 putting sand in place. 12 We've got about half of the sand placed. 13 It was a little wet following the rains on Sunday night. 14 So they haven't finished placing the rest of it yet, but 15 they need to do that. 16 Install final erosion controls. basically have them on the slope and along the toe where 17 18 they're finished, but they're going to have more on the 19 top when they finish putting all the rest of the cover on 20 the top. 21 So there'll be some more up there, and 22 they're going to be putting temporary controls up there. 23 They've got about half of the curb and 24 gutters poured on the top deck, which has also been 25 another major help with the storm water controls, because Page 41

- 1 it directs it to drop inlets and it keeps it from
- 2 discharging down the slope.
- 3 The southern area above where you saw all
- 4 the netting and just south of the parking lot, just north
- 5 of the area next to the house, that area needs to be
- 6 finalized. So it needs some final contouring and cover
- 7 soil.
- 8 And then they can put in the asphalt
- 9 parking lot.
- Once they do that, we can move to 8 and 9,
- 11 which I don't think is a big deal.
- We're really hoping that they're going to
- 13 get the asphalt placed soon. We're looking at a lot of
- 14 weather from Thursday. So we may not actually be doing
- 15 any work out there for a week or so until the weather
- 16 settles.
- 17 They have to have dry weather to finish a
- 18 lot of the stuff because they need it dry to get
- 19 compaction on their soils and they need it dry to put
- 20 asphalt in.
- 21 They can pour concrete in the rain. They
- 22 are pouring concrete on the curbs and gutters tomorrow,
- 23 which -- it's not supposed to rain tomorrow, but they can
- 24 do a little bit of pouring in the rain, but it depends
- 25 how bad it is.

- 1 And that's why I have just a couple of
- 2 pictures on tree removals on fillsite 1 and landfill 2.
- 3 We gave the contractor, Professional Tree Care, the
- 4 notice to proceed in November.
- 5 Their first task was to take trees down
- 6 near Pop Hicks, landfill E and Quarry Trail to get the
- 7 temporary access road in, and they basically have got --
- 8 I think the temporary road in.
- 9 I haven't actually walked it. I have some
- 10 pictures here, but I think it's even further along.
- 11 And that's the only pictures I have done,
- 12 and as you know, we're working on the -- the RAP is still
- 13 hoping to do construction in the next spring and finalize
- 14 the data report and get that Draft RAP out.
- Okay. So this is a little sign. We have
- 16 signs everywhere telling people about the roads are
- 17 closed, the trails are closed, and of course we have a
- 18 lot of trespassers, and so we do have I think two public
- 19 information coordinators out talking to people, shooing
- 20 them off the trail, showing them where the detours are.
- 21 We've done a lot of outreach. I know I
- 22 attended at least four public walks and a neighborhood
- 23 walk with folks.
- 24 We still have a lot of trespassers.
- 25 Hopefully they'll stop trespassing.

25

```
1
                   MS. NEWTON: Are they dog people?
 2
                   MS. FANELLI: They're everyone. They're
 3
     bike riders. There's some dog people. They're people
     that live and walk that way and want to keep walking that
 5
     way. You know, they're local.
                   So this is a picture of some of the trees
 6
 7
     down at the end of the top of Pop Hicks.
 8
                   This is at the far end of Pop Hicks as it's
 9
     starting -- where the temporary road's going to dump out.
10
     These are some very large trees looking down.
                   Her's a picture -- you can see the house.
11
     That's the apartment or the -- the apartment building at
12
13
     the end of Quarry Road.
14
                   You know, it used to be all wooded there.
15
     You can see this trail coming down. They're doing some
16
     draining work on it.
17
                   Here as you know is another angle of it.
     It's a little bit steep as it comes off of Quarry Trail
18
19
     on to the land site.
20
                   MS. SEGAL: That's a trail?
21
                   MS. FANELLI: The trucks will be on it.
22
                   MS. SEGAL: Ultimately.
23
                   MS. FANELLI: It will be actually removed.
     It's going to be a trail. It's in the alignment of part
24
```

Page 44

of the Trust trail program, so a trail.

```
1
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Can your comment about
 2
     any protections on that bare soil whether -- with the
     rains coming up?
 3
 4
                   MS. FANELLI: They have a requirement to
 5
     do a full slip. They have erosion controls out.
 6
                   I have not personally walked it, so I can't
 7
     tell you what's there today, but they're -- they're
     filing with NOY and did a whole compliance thing for the
 8
 9
     project.
10
                   We do have construction oversight.
11
     statements we have for a third party engineer, CH2M
     Hill like we do on landfill 10, and the same schedule, so
12
13
     yes. We have erosion controls in place.
14
                   MR. YOUNGKIN: So there's no sensitive
15
     habitat in this area?
16
                   MS. FANELLI: No. Not in this area.
                                                          The
17
     road is actually going in. There isn't any
18
     contamination. It's from the trail to the top of the
19
     landfill.
20
                   So special provisions have been made so
21
    that they don't cut into the landfill when they bring the
22
    road in.
23
                   MR. YOUNGKIN:
                                   There was just a UXO
24
    concern, maybe?
25
                   MS. FANELLI: This is the area where the
                                                          Page 45
```

- 1 mustard gas was found in the past. When we did our
- 2 coordination with the Army, the Army agreed to provide
- 3 UXO oversight full-time when we're doing this time.
- 4 So we have had UXO specialists out there
- 5 while we've been doing this work to observe and make sure
- 6 if anything is encountered, that it's not's not dangerous
- 7 and everybody responds appropriately.
- If they found something, then they call out
- 9 the folks from Travis, obviously, to collect it, but
- 10 these guys that we have onsite are specialists in
- 11 recognizing it, because you and I may not recognize an
- 12 unexploded -- a mortar or whatever it happens to be.
- MR. BOGGS: The only thing that would be
- 14 good -- and I don't know if they did this or not, but
- 15 the -- we actually walked the area prior to construction
- 16 in --
- 17 MS. FANELLI: They did do that. They
- 18 actually walked out with their metal detectors and
- 19 whatever they use to screen the areas, and then they're
- 20 also onsite.
- 21 MR. BOGGS: Is that where we have some of
- 22 those trenches where they actually found --
- MS. FANELLI: That's right.
- MR. BOGGS: -- mustard agent, and some of
- 25 those may be spent if they're in that area.

- 1 MS. FANELLI: And we're going to have the
- 2 same oversights from the Army when we actually excavate
- 3 landfill 2.
- I don't believe they think there's any
- 5 issue with fillsite 1, which is the one closer to the
- 6 ballfield, but landfill 2 is an identified Army dump.
- 7 So there is concern that we could come
- 8 across unexploded ordinance.
- 9 That's also very close to where they found
- 10 the mortar about a year ago and set off. That was that
- 11 big boom that everybody heard.
- 12 It wasn't the Army setting it off. It was
- 13 the San Francisco bomb squad.
- We've actually been working pretty closely
- 15 with the Army so we have a procedure, so we know how to
- 16 respond so we don't have to call the have the bomb squad
- 17 that tend to do those big explosions and making it
- 18 dangerous.
- MS. BLUM: Based on the deluge that hit
- 20 landfill 10, are you comfortable with the measures taken
- 21 to secure land with the removal of trees in that area?
- 22 Because I know they're coming down the slope.
- MS. FANELLI: Yeah, I am. The -- the high
- 24 intensity storm was somewhere between a hundred and a two
- 25 hundred year event. It was really unique, and we were

- 1 not set up, as Bob pointed out. We didn't have those --
- 2 those -- the curbs in.
- We had that whole upper slope of the top
- 4 deck B lining right toward that southern end that caused
- 5 not only that high intensity volume, but it focused it
- 6 all in one small area.
- Now the configuration, there's a really
- 8 small contribution to the watershed for that southern
- 9 drainage. Most of everything's going to come off and go
- 10 into the drop inlets instead of on the asphalt.
- We have one of the heaviest dutiest fabric
- 12 on that landfill.
- MS. BLUM: I'm really more concerned about
- 14 landfill 2 as we take out those trees.
- MS. FANELLI: Oh, landfill 2.
- MS. BLUM: It goes into down El Polin
- 17 Springs.
- MS. FANELLI: When we get there to
- 19 landfill 2. We're certainly going to re-evaluate the
- 20 storm water controls and how they're deployed and then
- 21 they're going to work with the contractor to make sure
- 22 that next spring -- spring or winter, we don't suffer any
- 23 similar problems with the soil.
- One of the key things for me in that is
- 25 getting started timely. I -- I think the biggest

- 1 contributor to some of our woes now is we actually got
- 2 into the field a couple months later than we wanted to,
- 3 and we underestimated the time it was going to take the
- 4 contractor to get done.
- 5 So there's a whole bunch of reasons why,
- 6 but I think one mitigating fact would have been if they
- 7 were in the field not in end of June, but at the end of
- 8 April. It could have made a big difference.
- 9 And so that's really I think key for us is
- 10 to make sure that we have a plan that gets us out of the
- 11 field timely and doesn't get us consist of trapped. So
- 12 we have a plan B, if you will.
- I think that's my last picture -- oh. No.
- 14 Just some petroleum stuff. Same stuff as last time, and
- 15 lead-based paint.
- MS. BLUM: 207/231 coordination.
- 17 MS. FANELLI: We're still trying to work
- 18 with Caltrans on that area. It's their contract 5 to
- 19 make sure we're being efficient. And lead-based paint.
- 20 Next year's activities. We're hopefully
- 21 going to be able to say we're done at Public Health
- 22 Services Hospital. We're hopefully going to have a Draft
- 23 RAP that's out and have initiated our remediation
- 24 construction documents.
- 25 Petroleum. Really, we're very close to

- 1 being done, except for 207/231. We have our -- the
- 2 unsubstantiated tanks. The tanks that we don't know if
- 3 they're real tanks, in priority group 8. We're hoping to
- 4 have that contractor on board and finish up that
- 5 documentation.
- And we have the plan now. We have a
- 7 schedule on lead-based paint, we're working on that, so
- 8 we're trying to get those closure document out per that
- 9 schedule.
- 10 Oh, and I should say Nina had a little girl
- on December 1st. So she's out of the office until March.
- 12 So I'm eating into your party time here. I
- 13 rushed through those last three slides.
- 14 FACILITATOR KERN: Any other questions for
- 15 Eileen?
- 16 Thanks very much.
- MS. FANELLI: You're welcome.
- 18 FACILITATOR KERN: Denise, did you have
- 19 something that you wanted to say?
- 20 MS. TSUJI: You know, given the hour.
- 21 I'll be coming to your -- the first of the two Tuesday
- 22 meetings. I do plan to bring in and update to share with
- 23 you on what staff has been working on so you kind of have
- 24 an idea.
- 25 If you'd like copies of the comments -- I

```
1 know we post them on the web. It is my intent -- all our
```

- 2 comments that we mail to the Trust, we will begin posting
- 3 on our community website so that you'll have access to
- 4 that rather than having to bear -- go through it other
- 5 ways, like the server.
- 6 MS. FANELLI: Sharepoint.
- 7 MS. TSUJI: If you want to see what the
- 8 Department actually worked on and want more direct access
- 9 through the Department's website.
- 10 Our system does have e-mail notification,
- 11 so any time we upload anything on to the public website,
- 12 you can get an e-mail saying something's been uploaded.
- Just basically look for the latest updates
- 14 that correspond to your e-mail date and you can find it.
- 15 If you'd like that, let me know and I'll get that
- 16 started.
- MS. SEGAL: What is the public website,
- 18 please?
- MS. TSUJI: It's at dtsc.ca.gov. If you
- 20 Google Envirostor, s-t-o-r, it will give you the direct
- 21 link to that part of our website.
- MS. SEGAL: Thanks.
- MS. TSUJI: Type in "Presidio." Because
- 24 we have two project managers now, right now landfill --
- 25 I'm writing all these numbers here. For landfill 1 --

- no. Fillsite 1, landfill 2, if you look at Presidio with an RS for Medi's initials, the documents associated with
- 3 that project will be associated with her -- her part of
- 4 the Presidio.
- We're trying to figure out how to easily
- 6 have everybody figure out what documenting with what.
- 7 Right now this is a temporary patch. I can't convince
- 8 our data managers to give me more, more space.
- 9 But I would like to, you know, work with
- 10 you to figure out good ways for the Department to work
- 11 with you on the technical issues that we're working on.
- Doug's come to our office a couple times to
- 13 talk about the investigation and data for fillsite 1,
- 14 landfill 2, and -- but we'd like to be able to have a
- 15 broader outreach with other community members, also, with
- 16 RAB members.
- So we can do workshops, but I'm open to
- 18 that. At other meetings, we can always talk about it.
- MS. BLUM: Would an e-mail list help you
- 20 with people that are interested in receiving your
- 21 updates?
- MS. TSUJI: Yes.
- MS. SEGAL: Jan started one.
- FACILITATOR KERN: Anything, Bob?
- MR. BOGGS: Well, I can add one quick

1	thing for landfill 10. Actually last week, we were out
2	there and did a bunch of trenching along the perimeter.
3	Because if you go out there, you'll see the
4	fence, and if you see the fence, there's concrete and
5	bricks and some asphalt. And so it looked like maybe the
6	landfill went further than our boundaries.
7	It turns out those were basically chunks
8	that rolled out there.
9	We did a bunch of trenching on the inside
10	of the trench. Several of the trenches had landfill
11	material on the landfill side. We had sand on the other
12	side.
13	The majority of them just had native
14	native dune sand. So we were good there. There was only
15	one spot at the very, very northern end where the
16	landfill material was outside the little orange fence,
17	and that's a very easy fix at this point.
18	And so that was another little welcome find
19	from that investigation this week at landfill 10.
20	FACILITATOR KERN: Nice.
21	Thank you, everybody.
22	Are there any other questions for our
23	agency members?
24	Any new business this evening?
25	And action items, I guess we have to get

ready for the new year's party. It seems like an 1 2 important action. We're going to get the 3 MS. CHEEVER: 4 December 11th report, December 11th report that Julie mentioned. 5 And then we'll be preparing for the new 7 year and trying to get more things going. Are there any other items for the good of 8 the order tonight before we adjourn? 9 I always like to know, is 10 MR. KETCHAM: there any particular items to come up at the next 11 meeting, the meeting in January? We didn't plan any 12 13 specific reports or items to be covered. FACILITATOR KERN: I would say right now, 14 we're in a kind of monitoring landfill 10 and the current 15 16 construction, and that will be early in the year, 17 something like the 12th I think of January. So I'm trying to think out a little bit. 18 We may begin to discuss the fillsite 1, 19 landfill 2 issues coming up. Those are the primary 20 issues in front of us. 21 All right. 22 MR. KETCHAM: FACILITATOR KERN: Other items? 23 I'd like to suggest one, Doug. MS. BLUM: 24 FACILITATOR KERN: Yes. 25 Page 54

1 MS. BLUM: And that would be an evaluation 2 of Baker Beach 2 after -- maybe sort of ongoing review of storm conditions as they affect Baker Beach 2 facade. 4 MS. FANELLI: You mean 1. MS. BLUM: Do I mean 1? 5 MS. FANELLI: Or 2A. 6 7 MS. BLUM: The one -- the big one that we just finished. 8 9 FACILITATOR KERN: That was Baker Beach 1, 10 I quess. The one that had the --Yeah, yeah, yeah. 11 MS. BLUM: FACILITATOR KERN: -- landslide type 12 13 thing. MR. BOGGS: I can actually give you a 14 15 brief update. The structural -- civil structural engineer 16 17 that assisted with that project, he's also assisting me with 10, he was out at the end of last month, because we 18 were looking at the erosion that happened at 10, and we 19 also stopped by there, because of course he's concerned 20 with the settling and potential landslide there. 21 His conclusion then was from the little 22 storms we had -- you know, this was two storms that we 23 had, the 13th and 19th of October, so shortly after that, 24 all they did was fill in the cracks of the landfill. 25 Page 55

So it didn't cause anything more 1 significant. He said the -- he wasn't expecting it yet. 2 It would be later in the year when the soil becomes more 3 4 saturated. 5 MS. BLUM: Okay. 6 MR. BOGGS: We are keeping an eye on that. 7 Our only major concern is if it becomes a significant hazard in terms of there's two types of -- he explained to me two types of landslides happening there. 9 There's one that's called -- more of a 10 sloughing, so it's just doing this massive state, and 11 12 that's what they want it to do, but there was a component that was rotational, and that's what can cause big rocks 13 14 to release that would roll down to the beach and 15 potentially hurt people. 16 And so that's the one thing that we are 17 monitoring. 18 MS. SEGAL: Wasn't that replanted, as 19 well? How is the planting going that is supposed to be 20 done at this point? 21 MR. BOGGS: I don't think the very top was 22 planted yet. 23 MS. SEGAL: I thought they were. I think the top surface was re-24 MS. BLUM: 25 vegetated a little bit, but the surface, the surface

```
1
     close to the Pacific Ocean, that definitely is not
     planted.
 3
                   MR. BOGGS: And the upper portion is --
 4
     you can't get people on that slope. It's far too steep.
 5
                   MS. BLUM:
                                So I'll alter my request.
     Maybe a quarterly report on Baker Beach 1 conditions.
 6
 7
                   FACILITATOR KERN:
                                       Okay.
                   MS. BLUM:
                                Conditions.
 8
 9
                   FACILITATOR KERN: Anything else?
10
                   Then I recommend without objection that we
     adjourn to the refreshments.
11
12
                    (The meeting concluded at 8:26 PM).
13
                              ---000---
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
                                                           Page 57
```

1	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )
3	
	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
4	
	discussion in the foregoing meeting was taken at the time
5	
	and place therein stated; that the foregoing is a full,
6	tour and complete married of acid water
7	true and complete record of said matter.
,	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
8	I larener certify that I am not of counsel of
	attorney for either or any of the parties in the
9	
	foregoing meeting and caption named, or in any way
10	
	interested in the outcome of the cause named in said
11	
	action.
12	
13	
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
15	hereunto set my hand this
16 17	2009.
1/	0000
18	
19	Mark I. Brickman CSR No. 5527
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 58